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#### Abstract

This thesis takes up the question of the part played by Syriac sources in the composition of early Arabic translations of the Hippocratic Aphorisms. In it, I compare the four major extant Syriac and Arabic translations of the Aphorisms with continual reference to the content of Syriac lexicons composed by the translator Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq and his students and successors. Through detailed treatments of both the definitions and translations of scores of individual Greek terms found in these sources, as well as through analysis of the translations of the Aphorisms, I weigh the relative importance of Greek and Syriac scholarship for Hunayn's translation praxis. In doing so, I specify the value of the Syriac lexicons for the study of Greek-to-Arabic translation while clarifying several outstanding issues in the broader history of Syriac and Arabic medicine.
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## Preface

Concerning the transliteration of Syriac and Arabic characters, I have adopted the following approaches. For Syriac, I have used the system found in Wheeler M. Thackston's Introduction to Syriac, which renders the Syriac consonsants like this: 'bgdhwzhtyklmns'pşršt, and the vowels like this: $a \bar{a} e \bar{e} \hat{e} i o u$. For the spirantized begadkepat consonants, an underscore is used: $\underline{b} \underline{d} g \underline{k} \underline{t}$. Due to the fact that this thesis concerns East Syriac exclusively, following Nöldeke I have omitted to underscore the letter $p \bar{e}$ throughout. In part minimally to distinguish between the transcriptions of the two languages, I have used a rather different approach to tranliterating Arabic. I have rendered the consonants like this: 'bt th j ḥkhddhrzshṣ ḍtz'ghfqklmnhwy, and the vowels like this: $a \bar{a} i \bar{\imath} u \bar{u}$.
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## INTRODUCTION

## Syriac scholarship in the history of Greek-to-Arabic medical translation

The relative importance of Syriac scholarship for the 'Abbāsid-era Arabic translations of Greek works has been a question of central concern ever since the inception of the field of Graeco-Arabic studies. Consider the following passage in Amable Jourdain's classic work Recherches critiques du l'age et l'origines des traductions d'Aristote et sur des commentaires grecs ou arabes employés par les docteurs scolastiques:
(J)e me livrerai à quelques remarques sur un point d'histoire littéraire souvent agité et jamais résolu. On s'est demandé fréquemment si les traductions arabes d'auteurs grecs étaient faites d'après le texte grec même, ou d'après des versions syriaques... Pour juger avec certitude du mérite des versions arabes, il faudrait donc s'assurer: $1^{\circ}$. si elles sount faites du grec ou du syriaque; $2^{\circ}$. si c'est une simple interprétation, ou une révision, ou une transcription. ${ }^{1}$

In the course of close and intensive study of the Arabic translations of the great translator Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq in particular, the quality of the finest products of the so-called Greek-to-Arabic translation movement has been judged to be very high,

1. Amable Jourdain, Recherches critiques du l'age et l'origines des traductions d'Aristote et sur des commentaires grecs ou arabes employés par les docteurs scolastiques (Paris: Joubert, 1843), 86-87.
and to represent faithfully the original Greek sources. ${ }^{2}$ Yet in certain key respects, questions such as Jourdain's that concern the part of preceding Syriac scholarship in the production of these translations still remain unanswered.

In the earlier stages of the development of Graeco-Arabic studies, a certain reticence to treat these questions perhaps would have been understandable. In the absence of critical studies on the viability of the Greek-to-Arabic translations, too-strong emphasis on their potential Syriac mediation could have undermined nascent scholarship by suggesting that the Arabic translations were mere translations-of-translations, and thus were in some way inferior products unworthy of serious attention. Now, however, as the field approaches a more mature state, it seems possible to return to the question of Syriac mediation
2. At present, the standard reference work on the Arabic scientific tradition is Gerhard Endress' extended essay 'Die wissenschaftliche Literatur', in Grundriss der Arabischen Philologie vols. II and III, Wolfdietrich Fischer ed. (Wiesbaden: Reichert, 1987-1992). Also important are Manfred Ullmann's technical studies of specific translations of Greek works, such as for example his Die Nikomachische Ethik des Aristoteles in arabischer Überlieferung (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2011-2012). Recent articles on the subject include for example Oliver Overwien, 'The Art of the Translator, or: How did Hunayn ibn Ishāa and his school translate?' in Epidemics in Context. Greek commentaries on Hippocrates in the Arabic tradition, Peter E. Pormann ed. (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2012); Peter E. Pormann, 'The Formation of the Arabic Pharmacology: Between Tradition and Innovation', Annals of Science 68(4) (2011); and Uwe Vagelpohl, 'In the Translator's Workshop', Arabic Sciences and Philosophy 21(2) (September 2011).
without impugning the quality of the translation movement's products in the process. In doing so, we may hope to gain an understanding of the ways in which Syriac-language scholarship contributed to Greek-to-Arabic translation. This in turn may be expected to open passage to a clearer understanding both of the social and cultural history of these translations and of the detailed contents of the translations themselves.

A good deal more attention has been given to the importance of Syriac mediation in the studies of Arabic philosophy than in other fields. ${ }^{3}$ Yet, while Syriac medical translation lacks a rich body of surviving primary texts, important secondary sources in the field remain underexploited. Some of these are found in the form of the famous translator Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq's descriptions of his numerous translations into Syriac and Arabic of the works of Galen, as well as sizable Syriac-to-Arabic lexicons that contain the philological notes of Hunayn and his students and successors. In the following pages, I undertake extensive comparisons of this material with an important surviving example of 'Abbāsid-era Syriac medical writing, the Syriac translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms. Deploying these resources will deepen understanding of the part played by Syriac scholarship in the development of the Arabic medical tradition, and by extension
3. See the contributions collected in H. Hugonnard-Roche, La logique d'Aristote du grec au syriaque: études sur la transmission des textes de l'Organon et leur interpretation philosophique (Paris: Vrin, 2004). For natural philosophy and the sciences more generally, including mathematics and astronomy, see Hidemi Takahashi, 'The Sciences in Syriac from Serverus Sebokht to Barhebraeus’ in Transmission of Sciences: Greek, Syriac, Arabic, and Latin (Tokyo: Organization for Islamic Area Studies, Waseda University, 2010).
of 'Abbāsid-era intellectual life more generally.
As Jake Tannous has argued clearly in a recent study, Syriac intellectual history forms an important bridge between the Greek and Arabic philosophical traditions, and thus between the traditional historical categories 'late Antique' and 'early Medieval'. ${ }^{4}$ Viewed from the present, the study of Syriac intellectual history allows for an unbroken chain of transmission to be established that runs from classical Greek to classical Arabic to scholastic Latin, and thus to the development of modern intellectual notions. Viewed from its own time, such a study clarifies the debt of Islamicate cultural forms to pre-Islamic and specifically eastern Christian adaptations of Hellenic intellectual life. At the same time it shows the extent to which the establishment of Arabic as the pre-eminent language of thought and culture in western Asia transformed that heritage and further integrated it with Greek, Jewish, Persian, Indian, and native Arab traditions into a broader cultural and scientific edifice that has exerted global influence for centuries.

## Secular Greek scholarship in Syriac up to the time of Hunayn

The first serious attempts to carry works of pagan Greek philosophy into Syriac were undertaken by the seminal translator Sergius of Reš 'Aynā during the first half of the 6th century of the Christian era. ${ }^{5}$ Sergius' Greek learning derived
4. Jake Tannous, 'Syria Between Byzantium and Islam: Making Incommensurables Speak' (PhD diss., Princeton University, 2010), 60.
5. For Sergius' biography, see Hugonnard-Roche, 'Aux origines de l'exégèse orientale de la logique d'Aristote: Sergius de Resh'ayna (d. 536), médecin et
largely from the Alexandrian curricula of Galenic medicine, Aristotelian logic, and the pseudo-Dionysian corpus of neo-Platonic Christian theology. ${ }^{6}$ These initial efforts to establish a corpus of secular Syriac literature were conserved in monastic institutions across Mesopotamia and the Levant during the final centuries of Byzantine and Sassanid rule in these regions and through the initial centuries of Muslim rule. ${ }^{7}$

The decades following the assumption of the caliphate by the 'Abbāsid dynasty in the middle of the 8th century witnessed an efflorescence of intellectual effort prompted in large part by the patronage of the ruling classes. ${ }^{8}$ The heirs of several great pre-Islamic traditions of learning were recruited to contribute their expertise to this endeavour. Syriac-speaking families of scholars deriving from the Persian intellectual centre at Gundeshapur were of particular importance for the study of medicine at the caliphal court. ${ }^{9}$

It has been claimed that Arabic translations of Greek works were produced
philosophe', Journal Asiatique no. 277 (1989). For the part played by Sergius' translations in the development of Syriac and Arabic medicine, see Peter E. Pormann, ‘The Development of Translation Techniques from Greek into Syriac and Arabic: The Case of Galen's On the Faculties and Powers of Simple Drugs, Book Six' in Medieval Arabic Thought: Essays in Honour of Fritz Zimmermann Rotraud Hansberger et al. eds., (London: Warburg Institute, 2012).
6. H. Hugonnard-Roche, La logique d'Aristote, 123-124.
7. Dimitri Gutas, Greek Thought, Arabic Culture (London: Routledge, 1998), 14.
8. Gutas, Greek Thought, passim.
9. Gutas, Greek Thought, 118. For the history of this city, see Lutz RichterBernburg, 'Gondēšāpur’, Encyclopedia Iranica 11(2), 131-135.
as early as the Umayyad period. ${ }^{10}$ However, the accession of the 'Abbāsid dynasty to the caliphate marks an inflection point in the attitude of the Muslim elites toward secular Greek learning. Important Arabic translations of Greek medicine and philosophy were performed during the reign of al-Manșūr in the second half of the 8th century. ${ }^{11}$ Al-Manṣūr's successors, especially the caliph al-Ma'mūn, sustained and consolidated the translation movement through the first half of the 9th century. ${ }^{12}$ In medicine, the key figure in this latter stage development was the translator/physician Ḥunayn ibn Ishāāq al- 'Ibādī. An Arab Christian and a native of the city of Hī̀ra, ${ }^{13}$ Hunayn gained access to the elite circle of Syriac physicians after a period of studying the Greek language in Byzantium. ${ }^{14}$ As evidenced in his Epistle on what has been Translated of the Works of Galen and what has not been Translated (hereafter called the Risāā̄), Hunayn renovated and significantly broadened the corpus of Syriac translations of the writings of Galen while at the
10. George Saliba, Islamic Science and the Making of the European Renaissance, (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2007), passim. For a critical reception of these claims, see Peter E. Pormann, ‘Arabic Astronomy and the Making of the European Renaissance', review of Islamic Science and the Making of the European Renaissance, by George Saliba, Annals of Science no. 67 (2010).
11. Gutas, Greek Thought, 28.
12. Gutas, Greek Thought, 75 .
13. Gotthard Strohmaier, 'Ḥunain ibn Isḥāq- an Arab Scholar Translating into Syriac', ARAM no. 3 (1991[1993]):, 63-64.
14. Gotthard Strohmaier, 'Ḥunayn b. Isḥāk as Philologist', in Ephrem-Hunayn Festival (Baghdad: al-Máarif Press, 1974), 543.
same time producing Arabic translations of many of these same works. ${ }^{15}$ Hunayn's translations went on to form the foundation of the Arabic medical tradition, which includes the writings of monumental figures like al-Rāzī, ibn Sīnā, ibn Rushd, and Maimonides. ${ }^{16}$

The survival of several of his Arabic translations and their profound historical significance has made Hunayn best known as an Arabic translator. Yet the emphasis the translator placed on the Syriac translations in the Risāla, combined with the much longer extent of the Syriac translation tradition when compared with the Arabic tradition at the time of his career, gives the impression that an understanding of the specific character of the Syriac translations is necessary for a full account of Hunayn's contribution to Greek-to-Arabic
15. Gotthelf Bergsträsser ed., Hunain ibn Ishāq über die syrischen und arabischen Galen-Übersetzungen (Leipzig: Deutsche morgenländische Gesellschaft, 1925). This edition of the Risāla has been supplemented on the basis of newlydiscovered manuscripts in idem. ed., Neue Materialien zu Ḥunain ibn Ishāq's Galen-Bibliographie, Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes vol. 19, no. 2 (Leipzig: Deutsche Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 1932), and more recently in Fabian Käs, 'Eine neue Handschrift von Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāqs Galenbibliographie', Zeitschrift für Geschichte der arabisch-islamischen Wissenschaften no. 19 (201011).
16. For an overview of Arabic medical writing see Manfred Ullmann, Islamic Medicine (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 1978). Ullmann's findings are updated on the basis of more recent scholarship in Peter E. Pormann and Emilie Savage-Smith's Medieval Islamic Medicine (Washington, D. C.: Georgetown University Press, 2007).
translation. Yet despite the importance of Syriac for Hunayn's profoundly significant translation activity, few if any of his voluminous Syriac translations survive. ${ }^{17}$ The descriptions given in the Risāla combined with the numerous extant Arabic translations of Hunayn's give the historian a window into the translator's praxis. Utilization of these sources in the process of close study of those Syriac medical texts that are extant and that may be linked to Hunayn or his students should provide even more valuable context.

Again, the Syriac material is very limited. The most promising text available to us, and the work which has received the most scholarly attention to date, is the Syriac version of the Hippocratic Aphorisms extant in a bi-lingual Syriac-Arabic manuscript, ${ }^{18}$ an edition of which was published with a French translation by Henri Pognon in 1903. ${ }^{19}$ In part of this manuscript, a Syriac translation of the Aphorisms is found facing a copy of Hunayn's Arabic translation of the work.

Furthermore, the text of the Aphorisms lends itself well to this type of comparative study. Intended as a sort of overview of the art of medicine as
17. Sebastian Brock, 'The Syriac Background to Hunayn's Translation Techniques’, ARAM no. 3 (1991[1993]): 139-142. For a detailed account of the extant Syriac sources, see Rainer Degen, ‘Galen im Syrischen. Eine Übersicht über die syrische Überlieferung die Werke Galen', in Galen: Problems and Prospects, ed. Vivian Nutton (London: Wellcome Institute, 1981).
18. MS Arabe 6734, Bibliotheque nationale Française, Paris, hereafter BnF 6734.
19. Henri Pognon, ed., Une version syriaque des Aphorismes d'Hippocrate (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichsche Buchhandlung, 1903). All references to the Syriac version of the Aphorisms herein refer to this edition unless otherwise noted.
understood by the 5th-century Greek physician Hippocrates, the Aphorisms covers a variety of material, ranging across subjects like medical theory, diet, purging, prognostics, diagnostics, gynaecology, and the influence of weather and geography upon health, to name a few. The wealth of the subject-matter is accompanied by a corresponding wealth in terminology, allowing for a large number of Syriac medical terms to be considered. Another element tending to make the Aphorisms a suitable entry-point for the study of Syriac and Arabic translations of Greek medicine is the fact that portions of earlier translations of the Hippocratic work in these languages exist alongside the better-known later versions. In total, portions deriving from at least four different classical Syriac and Arabic versions are available. Each of these translations will be described in detail below.

Beyond the texts themselves, important material deriving from the scholarly background of the translations exists in the form of Syriac-Arabic lexicons. Two examples important for the present study are the lexicons of Hasan bar Bahlul and Išo ${ }^{\prime}$ bar 'Ali, both of which consist largely of entries originating from Hunayn's own lexicographical work. Although I will give a more thorough description of these below, suffice it to say here that they provide resources that greatly enrich the study of the surviving Syriac medical translations.

## The Aphorisms of Hippocrates

As one of the central authorities of classical Ionic medicine, the figure of Hippocrates of Kos played a key role in the transmission and development of
medical knowledge in antiquity. ${ }^{20}$ A leading proponent of humoural theory, Hippocrates' contributions ranged from diet to prognosis to surgery to the professionalization of the medical art. Hippocrates and his students promulgated a school of medical theory and practice that exerted great influence from India to Europe up unto the establishment of modern European medicine in the 19th century.

It is important to note that Hippocrates' influence largely came to be mediated by the work of the famous medical theorist Galen of Pergamon. ${ }^{21}$ In claiming to revive the true Hippocratic doctrine, this physician of the 2nd century CE penned highly influential commentaries that gave their own cast to the often ambiguous language of Hippocrates' works. Galen's interpretation of Hippocratic doctrine was particularly important for the development of Islamicate conceptions of natural philosophy. ${ }^{22}$

The Aphorisms consists of brief statements regarding a wide range of medical concerns, and the text was often viewed as propaedeutic to the body of Hippocratic medical works. ${ }^{23}$ For this reason it received a great deal of attention
20. For background on the person and school of Hippocrates, see Jacques Jouanna, Hippocrate (Paris: Fayard, 1992).
21. For background information on Galen, see Jim Hankinson ed., The Cambridge Companion to Galen (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008).
22. This can be seen in the tendency of Hippocratic works to be transmitted as lemmas in Galenic commentaries, and by the tendency of translators like Hunayn to interpret and translate ambiguous Hippocratic texts according to Galen's interpretation of them. Cf. Overwien, 'The Art of the Translator', 165-177.
23. A. Z. Iskandar, 'An attempted reconstruction of the late Alexandrian medical
both from commentators and from translators in Arabic. Close to a dozen Arabic commentaries on the Aphorisms survive, some of which are quite extensive. The entire extant corpus of Arabic commentaries on the Aphorisms is in the process of being edited by a team led by Peter E. Pormann at the University of Manchester, and reference to these editions will be made in this thesis where relevant. Very strong scholarship on the Greek text also exists. In this thesis Caroline Magdelaine's edition of the Greek text will generally provide the key point of reference for the Greek tradition of the Aphorisms. ${ }^{24}$

## The Extant Syriac Translations of the Aphorisms

As mentioned above, a largely complete Syriac translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms exists in the Paris manuscript BnF 6734. This text was edited by Henri Pognon in the early 20th century. Since then, several articles discussing this work have been published. ${ }^{25}$ Degen, Brock, and Overwien attribute the authorship of
curriculum', Medical History 20(3) (1976): 258.
24. Caroline Magdelaine, 'Histoire du texte et édition critique, traduite et commentée, des Aphorismes d'Hippocrate' (PhD diss., Université de Paris-Sorbonne, 1988). All references in this work to the Greek text of the Aphorisms refer to this edition unless otherwise noted.
25. Four articles in particular should be mentioned: Brock, 'Syriac Background' (already noted above); Rainer Degen, 'Zur syrischen Übersetzung der Aphorismen des Hippokrates', Oriens Christianus no. 62 (1978); Oliver Overwien, ‘The Paradigmatic Translator and His Method: Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq's Translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms from Greek via Syriac into Arabic',
the work to Hunayn on more or less tentative grounds. Many of the examples found in each of these articles make useful contributions. Brock's article is valuable in particular because of the strong evidence it presents for dating the work in question to the early 'Abbāsid period. This reduces the urgency of the question of the specific authorship of the work, since a philological study will still provide valuable information about the state of Syriac medicine in an era broadly contemporaneous to Hunayn. Along with Mimura, however, it is my view that the attribution of the Syriac Aphorisms to Hunayn remains problematic for several reasons.

In dating the work to the 'Abbāsid period, Brock's article makes even more germane Hunayn's account of the translations of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms in the Risāla. This account reads as follows:

```
فح. تفسيره لكتاب الفصول. هذا الكتاب جعله في سبع مقالات. وقد كان ترجمه أيّوب ترجمةً رديئةً ورام جبريل بن
بختيشوع أصلاحه فزاده فساداً فقابلتُ به اليونانيّ وأصلحتُه إصلاحاً شبيهاً بالترجمة وأضفتُ إليه فصٌ كالام بقراط
على حدته وقد كان سـألني أحمد بن محمّد المعروف بابن المدبّر ترجمته له فترجمتُ منه مقالة واحدة إلى العربيّة ثمّ
تقدّم اليّ ألاّ أبتدئ بترجمة مقالة أخرى حتّى يقرأ تلك المقالة التي كنتُ ترجميها وشُُغِل الرجل وانقطعت ترجمـة
    الكتاب فلمّا رأى تلك المقالة محمّد بن موسى سـّلني استتمام الكتاب فترجمتُه آخره. \({ }^{26}\)
```

88. His commentary on the Book of Aphorisms. He rendered this book into seven chapters. Job made a bad translation, and Jibrīl ibn Bukhtīshū̀ sought to improve

Intellectual History of the Islamicate World no. 3 (2015), and Taro Mimura, ‘Comparing Interpretative Notes in the Syriac and Arabic Translations of the Hippocratic Aphorisms', Aramaic Studies no. 14 (2016) (Forthcoming). I am grateful to Mimura for the use of his personal copy.
26. Bergsträsser, Syrische und arabische Galen-Übersetzungen, $\varepsilon$. .
it, but corrupted it further. I then compared it with the Greek and improved it in a way similar to translation. I then added to it the lemmas of Hippocrates' words separately. Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad, known as ibn al-Mudabbir, had asked me to translate it for him, so I translated for him one chapter of it into Arabic. He then directed me not to begin translating another chapter until he had read that chapter that I had translated. Then the man became busy, and the translation of the book was cut off. But when Muḥammad ibn Mūsā saw that chapter, he asked me to complete the book, and so I translated it until the end of it.

Here, we learn that two of Hunayn's contemporaries, Job of Edessa and Jibrīl ibn Bukhtīshū, had produced Syriac versions of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms that Hunayn found inferior. In discussing these other 'Abbāsid-era translators of the Aphorisms into Syriac, Overwien supposed that Ḥunayn's work represented a significant-enough advance over that of his contemporaries to have rendered their work obsolete, resulting in the latter's disappearance. ${ }^{27}$ It seems clear to me, however, that Hunayn's disparaging remarks concerning his contemporaries' translations of Galen's Commentary should not be considered sufficient to prove that only Ḥunayn's version could have survived.

Furthermore, it is easy to imagine scenarios that resulted in the loss only of Hunayn's Syriac translations while those of his competitors continued to exist. Although the events surrounding Hunayn's inquisition and the loss of his library at the hands of the Caliph al-Mutawakkil remain unclear to a significant degree, they do present at least one other plausible avenue for the disappearance of the
27. Overwien, 'Paradigmatic Translator', 162.
translator's Syriac works alongside the normal processes of physical attrition. ${ }^{28}$ I see no reason to presume solely on the basis of the historical evidence that the extant Syriac translation is the work of any one of the three known 'Abbāsid-era Syriac translators of the Aphorisms to the exclusion of the others. For this reason, the question of the authorship of the Syriac Aphorisms must rest on analysis of the text itself.

Textual arguments for and against Hunayn's authorship of the Syriac Aphorisms exist in this literature. Perhaps most importantly, in the introduction to his edition of the work Pognon asserted that the author of the Syriac Aphorisms was a different person from the translator of the Arabic version contained in the manuscript BnF $6734 .{ }^{29}$ In agreement with Mimura I hold that these arguments have not been sufficiently considered in the literature to date. ${ }^{30}$

Pognon cited two points against Henayn's authorship of the Syriac Aphorisms. First, in the editor's judgment the Syriac translation is overly literal, reducing its serviceability to readers who lack knowledge of Greek. This contrasts with the more reader-oriented approach adopted in Hunayn's Arabic translation. ${ }^{31}$ Second, a note consisting of several lines criticizing Galen's
28. For further details on this episode, see Michal Cooperson, 'Two 'Abbāsid Trials: Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq', Al-Qantara. Revista de estudios árabes, 22(2) (2001). In several places in the Risāla Hunayn mentions a disruption of his library. See e.g. Bergsträsser, Syrische und arabische GalenÜbersetzungen, 1.
29. Pognon, Une version syriaque, ii-iii.
30. Mimura, 'Comparing Interpretative Notes', 2-4.
31. Pognon, Une version syriaque, ii.
commentary on aphorism iv. 47 exists in the Syriac text, without corresponding text in the Arabic. Pognon deduced from this that the Syriac and Arabic texts represent two different works, and thus should be considered to have been written by two different translators.

Mimura has shown that a note ascribed to Hunayn in the translator's version of the physician's Commentary on the Aphorisms presents largely the same criticism as that found in the exceptional note in the Syriac translation. ${ }^{32}$ Although there are some important differences between these two texts, this new evidence is likely sufficient to vitiate Pognon's second argument. To confirm or deny Pognon's first line of argumentation regarding perceived discrepancies between the translation techniques of these two versions of the Aphorisms, an extensive if not systematic comparison of the two translations is required. Although the provision of a definite answer to the question of the authorship of the Aphorisms is not the primary end of this thesis, the material presented in this thesis will make a significant contribution to the debate.

Beside the complete text of the Syriac Aphorisms as edited by Pognon, fragments of an earlier Syriac translation of the Aphorisms also exist. Grigory Kessel has discovered and extracted seven of the Hippocratic aphorisms from the text of the so-called 'Syriac Epidemics', a Syriac version of a commentary on the Hippocratic Epidemics. ${ }^{33}$ Kessel tentatively attributes the authorship of these translations to Sergius of Reš 'Aynā. Kessel's comparisons of this version with the
32. Mimura, 'Comparing Interpretative Notes', 15-18.
33. Grigory Kessel, 'The Syriac Epidemics and the Problem of its Identification' in Epidemics in Context, Peter E. Pormann ed., (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2012), 118.
later 'Abbāsid-era translation show significant differences between the two. ${ }^{34}$

## The Major Arabic Translations of the Aphorisms

As the standard Arabic version of the Aphorisms, Hunayn's translation of the work exists in a large number of manuscripts. Three broad categories for the transmission of this translation may be noted. They may be transmitted along with Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms, or along with one of the dozen or so independent Arabic commentaries on the work, or in one of the numerous manuscripts which contain only the Hippocratic work itself, separate from any commentary. Generally the latter should be considered to have been extracted from Galen's Commentary rather than to be fully independent transmissions.

The first modern edition of Hunayn's translation was performed by John Tytler and published in Calcutta in $1834 .{ }^{35}$ This edition was produced from a few Indian manuscripts, which necessarily are less-than-representative of the broader textual tradition of the work. Tytler's edition has now been superseded by Taro Mimura's edition of the Arabic translation of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms, which derives the texts of the lemmas from a diverse set of copies of
34. Grigory Kessel, "'Sergius ar-Ra'sī has Translated it into Syriac, but Poorly"" (paper presented at the conference Medical Translators at Work, Humboldt University, Berlin, March 20-21, 2014). All citations of the early Syriac translations refer to this presentation.
35. John Tytler ed., Kitāb al-Fuṣūl li-Abuqrāt (Calcutta: Committee for Public Instruction, 1832).

Hunayn's version of Galen's work. ${ }^{36}$ Further variations on the texts did occur in the process of the transmission of the several commentaries. Although not represented in Mimura's edition, these tend to be relatively minor, and will only be noted where necessary.

## Al-Bitrīq's Arabic Translation of the Aphorisms and the Arabic Palladius

A different Arabic translation of the Aphorisms has been known to European scholarship at least since the late 19th century. A few score aphorisms derived from this translation are reproduced in the History of Ahmad al-Ya'qūbī. ${ }^{37}$ Manfred Ullmann attributes this translation to a late 8th-century scholar named al-Bitrīq, whose work is known from a few other sources. ${ }^{38}$
36. Taro Mimura ed., Tafsīr Jālı̄nūs li-Fuṣūl Abuqrāt (ARABCOMMAPH/editions/ Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq (tr. Galen)/Galen commentaries books 1-7).
37. Martijn Theodore Houtsma ed., Ta'rīkh ibn $a b \bar{\imath}$ Ya $q$ qūb (Leiden: Brill, 1883), 107-116. Also see Martin Klamroth, 'Über die Auszüge aus griechischen Schriftstellern bei al-Ja'qūbī', Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft no. 40 (1886) for some terminological comparisons between the versions of al-Bitrīq and Ḥunayn. All citations herein of the early Arabic version of books three through seven of the Aphorisms derive from al-Ya'qūbī's History.
38. Al-Bitrīq is believed to have worked under the patronage of the 'Abbāsid Caliph al-Manṣūr (d. 775). His better-known son Yaḥyā ibn al-Biṭrīq was responsible for some early philosophical translations into Arabic. See Hinrich Biesterfeldt, 'Palladius on the Hippocratic Aphorisms' in Libraries of the Neoplatonists, ed. Cristina d'Ancona (Leiden: Brill, 2007), 388-389, as well as D. M. Dunlop, ‘The

A second source for this translation appeared in the late 20th century upon Hinrich Biesterfeldt's discovery of an Arabic translation of a late-Alexandrian commentary on the Aphorisms by a scholar of medicine named Palladius. ${ }^{39}$ Given the identity between the translations of the lemmas in this text and those found in al-Ya'qūbī's History, it is clear that the translator of this commentary and alYa'qūbī at the least drew upon a common source. Although the manuscript presents some difficulties that have delayed its publication, for the purposes of this thesis it provides al-Biṭrīq's translation of the lemmas of the entire first book of the Aphorisms and some of the second. ${ }^{40}$

Translations of al-Bițrīq and Yaḥyā (Yuḥannā) b. al-Biṭrīq', Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society 91(3-4) (1959), and Caroline Magdelaine, 'Le commentaire de Palladius aux Aphorismes d'Hippocrate et les citations d'al-Yáqūbī’, in Storia e Ecdotica dei testi medici, eds. Jacques Jouanna and A. Garzya (Naples: D'Auria, 2003). Manfred Ullmann attributes the authorship of the early translation of the Aphorisms to al-Bitrīq in the intial volume of his Wörterbuch zu den griechischarabischen Übersetzungen des 9. Jahrhunderts (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2002-2007), 52-53. See also idem., 'Die Tadhkira des ibn as-Suwaidi, eine wichtige Quelle zur Geschichte der griechisch-arabischen Medizin und Magie'. Der Islam no. 54 (1977). This work is particularly important for the study of the Aphorisms. In it Ullmann considers several Arabic versions of the Aphorisms, including fragments and later $a d$ hoc renditions outside of those that figure in this thesis.
39. Biesterfeldt, 'Palladius on the Hippocratic Aphorisms', 388-389. This text is lost in the original Greek.
40. Hinrich Biesterfeldt ed., Sharh Kitāb al-Fuṣ̄̄l l-Afidhus (ARABCOMMAPH/

## The Syriac-Arabic Lexicons

In the middle of the 10th century of the Christian era, Hasan bar Bahlul, a scholar and priest who wrote in Syriac and Arabic, composed a Syriac-Arabic lexicon compiled from the work of several older authorities. Edited and published by Rubens Duval in 1901 from several manuscripts, this tome represents one of the main sources for Syriac lexicography in general. ${ }^{41}$ Beyond this general significance for Syriac studies, bar Bahlul's Lexicon is of specific importance for the history of the translation of Greek philosophy into Syriac and Arabic as well. This is due to several characteristics of the Lexicon, first among them bar Bahlul's extensive utilization of Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq's Greek-Syriac-Arabic and SyriacArabic glossography. ${ }^{42}$ Furthermore, bar Bahlul's work preserves very many definitions of key philosophical terms of art that extend well beyond the mere

Hinrich Biesterfeldt Palladius Transcription/Palladius.pdf). This unpublished transcription was kindly provided to the Aphorisms project by the editor. Citations of the early Arabic versions of Aphorisms books one and two derive primarily from this source.
41. Rubens Duval ed., Lexicon Syriacum auctore Hassano Bar-Bahlule (Paris: E. Leroux, 1901).
42. Duval, Lexicon, xi. For a preliminary consideration of the relationship between bar Bahlul's Lexicon and Syriac and Arabic philosophical translation, see Henri Hugonnard-Roche, 'L'intermediaire syriaque dans la transmission de la philosophie grecque à l'arabe: le cas de l'Organon d'Aristote', Arabic Sciences and Philosophy 1(2) (September 1991), 198-200.
listing of synonyms. At times these resemble the entries of an encycopaedia rather than the definitions of a dictionary. These longer entries are regularly written in Syriac, and so are valuable for the study of 'Abbāsid-era Syriac intellectual life.

The Syriac Lexicon of Išo bar 'Ali is also very important for the study both of the Syriac language and of the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement. The author of this lexicon was in all likelihood a student of Hunayn's who flourished in the late 9th century. ${ }^{43}$ In his preface, the author mentions his reliance on the work of Hunayn and another scholar named al-Marwazī, who was himself also a student of Hunayn's. ${ }^{44}$ The first volume, comprising the glosses for the letters alep to mim, was printed from a hand-written transcription prepared by the editor Georg Hoffmann in $1874 .{ }^{45}$ The second half of the work was subsequently edited
43. Aaron Michael Butts, 'The Biography of the Lexicographer Isho' bar 'Ali', Oriens Christianus no. 93 (2009).
44. Butts, 'Biography of the Lexicographer', 59-63. Although as Butts remarks 'it cannot be assumed that any given lemma found in the manuscript tradition is from the hand of Bar 'Ali himself' due to the evidence that later authors supplemented the work, as I will show in several places below significant overlap can often be found between the material in bar Bahlul's and bar 'Ali's lexicons as well as Hunayn's translations of the Aphorisms. As I will argue, these commonalities often should be considered strong evidence that the relevant material is derivative of Hunayn's lexicographical work.
45. Georg Hoffmann ed., Syrische-arabische Glossen: Autograph einer Gothaischen Handschrift enthaltend Bar 'Ali's Lexicon von Alif bis Mim (Kiel: Schwersche Bucchandlung, 1874). The entries in this edition are numbered serially. For
and published in two typeface volumes by Richard J. H. Gottheil. ${ }^{46}$
Due to its more abridged quality, bar 'Ali's Lexicon is of somewhat less significance for the Syriac intellectual history than is bar Bahlul's work. However, as will be shown below, the entries of bar 'Ali's Lexicon may be shown from time to time to represent Hunayn's Arabic translation choices more accurately even than do bar Bahlul's. Other points of interest may be made by citing it in various contexts.

## Aims of the Work

On the basis of this material I propose to undertake an extensive comparison of the terminology of the Syriac and Arabic translations of the Aphorisms. I shall do so from several perspectives in four chapters. In Chapter One, I consider the relationship between the Greek and Syriac lexicography in bar Bahlul's Lexicon on the one hand and the Syriac and Arabic translations of the Hippocratic Aphorisms on the other. In making these comparisons I shall seek to determine two things. As mentioned above, bar Bahlul's Lexicon represents a compilation from several sources. Due to peculiarities in bar Bahlul's manner of citing his sources, which I shall detail below, the exact extent to which his Lexicon relied upon Hunayn's work is unclear. In the comparisons in the first chapter, then, I shall attempt to clarify this question. Following on from this, I shall assess the
citations from this work, I therefore provide the page number followed by the entry number, thusly: 10:1000.
46. Richard Gottheil ed., The Syriac-Arabic Glosses of Isho' bar 'Ali (Rome: Tipografia D. R. Academia dei Lincei, 1908-1928).
value of relevant Greek and Syriac lexicography for the study of Greek-to-Arabic translation.

In Chapter Two, I proceed to a more detailed treatment of Hunayn's translation techniques. I do this by focusing on his renditions of Greek words which in effect represent themselves in the Syriac Aphorisms. As I show, Syriac and Arabic adopted very different approaches to borrowing from the Greek. While such borrowing occurs relatively frequently in the Syriac version, it is extremely rare in the Arabic translation. The efforts made by Hunayn to avoid borrowing sometimes resulted in Arabic translations that explicate the sense of the Greek term in ways that can be creative and that shed light upon his translation praxis. Considering this category of terms also allows for the Greek lexicography contained in bar Bahlul's Lexicon to be more thoroughly considered.

In Chapters Three and Four I proceed to compare the four translations of the Aphorisms described above in the light of the 'Abbāsid-era scholarly background as represented by the Syriac lexicons. Although these comparisons are organized around the more attenuated remains of the early Syriac and Arabic versions, by continuing to employ the methods of lexicographical comparison I regularly extend the discussions to consider the whole body of the Aphorisms. Although there is no strict division of subject-matter beyond this, Chapter Three tends to consider more strictly medical terminology, in particular disease-names, while Chapter Four tends more to treat theoretical and philosophical terminology. Finally, on the basis of these discussions, I consider the importance of Syriac sources for the main Arabic translations and the conclusions that may be drawn from them for the study of the broader Greek-to-Arabic translation movement.

## PART ONE

# THE SYRIAC LEXICON OF BAR BAHLUL AND THE SYRIAC AND ARABIC TRANSLATIONS OF THE HIPPOCRATIC APHORISMS 

## CHAPTER ONE

## On the general relationship between bar Bahlul's Lexicon and the Syriac and Arabic translations of the Aphorisms

As discussed in the introduction, the lexicon of Hasan bar Bahlul is a very important source for the study of the history of Greek translation in the early 'Abbāsid period. This work, compiled from several Syriac-Arabic lexicons, contains a large number of glosses written by some of the most important translators of philosophical and scientific works into Syriac and Arabic, including Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq and Sergius of Reš 'Aynā among several others. Beyond Syriac, Arabic, and Greek, many terms of Hebrew and Persian origin are defined in the Lexicon. ${ }^{47}$ Religion, theology, philosophy, medicine, and botany are only some of the subjects covered by the entries in the work.
47. In the examples presented throughout the thesis, I have adopted the convention of referring to this work by the column and line of the entry presented, written in the text itself according to the following format: for $100: 1$, read column 100, line one. Citations from bar 'Ali's Lexicon will be given in the footnotes in the normal way.

## Approaches to the lexicographical material

In his pathbreaking study The Oriental Tradition of Paul of Aegina's Pragmateia, ${ }^{48}$ Peter E. Pormann developed techniques useful for the analysis of the entries of bar Bahlul's Lexicon. At the same time he clarified certain difficulties concerning the use of the Lexicon as a source for the translation movement. ${ }^{49}$
48. Peter E. Pormann, The Oriental Tradition of Paul of Aegina's Pragmateia (Leiden: Brill, 2004).
49. Some of the conventions used in this work originate in Pormann's study, such as that of typing the translations of the Syriac elements of entries in plain face but the Arabic elements in italics. See Pormann, Oriental Tradition, 16 n. 20. Beyond these, I have introduced some new approaches. In Duval's edition, the first headword of every entry is set off in bold font from the rest of the entry's text. Because of this arrangement, at first glance it would appear that each entry defines solely the initial headword set off from the text in this manner, but this is not in fact the case. Although in general all of the headwords found under a given entry will be related to one another linguistically, strong and unpredictable variation in the authorship and subject matter of the entries is commonplace. In order to make this clear, I have placed all headwords in bold font regardless of their position in the entry. It is appropriate to mention here some further terminological distinctions concerning the Lexicon. In bar Bahlul's Lexicon, every entry consists of a headword or a series of headwords, each of which is given a definition. With only very rare exceptions, all of the headwords are written in

Pormann's approach to the lexicographical material centred upon the identification of entries in the Lexicon defining terms derived from Greek and containing material attributed by bar Bahlul to Paul of Aegina, a 7th-century Alexandrian scholar of medicine whose work was important for the early Arabic medical tradition. Pauline material occurs with some frequency in the Lexicon, and furthermore the existence of Immanuel Löw's list of entries containing definitions attributed to Paul allowed Pormann to consider a significant number of terms. ${ }^{50}$

Despite sound beginnings, extension of Pormann's methods to the study of the material relevant to the broader translation movement has required some reconsideration of the editorial state of the Lexicon. In particular, an important oversight of the editor Duval's has made approaching the work somewhat difficult for succeeding generations of scholars. Duval made an impressive effort to locate and identify Greek terms in the lexicon. However, his index of Greek words remained arranged according to the place of the occurrence of the word in the lexicon, rather than being ordered alphabetically. This type of arrangement is not found in the following Syriac, Arabic, and Persian indices, which are alphabetically ordered. For several reasons, including the character of Syriac transliteration of Greek words, often severe variations between conventions of transliteration, scribal errors, and the relatively common placement of several Greek headwords within a single entry, systematic use of the Greek index in this state is impossible.

Syriac characters, no matter the language of origin of the word in question.
50. Immanuel Löw, 'Review of R. Payne Smith, Thesaurus Syriacus', Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft no. 47 (1893).

For this reason I have made an alphabetized list of the words represented in Duval's Greek index, which will be provided in an appendix to the present work. In the process I noticed that Duval's cross-referencing of his entries was not complete, so I have completed that task as a matter of course. Furthermore, alongside the Greek words for which Duval questioned his own attribution and those for which he did not hazard a guess, there is still ample room in my view to question and contest several of the attributions he made firmly. This is true especially when evidence from extant translations can be adduced, some examples of which I will give below.

## Comparing the lexicographical material and the translations

With Duval's Greek index now systematically accessible, I have proceeded to identify words which occur both in this index and in the Greek text of the Hippocratic Aphorisms. Although numerous Greek words identified by Duval in bar Bahlul's Lexicon are also present in the Aphorisms, these represent a definite minority of the words occurring in the latter work. Only about a third of the Greek words beginning with alpha in the Hippocratic work are also defined in the Lexicon. In systematically considering these entries, furthermore, this proportion suffers attrition due to various reasons. Some of these result from Duval's identifications, which at times are little more than guesses (as the editor regularly noted himself). Others may be proved incorrect with closer scrutiny, although this is relatively rare.

Studying the rendition of these words in the Syriac translation of the Aphorisms, I have separated out numerous terms in represented in bar Bahlul's Lexicon that are in effect minimally Syriacized borrowings from Greek. These
terms constitute the subject matter of Chapter Two below. Before proceeding to that material, however, in order to aid understanding of the relationship between the Lexicon and the translations in general, I wish to present entries for a range of Greek words that are rendered in the Syriac Aphorisms without recourse to the use of a borrowed Greek term. The material in the present chapter thus represents Greek words present in both the Aphorisms and bar Bahlul's Syriac Lexicon that begin with the letter alpha. Although this is something of an arbitrary selection, it has the advantage of providing a glimpse of the role of Greek scholarship in the translation of a range of concepts, including technical and non-technical words, and a variety of linguistic forms.

In considering this material I have focused particularly upon the relationships between the material in the lexicons and the translation equivalents given in the Syriac and Arabic versions of the Aphorisms. I have often supplemented these sources by reference to the translations cited in Manfred Ullmann's Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen des 9. Jahrhunderts. ${ }^{51}$ These relationships can be used to provide firm answers to
51. This lexicon provides extensive comparisons of the various techniques adopted by classical Arabic translators of Greek works. Ordered in the first instance according to the Greek alphabet, the terms to be treated are presented within their textual context along with the corresponding Arabic sentences in various translations. In what follows, I cite this work regularly for several different reasons. Perhaps most commonly, I use it to supplement the evidence from the early Arabic translation of the Aphorisms. Also, I refer to it in order to show the relationships obtaining between bar Bahlul's Lexicon and the broader translation literature. Where relevant, I discuss the characteristics of the various translations
several outstanding questions regarding the role of Syriac in the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement.

Some of these questions are: How did Hunayn use Syriac in the production of his Arabic translations? How important was the Syriac scholarship undertaken prior to Hunayn, both for his Arabic and for his Syriac translations? Upon what methods did Hunayn's and other Syriac authors' lexicographical scholarship proceed? To what extent was this lexicography representative of or influential for the Arabic translations, and to what extent did the translators rely upon their glossaries in the production of the translations? Conversely, how well do the later compilations of bar Bahlul and bar 'Ali represent earlier stages of the translation movement? A fundamental question may be said to follow on the concern of Jourdain's quoted at the beginning of the Introduction: Was the Arabic translation of the Aphorisms performed on the basis of the original Greek text or rather on the basis of Hunayn's Syriac translation of the work?

Beyond the various characteristics that make it valuable for historical research into Greek-to-Arabic translation, bar Bahlul's Lexicon contains much material that well displays the independent reasoning and specific combinations of influence that gave 'Abbāsid intellectual life its unique cast. For this reason, I have tended to give broad space to the entries rather than to restrict my treatments of them only to those elements which can positively be shown to relate directly to the translation movement. In doing so, I hope to demonstrate the value of this material beyond the confines of Graeco-Arabic studies, particularly for Syriac studies and 'Abbāsid intellectual history more generally.

The authorship of the entries of the Lexicon
cited there.

Alongside these considerations, the fact that bar Bahlul included definitions written by several authors in his lexicon alongside his own material presents the student of the Lexicon with certain difficulties. ${ }^{52}$ One goal of presenting the examples that follow is roughly to judge the frequency with which each author is referenced in the Lexicon, and to notice any patterns that the citations of individual authors follow. These tasks might seem straightforward at first, but they are complicated by the peculiar features of bar Bahlul's approach to referencing his authors. In particular, many of the definitions are not referred to any particular author. Yet these may not be assumed to have been written by bar Bahlul himself, for he writes in his prologue: 'For most of the terms contained in this lexicon whose author is not indicated, the text in them belongs to our teacher (rabban) Hunayn, ${ }^{53}$ For this reason I regularly refer to unattributed definitions as 'attributable to Hunayn', by which I intend to indicate the possibility that Hunayn did in fact write them. The degree of certainty with which any given unattributed definition may be attributed to Hunayn will be an important motif running through the entirety of this work.

Even for Henanišo bar Serošway, an author whose name is more
52. For a full account of these authors, see the introduction to the edition of bar Bahlul's Lexicon, Duval, Lexicon, xiii-xxiv.
53. Duval, Lexicon Syriacum, xi. Ḥunayn's glossary at one time existed as an independent work, known as Puššāq Šmāhē ‘The Interpretations of Names’. See Ute Pietruschka, 'Puššāq šmāhē' und 'sullam': Mehrsprachige Wörterbücher bei Syrern und Kopten im arabischen Mittelalter', Das Mittelalter no. 2 (1997).
consistently referenced by bar Bahlul (although about whom little more is known), certain complications arise from bar Bahlul's account. Again in the prologue, bar Bahlul writes of this author: 'Henanišo' bar Serošway, the priest of Hirtā, whose lexicon is especially accurate, and fulfills Hunayn the physician, ${ }^{54}$ Following one possible interpretation of this statement, one might expect bar Serošway's material to overlap considerably with Hunayn's, thus providing further insight into the more-famous translator's glossographical activity. On the other hand, if bar Serošway's 'fulfillment' of Hunayn means primarily that the former writer tended to provide new information not mentioned by Hunayn, little insight into Hunayn's work will be forthcoming from bar Serošway's glosses (although they will still provide interesting insight into 10th-century Syriac scholarship).

The situation regarding the material attributed by bar Bahlul to Paul of Aegina is perhaps even more confused. Pormann tentatively confirmed testimony in classical Arabic sources that Paul's Pragmateia was translated into Arabic by Hunayn by considering the extant texts of Paul's writing and certain entries attributed to Paul in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. ${ }^{55}$ In the comparisons of the entries of Paul and Hunayn I make in the following chapters, two trends emerge that potentially complicate this narrative. In particular, in key instances the Arabic material attributed by bar Bahlul to Paul diverges significantly both from that

[^0]55. This issue is discussed in several places in Pormann's book. For the traditional ascription of the authorship of the Pragmateia to Hunayn, see Oriental Tradition, 5. For summaries of the lexical and grammatical evidence, see ibid., 218-219 and 221 , respectively.
attributed to Heunayn and from Ḥunayn's Arabic translations. Consideration of this material thus has the potential to extend our understanding of the Syriac-toArabic version of Paul's glossography.

Despite all of these complexities, as I hope to show below, the Syriac lexicons produced by Ḥunayn's students and successors provide a wealth of interesting material that in general is highly relevant to the history of the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement. Continual reference to these entries provides valuable information regarding the scholarly background of these translations. At the same time it adds flesh to the otherwise spare remnants of the Syriac component of the process.

## Greek lexicography and Syriac lexicography

By 'Greek lexicography', I intend the definitions of Greek words that either occur in the translations of the Aphorisms or that are related to them. By 'Syriac lexicography' I intend the entries in the lexicons for the Syriac equivalents of the Greek words as given in the Syriac Aphorisms. As a principle of organization, I have chosen to present the material according to the varying relationships observable between the Greek lexicography for a given word and Hunayn's translations of that word in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms.

In each section, I study several words in terms of the complex of lexicographical treatments and translation equivalents represented in the Syriac and Arabic sources described in the Introduction. In the first section, I discuss words for which the relevant Greek-to-Arabic definitions in bar Bahlul's Lexicon agree better with Hunayn's Arabic translation equivalents in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms than do the Syriac-to-Arabic definitions in the Lexicon for the

Syriac equivalents of those Greek words as given in the Syriac Aphorisms. In the second, I present words whose Syriac-to-Arabic definitions agree better with Hunayn's choices in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms than do the Greek-toArabic definitions in the Lexicon. In the third, I present words for which the Syriac and Greek definitions agree with Hunayn's Arabic choices to a more-orless equal degree.

## Syriac and Arabic translations of Greek words beginning with alpha in the Hippocratic Aphorisms, with reference to their scholarly background

## Section One

As noted above, this first set of examples consists of Greek words whose entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon agree more strongly with Hunayn's Arabic translations of these words in the Aphorisms than do the entries in the Lexicon for the words' Syriac equivalents as represented in the Syriac Aphorisms. This may be either because the Greek word is well-represented in the lexicons, or simply because the Syriac equivalent in the Aphorisms is absent from the lexicons entirely. In order to consider these complexes of definitions and translation equivalents, I begin by providing entries from bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Greek word. I then proceed to discuss the various patterns observable in the renditions of that word in the several translations. Following this I consider the entries for the relevant Syriac words. These discussions will be supplemented by citations from other sources where appropriate.

## $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$


Amārṭānêṭ̂e, they erred (hṭaw), they erred (akhṭaw).

Forms of the verb $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ and the related noun $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ occur three times in the Hippocratic Aphorisms, all of them in aphorism i. 5. In each instances both alBiṭī̄q's and Heunayn's Arabic translations employ words derived from khati'a 'to be mistaken' to translate these Greek words, while the Syriac translation gives words derived from skal 'to make a mistake'. Regarding the second instance in the text there is some variation between the modern editions of the Greek original. Magdelaine includes this word, but Jones does not. Likewise in the translations under consideration here there is variation. Both Hunayn and the Arabic Palladius include this instance of the word, but the Syriac translation does not.

The Arabic and Syriac definitions given for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in the entry cited above from bar Bahlul's Lexicon, hṭaw and ikhtaw, are etymologically related to one another and communicate broadly the same meaning, i. e. 'they erred'. While the Arabic gloss for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is related to the Arabic translations of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \omega$ in the Aphorisms, the Syriac gloss is not related to the equivalent found in the Syriac translation. Proceeding to consider the definitions in the lexicons for these two Syriac words, it is relatively clear that $h+\bar{a}$ was more commonly associated with khati'a than was skal by the lexicographers. Here is an entry headed by the related word saklā 'fool':




Saklā according to bar Serošway, a fool, also an ignoramus, and I say senseless, erroneous, a simpleton. Sakklē I say, simpletons. A fool for (all) his learning. Folly (saklut̄ā), iniquity (masklānut̄a), in a manuscript offense (isā̄), and according to our teacher offense (isā̄a). Iniquity (masklānutā) is through oppression and through injustice and rapine, and (according to) others the like of this, ignorance, sin, offense.

The following three entries define words related to the Syriac equivalent httaw given in bar Bahlul's entry at 181:18:
739:14 بنها اخطأ. نهِهِ يخطي"
$H t ̣ \bar{a}$, he made a mistake (akhṭa'a). Hte $\bar{e}$, he is making a mistake (yukhṭī).




It $H t ̣ a ̄ h a \bar{a}$, mistake (khaṭa'). Hبtitīa, lapse (khaṭịa), and according to Zakariya, sin (dhanb). (According to) bar Serošway sacrifices are called $h t \in \bar{a} h \bar{a}$ because they are offered on account of sins, as if I had eaten [them]. Hṭ $\bar{a} h \bar{a}$ yawmāna, faults of the
56. Duval: $\mathbb{I} \mathbb{A}$ • The form used here in Duval's edition can only mean 'such as a cloak' (ayk d-gult $\bar{a})$, so I have amended the text.
time (khațī̉a al-zamān). Sins ( $h t \in \bar{a} h \bar{e})$ by which rational creatures are seized are expressed in three ways- for either they sin by means of words, such as lying, slander, and other accusations, or by acts like murder, fornication, and theft, or by thoughts which constantly excite pride, wrath, and avarice- just as the powers of the soul are three: reason, will, and appetite.






Hetyānā, sins (dhunūb), and according to bar Serošway, hetyānā, sins, errors. Hetyānā in the Book of Paradise, $\sin ($ htitita $\bar{a})$, and less regularly as a masculine it is $h t ̣ \bar{a} h \bar{e}$. Hetiț $\bar{a}$ is profit benefiting little (but) causing lengthy suffering, and is by the law condemned, error. Sinfulness (hatāyutā) is a multitude of things, (all of) which trangress a commandment. This name generally comprises as a class all types of transgressions, both those that relate to God and those that relate to mankind, error. Sin (htiti $\bar{a})$, this is a class that (includes) all blameworthy acts that transgress the law established in nature. In the Book of Paradise, error. The sinner (hatāy $\bar{a}$ ) is one whose will is prepared at all times to accomplish evil, sinner (al-khāṭī).

Both the Arabic translations and the evidence from bar Bahlul diverge significantly from the Syriac translation of the Aphorisms. However, the Syriac entries contain material that qualifies this discrepancy to a certain extent. Although the authors did not include any word related to khati'a under the entry
for $s a k k \bar{a}$, it is relatively clear that the sense of that Arabic verb overlaps with those of both of these Syriac words (as does the Greek itself). This somewhat broader sense of khati'a may be contrasted with the apparently narrower idiomatic sense of the related Syriac word $h t \bar{a}$, which according to the evidence in the Lexicon has a strongly legal connotation. Perhaps prompted by the close etymological relationship between ht $\bar{a}$ and khati'a, the Syriac lexicographers preferred to associate these two terms with one another, while tending less to associate the latter with saklā. When faced with a secular sense of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ such as those which occur in the Aphorisms, however, the broader sense of khati a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ allowed it to be employed in translation, while the narrower sense of $h t \bar{a}$ could have suggested the choice of a different word.

It is nonetheless the case that the Greek entry relates more strongly to Hunayn's Arabic translation than does the entry for the equivalent given in the Syriac Aphorisms. Given that the definitions of the Greek word as well as many of the definitions of the Syriac word are left unattributed and are thus attributable to Ḥunayn, this discrepancy would seem to constitute evidence, albeit heavily qualified, against Hunayn's authorship of the Syriac version. Besides this, it should be noted that the entry for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ~ c l e a r l y ~ r e f e r s ~ t o ~ t h e ~ G r e e k ~ l a n g u a g e ~}$ and not to a Syriac loan-word from Greek. However, while Duval did follow faithfully the transcription of the entry in his identification of the Greek term as the second-person plural imperfect, the Arabic and Syriac definitions are clearly third-person plural perfect forms. This would appear to indicate a weakness in the Greek lexicography, or at least in its transmission.

## $\ddot{\alpha} v v \delta \rho o \varsigma$

Anyudros, bar Serošway, this is lacking water, lacking water.

In aphorism iii. 14, ävvס $\rho o s$ is used to characterize the season of autumn. Hunayn's Arabic translates it with yābis 'dry', while the Syriac gives gliz men metrā 'deprived of rain'. The previous three aphorisms also describe various seasons as dry, but employ the Greek word aúxuך $\rho$ ós instead. The only occurrences of that word in the work are in those three aphorisms, and it is not represented in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. The Syriac version's translations of these three are identical to its translation of $\alpha \not v v \delta \rho o \varsigma$, i. e. gliz men metrā 'deprived of rain'. Hunayn's Arabic, however, differentiates between the two, translating $\alpha u ̉ \chi \mu \eta \rho o ́ s$ with qal̄̄l min al-matar 'having little rain' in each case. Hunayn's interpretation would seem to be that $\alpha \not v v \delta \rho o \varsigma$ describes a more extreme condition than does $\alpha \dot{\jmath} \chi \mu \eta \rho o ́ \varsigma$. This distinction is absent from the Syriac version.

Bar Serošway's entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon displays bi-lingual equivalence, but neither the Arabic nor the Syriac translations of the Aphorisms are reflected in it explicitly. However, none of the Syriac lexicography contains any material that could be considered relevant at all. Some of these entries run as follows:

494:23 >>ر يمنع"
Gālez, he obstructs.

496:2
Glizutāa, nonexistence, loss. Glizā, according to Zakariya one lost, one wanting.

Gālozā, preventing, and I say negative (al-sālib)... Glizā, nonexistent, forbidden.

Although the definitions for these Syriac words have certain elements in common with the Greek entry, nothing in them gives any specific insight into Hunayn's Arabic translation of the term in the Aphorisms. Perhaps this is because the concision of the Greek negating prefix $\alpha$ - was only carried over into the Syriac translation by means of an extended phrase rather than a single word, thus making its representation in the lexicographical literature less straightforward. While none of the translations from the Aphorisms are represented in the entry for the Greek word, it does makes the sense clear in a general way, and so better relates to Heunayn's Arabic translation than do the Syriac entries. Thus it may be said that, for Greek words which as a rule were translated into Syriac by means of phrases rather than by single equivalents, it can sometimes be difficult to point to a clearly relevant correspondence in the Syriac lexicography.

Again in this case, we observe important discrepancies in interpretation between Hunayn's Arabic version and the Syriac translation. Thus, despite the above qualifications, it is entirely possible that the Syriac lexicography does not represent Hunayn's translation for the simple fact that Hunayn preferred a different word in his Syriac version. It also is possible that the discrepancy is due to the fact that Hunayn relied only upon the Greek text in his composition of his Arabic version of the Aphorisms.

ه:ذَ محـمدا توليد لا اصل له٪:

Awtomoton in a manuscript, of its own accord, by itself (min dhātih), of its own causing (min qibal nafsih). (In) others, awtomatos, by itself, of its own accord (min tilqā nafsih). According to bar Serošway, chance, born without any cause (tawlīd lā aṣla lah).

58:1 \$0مهمدلهم, من قبل نفسه"
Awtomāton, of its own causing (min qibal nafsih).



Awtāmatisțo, ${ }^{57}$ according to Ḥunayn, those who held to the doctrine of the school of Epicurus, saying that everything occurs by chance, proceeds without forethought, and begins awtomaton, that is, of its own accord. It means everything that has no cause, but occurs in people spontaneously by itself (iqtidā’ min dhātih).

Aṭomāton in a manuscript, chance ( $\check{e}$ egma $\bar{a}$ ), that is, something that occurs of its own accord (men ṣbot nefešeh). According to Sergius, indivisible. That which occurs by itself without being connected to anything else (ghayr munfaṣil shay'
57. Duval identifies this as a transcription of the Greek av̉тo $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i$. Discussion of the word $\alpha$ vitó $\mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ follows, but the entire entry is of interest.
minhum), occurring of its own accord. (According to) others, that which occurs by itself, is eternal, or is of its own accord.

The adjective $\alpha$ v̇兀ó $\mu \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma$ occurs six times in the Aphorisms in various forms. The Syriac version translates all of them according to the same general rule, using some form of men spbot nefešeh 'of its own accord' in each case. Hunayn's Arabic on the other hand shows marked variation. Thrice it gives a form of min tilqa ${ }^{\prime}$ nafsihi 'of its own accord'. The other three cases are each translated uniquely according to the translator's understanding of the sense of the text. Thus in aphorism i. 2 taw'an 'spontaneously' is found, in ii. 5 alladh $\bar{\imath}$ lā yu rafu lahu sabab 'that which has no known cause' is given, and in iv. 78 an ghayri shay' mutaqaddim 'without anything preceding' is employed.

Two examples of translations of this word are found in the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms. Both instances translate with taw'an 'spontaneously', including its version of aphorism i. 2. Here, Hunayn's version and the early Arabic version bear at least a superficial resemblance to one another. Ullmann notices other variations as well. In one example from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book 10 , min tilq $\bar{a}$ ' nafsih $\bar{\imath}$ 'of its own accord' is used. In another example from Galen's On the Properties of Foodstuffs, the phrase min nafsih̄ 'of itself' is employed. ${ }^{58}$ Finally, the translation of Aristotle's History of Animals employs another different form, $\min$ dhātihā 'of itself', in the context of the description of a disease. ${ }^{59}$
58. For a detailed analysis of the various Syriac and Arabic translations of this work, see Pormann, 'The Development of Translation Techniques', passim.
59. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den grechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 148.

Of these various translations, all except taw'an 'spontaneously' and min nafsihī 'of itself' are represented in the Greek entries in the Lexicon. Several prominent definitions remain absent from the literature surveyed here, however, in particular the Arabic min qibali nafsihī 'of its own causing', which stands by itself in the entry at 58:1, and the Syriac šegmā 'chance'. A definition for $s \underline{b} \underline{b} \underline{t}$ nefešeh also occurs in bar Bahlul's Lexicon:
1653:1 رحها هصهه هَ مـ رحها رهمه حَمن تلقاء نفسه٪".

Şblot nefešeh ('its own accord'), this is of its own accord (men ṣbot nefešeh). In a manuscript, of its own accord (min tilqā' nafsih).

An entry for the Syriac equivalent $\check{\text { segma }} \bar{a}$ in bar Bahlul's Lexicon reads as follows:


Šegmā, unintelligible, false. I say as it has been agreed upon, negligent.

Another in bar 'Ali's Lexicon gives some more relevant information:

Šegma, without discrimination, unrestrictedly, without measure. Unintelligible, also false, at random.
60. Gottheil ed., Syriac-Arabic Glosses, II 411:3

The robust combination of lexicographical equivalents and theoretical discussion in the Greek entries may be contrasted with the slightness of the Syriac entries. The most common Arabic equivalent for $\alpha$ vitó $\mu \alpha \tau o \zeta ̧$ in the Aphorisms does appear in the Syriac entry for $\underline{s b} \underline{b} \underline{\underline{t}}$ nefešeh. However, both that equivalent and the translation given in Ullmann's citation of the Arabic History of Animals occur in the Greek entries. As well, several more detailed explanations of the term in the Greek entries are quite similar to Hunayn's explicating translations cited above, although they are not perfectly identical to them. As in the case of the translations of $\alpha v v \delta \rho o s$ in the previous section, it may be that the most obvious explanation for these differences is that the standard Syriac equivalent for the Greek term $\alpha$ vitó $\mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ was a prepositional phrase as opposed to a single word. Whatever the reason for this, the strong variation between Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac version constitutes evidence against the famous translator's authorship of the latter work.

## Section Two

This section includes words for which the Syriac lexicography of bar Bahlul's Lexicon agrees better with Hunayn's Arabic translations than does the Greek lexicography.
2.1

## $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta o ́ \varsigma /\langle\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} / \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \theta \tilde{v}$

Agaťya, good (khayr), good (ṣāliḥ), good (khayra), good (ṣāliḥa).

Agataus, good (ṣāliḥ).
24:8 ذليo أب ح: ه:ة هحا خير"

Aghew according to bar Serošway good $(t \operatorname{t} \bar{a} \underline{b} \bar{a}), \operatorname{good}($ khayr $)$.

Agātos according to our teacher, good ( $(t \bar{a} \underline{b})$, and according to bar Serošway good (al-khayr), piety (al-tuqā̄), good (al-ṣāliḥ).

Forms of this adjective occurs numerous times in the Aphorisms. In general, Hunayn's Arabic translations of the word display a higher degree of variation than do the Syriac translations. The Syriac invariably translates with a form of either $t \bar{t} \bar{b} \underline{b}$ 'good' or šappir 'fine'. Although the most common word used by Hunayn is mahmīd 'praiseworthy' in its several forms, he employs other terms and phrases as well.

A certain division of technique occurs in some of Hunayn's Arabic translations of this word. For several instances of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ós that occur in the first four books of the Aphorisms, Hunayn added the word 'alāmatun 'sign' to the translation without there being any corresponding Greek word in the sourcetext. ${ }^{61}$ However, in several instances from the second half of the work, the
61. For example, in aphorism ii. 2, where he translated $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ óv used as a predicate adjective with the phrase fa-tilka 'alāmatun ṣāliḥa 'then that is a good sign'.
synonomous term dal̄l$l$ is found in a similar fashion. ${ }^{62}$ The latter examples also display a strong tendency toward grammatical extension, especially in vi. 11, where five words are used to translate the single Greek adjective. While we do know from his Risāla that Hunayn translated Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms into Arabic in multiple stages, ${ }^{63}$ the variation between these translations of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ós in the first and second halves of the Hippocratic lemmas does not fit with the details of that account. ${ }^{64}$

The Greek lexicography does not extend very far beyond the listing of
62. For example, in aphorism vi. 11, where the bare predicate adjective $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ óv is translated kāna dhālika dalı̄lan maḥmūdan fīhim 'that is a praiseworthy indication for them'.
63. Hunayn writes that he translated the first book of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms for one patron, and subsequently was asked to translate the rest for another. Bergsträsser ed., Syrische und arabische Galen-Übersetzungen, $\varepsilon$. .
64. The division defined by these translations of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ó $\varsigma$ occurs after the fourth book. The last occurrence of the word 'alämatun in translations of that Greek word is found in aphorism iv. 25 , following occurrences of it in aphorisms ii. 2 and ii. 33 . The first occurrence of the word dal̄$l$ in these translations is in aphorism vi. 11, following which it is also found in aphorisms vi. 37 , vii. 5 , vii. 41 , and vii. 49 . Hunayn's account of his Arabic translation of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms states that he translated the first section in one stage, then at a later stage translated the rest of the work. Since this account refers to Galen's Commentary and not necessarily to the lemmas themselves, it may not be of great relevance to this study in any respect. The pattern observed here clearly does not derive from the periodical translation mentioned in Hunayn's Risāla.
synonyms. While the Syriac definition $t \bar{c} \underline{a} \bar{a} \bar{a}$ is also the most common translation in the Aphorisms, the Arabic definitions show very little overlap with Hunayn's Arabic translations of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ Ós. A form of the word sāliḥ 'sound' does translate $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ós once, in aphorism ii. 2. Although the word khayr 'good' does not translate $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ós in the Aphorisms, the latter word is noted by Ullmann in quotations from the Arabic Sentences of Menander. ${ }^{65}$

A definition relevant to the Syriac equivalent $t \bar{a} \underline{\bar{b}}$ reads as follows:

Ṭābūa, good (khayr), good (ṣāliḥ), good (jawād). (According to) Zakariya, the choice part of something. Tāb̄ut̄ā, goodness (jūda), goodness (khayrūra), a good condition (șalāḥ). Ṭābu, good (jayyid). Tāab, that which is very good (mā aḥsanu wa yakūnu jiddan).

In these Syriac-to-Arabic definitions, Hunayn's translation choices in the Aphorisms are better represented. Although his preferred equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ós, maḥmūd, is noticeably still absent, the prominence of jayyid and related words make the Syriac lexicography more fully representative of Hunayn's translation technique than the Greek lexicography.
2.2

## $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\gamma}$

65. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 65.

24:12信
 Agoga, drain, drain of water, channel. Agog $\bar{a}$, according to bar Serošway a rivulet, a rivulet, path of water. Again, pipes, ducts. Agogē according to Hunayn channels (bib̄ $\bar{e}$ ), also written bubyā, pipes, a channel. Al-Marwazī adds ducts of water, also a drain pipe, a channel. Agogē, ducts of water, drains, channels of water. Agog $\bar{a}$ wa-qādinā (channels and watercourses) according to bar Serošway, channels and cisterns ${ }^{67}$ in the earth.

A form of this Greek word occurs a single time in the Aphorisms. In aphorism v. 28 , the phrase $\gamma$ voaıквi $\omega v$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma$ òv 'the flow of women', in the sense of menstruation, was translated by Hunayn with al-dam alladh $\bar{\imath}$ yaj $\bar{\imath}{ }^{\prime}$ min al-nisa ${ }^{\prime}$ 'the blood that comes forth from women', and by the Syriac translator with $d m \bar{a}$ nešāy $\bar{a}$ 'the blood of the woman'. Both of these translations make explicit the information implicit in the Greek phrase, although they are stylistically distinct.
66. Duval writes here the single word $ـ^{ـ}$;_m (adding [sic]), following three manuscripts. Two other manuscripts give the reading I have employed, the sense of which is much easier.
67. Although Freytag gives definitions for this word, none of them fit the sense needed here. I proceed on the conjecture that this form al-makhārīq is a plural of the word al-makhraq, defined by Freytag lapis in cisternae fundo e quo aqua emittur, but for which he gives no plural form.

An entry for the Syriac term $d m \bar{a}$ reads like this:



حمه هـختا الامه
Dma , blood, a wet and hot humour whose form derives properly from the element of air. It comes to be in the liver (aytaw $b-\underline{k} a \underline{b} d \bar{a}$ ) and its power is in the entire body, blood (al-dam).

Although the relationships between the translations and the Lexicon are obscured by the fact that both the Greek original and the translations employ phrases to represent the concept in question, the supposedly Greek entry in fact refers to an etymologically-Greek Syriac term that has undergone significant modification in the latter tongue. This hints at a broader problem facing the study of the influence of Greek upon Syriac literature and philosophy. While a large number of borrowings from Greek into Syriac occurred, the conceptual ranges of these borrowings may differ significantly from those of their Greek antecedents. Although there is no specific entry for the equivalent Syriac phrase, the entry for $d m \bar{a}$ thus relates better to Hunayn's Arabic translation than does the entry for $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$.
2.3

## aidoĩov

602:12 oiouia م, رهحتا القضيب من الفحله

Hayêon, penises, the penis of the male.

Forms of this word occur twice in the Aphorisms. A distinct translation is used in each of these instances both in Hunayn's Arabic version and in the Syriac translation. In aphorism iii. 21, for the phrase $\sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon \delta$ óv $\varepsilon \varsigma \alpha i \delta o i ́ \omega v$ 'mortification of the genitals', Hunayn used 'afan fì al-furūh 'putrification in the vulva' while the Syriac version gives masyut $\bar{a} \underline{d} a \underline{b}-m a h ̣ s a \bar{a} \bar{e}$ 'decay in the private parts'. For the second occurrence, in aphorism v. 22, Hunayn gives al-faraj 'vulva' while the Syriac gives qanyā 'penis'.

The difference of interpretation between the Arabic and Syriac versions in aphorism v. 22 is striking. Whereas the Greek term may refer to the private parts of either gender, both the Arabic and Syriac terms employed here have etymological associations which clearly specify to which gender they refer: alfaraj literally means 'breach', while qanyā means 'rod'. This discrepancy thus is a further piece of evidence that the two translations were written by different authors.

The Greek entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon does not correspond with the translations of the Aphorisms, especially given that Hunayn preferred to interpret $\alpha$ iooiov with reference to female rather than male anatomy in both instances of the word in that work. An entry for the Syriac term mahsāne is to be found in the Lexicon as follows:

Mahsānaw d-Mo'ab naq un, this is the loins of Moāb cry, shout. ${ }^{68}$ Mahsā̄nē w$e z b \bar{e}$ ('the loins and the genitals') according to bar Serošway, the hairy area
68. Isaiah 15:4.
around the loins, (al-ḥālibān al-azabb), ${ }^{69}$ the hirsute loins. Sipwātē $\underline{d}$-mahsānēe ('lips of the loins') in the Book of Paradise, they say the lower part of the abdomen, pubes, vulva (al-faraj), ureters.

Although its attribution to Hunayn is somewhat difficult given its occurrence in close proximity to a Syriac definition from the Book of Paradise, the Arabic equivalent al-faraj does occur in this entry. Thus the Syriac entry better corresponds with Hunayn's approach to translating aidoĩov in his version of the Aphorisms. Although the Greek entry is attributable to Hunayn, it clearly did not serve as his reference in the process of translating the Aphorisms into Arabic.
2.4

## $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\eta}$


الحياة تَ السن"،

Aqmā, age. Aqmē, ages. In a manuscript, types, varieties, peers. According to bar Serošway, stature . Aqmā $\underline{d}$-hayyē, stature of life. In a manuscript age (al-sinn).

Forms of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\eta}$ and the related verb $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ occur six times in the Aphorisms. In all of these instances Hunayn's Arabic translation employs a form of the word al-
69. This word is somewhat obscure. The most obvious sense in the dictionaries would be 'umbilical veins'.
70. This is an abnormal plural. According to Payne-Smith the regular forms are مand and مةمسـ|
muntahā, while the Syriac gives a form of 'uzzā, both meaning 'limit'. Al-Bitrī̄q's translations of these words in aphorisms i. 8, i. 9, i. 10, and ii. 29 also exist. These exhibit greater variation than do Hunayn's. In the first two, the translator translated with a form of ziyāda 'increase'. For both of the instances of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \eta$ in i. 10, however, he translated with muntahā mardihim, as did Ḥunayn. For ii. 29, which concerns the use of medicines at different stages of a disease, the early translator uses another different phrase. There, $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ is found in the participial
 sa ídat al-illa 'if the disease rises', while Ḥunayn translated it with id $\bar{a}$ sāra almarad ilā muntahāhu 'when the disease comes to its utmost limit'.

Along with his citation of this example, Ullmann notes the use of muntaha for $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \eta$ from both of these authors in their translations of Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six. ${ }^{71}$ Thus, while the early translator did know al-muntaha $\bar{a}$ to be a possible equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \eta$, he was also capable of using other, broadly synonomous translations. Hunayn's preference, however, appears to have been to use al-muntahā in a more standardized fashion, even when to do so required significant expansion relative to the source-text.

Nothing in the Greek entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon relates to the translations given. An entry for the Syriac equivalent $u z z \bar{a}$ runs as follows:
1413:17 حهرا حَ شدة صعوبة منتهى. زاد المروزي سورة فورة عزّة. حهرا LoL ُـ ح: هزة انتهاء الشيء الى
'Uzzā in a manuscript, force, difficulty, utmost extreme (muntahā). Al-Marwazī adds vehemence (sawra), outburst, power. 'Uzzā again according to bar

[^1]Serošway, the extremity (intihā') of something unto the utmost.

Muntahā appears in the first definition, which may be attributed to Hunayn by virtue of bar Bahlul's reference to 'a manuscript'. The entry shows a correspondence between the Syriac and Arabic equivalents as represented in the translations of the Aphorisms. No such correspondence exists in the Greek entry given above.
2.5

## $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$


Ayqraṭos (according to) bar Serošway, weak consciousness of the soul (tertā nasistā $\underline{d-n e p e s ̌ a ̄}$ ), weak conceptions of the soul (arāal al-nafs al-ḍa īfa).

Forms of the word $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta ́ \varsigma ~ a n d ~ r e l a t e d ~ w o r d s ~ o c c u r ~ t h r e e ~ t i m e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ A p h o r i s m s . ~$ The available translations display no pattern of approaching these instances, but rather each is translated differently according to its context. In aphorism iii. 12, the word $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \alpha$ is used to describe the offspring of women who, having been with child in the course of a 'southerly, rainy, and calm' winter, give birth in a spring that is 'dry and northerly'. Hunayn in his Arabic translation rendered the adjective with the inner accusative da îfa al-haraka 'weak of action', while the word mhile ' 'weak' is utilized in the Syriac version. Although the word al-da ìfa does occur in bar Bahlul's entry for the Greek term above, its context is too specific for it to constitute an exact agreement. That is not the case in the following entry for the Syriac mhila $\mathbf{a}$ :


Mḥilā, weak (ḍa îf), mhilutuā ('weakness'), weakness (ḍa‘f).

The second instance, the word (vعv́pตv) $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon i \alpha v$ 'debility, impotence (of the nerves' in aphorism v. 16, occurs in the context of an enumeration of the potential negative influences of heat upon the body. The Syriac translates this with tānubutā ( $\underline{d}-\mathrm{g} y \bar{a} \underline{d} \bar{e} \bar{e}$ ) 'numbness (of the nerves)'. Although almost all manuscripts of Hunayn's Arabic read here yaftahu (al-'asab) (heat) conquers the nerves', there is a notable dissension which I discuss below. The early Arabic translation gives yadhhabu bi-shidda al- assab 'destroys the strength of the nerves'.

An entry for the Syriac equivalent tānubutā reads as follows:

Tānubut̄ā, numbness (khadar), annihilation of sense. And according to bar Serošway tānubuutd $\bar{a}$ is that which occurs in the fingers because of great cold, numbness of the extremities.

In the bilingual manuscript $\operatorname{BnF} 6734$, there are found several alternatives to the dominant tradition yaftahu in aphorism v. 16. The text itself reads in its place khadar fì al-iṣāb 'numbness in the nerves'. A note in another hand, which in many places in the manuscript corrects variants toward more generally attested readings, reads tafassukh wa-irkha' 'dissolution and laxness'. The consonantal skeleton of the first word in the note (تفسّخ) is very similar in appearance to that of

[^2]the dominant tradition (_._._._._._), so the two may readily have been confused. Furthermore, the second word is related to the reading found in Tytler's edition, which adds yarkh $\bar{a}$ 'relaxes (the nerves)' to yaftahu. The correspondence between the Syriac entry for tānubut $\bar{a}$ and the translation found in the Paris manuscript is remarkable, but could be explained in different ways. It is possible for example that the scribe corrected Hunayn's Arabic against the Syriac with the aid of Hunayn's glossary.

For the third instance, the occurrence of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta$ in in aphorism vii. 40, Hunayn in his Arabic translation used yet another construction. In translating $\mathfrak{\eta} v \dot{\eta}$ $\gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ह̇ $\xi \alpha i ́ \varphi v \eta \varsigma$ 白кратท̀s $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha 1$, he wrote matā 'adima al-lisānu baghtatan quwwatahu 'when the tongue loses its strength all at once'. The Syriac translation's single manuscript is mostly effaced in this place; although the words lan hayla are discernible and may indicate a similar approach to that found in the translation of iii. 12 , no systematic comparison is possible.

Although the Greek and Syriac entries are both representative of Hunayn's translation of the Aphorisms, the specificity of the Greek entry makes it slightly less so than the Syriac. The entry for mhila at $1054: 1$ indicates a strong equivalence between the Arabic and Syriac translations of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta$ in the Aphorisms. The source of bar Bahlul's entry very well may have been Hunayn's working glossary.
2.6
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta / \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \tilde{\imath} \sigma v$

211:13 نسمل الـرA| ضرورة**
Ananqe, necessity (elșituā), necessity (darūra).

211:14 انسمه ضروريّات*
Ananqos, necessities (ḍarūrīyāt).

Forms of this Greek word occur numerous times in the Aphorisms. The early Arabic translation, Hunayn's Arabic translation, and the Syriac translation of the word all display some degree of regularity. The Syriac translation in particular is very regular in that it uses precisely the same word, elṣa, in all but one of these instances. The only exception is in aphorism vi. 58, where the Greek word is translated using the borrowed form ananq $\bar{e}$.

Both of these translations and the transliteration are in consonance with the Lexicon's entry at 211:13. In a majority of these cases Hunayn translated the word with a form of the phrase wajiba darūratan an 'it is absolutely necessary that', which partially concords with the Greek entries in the Lexicon. In some places, he used the phrase lā budda 'it is inevitable', which was also the preferred phrase of al-Bitrīq in his renditions of these instances.

As the single example of the borrowing ananqe indicates, $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ was carried over into Syriac. This should colour somewhat any reading of the entries in bar Bahlul. That said, the definitions do not indicate a departure from the Greek word itself, and furthermore the headword given in the entry at 211:14 has a Greek grammatical form. The entry at $211: 13$ is an example of the tendency toward equivalent definitions in both target languages and perhaps shows that the borrowed Greek word was still somewhat obscure to Syriac speakers, or at least was not the usual standard. This corresponds to the evidence in the Syriac Aphorisms.

An entry relating to the Syriac equivalent elṣā in bar Bahlul's Lexicon reads
as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { اضطهاد مزاحم ازدحام. الحرْ اضطهوني وأقول لجّوا علي" لزّوني الجّوني" }
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\bar{A} l s ̣ a ̄ y t \bar{a}$ (according to) bar Serošway, necessity (ḍarūriyya). Elaṣ, to be damaged, narrow (ḍāyiq), also narrow (ḍayyiq), oppression, and (according to) alMarwazī, to oppress. I say to torment, to bind. Ālāṣāta according to) bar Serošway necessities, impediments. Alişut̄ā, I say toil, damage, constriction, oppression, competition, crowdedness. Elsun, they oppressed me, and I say they tormented me, they bound me.

In this entry, another common element in Hunayn's translation of the Aphorisms al-wājib 'necessity' is present, thus making it slightly more representative of the translator's translation technique than the Greek entries. The full phrase yajibu min al-darūra still does not appear. It is found in an entry in in bar 'Ali's lexicon, however:
$\bar{A} l s ̣ \bar{a}$, this is of necessity (men $\bar{a} n \bar{a} n q \bar{e})$, absolutely necessary (yajibu min alḍarūra).

Despite the broad correspondence between these various texts, and also the

[^3]presence of $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ in the Syriac language, the correspondence between Hunayn's translations and the Syriac entries in the lexicons is stronger than that obtaining between the translations and the Greek entries. The entry in bar 'Ali's Lexicon best reflects Hunayn's usage in the Aphorisms. Although this pattern strengthens the relationship between the Syriac lexicography and Hunayn's translations, the numerous cases in which Hunayn did not use any of these forms indicates that the translator did not work mechanically from the Syriac entries, but rather adapted his language to the context in which he worked.
2.7

## д̀ $v \alpha ́ \lambda ̇ \eta \psi ı \varsigma ~$

 Anālimpisis, ascent, rising. In a manuscript anālionpisis, the Ascension, the Ascension, the rising.

Forms of $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \psi i \varsigma ~ o c c u r ~ t w i c e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ A p h o r i s m s . ~ I n ~ a p h o r i s m ~ i . ~ 3 ~ \alpha ~ \alpha ~ v \alpha \lambda \eta ́ \psi i \varepsilon \varsigma ~$ refers to restoration of the body by food. Hunayn rendered it with the phrase kull taghdhiya 'all feedings', the Syriac translator gave for it mtarsyānutā $\underline{d}$-mendriš 'nourishment that is renewed', and the early Arabic translator translated it mala' 'repletion'. Although there is no entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon exactly corresponding to the Syriac equivalent mtarsyānuta, a related noun tarsāytā is
74. According to Liddell-Scott, later Greek writers often wrote this word $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi 1 \varsigma$, and it is clear that at least the head-word of the entry follows this later convention.
represented in an entry that reads like this:
 الهزال ضيق العين وصغرها والسلّ ضيق صبى العين وحده٪

Tarsāytā, nourishment (al-ghidhā'), nutriment, when the taw has a short a-vowel and the semkat has a long a-vowel. Lā tarsāyt̄ā, emaciation (al-huzāl). Paul introduced it among the diseases of the eye. He said 'emaciation is weakness of the eye and its reduction, and consumption is the weakness of the pupil of the eye alone'.

The initial Arabic definition attributable to Hunayn shows strong agreement with the Arabic and Syriac terminology in the Aphorisms. Although not directly related to the translations considered here, the ophthalmological fragment of Paul is also of importance. This is in part due to its being represented in an entry with a Syriac headword.

In aphorism iv. 27, where $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \varepsilon \sigma \iota$ signifies 'recovery, convalescence', Hunayn employed the hendiadys yanqahu fa-yughdhā 'he recovers and is fed'. The Syriac version translates here with zabnā d-masyānuthon 'the time of their being healed'. A short entry for a word related to the Syriac equivalent reads as follows:

Masyānā, healing (al-asā), recovery (al-shifā).

In this second case, Hunayn's interpretation differs from that of the Syriac translator. Interestingly, the Syriac lexicography for the first Syriac equivalent
presented, mtarsyānuta $\bar{a}$, in fact agrees to some extent with both of Hunayn's Arabic translations. This could indicate that Hunayn's Syriac translation likewise would have used a word related to mtarsyānutā in both instances as well. Since the entry for $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi 1 \zeta$ in bar Bahlul's Lexicon defines a different and quite specific sense of the word, it does not overlap significantly with the Arabic translations. For its part, the Syriac lexicography does at least partially correspond with Hunayn's translations, despite the quite different interpretation adopted by the Syriac translator of the Aphorisms.
2.8

## $\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$

215:11
Antilipityā according to Sergius, loss of hope .

A form of this word occurs once in the Aphorisms, in aphorism vii. 47. The Syriac version translates it $d$-lā sabbrā itaw 'for whom there is no hope', while Hunayn's Arabic translation reads for it laysa yurjā 'he is not hoped for'. The Syriac translation is marginally related to Sergius' entry in the Lexicon presented above. The headword of the entry has a nativized form, indicating that it was taken over into Syriac. At the same time Duval's identification must be taken to be somewhat tentative, since the transcription does not very strongly match the expected Greek word. The entry for the substantial element of the Syriac translation, sabra $\bar{a}$, reads as follows:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sabrāa according to bar Serošway, expectation of those things which are to come and that are renowned and proclaimed. The difference between hope and expectation is that expectation may be for good and evil together, but hope is solely for good, even if they may be felt in a mixed way, for the sake of simplicity and habit. I say trust, hope, expectation (rajā̀) for good only... Sabrā̄ according to Hunayn, opinion, and it is said supposition. Sābbar-nā according to bar Serošway, I suppose, and I say estabbrat li, it occurs to me. Sbartā, good tidings. Msabbrānut̄a, giving good tidings. Msabbrānā according to Zakariya, a giver of good tidings.

Some of this material is also present in an entry in bar 'Ali's Lexicon:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { يفيض. نَيقال فد: معد:هـا|. } 75
\end{aligned}
$$

Sabra $\bar{a}$, this is expectation of those things which are to come that are renowned and proclaimed. The difference between hope (sabrā$)$ and expectation is that expectation may be for good and evil together, but hope is solely for good.

Because bar 'Ali's Lexicon relied more exclusively on Hennayn's glossary than did bar Bahlul's, the latter's attribution of the material shared between the two entries

[^4]to bar Serošway is somewhat problematic. On the one hand, it may merely be a mistake or a later interpolation. On the other, it may mean that bar Serošway reproduced Hunayn's definition of $s a \underline{b} r \bar{a}$ in his own work. This kind of reproduction would have significance for our understanding of the relationship between the glossographical works of Hunayn and bar Serošway.
2.9

## $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta ı \delta o v ́ \varsigma / \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \pi o ́ \delta o \sigma \iota \varsigma$

Anṭapudidus (according to) bar Serošway, that which recompenses (d-pāre'), one who recompenses, one who apportions, one who exchanges.

Antapodsis (according to) bar Serošway, reward, gratification.

In aphorism 1. 12, Hippocrates refers to the $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \pi 0 \delta o ́ \sigma 1 \varepsilon \varsigma ~ ' a l t e r n a t i o n ’ ~ o f ~ c y c l i c ~$ periods of exacerbation as one of the phenomena which may be used to infer the specific characteristics of diseases. Hunayn's Arabic translation tazayyud 'increase' and the Syriac translation tawseptā 'increase' correspond in their interpretations, but the Arabic also explicates by adding nälbatan to give the sense of 'cyclic increase'. Al-Biṭrīq differed in his interpretation, giving tadāwul 'alternation'.

Some relevant entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Syriac equivalent run as follows:

Tawsipē, this means increases, mutual striving, increases (al-ziyādāt).

```
2047:12 بíl
    مـ حسة الزيادة.
``` Tawsepta \(\bar{a}\) according to bar Serošway, an exceeding of the measure of the condition which characterizes a thing, occurring to it from without, that is not of its nature, increase (al-ziyāda). Tawseptı̄̄ w-mawspānutā, addition, increase. In a manuscript, kmāyut̄ā mmaššahtiā d-lā tawsep buṣār, ('an amount measured without increase (or) deficit'), an intended amount.

Although the specific form used by Hunayn, tazayyud, is not present in these examples of relevant Greek and Syriac lexicography, the Syriac entries do contain the closely related form al-ziyādāt (increases). Thus, the Syriac lexicography better represents both the sense of this word in the Aphorisms and Hunayn's Arabic translation of the work than does the Greek lexicography.

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о і ́\)}

227:13
Asṭṭtiqo, this is closeness of breath (sbisut nešmā, lit. 'asthma'), tightness of breath (dīq al-nafas).

Forms of the Greek word \({ }^{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha\) occur some four times in the Aphorisms. Both Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac version are thoroughly consistent in
their translations of these instances. The former gives al-rabw 'dyspnea, asthma' and the latter lh \(\bar{a} \underline{t} \bar{a}\) 'asthma, shortness of breath' for each occurrence. For the instance of the word in aphorism iii. 26 the early Arabic version is also extant, and in that place the word buhr 'laboured breathing' is employed. Besides this example, Ullmann notes others which show Hunayn's translation al-rabw to be a common choice beyond the Aphorisms. \({ }^{76}\)

Although Duval's identification of its underlying Greek term must surely be correct, the entry at \(227: 13\) does not echo the extant translations in any respect. However, in the definition of \(\alpha \circ \sigma \theta \mu \alpha / a l-r a b w\) found in Hunayn's translation of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms, there is some significant overlap in terminology. In particular, the word \(d \bar{l} q\) 'tightness' both forms part of the definition in the Lexicon and figures prominently in Hunayn's translation of Galen's extended account of the meaning of the term in question. \({ }^{77}\) If we turn to consider the entry for the Syriac equivalent lhāt \(\bar{a}\), the significance of this can be clarified:

946:7 جةّةاً الربو صحّحه حنين. ح: هزة البهر اللهث*
Lahātā, asthma (al-rabw). Hunayn rectified it. (According to) bar Serošway laboured breathing (al-buhr), panting (al-lahth).

In contrast to the entry for the Greek word at 227:13, the entry for the Syriac equivalent contains both Hunayn's preferred translation and the early Arabic translator's as well. Furthermore, bar Bahlul appears to attribute to Hunayn the
76. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 139-140.
77. Mimura ed., Tafsīr Jāl̄̄nūus, III 72.
introduction of the term al-rabw as the equivalent of \(\operatorname{lh} \bar{a} t \bar{a}\).
This example presents an opportunity for speculation regarding the varying roles played by Greek and Syriac lexicography in the production of Hunayn's Arabic translations. The centuries-old Greek-to-Syriac translation tradition allowed for very close translations of Greek texts into Syriac. In the case of \(\alpha ̈ \sigma \theta \mu \alpha / l h a ̄ t a ̄ / a l-r a b w\), the Syriac terminology established in this process seems to have constituted the reference according to which Arabic terminology was established in its turn. To the extent that the Arabic translations used standardized terminology, such a lexicographical process would have preceded the translation of the texts themselves. If a strong Syriac role in this process may be inferred to have been present in the broader work of translation, this would mean that a Syriac exemplar read simultaneously with the Greek original would have been an important instrument for the careful Arabic translator.

Yet as the example from Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms shows, the underlying Greek terminology was not effaced or forgotten in this process. Rather, precise etymological understanding of the Greek lexicon often was necessary for the accurate rendition of the more detailed works of Greek medicine and philosophy both into Syriac and into Arabic. Thus, bar Bahlul's entry for the term \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \kappa\) í seems to preserve notes used not for word-for-word translation, but rather for rendering detailed explanations of the term whenever it was encountered in the literature being translated. This suggests that Heunayn used a more complicated, tri-lingual Greek-Syriac-Arabic approach rather than relying solely upon either Greek or Syriac exemplars.

Aprodisios is carrying foam, that from which foam (ru \({ }_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}} \bar{a}\) ) comes.

Forms of the noun \(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho\) ó and the related adjective \(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho \dot{\rho} \delta \eta \zeta\) occur three times in the Aphorisms. Hunayn's Arabic version translates all of these examples with a form related to the noun zabad 'foam', while the Syriac translation employs forms related to ru \(\underline{t} \bar{a} \bar{a}\) 'foam'. The entry for ru \({ }^{\prime} t \bar{a}\) reads as follows:
 when a man foams at the mouth, whether due to a devil as the people say (ayk nāsin), or because of humours. (According to) others, this foam occurs due to three causes, either solely because of intense heat, as for example a pot, or because of motion, as for example in the sea, or because of a combination of motion and heat, as for example horses when they run.

This entry, attributable to Ḥunayn, presents an interesting contrast between folk medicine and learned medicine. These contradictory perspectives are allowed to stand side-by-side. Since the entry for the Greek word contains only a Syriac definition while the Syriac entry contains Hunayn's Arabic equivalent al-zabad, the latter is better representative of the translator's approach.

\section*{Section Three}

Finally, for these words, both the Greek and the Syriac lexicography represent Hunayn's Arabic translations of the Aphorisms equally well.
3.1
\[
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \\
\text { 132:10 املمحا वَ وما ذكر جبريل بن بختيشوع أن الام اسمه باليونانية انح آمداء }
\end{gathered}
\] Ayaymā, this is blood (dmā). Jibrīl ibn Bukhtīshū̄ said that the name of blood in Greek is ay aymā.
135:14 إمعا ح: هع:ة بمدا الدمث

Aymā (according to) bar Serošway, blood (dmā), blood (al-dam).

Hemātos, and according to our teacher hema \(\bar{a}\), blood (al-dam).

This word is translated consistently in both Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac translation, the former giving al-dam and the latter giving \(d m \bar{a}\), both of which mean 'blood'. The only exception in either work occurs in aphorism v. 33, where Hunayn's Arabic compresses the Greek phrase \(\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha\) غ̇к \(\tau \tilde{\omega} v\) ค́vต̃v \(\dot{\rho} v \varepsilon ́ v\) 'blood flowing from the nostrils' into the single word al-ru a af 'nosebleed'. The straightforward definitions of the Greek word are equivalent to the translations of the term in our texts.

The entry for the Syriac term \(d m \bar{a}\) reads like this:


Dma , blood, a wet and hot humour whose form derives properly from the element of air. It comes to be in the liver (aytaw b-kabda \(\bar{a}\) ) and its power is in the entire body, blood (al-dam). \({ }^{78}\)

In this entry, also attributable to Hunayn, the traditional humoural view of the physicians concerning blood is stated. Notably, this more extended definition is present in the entry for the Syriac word, but absent from the entries for the Greek equivalent. Because the words in all three languages are strongly synonomous, there is a general concord amongst the entries and the translations.

\section*{3.2}

\section*{ג̀крıß \(\tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta} \varsigma)\)}

Aqrbna and aqribon according to bar Serošway, correct, true (hattituā). Aqrabeya, true, evident.
78. Although I have already provided this entry in the discussion of \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta\) above (unit 2.2), I repeat here for ease of reference.
79. Duval: من:صا.

Aqrabeya in the Book of Paradise, this is rightness or addition.

Forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho 1 \beta \eta \eta^{s}\) occur five times in the Aphorisms. In three cases found in aphorisms i. 4 and i. 5 that reference diet, both Hunayn's version and the Syriac translation employ consistent translations. For these instances the former gives albāligh 'extreme', while the latter employs the word hattiț \(\bar{a}\) 'truly'. For the occurrence of the adverbial form of the word in the phrase \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho ı \beta \varepsilon i ́ \eta \nu\) кра́ \(\tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \downarrow\) 'are truly best' in aphorism i. 6, Ḥunayn's version translates with the single word ajwad 'best', while the Syriac version gives hattitututa \(t \bar{a} \underline{\bar{b}}\) mitrā \(\bar{n}\) 'are truly and entirely good'. Finally, in aphorism iv. 59 the adjective occurs in a description of fevers. Both the Arabic and Syriac versions here adopt different approaches from those given above. The Syriac gives kad saggi arik \(\bar{a}\) ' when it (the tertian fever) is very long', while the Arabic gives atwal mā takūn 'the longest (tertian fevers) that occur.

The Syriac translations as a whole are more literal than the Arabic translations due to the latter's omitting to translate the specific adverb in aphorism 1. 6. The entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon agree somewhat with these Syriac translations, but less so with the Arabic texts. Nor does the entry for the Syriac equivalent hattița \(\bar{a}\) contain much more of direct relevance for them:
 Hattit̄ā, correct, sincere, pure (al-khāliṣ), uncut, pure (al-maḥạ), (according to) Zakariya. Al-Marwazī adds firm, complete. Hattitutū̄, sound, integral, pure, true,


Neither the Greek nor the Syriac entries relate to Hunayn's translation. Furthermore, the rather severe differences between the two translations' renditions of \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho ı \beta \dot{\varsigma} s\) constitute evidence against Hunayn's authorship of the Syriac Aphorisms. This is also the most probable reason for the discrepancy between the Arabic translations and the Syriac lexicography.
3.3

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varphi o i\)}

Alpo, this is tetter (behqit \(\bar{a}\) ), tetter (al-bahaq).

This term occurs in the Aphorisms a single time, in aphorism iii. 20. The main Syriac and Arabic versions both translate it using the same terms that are found in the entry above, behqit \(\bar{a}\) and al-bahaq. The early Syriac translation for this aphorism also exists, and for this term it appears to give \(h \underline{k} \underline{a} \bar{k} k \bar{a}\) 'itch, mange'. \({ }^{80}\) There is thus a clear correspondence between Hunayn's entry in bar Bahlul and the translations in both the Arabic and Syriac versions. This stands in contrast to the earlier Syriac translation, which appears to give evidence for a development toward greater lexicographical precision in the Syriac translation tradition.

Although the translation for this aphorism does not exist in any of the fragments of the early Arabic version, according to Ullmann the translator al-
80. Although some text of the aphorism has dropped out, it is likely that \(h \underline{k} \bar{a} k \underline{a} \bar{a}\) does translate \(\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \varphi\) oí.

Biṭrīq's preferred translation of the term \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varphi\) ó \(\varsigma\) was also al-bahaq, as shown by several examples from his translation of Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six. \({ }^{81}\) Therefore, while the available Syriac examples evince terminological development, in contrast the Arabic examples show terminological stability.

The entry for the Syriac term behqiț \(\bar{a}\) in the Lexicon reads like this:


Behqit̄a, tetter (bahaq). Paul and bar Serošway say, tetter that glows like fire and shines. Spotting (al-waḍah), which is tetter, leprosy (al-baraṣ), but tetter is more correct.

The Arabic al-bahaq and Syriac behqitīa are derivable from the same Semitic root and would appear to be related. The Syriac word's clear etymology from the sense 'to shine', which has no analogue in Arabic, makes it more likely that it originates in the former language. Furthermore, the Syriac word occurs several times in the Peshitta, demonstrating its use from an early date..\(^{82}\) The early Arabic translator al-Bitrīq's use of the word in his translations of Galen means that, if an adaptation from Syriac did occur, it was prior to Hunayn's career.

\section*{3.4}

\section*{\(\ddot{\alpha} \mu \alpha\)}
81. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 97.
82. For example, in Leviticus 13:4.

181:17 آقْا أه ح: ه:ةَ معاً"
\(\bar{A} m \bar{a}\) according to bar Serošway accompanying (máan).

This Greek adverb occurs once in the Aphorisms, in aphorism ii. 46. Hunayn translated it ma'an 'accompanying', while the Syriac translates \(a \underline{k} h d \underline{d} \bar{a} n e h w o n ~ ' a t ~\) once'. Bar Serošway's Arabic definition in the Lexicon agrees exactly with the text of Hunayn's translation. Bar Bahlul's entry for the Syriac equivalent akhdāa likewise agrees while giving some further relevant information:
155:22 اُجبِا مُعاً والذي جاء به حنين في موضع بالجملة؛:

Akhdā, accompanying (ma'an), and that which Hunayn introduced in one place, (namely) 'altogether' (bil-jumla).
3.5

\section*{à \(\mu о ́ \rho \gamma \eta ~\)}

184:1 امسه; يرسب تحت الزيت اذا عصر من الزيتون،

Amorg \(\bar{e}\), the lees of the oil of the olive (tetra \(\bar{d} a-s \bar{s} r a \bar{a} \underline{d}-z a y t \bar{a})\), the lees of oil ('akar al-zayt), in an old manuscript. Amorge, the lees of oil (mayye \(\underline{d}\)-zayt \(\bar{a}\) ). (According to) our teacher, the part of olive oil that settles, that which settles at the bottom of oil when it is pressed from olives.

A form of this Greek term appears in aphorism vii. 45, where it used figuratively to describe a flow of pus that is a sign of death in one suffering from a disease of
the liver. Both Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac translation differ slightly from the entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon given above. The Arabic gives thufl al-zayt 'lees of oil', while the Syriac translation gives tetrā \(\underline{d}\)-mešhā again meaning 'lees of oil'.

The Greek entry at \(184: 1\) contains a descriptive definition of \(\dot{\alpha} \mu\) ор \(\gamma \dot{\eta}\) directly attributed to Hunayn, which allows us to compare the translator's Syriac writing to the text of the Syriac Aphorisms. Henayn in the entry employs the phrase șārā \(\underline{d}\)-zaytā for 'olive oil', while the Syriac translation uses a different word, mešhā, which strictly speaking simply means 'oil'. Although minor, this discrepancy reinforces the sense that Hunayn was not the author of the Syriac Aphorisms.

Two entries related to the Syriac equivalent tetrā in bar Bahlul's Lexicon read as follows: Tțirā in a manuscript, thick, turbid, impure. And according to bar Serošway, dregs ( akar). And (according to) the Book of Paradise w-tātar leh, this is 'he stirred up its dregs'.

Tetrā, impure. Tetreh nemṣun according to bar Serošway, they drank from the dregs. \({ }^{83}\)
83. Although al-kadar is not defined in this way in the dictionaries, the sense is clear from the context.

Although these do not reflect Ḥunayn's Arabic translation of the Greek term, an entry in bar 'Ali's Lexicon does:

ـالهن:ا الخاثر والثفل والعكر والكدر والدردي. 84
Tțirā, concentrates, lees, dregs, impurities, sediments.

None of the Greek or Syriac entries in bar Bahlul agree exactly with Hunayn's Arabic translation. Since my way of proceeding relies on bar Bahlul's Lexicon and not bar 'Ali's, the agreement between the latter's entry for ttirā and Hunayn's translation of d \(\mu\) óp \(\gamma \eta\) in the Aphorisms does not bear upon the placement of this example in the organization of the chapter.
3.6

\section*{\(\ddot{\alpha} v \dot{\eta} \rho\)}

Andrā, in Greek, a man. In a manuscript andrānā, man.

Anayr, bar Serošway, man, man. In a manuscript anayd.

In aphorism v. 69, the word \(\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma\) occurs. The Syriac translates it \(b\)-gabrê, and Hunayn's Arabic gives for it fì al-rijāl. In this case, all three words are synonomous with one another in a strong sense, and so it is not very surprising to

\footnotetext{
84. Gottheil, Syriac-Arabic Glosses, II 475:12.
}
see the translations in agreement with the definitions in the Lexicon. A brief entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Syriac equivalent further confirms it:

447:4
Gabrā,\(a \operatorname{man}\) (rajul).
3.7
\(\ddot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \varsigma(\ddot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \alpha \xi)\)

216:15;
Antrā̄qês, bar Serošway embers (gumrē), embers (jamr).

This word is found in aphorism v. 11, where the odour given off when the sputa of consumptive patients is poured over embers is mentioned as a sign of death. The Syriac translates it with gumre , while the Arabic gives the etymologicallyrelated jamr, both of which mean 'embers'. These translations agree precisely with bar Serošway's definition at 216:15.

Ullmann notices several contexts wherein \(\alpha \sim v \rho \alpha \xi\) signifies 'carbuncle’, a disease of the eye, including one citation from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six and four from Dioscorides' Materia Medica. \({ }^{85}\) The Arabic translations for these occurrences of the term vary noticeably from one another. Although three of them give jamr or jamra, in one case the word is transliterated, and in another a different name is given to it, al-nār al-fārisī 'Persian fire'. Although the single

\footnotetext{
85. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 112.
}

Syriac translation of \(\alpha \sim v \theta \rho \alpha \xi\) in the Aphorisms has nothing to do with this sense of the word, it allows us to refer to the entry for the Syriac term found in bar Bahlul, which does relate to it:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { الجمرة وهي النار الفارسيّة" }
\end{aligned}
\]

Gumrā, ember (jamr). Gumrē, smallpox (al-jadrī). Paul has named a type of swelling by this name. Gmurtā, ember. He also mentioned it among those things that come forth in the eye. And according to bar Serošway the common cancer (šuḥnē bišê yādede) which occurs due to burning, Persian fire (nār fārsīya). And likewise Masīh said this is al-jamra, which is Persian fire.

This entry provides evidence that allows for the implications of preceding scholarship to be clarified. Pormann's account of this term in his work on Paul of Aegina notes two of the senses found here. Specifically, in Hunayn's Ten Treatises on the Eye, äv \(\theta \rho \alpha \xi\) is found defined as al-jadr̄̄ 'smallpox' \({ }^{86}\) Pormann calls this text 'corrupt', evidently on the reasonable grounds that smallpox is not an eye disease. Bar Bahlul's entry for the Syriac equivalent gumrā cited here makes these two senses of \(\not \partial v \theta \rho \alpha \xi\) homonymous on the authority of Paul, and is thus the likely source for the confusion noted by Pormann. It may be hoped, furthermore, that detailed consideration of the translations of the Greek term in Dioscorides' work on the basis of this entry would reveal the rationale behind their variations as well.
86. Pormann, Oriental Tradition, 189.

This example serves to emphasize the importance of the Syriac tradition for studies of Arabic medicine. Howsoever it may be acquired, awareness of the proper Syriac equivalents for Greek terminology is a prerequisite for consulting the Syriac-to-Arabic lexicographical tradition. Since the extant traces of this tradition contain significant portions of the infrastructure upon which the Greek-to-Arabic translations and independent Arabic works of medicine were performed, they hold the potential of clarifying otherwise anomalous characteristics of the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement.

It is notable that this entry is absent from Löw's catalogue of entries in Bar Bahlul's Lexicon containing definitions attributed to Paul of Aegina. Löw's material largely, if not entirely, consists of etymologically Greek terms, so it is perhaps not surprising that this example of a definition of Paul's given for an etymologically-Syriac word does not figure there. This is an indication that more, perhaps many more, examples of Paul's glossographical work await discovery in bar Bahlul's Lexicon.
3.8

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha / \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)}
251:23٪همْهصما خراج"

Apostimā, abscess (khurāj).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 251:24 هـهِّهِماله الأورام الحادّة } \\
& \text { تنضج د: هزة الخراجات التي فيها مدّة الدبابل87 والدمامل، }
\end{aligned}
\]
87. Dabābil, an plural of dabla, a word that Freytag glosses 'vomica, apostema;

Apostimāṭā, sharp inflammations (al-awrām al-ḥādda). In a manuscript, its meaning is inflammations (qubyānā), boils (al-dummala) that occur on the outside of the body. (According to) Paul, sharp inflammations that have not suppurated. (According to) bar Serošway, abscesses that have pus inside of them, ulcers (al-dabābil), boils.

 Apostima \(\bar{z}\) ura \(\bar{a}\) ('small abscess'), this occurs in the great corner of the eye which is (near) to the nose. Fistulas (al-nāsūr) that occur in the great corner of the eye. When it bursts it is called aêgilops.
264:26 همعمهدا علة الرحم دبيلة تكون في الرحم؛

Apstma \(\bar{a}\), a disease of the womb, an ulcer that occurs in the womb.

Forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) and closely related words occur some seven times in the Aphorisms. In most cases, Hunayn's Arabic and the Syriac translate regularly, the former giving a form of khurāj 'abscess' and the latter a form of qubyānā 'inflammation'. There are two exceptions to this in these two translations. In aphorism vii. 36 forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) occur twice, first in reference to swellings on the outside of the body and second in reference to those that are inside of the body. The Syriac uses qubyān \(\bar{a}\) to refer to both, but for the second instance Hunayn breaks his habit, translating the word with al-dabīla 'ulcer'. The second exception is in aphorism vii. 78, where the Syriac alters its pattern to translate with mapaqta 'inflammation, eruption of the skin'. This translation is similar to morbus in ventre'. He does not include this particular plural form.
the Arabic khurā\(j\) in its metaphorical logic of derivation, both being derived from verbs meaning 'to go out'.

None of the lemmas containing this term survive in the fragments of the early Arabic translation. Ullmann notices several translations of this term, however, and the renderings taken from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six allow for the development of the Arabic tradition to be considered in the light of the entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. In these three examples, the early translator prefers in each case al-awrām 'inflammations', while Hunayn used equivalents like al-kharājāt and al-dubayla that tack closely with the examples from the Aphorisms given above. \({ }^{88}\) Thus Hunayn's and Paul's definitions in the entry at 251:24 relate more closely to the early Arabic translation, while bar Serošway's definitions there are closer to Hunayn's translation.

The entries found in the Lexicon reflect several senses of this Greek word. The single-word definition at 251:23 would fit well in a working translator's glossary and matches Hunayn's preference in the Aphorisms exactly. The entry at 251:24 introduces significantly more symptomatological detail. Although Arabic predominates, the most common Syriac equivalent of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) found in the Aphorisms, qubyāna , is found near the beginning in a definition attributable to Hunayn. It may be noted that the specification in the entry that \(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha /\) qublān̄e occur 'on the outside of the body' does not agree with the usage found in the Greek original of the Aphorisms or in the Syriac translation, if it is to be taken as a general characterization.

The opthalmological entry at 253:21 is strongly related to an entry of bar Bahlul's on \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi i ́ \lambda \omega \psi / \alpha i \gamma i \lambda \lambda \omega \psi\) 'lachrymal abscess' discussed by Pormann in his

\footnotetext{
88. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 125-126.
}
work on Paul of Aegina, which reads as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 606:8 }
\end{aligned}
\] Heglopos, a wart. According to Paul a small abscess (apostimā zurā) of the great corner of the eye (near) to the nose, when it breaks and reaches the bone. And aykilop \(\bar{a}\), an abscess occurring between the great corner of the eye and the nose when it has broken. If it is neglected it becomes a fistula (nāsūr). According to bar Serošway, a corner of the eye that abscesses and swells, fistulas in the eye. In a manuscript, the West and the west wind. \({ }^{90}\)

The phraseology of Paul's definition in this entry strongly resembles that of the unattributed definition of apostima \(\bar{a}\) minora at 253:21. The Syriac descriptions of the affliction in the two entries are especially close, while the Arabic versions differ in some very slight details. It probably must be admitted, following Pormann, that in the entry at \(606: 8\) there is some confusion of the two Greek terms which refer to this type of abscess before and after it has burst ( \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi i \lambda \omega \omega\) and \(\alpha i \gamma\left(i \lambda \omega \psi\right.\), respectively). \({ }^{91}\) However, if the transcription of the former term is to be recognized by the use of a \(k \bar{a} p\) and that of the latter by the use of a gamal, it would appear that the entry at 253:21 more accurately reflects the distinctions in the Greek terminology.
89. Duval: تولول. The reading given is Pormann's.
90. For Pormann's treatment of this entry, see Oriental Tradition, 156.
91. Ibid.

Given that the entry at \(253: 21\) is unattributed, it is possible that it was written by Hunayn. Comparison with Pormann's example shows, however, that the entry at \(606: 8\) overlaps significantly with the extant Arabic translation of Paul's Pragmateia. There are some slight differences between the two entries that correspond to readings in the Arabic translation of Paul's work. However, when comparing these, no clear pattern emerges. For example, the entry at 253:21 corresponds with the Arabic Pragmateia in calling fistulas nāsūr against 606:8's nāsūr, yet 606:8 and the Pragmateia call the corner of the eye al- \({ }^{\text {a }}\) azm while 253:21 calls it al-akbar. \({ }^{92}\) Thus it appears that fragments which rely upon Paul may be found to exist in the Lexicon without identification. It bears repeating here that the attribution to Hunayn of any particular unidentified entry in the Lexicon requires some external corroboration in order to be considered certain.

The entries for the two Syriac equivalents of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi\) ó \(\sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) given in the Aphorisms read as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { قال المروزي عن حين أنّ بقراط يستعمل ذلك على كلّ شيء يخرج من البدن فيه البحرانه }
\end{aligned}
\]

Mapqātāa, pustules (šakirtِā) that appear on the body, ugly pustules that appear on the body. Again, sores (al-buth \(\bar{u} r\) ) on the surface of the skin of the head, sores (al-qurūḥ), abscesses (al-khurāj). Mapaqt̄̄ in a manuscript, breaking out of abscesses (ikhrāj kharājāt). Crises occur by virtue of anything that comes out from the body. Al-Marwazī said on the authority of Hunayn that Hippocrates used this (word) for anything that comes out from the body in which are crises.
92. Ibid., 157.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { المروزي الخراجات وآخرون نزلات دُبلات؛ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Qubyān̄a, according to Hunayn congestion (ihtiqān). And (according to) bar Serošway, any moisture that gathers together between two layers (of skin). Hot swellings, lesions. (According to) al-Marwazī abscesses (al-kharājāt). (According to) others catarrhs (nazalāt), ulcers (dubalāt).

While these Syriac entries refer to words whose scope of meaning is somewhat narrower than that of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \mu \alpha\), they display a greater variety of terminology, more direct explanation of medical phenomena, and a strong tendency to branch out into discussions of broader medical theory. In the entry for qubyānā, bar Serošway's description of the term is simple and direct and does not resort either to exotic terminology or to the listing of synonyms. On the other hand, alMarwazi's account of Hunayn's teaching on Hippocrates' doctrine of the crisis in the entry for mapaqt\(\underline{a} \bar{a}\) extends the discussion beyond the everyday treatment of nagging sores into the significance of such sores for the prognosis of disease. This entry again shows the extent to which Syriac medical discourse had been nativized. Rather than referring Hunayn's account of Hippocrates' teaching to the Greek word, bar Bahlul records it for the Syriac equivalent instead. Despite the inherent interest of the Syriac entries, both the Greek and the Syriac lexicography represent Ḥunayn's translations of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) in the Aphorisms equally well.

Awtriṭis, according to bar Serošway pain of the joints (keb šaryāt \(\underline{a}\) ), pain of the joints (waj’ al-mafāṣil).

Forms of \(\alpha \rho \theta \rho i ̃ \tau 1 s\) occur twice in the Aphorisms. Both the Syriac and Arabic translations agree with bar Serošway's entry in the Lexicon. Hunayn's Arabic translation does introduce a slight variation of style by using the singular in the phrase waj‘ al-mafāṣil 'pain of the joints’ to translate \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \rho i ́ \tau \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma\) in aphorism iii. 16, but the plural \(a w j \bar{a}\) 'al-mafāṣil 'pains of the joints' to translate \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \rho \iota \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́\) in iii. 20. The Syriac uses the same form \(k e \underline{b}\) šāry \(\bar{a} t \bar{a}\) 'pain of the joints' in each case, as does the fragment of the early Syriac translation of iii. 20.

A definition of the Syriac equivalent reads as follows:

857:9 ... يلح فت:تها وجع المفاصل؛
Keb \(\underline{b}\) šāryāt \(\bar{a}\), pain of the joints (waj' al-mafāṣil).

Thus in this straightforward example, the Greek and Syriac lexicography represent Hunayn's Arabic translation equally well.
3.10

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \rho ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}\)}

Arsitrā , the left. According to bar Serošway the left, the left side.

Forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha}\) occur twice in the Aphorisms. Both instances refer to the sympathetic relationship between the thinning of one of the breasts of a woman pregnant with twins and the miscarriage of one of her children. Hunayn's Arabic and the Syriac translate in similar ways, the former with al-aysar and the latter with semāl \(\bar{a}\), both meaning 'the left'. These translations are fully reflected in the entry in the Lexicon. A short entry for the Syriac equivalent reads like this:

1359:16 هِمتالٍ أقول اليسار الشماله
Semālā, I say the left side (al-yasār), the left.

\section*{ä \(\rho \rho \eta v\)}

Arron according to our teacher, male (dekrā), male (dhakar).

This word is translated consistently in both the Syriac and Arabic Aphorisms. Hunayn's Arabic employs al-dhakar 'male' in various forms and the Syriac uses the related word dkar 'male'. These translations concord almost exactly with Hunayn's definition of the Greek word in the Lexicon. An entry related to the Syriac equivalent likewise agrees with Ḥunayn's translation:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { الإحليل. بض:ا كبش ذكر . حت بد:ا أولاد الكباش وهي الحملان. بصتا حت بتا كباش الثياتل** }
\end{aligned}
\]

Dekr \(\bar{a}\), a name that indicates the sex in a species that is distinguished in marriage (by) abundant seed for the continuation of the species, male (al-dhakar), urethra
(al-ihlī̄l). Dekrā, a male sheep. Banay dekrē, the children of the ram, which are lambs. Dekrē , children of the ibex (banay dayṣē), a ram, wild goats (al-thayātil).

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}\)}


Ark \(\bar{a}\), in the Greek ark \(\bar{a}\) means beginning (šurāy \(\bar{a}\) ) or heading, beginning (ibtid \(\bar{a})\) ), the rightful leader of the nations. According to bar Serošway, in Greek it is ‘arkāna \(’\).

Arākay Ebbrāyā, that is, he was called leader of the Hebrews.

304:1
Arši, this is beginning (šurāyā), heading, beginning (ibtidā'), heading.

Forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}\) occur several times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac translates with forms of šurāyā 'beginning', while Ḥunayn's Arabic gives forms of al-awwal 'beginning'. Al-Biṭrīq's usual equivalent for these instances was bad' 'beginning'. The only exception in the latter is found in the translation of aphorism i. 12, where the phrase min awwal al-marad is employed.

The entries taken from the Lexicon converge somewhat with the examples found in the Aphorisms. Both šurāyā and al-ibtid \(\vec{a}\) are represented in the entries at 293:5 and 304:1, each of which is attributable to Hunayn. However, Hunayn's
usual equivalent for \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta\) al-awwal is not represented in either of them. The entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the usual Syriac equivalent for \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \emptyset\) ๆ̌urāyā reads as follows:

Šurāyā according to bar Serošway, it has the meaning of the beginning of (some) time which accompanies those (things) that begin, defining both the action and the time. For the meaning of time is that of the action of an affair of some sort up until the action of some other affair, or (the action of an affair) which has a beginning at a definite time. And I say that beginning refers both to action and to time. For there is no action that does not begin, and no time without a beginning. Beginning (al-ibtidā'). D-lā šurā̄yā according to Zakariya, eternal (abadī).

Bar Serošway introduces questions of the nature of time in this somewhat extended discussion of the sense of this Syriac word. However, the Greek and the Syriac lexicography are equally unrepresentative of Hennayn's Arabic translations of \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta\) in in the Aphorisms. If we assume that Hunayn was not in fact the author of the extant Syriac Aphorisms, this could be due to the fact that Hunayn preferred a different word in his own Syriac translation.

Astênis according to bar Serošway, weak (mhilā), weak (ḍa īf).

Forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma^{s}\) occur several times in the Aphorisms. Hunayn's Arabic translation employs words related to the verb da iufa 'to be weak' in all instances. The Syriac version for its part uses the adjective mhilā 'weak' in all instances. Despite the fact that both display a relatively similar degree of regularity, Hunayn's version tends slightly more toward expanding the texts than does the Syriac translation. In aphorism ii. 49, for example, Hunayn translated the phrase \(\kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\) with wa-in kāna ḍa ìfata al-badan aw shaykha 'and if they are weak or old', using the inner accusative da ìfata al-badan which literally means 'weak in the body'. The Syriac translation of this phrase is ap s \(\bar{a} \underline{b} \bar{a}\) itáayhon aw mhile 'though they are old or weak'. The Syriac text is also perhaps notable in that the two adjectives are in reverse order from the Greek and Arabic versions.

Ullmann also notes a text from Book Six of Galen's On Simple Drugs that
 translated the phrase \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \delta u ́ v \alpha \mu ı v\) in the same way, giving da îf alquwwa 'weak in strength' for it. \({ }^{93}\) The entry at 1054:1 bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Syriac equivalent mhilā further confirms these terms' synonymity:


Mḥilā, weak (ḍa īf). Mḥilutuā, weakness (ḍáf).
93. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 139.
94. Duval: ضَبَفَ. This entry is also cited in unit (2.5) above.

\section*{\(\ddot{\alpha} \chi \lambda \hat{v} \varsigma\)}
 الضباب"

Aklus or again akulus according to bar Serošway, darkness ('amṭānā), darkness (al-zulma). Again aklus, darkness, clouds, clouds, gloom, mist.

In aphorism iii. 5 , the word \(\dot{\alpha} \chi \lambda v \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma\) appears in a list of disorders that occur due to south winds. Hunayn translated this word with the phrase ghishāwatun fì albaṣar 'a veil in the vision', while the Syriac version employs the phrase 'amt \(\bar{a} n \bar{a}\) \(\underline{b} a-h z \bar{a} t \underline{d} \bar{a}\) 'darkness in the vision'. Although the definition in bar Bahlul overaps generally with the Syriac translation, there is no specific reference to an ophthalmological condition. Furthermore, Hunayn's equivalent ghishāwa is lacking. Neither is the latter found in the entry for the Syriac equivalent 'amt \(\bar{a} n \bar{a}\) :


'Amtānā in a manuscript, severe darkness occurring during the day because of a black cloud. \(D\)-hā āte e enā lwat_ak b-'amṭānā da-' \(n \bar{a} n \bar{a}\), this is in the pillar of clouds, I come to you in the darkness of the cloud. \({ }^{95}\) 'Amt \(\bar{a} n \bar{a}\) again, darkness in which the stars cannot be seen, darkness.
95. Exodus 19:9.

Nor again is it found in the entry for 'amt \(\bar{a} n \bar{a}\) in bar 'Ali's Lexicon:
\[
\text { بْشّها الظلام. والظللمة ضباب. } 000 \text { سمهد. } 96
\]
'Amțānā, gloom, darkness, mist. It is darkness (hešok \(\bar{a}\) ).

As in the discussions of \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \curlywedge \beta \dot{\eta} s\) and \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta\) g above (units 3.2 and 3.12, respectively), Hunayn's preferred Arabic translation in the Aphorisms is present neither in the Greek lexicography nor that of the relevant Syriac equivalent. Furthermore, as in the cases of \(\alpha \not v v \delta \rho o s\) and avitó \(\mu \alpha \tau o v\) (units 1.2 and 1.3, respectively, the Syriac version employs a phrase rather than a single word in translating \(\dot{\alpha} \chi \lambda \nu \dot{\sigma} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma\). This may be the reason it escaped representation in the lexicons. On the other hand it is impossible to rule out that Hunayn preferred a different Syriac word from 'amṭānā to translate \(\dot{\alpha} \chi \lambda v \omega ́ \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma\) in his Syriac version of the Aphorisms. The word hešo \(\underline{\underline{a}} \bar{a}\) 'darkness' mentioned in the entry of bar 'Ali's above is be a natural place to begin searching for a potential alternative. A relatively long, unattributed entry for that word runs as follows:







96. Gottheil ed., Syriac-Arabic Glosses, II 220:13.



ملاقلا. يبaعجاء
Hešok \(\bar{a}\), this is a fluid substance that hinders vision, whose action and sensibility are known through the absence of light. Darkness (al-ẓulma). Maḥšek, to darken, as in 'it darkens your eyes and consumes your soul', it darkens your eyes and consumes your soul. Mḥaššek, the darkness of the place of the setting of the sun, as in 'he approached Palestine early and late'. The evening, he went in the evening. Hešok \(\bar{a} \bar{a}\) is the shadow of a dense body, which consists of the absence of light. It is defined as a shadow because it has no substance, and it is of dense bodies because not all bodies bring about darkness, but only those that are dense and which have no transparency in them. Its consisting in the absence of light means that, but for the complete absence of light, there would be no darkness. For darkness is that which does not have substance. It is ascertained from this (example): If someone pitches a tent at mid-day, and it mostly covers him, such that light entirely does not reach him, simultaneously there is darkness in it. But if it had substance, whensoever someone sought to do so, he might bring it into being. \({ }^{97}\) Darkness. Again, hešok \(\bar{a}\) according to the people, they say it is an accident that occurs on account of the shadow of a body. But the blessed commentator \({ }^{98}\) said that it is a substance, one of the seven primary substances that
97. See Aristotle's account of darkness as a privation, given in his definition of light in On the Soul, Book II.7, 418b9. See also Jean de Groot, Aristotle and Philoponus on Light (London: Routledge (1991), passim.
98. This would seem to be a reference to Theodore of Mopsuestia, an influential theologian of the Church of the East. See Alphone Mingana ed., Commentary of
come to be in the sixth, which are heaven, earth, fire, water, air, angels, and darkness.

In this very interesting entry, two contradictory accounts of the nature of darkness are presented side-by-side. One of these may easily be linked with the Peripatetic conception of darkness, while the other is presented within a theological context. In my opinion it is likely that Hunayn was responsible for the Peripatetic-leaning material in the entry, while bar Bahlul himself appears to prefer the theological account.

Another, shorter entry contains a word closely related to Hunayn's equivalent for \(\alpha \chi \lambda\) ús in the Aphorisms, ghishāwa:
 Heškā, darkness. Heeškānē, dizziness, vertigo. According to Zakariya, darkness, covering (ghishwa). In a manuscript, a night with no moon, the darkness of a night without a moon.

Whatever the case may be, neither the Greek lexicography nor that for the Syriac equivalent found in the Aphorisms accords with Hunayn's translation of the Aphorisms.

\section*{Conclusion}

The composition of the Lexicon's entries

Theodore of Mopsuestia on the Nicene Creed (Cambridge: W. Heffer and Sons, 1932), 16.

Before proceeding to consider the relative importance of the Greek and Syriac lexicography for Hunayn's Arabic translation, some analysis of the composition of the entries of bar Bahlul's Lexicon may prove instructive. For this reason I shall consider here the quantities of definitions contained in the Greek and Syriac entries and the frequency with which the various authors cited by bar Bahlul appear in them. Although these counts are approximate, rely significantly on my subjective judgment, and in a few places in the examples of Syriac lexicography are taken from abridged entries, they still should provide a general idea concerning the varying patterns of Greek and Syriac scholarship discoverable in bar Bahlul's Lexicon.

In the selections above, I presented 41 entries containing definitions of Greek words. These 41 entries contain 65 headwords. Of these 65 headwords, 27 were left unattributed by the compiler, 10 are referred to 'a manuscript', and four are attributed expressly to Hunayn. Thus, following bar Bahlul's own statement regarding unattributed definitions discussed in the opening section of this chapter, 41 of the 65 definitions of these Greek entries are attributable to Hunayn. \({ }^{99}\) Of the remaining, 18 are attributed to bar Serošway, two to Sergius, two to Paul of Aegina, two to 'others', and one to Jibrīl ibn Bukhtīshū'.

38 entries for Syriac words occur above, containing 134 definitions. Of these 134,65 were left unattributed, 10 are referred to 'a manuscript', and six are attributed expressly to Hunayn. All told, then, 81 are attributable to Hunayn. Of
99. Although the attribution to Hunayn of unattributed entries may of course be challenged in any specific case, I see no reason to dispute the general validity of bar Bahlul's statement.
the remainder, 20 are referred to bar Serošway, ten were provided by bar Bahlul himself with the phrase 'I say' (aqūl), seven are referred to Zakariya, five to alMarwazī, four to the Book of Paradise, and three to 'others'.

A little less than two-thirds of the entries in both categories are attributable to Hunayn, so bar Bahlul's level of reliance upon the famous translator may be said to be comparable in both Greek and Syriac. The two categories naturally differ in the lists of authors to whom bar Bahlul attributed definitions. Five authors are mentioned as sources for the definitions of Greek words: Hunayn, bar Serošway, Sergius, Paul, and Jibrīl ibn Bukhtīshū'. For the definitions of Syriac words, however, eight authors are cited: Hunayn, bar Serošway, bar Bahlul himself, Zakariya, al-Marwazī, Paul, the Book of Paradise, and Masị̣̄. This points to a readily-intuitable fact, namely that the number of Syriac-speaking authors working in Greek was less than that of such authors working in Syriac during this period.

Several patterns emerge in comparing these two sets of figures. Most obviously, the Syriac entries tend to include more headwords than do the Greek entries, or, to put it another way, the Greek entries tend to define very specific senses of the words in question. Furthermore, the definitions for Syriac headwords are more likely to be extended beyond the simple listing of synonyms. In general, there tends to be a reasonably clear distinction between the glosses attributed to the various authors. In particular, regarding the problem of the relationship between the lexicography of Hunayn and that of bar Serošway mentioned at the beginning of the chapter, it appears that the two authors usually offer independent interpretations. However, there are examples of significant overlap between the two, as in the discussion of \(\alpha v \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma\) in unit (2.8).

Greek lexicography, Syriac lexicography, and the Arabic translations

While numerous Greek words identified by Duval in bar Bahlul's Lexicon are also present in the Aphorisms, they represent a definite minority of all the words found in the Hippocratic work. Only about a third of the words beginning with alpha are also present in the Lexicon. In considering these entries systematically, furthermore, this proportion suffers attrition from various causes. Some of these result from Duval's identifications, which at times are or appear to be little more than guesses. Others may be proved incorrect with closer scrutiny, although this is relatively rare. Also significant are Duval's identifications which declare etymologically-Greek Syriac words to be Greek without qualification, as for example regarding the entry for \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta\) treated above in unit (2.2).

Despite all of these qualifications, it is clear that relevant examples of Greek-to-Arabic lexicography are discoverable in the Lexicon. That said, it is also clear that a significantly larger number of Syriac-to-Arabic entries of the Lexicon of bar Bahlul (and that of bar 'Ali as well, where I have cited it) are representative of Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms. When the Greek-centred approach I have followed in this chapter is taken into account, the latter point is only made sharper. Since the Lexicon is much more broadly representative of the Syriac language than it is of the Greek, an approach to Hunayn's translation that took the words of the Syriac Aphorisms as its starting point would very likely display a similar pattern agreement between the lexicography and the Arabic translations.

This evidence tends to indicate that Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms was made with some sort of reference to a Syriac exemplar. However,
this does not necessarily mean that the work was translated solely from Hunayn's Syriac version. It is here that the Greek entries of bar Bahlul's Lexicon can really contribute to the argument. This may be seen in the discussion of the translations of the word \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha \tau \leqslant \frac{1}{\prime}\) (unit 2.10 of this chapter), perhaps the strongest example given above. There, the Arabic equivalent in the Aphorisms is found in the entry for the Syriac equivalent, and bar Bahlul adds that Hunayn had 'rectified' the relationship between the two languages. While the Greek entry contains neither the Syriac or Arabic equivalents, it does reflect quite well Ḥunayn's rendition of Galen's explanation of the sense of \(\ddot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha\) in his commentary on the aphorism. Even with the caveat that Hunayn composed his Syriac translation of the Hippocratic lemmas separately from his Syriac version of Galen's Commentary, this example still gives evidence that a certain division of labour between Greek and Syriac lexicography was a part of Hunayn's translation praxis.

Here too, however, the continuing uncertainty regarding the authorship of the Syriac translation is keenly felt. If it were certain that Hunayn did in fact compose the work, comparisons amongst the Greek original and the several translations could be expected to determine quite clearly whether he relied more on the Greek original or the Syriac in producing his Arabic version. As it is, however, if we are to assume that Hunayn was the author of the Syriac Aphorisms, there begins to appear a certain inconsistency in the evidence. Despite some exceptions, a strong relationship exists between bar Bahlul's Syriac-Arabic lexicography and Hunayn's Arabic version of the Aphorisms. However, there also exist at times very severe differences between the textual interpretations of the Syriac and Arabic versions of the Hippocratic work, as well as important differences in style. Thus, although we may be reasonably sure that a Syriac version played some role in the production of Hunayn's Arabic translation, it
seems just as unlikely, if not more so, that the extant Syriac Aphorisms was the text he actually used.

With that said, the examples presented above demonstrate clearly that the Syriac lexicons contain an abundance of material relevant to Hunayn's Greek-toArabic translation. Despite often strong variations between Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac version, the equivalents found in the latter often provide a reasonably good guide for uncovering Ḥunayn's Syriac-Arabic glossography. Even when it seems clear that an equivalent given in the Syriac Aphorisms was not preferred by Hunayn in his own Syriac translation, the content of bar Bahlul's entries may be used to trace possible alternatives. With the general relationship between these translations and the Syriac lexicons thus clarified, it should be possible to make informed use of the latter in order to make reference to the important Syriac elements of Hunayn's translation praxis.

\section*{CHAPTER TWO}

\section*{Greek loan-words in the Syriac Aphorisms and Hẹunayn's Arabic translation techniques}

In the previous chapter, I examined the relationships between the Syriac and Arabic translations of the Hippocratic Aphorisms and the Syriac Lexicon of Hasan bar Bahlul with the primary aim of gaining an understanding of the part played by Greek and Syriac lexicography in the production of Heunayn ibn Isḥāq's Arabic translations. Building on that material, in this second chapter I seek to elucidate further the relationship between the lexicographical scholarship of Hunayn and his school and the translator's approach to medical translation.

In a number of important contributions, Sebastian Brock has traced the development of Syriac receptivity to Greek style and vocabulary. \({ }^{100}\) A spirit of admiration for the cultural achievements and intellectual expertise of classical Greek writers found its expression in the changes Syriac writers made to their language over the course of several centuries. Grammatical constructions and idioms borrowed from Greek came to be more and more prominent in the usage
100. Most recently, in Sebastian Brock, 'Charting the Hellenization of a Literary Culture: The Case of Syriac', Intellectual History of the Islamicate World 3(1-2) (2015). See also idem., 'From Antagonism to Assimilation: Syriac Attitudes to Greek Learning', in East of Byzantium: Syria and Armenia in the Formative Period, Nina Garsoïan et al. eds., (Washington, D. C.: Dumbarton Oaks, 1980); as well as papers collected in Syriac Perspectives on Late Antiquity (London: Variorum, 1984).
of Syriac writers and thinkers. As part of this process, Greek loan-words came to be relied upon ever more greatly in place or in absence of native Syriac equivalents.

As will be demonstrated below, there is strong evidence to suggest that this receptivity to Greek vocabulary was not shared by the Arabic literary audience. In fact, in his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms, Hunayn very often made extensive efforts to avoid using vocabulary derived from the Greek. This is one of the most salient differences between the Syriac and Arabic approaches to the translation of the Aphorisms.

Duval's Greek index to bar Bahlul's Lexicon regularly includes what are in effect etymologically-Greek Syriac words. Because of this, one of the more obvious uses of this index is to trace the effects of the contrasting Syriac and Arabic approaches to Greek loan-words. In order to do this I have identified numerous examples of translations in the Syriac Aphorisms wherein the Greek word is in effect left untranslated in the Syriac version. In these cases, that is, a Greek loan-word in Syriac stands for the very Greek word from which it was derived. I have proceeded to analyze the translations and lexicographical treatments of these words along similar lines to those adopted in the previous chapter. Rather than focusing primarily upon the part played by the lexicography in these relationships, however, here I categorize the material according to the character of Hunayn's Arabic translations of these words.

In the first part, I present studies of Greek words whose Arabic translations in Ḥunayn's version of the Aphorisms display a high degree of instability, usually owing to the translator's use of clause-length explicating translations. In the second part, I treat Greek words whose Arabic translations by Hunayn in the Aphorisms are relatively stable, but which show evidence for the translator's
having worked to establish new terminological equivalents. In the third part, I present Greek words for which both the Arabic and the Syriac translations either deploy nativized equivalents derived from that self-same Greek word, or for which there is no reason not to assume continuity between Hunayn's Arabic translation techniques and the earlier stages of the translation movement.

In adopting this method of organization, I hope first and foremost to point out potential consequences of Syriac's Hellenizing tendency for Hiunayn's Arabic translations. In a broader context, these consequences could be shown to represent a kind of negative Syriac influence upon the translations. These studies would then constitute test cases which could be used to answer certain questions. Firstly, how deep was the influence of the trend toward adoption of Greek vocabulary in Syriac medicine? In other words, did the Syriac glossographers treat these Greek words as fully native, or were etymologically-Syriac equivalents resorted to in order to explain them? If not, to what extent did the absence of a significant effort in developing native vocabulary on the part of Syriac translators influence or limit Ḥunayn's Arabic translation technique?

Many of the Greek words that Syriac medical writers adopted were relatively central to the art of medicine. For this reason, detailed consideration of these words allows for a closer approach to the history of medicine strictly speaking than was afforded by the words treated in the first chapter. This approach thus will regularly display the value of bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the history of Syriac and Arabic medicine and medical translation.

\section*{Section One}

In this section, I compare the translations and lexicographical background of

Greek words in the Aphorisms for which the Syriac version of that work employs the self-same borrowed from the Greek, and for which Hunayn's Arabic translations display a high degree of instability.
1.1

\section*{аіцороӧ̈єє}
67:21 ام0مب,;إمس التوت وهو جنس من البواسير"

Awmudrasis, al-tūt, \({ }^{101}\) which is a type of hemorrhoids (al-bawāsīr).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { المقعدة وينقطع ويخرج منه دم كثير" }
\end{aligned}
\]

Hèmuruidēs, this is the vein that surrounds the anus, when it is cut and there flows forth from it much blood. The fine vein that surrounds the anus, when it is cut and there flows forth from it much blood.

6
Hēmurraidēs, hemorrhoids (al-bawāsīr). In a manuscript, it is mmurduaidēs.

Forms of the word aimoроoïs occur four times in the Aphorisms. For all of these examples, the Syriac translation gives a form of the self-same word borrowed from Greek. For three occurrences of the word clustered together in book six of the Aphorisms, Hunayn gives al-bawāsīr as a translation. However, for the other instance, found in aphorism iii. 30, Hunayn gives a five-word exegetical

\footnotetext{
101. Al-tūt means 'mulberry', but it appears that a different sense is intended here.
}
translation, infitāh afwāh al- urūq min asfal ('the opening of the mouths of the veins from below'). As will be seen in other places below, this aphorism in particular contains several exegetical translations. It may be that Hunayn consciously preferred to explicate here in response to the context of Galen's commentary on the text.

This explicating translation appears all the more exceptional when the broader translation tradition as treated by Ullmann is taken into account. Along with the citation of one of the aphorisms from book six mentioned above, the translations of forms of diцорроïs from three other works are cited in his Wörterbuch. All of these likewise employ a form of al-bawāsīr. \({ }^{102}\)

Turning to the entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, the definition found at 616:7 explains the symptoms of the disease without providing a single-word equivalent. The Syriac and Arabic glosses correspond to one another almost exactly. The only significant variation is the addition of the word 'fine' (al-daqīq) to the Arabic definition. The definition provides a technical explanation of the phenomenon which, while detailed, does not overlap significantly with the exegetical translation in Hunayn's version of aphorism iii. 30. In contrast, the definition given at 637:5 simply provides al-bawāsīr as a synonym for the Greek word, and thus could easily have been drawn from a translator's working glossary.
1.2

\section*{\(\varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon o ́ \varsigma\)}
102. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 82-83.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { حسهعما loo الحم, ورم المعا التتّاله }
\end{aligned}
\]

Ailāos in a manuscript, it is glossed 'God have pity'. One of the types of colic in which people discharge sediment. The meaning of its name is 'Oh my Lord have mercy'. Pain of the bowels. According to bar Serošway alêaws, which is a disease that occurs in the bowels and is perilous. Those that die this death deserve to have pity shown to them. A deadly inflammation of the bowels.
(167:25-27

Alêaos, this is pain, contortion, and recession (hpuky \(\bar{a}\) ) of the bowels. A disease that occurs in the small intestine. He called this disease krodopos ( \(\chi\) O \(\rho \delta \alpha \psi o ́ s)^{103}\). It cannot be treated. This pain is 'Oh my Lord have mercy'.

Forms of \(\varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon\) ćs occur three times in the Aphorisms, and the Syriac translation gives the borrowed Greek word for all of them. Hunayn's Arabic version of the work, for its part, shows what appears to be a definite process of development. His translations run as follows:
\[
\text { iii. } 22 \text { القولنج الثديد اللي يسمّيه اليونانيون إيلاوس }
\]

The severe colic that the Greeks call \(\bar{\imath} l a \bar{a} \bar{u} s\)
103. According to Duval's identification. The word is defined in Liddell-Scott as ' \(a\) disease in the great guts, identical to cìdeós in the small ones'.
vi. 44

The colic known as \(\bar{c} l \bar{a} \bar{u} s\) and whose explanation is 'that from which refuge is sought’ (al-musta ādh minhu)
\[
\text { vii. } 10 \text { القولنج المستعاذ منه }
\]

The colic from which refuge is sought (al-musta \({ }^{\text {a }} \bar{a} \underline{d}\) minhu)

In the first example, Hunayn gives a description and a transliteration of the Greek term without giving the disease a native Arabic name. In the second, he both gives the transliteration and provides an Arabic name for the disease. In the third, he writes only the Arabic name given in the second example. This could be explained by saying that, when Hunayn began his translation of the Aphorisms, no accepted Arabic equivalent for \(\varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon\) cós existed. Being discontent with the transliteration of the Greek, he endeavoured to introduce a new Arabic name for the term.

A definition attributable to Hunayn is found in each of the two relevant entries from bar Bahlul. Arabic predominates in the first, while Syriac predominates in the second. Both describe symptoms of the disease, but the two descriptions do not overlap significantly. The Syriac definition is somewhat more detailed than the Arabic. Neither provides a single native name for the disease, but both suggest calques that are roughly equivalent to one another. \({ }^{104}\)

There is some evidence that the 13th-century commentator al-Kīlān̄̄ had recourse either to bar Bahlul's Lexicon or to work that drew upon it. Al-Kīlānī begins his discussion of \(\varepsilon\) ì̉cós in his commentary on aphorism iii. 22 by
repeating again Hunayn's translation, 'The severe colic that the Greeks call \(\bar{l} l \bar{a} ' \bar{u} s\) '. He then writes, 'its meaning is "My Lord, have mercy"' (ma nāhu rabb arham), which is almost identical to the definitions given in the entries from bar Bahlul. \({ }^{105}\)
1.3

\section*{غ̇лілдооৎ}

Hêpiplos according to bar Serošway, this is adipose membranes, adipose membranes (al-tharb).

Forms of this Greek word occur three times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac translation gives the borrowed Greek word in each case. Hunayn in his Arabic version translated each of these instances slightly differently. For the occurrence of the word in aphorism v. 46, he employed the long explicating translation alghish \(\bar{a}^{\prime}\) al-bātin min ghishā̀̄ al-baṭn alladhī yusammā al-tharb 'the innermost membrane of the membranes of the belly which is called al-tharb'. For the occurrence in aphorism vi. 58, he gave simply al-tharb 'the adipose membrane'. Finally, in aphorism vii. 55, he preferred the usage al-ghishā' al-bātin 'the interior membrane'.

The entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon attributed to bar Serošway conforms reasonably well with Ḥunayn's Arabic translations. Ullmann notes some variation
105. Nicola Carpentieri et al. eds, Sharh al-Kitāb al-Fuṣūl li-Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad Al-Kīlānı̄ (ARABCOMMAPH/editions/al-Kīlānī/al-Kīlānī bk. i.- iv. 72 ), 58.
in the approaches to translating this word found in other Arabic sources. Whereas in the Arabic version of Aristotle's History of Animals al-tharb serves in place of forms of \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \pi \lambda 0 o \varsigma\), the word al-marāqq 'diaphragm' is employed in the Arabic version of the same author's Parts of Animals. \({ }^{106}\)
1.4

\section*{kívסvvos}

1737:17 مهبمهو ضيق شدة خطر جهـه
Qundinus, narrowness, severity, danger (khaṭar), struggle.

Qyundinus in a manuscript, narrowness or violence. According to Paul, destruction (halāk), and according to bar Serošway, harm.
1775:7 مببهده الجهـ الخطر الضيق الثدة؛

Qindunus, struggle, danger (khaṭar), narrowness, severity.

In the Syriac version of the Aphorisms, the various forms of kivovvos occurring in the Aphorisms are all translated with the self-same word borrowed from the Greek. This approach extends to the compounds \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \kappa i v \delta v v o \varsigma ~ a n d ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa i ́ v \delta v o s ~ a s ~\) well. In his Arabic translation, Hunayn adopted varying approach. He used the equivalent al-khatar 'danger' most frequently, but at times preferred instead phrases like lā yu'min 'alayh '(the patient) is not safe from'.
106. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 259.

Translations of forms of \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \imath \kappa i v \delta u v o ̧\) and \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa i ́ v \delta v v o ̧ ̧\) survive in the early Arabic version of aphorism iv. 43. The former is rendered there with the phrase aqrab ilā halāk 'closer to destruction' while the latter is translated ab ad ilā halāk 'further from destruction'. Importantly, the use of halāk here places al-Bitrī̄q's approach to translating kivovvos in close agreement with the definition of the word attributed to Paul of Aegina in bar Bahlul's entry at 1769:11. In contrast, Hunayn's usage in the Aphorisms, al-khatar, occurs in the unattributed entries from the Lexicon cited above.
1.5

\section*{\(\boldsymbol{\kappa \iota \rho \sigma o ́ \varsigma ~}\)}


Qirsu according to our teacher, black humours that occur in the veins of the legs. The folk of Syria call it 'al-dāliya', which is varicose veins ('irq al-madīn̄̄, lit. veins of the city-dweller'). It is also called qnaw.
1848:18 م:سه آب ح: ه:ة العرق الديني"؛

Qrsu according to bar Serošway, varicose veins.

Forms of the word кıрбóg occur three times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac version gives a form of the borrowed Greek word in each case. Hunayn's Arabic translation adopts a different approach for each instance. In aphorism vi. 21, Hunayn employed the translation ittisā al- urūq allat̄̄ tu'raf bil-dawāl̄̀ 'the expansion of the veins that is known as varicose veins (al-dawāl \(\bar{\imath}\) '. Forms of

кıюбós occur twice in aphorism vi. 34, and for the first of these, the translator used a different explicative translation, al- urūq allatı̄ tattasi‘ allat̄̄ tu raf bildawālu 'veins which have expanded that are known as varicose veins (al\(d a w \bar{a} l \bar{\imath})^{\prime}\). For the second, the single word al-dawā \(\bar{l}\) serves.

In the entry for кıрбó at 1781:9 in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, Hunayn gives an aetiology for the disease and then mentions two different names for it. One of these is al-dāliya, the singular of al-dawāl \(\bar{\imath}\), which he links to the 'folk of Syria'. He explains this word with the phrase irq al-madīn̄ 'veins of the city-dweller'. Another entry for кıрбó̧ in Duval's Greek index to bar Bahlul's Lexicon begins with a native Syriac name for the disease, dalāyt \(\bar{a}\), and reads as follows:

577:2 بخـرا العريش والعروق التي تتبع في الساقين المعروفة بالدوالي وباليونانيّة مم:مهص قورسوس الاغصـان

 Dalāytā, bowers, and the veins which emerge in the legs known as al-dawāl̄̄ (al'urūq allatī tanba' fī al-sāqayn al-ma'rūf bil-dawālī), and in Greek qirsus, qūrsūs. Branches in the vine that are outstretched. In a manuscript, dalāytāh armyat \(b\) ar \(\bar{a}\) (its branches extended in the earth), its branches. According to Zakariya and according to bar Serošway dalāyt \(\bar{a}\), the shoots of the vine which arise upon the tree and are left without pruning. According to others, tamnos ( \(\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \mathrm{o}\) ) is the branches of the vine that are trellised.

The initial description of varicose veins in this entry agrees with Hunayn's translations of кıрбós in the Aphorisms in important respects. In this case, the Syriac word dalāytā serves as a locus for the establishment of the Arabic
equivalent al-dāliya. \({ }^{107}\) The following definitions suggest the metaphorical extension of the sense of 'vine-shoots' or 'trellised vines' to the veins on the legs of those suffering from the disease. It could be argued that the entry at 1781:9 indicates that Hunayn established al-dāliya in literary Arabic medical terminology. His attribution of the usage to ahl al-shām 'the folk of Syria' may refer to speakers of Syriac, or alternatively to Arabic speakers in Syria who had taken the Syriac word over into their vernacular.

\section*{1.6}
\(\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \alpha \rho \gamma \sigma \varsigma\)
 Litargo, wanderers (ḍullāl). Lit̄ārgos, this is forgetfulness. Litargiqāitu, forgetfully, forgetfully (bil-nisyān).
\(\Lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \alpha \rho \gamma o \varsigma\) occurs in the Aphorisms once, in aphorism iii. 30, in the form of the plural substantive \(\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \alpha \rho \gamma o t\). Its context is a list of diseases that occur in middle age. The Syriac gives the borrowed Greek word, while Ḥunayn's Arabic gives a five-word explicative translation, al-ḥummā allat̄̄ yakūnu máahāal-sahar (the fever with which insomnia occurs). This example again seems to emphasize the lengths to which Hunayn went in avoiding the inclusion of transliterated Greek terms in his version of the Aphorisms.

Ullmann notes translations of this word and the related form \(\lambda \eta \theta \alpha \rho \gamma<\kappa\) ós. \({ }^{108}\)

\section*{107. Al-d \(\bar{a} l i y a\) is derived from dalā\(y \underline{t} \bar{a}\), according to Brockelmann.}
108. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 391.

One of these is an occurrence of the word in Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms, the context of which is given as a fragment taken from al-Rāzī's Hāā̄ . Although Mimura's edition of Galen's work gives a substantially different text from that found in the Wörterbuch, they do agree that in this context Hunayn gave a transliteration of the Greek word rather than providing a native equivalent. \({ }^{109}\) Several citations from the Arabic version of Dioscorides' Materia Medica likewise transliterate this Greek word. One example from Book 11 of Galen's On Simple Drugs gives a native equivalent. There, the phrase tò \(\lambda \eta \theta \alpha \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi о \rho ı \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta\) is translated \(f \grave{\imath}\) jamī 'ilal al-nisyān aw fī subāt 'in all the diseases of forgetfulness or in sleeping sickness'.

Of all the available translations, this last is the closest to any of the definitions of the term collected by bar Bahlul, whereas Hunayn's explicating translation in aphorism iii. 30 is not reflected in any substantial way in that entry from the Lexicon. The final headword in the entry litargiqā\(\hat{i} i t\) is a nativized adverb, and the Arabic equivalent is etymologically related to the Syriac equivalent metnašyānutā. A short entry for the Syriac equivalent mentioned in the Lexicon reads as follows:

1197:11 مدمنمتهما/ آه ح: ه;ة النسيان،
Meṭnašyānutā according to bar Serošway, forgetfulness (al-nisyān).
1.7

\section*{\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi о \lambda i ́ a / \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi о \lambda ı \kappa \alpha ́\)}
 Mānkoliqyā according to bar Serošway, disorder of the mind and annihilation of the intelligence occurring without fever, disorder of the mind.

Mānkoliqyā according to bar Serošway, disorder of the mind and annihilation of the intelligence occurring with fever, disorder of the mind.
1021:15 مدلالصهحما سوداءث

Mêlankoly \(\bar{a}\), melancholy (sawdā').
1021:20 دهملا|حهحار الوسواس الاحتراق السوداوي"؛

Mêlankolyā, burning melancholy madness.

 Mêlankoliqya, melancholy. (According to) Hunayn, delusion (waswās), and likewise said al-Marwazī. And according to bar Serošway it is a type of madness (occurring) due to black bile, epilepsy due to black bile.
1085:3 هيلا آمَ ح: ه:ْة الحُزن الكره ص:هـا/"

Mlē according to bar Serošway, dejection, abhorrence, abhorrence.

Forms of the word \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi 0 \lambda i ́ \alpha\) and related words occur several times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac Aphorisms consistently utilizes a form of the borrowed Greek word. Hunayn's Arabic translations develop relatively consistently from
the word al-sawd \(\bar{a}\) ' 'melancholy', but in several cases expand the text. For
 man al-ghālibu 'alayhi al-mirra al-sawdā', 'those in whom black bile predominates', while the Syriac gives simply l-mêlankoliqāye 'for (those who are) melancholic'. For instances that refer more particularly to the disease 'melancholy', the phrase al-waswās al-sawdāw̄̄ 'melancholy delusion' is usually given in Hunayn's translation. In aphorism iii. 14, these two approaches are combined, producing the phrase al-waswās al- 'āriḍu min al-sawd \(\bar{a}\) ' 'delusion produced by melancholy'. The numerous texts wherein Hunayn varied his approach to translating this Greek word display on the one hand the translator's reader-oriented style, but on the other hand indicate that the Arabic medical lexicon had not fully adopted a single, catch-all equivalent word that referred both to the disease and to its underlying humoural aetiology.

Examples of translations of \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda_{ı} \alpha \alpha\) survive in both the earlier Syriac and the early Arabic versions. The early Syriac version in particular utilizes significantly different terminology when compared with the later Syriac version. For the occurrence of the term in aphorism iii. 20, the fragment of the Aphorisms taken from the Syriac Epidemics translates with the phrase bnay mertā ukkāmtā \({ }^{110}\) 'diseases (literally 'sons') of black bile'. This is a good example of the historical trend towards lexical Graecization in Syriac between the 6th and 9th centuries.

The early Arabic version of aphorism vi. 23 is also extant. The aphorism reads as follows:
110. Kessel: حت م:ـا/ امحمد.
 If fear or despondency continue for a long time, the affliction is melancholic.

Hunayn in his Arabic version translated the final phrase \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi 0 \lambda 1 \kappa o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ ~\) rooṽ̃ov with the words fa-illatuhu sawdawiyatun 'then his illness is melancholic', while al-Bitrī̄q employed the phrase fa-dhālika yașīr ilā al-mirra al-sawd \(\bar{a}\) ' 'then that results in black bile'. The clear difference in interpretation extends beyond the varying approaches to the grammatical force of the nominal predicate to the terminological treatment of \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda\) ıкóv. Whereas Hunayn employed an adjective derived from the standardizing term for melancholy illness sawdawiya, the early Arabic translator preferred to make reference to the humoural sense of the Greek term. \({ }^{111}\)

The entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon given above display a relatively strong relationship with the translations of \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda i \alpha \alpha\) in the Aphorisms. An entry for the equivalent of \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi 0 \lambda_{1} \kappa \alpha\) in the Syriac Epidemics occurs under the headword mertā in bar Bahlul's Lexicon:

```

i fornم بعب;

```


111. For a discussion of the treatment of this aphorism in the later Arabic tradition, see N. Peter Joose and Peter E. Pormann, 'Commentaries on the Hippocratic Aphorisms in the Arabic Tradition: The Example of Melancholy' in Epidemics in Context, Peter E. Pormann ed., (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2012).

Mertā in a manuscript, bile. Mertā a sumāqtā ('red bile') according to bar Serošway, it is a hot and dry humour that is constituted properly of the element of fire. Its dwelling is in the gall, and its power is in the stomach, yellow bile. Mer \(\underline{t} \bar{a}\) \(u k k \bar{a} m t \underline{a} \bar{a}\) according to bar Serošway, it is a cold and dry humour whose constitution is properly of the element of earth. Its dwelling is in the spleen, and its power is around the kidneys, black bile. Mertā nașopt \(\bar{a} \bar{a}\) according to bar Serošway, clear bile. Mertā harut dust-coloured bile. Merāt \(\underline{t}\), usually with the long-a vowel, the head.
1.8

\section*{甲pevĩtıs}
 Pêrnitis in a manuscript, chronic ravings occurring with fevers, phrenitis (sarsām), he introduced phrenitis (birsām).

 حصهما ب;ֹعا الورم الحارّ الحادث في الدماغءٌ

Prênitis in a manuscript, chronic ravings that (occur) with fevers, phrenitis (sarsām), which is phrenitis (birsām). It is said (to be) swelling of the brain. (According to) Paul, phrenitis (birsām), and according to Zakariya and bar Serošway, hot swellings that are in the head, hot swellings that happen in the head, phrenitis (birsām), madness. A hot swelling that occurs in the brain, hot swellings that occur in the brain.
 Aphorisms. \({ }^{112}\) As discussed below, an additional occurrence is attested as a secondary reading for the word veppıtıкoĩбıv 'kidney disease' in Magdelaine's edition of aphorism vi. 11, based in part on the Arabic and Syriac translations of the work considered here. In translating three of these four occurrences, the Syriac translator employed the etymologically-Greek borrowing prênitits. For the occurrence in aphorism vi. 11, however, the word s \(s \bar{a} \underline{b} r \bar{a}\) 'raving' is given instead.

Hunayn's Arabic translations of \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon v i ̃ \tau \iota \varsigma\) in the Hippocratic lemmas display a high degree of instability. For the instance of the word in aphorism iii. 30, the long explicating translation al-hummā allat̄̄ yakūn mahā ikhtilāt al-aql 'the fever with which disorder of the mind occurs' is given. In that found in aphorism iv. 72, a different explicating translation reads al-hummā allatı̄ ma'a waram aldimāgh 'the fever that occurs with swelling of the brain'. The Arabic translations of the occurrences of words related to \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon\) vitis in aphorisms vi. 11 and vii. 12 both give the word al-birsām 'phrenitis'. In several manuscripts of the various Arabic commentaries on the Aphorisms, the alternate form al-sarsām, also meaning 'phrenitis', occurs in place of al-birsām. \({ }^{113}\)

This equivalence between al-sarsām and al-birsām is somewhat
112. A fourth occurrence is found in aphorism vii. 83, but since this aphorism was not included in any of the translations under consideration, it is outside the scope of the thesis.
113. Mimura lists some of these variations in the apparatus to his edition of the Arabic version of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms. Mimura, Tafsīr Jālīnūs, VI 24 n. 253.
controversial in the Arabic tradition. For example, in the text of the Hippocratic lemma given in Amīn al-Dawla ibn al-Quff's commentary on aphorism vi. 11, the form al-sarsām is employed rather than al-birsām. In his commentary, ibn alQuff writes, 'In some manuscripts al-birsām appears for al-sarsām, but this is a mistake. Hippocrates' saying concerns diseases, and al-birsām is one of the diseases of the chest'. \({ }^{114}\) Ibn al-Quff seems to have relied upon the Persian etymology of these two words in making this judgment: in that language, sar means 'head', bir means 'chest', and sām in combination with these signifies 'disease'. \({ }^{115}\)

However reasonable this argument may be, the entries which Duval
 that Hunayn and other translators considered the two words to be equivalent and to refer to a disease of the brain. While these entries also do refer obliquely to the origin of this equivalence, the evidence they give is somewhat contradictory. In the entry at 1497:16, which bar Bahlul refers to 'a manuscript' and so is therefore relatively strongly attributable to Hunayn, sarsām is given as the first Arabic equivalent for \(\varphi p \varepsilon v i \tau \tau c s\). This is followed by the phrase \(j \vec{a} \vec{a}\) bih birsām 'he
114. Nicola Carpentieri et al. eds., Al-Uṣūl fī Sharh al-Fuṣūl li-Abī al-Faraj ibn alQuff (ARABCOMMAPH/editions/QUF book 6), 17.
115. The particular distinction between these two disease-names appears to have been lost in the centuries intervening between the translation movement and ibn alQuff's lifetime. For an earlier explanation of these terms written by the medical theorist Yáqūb al-Kaskarī, see Peter E. Pormann, 'Theory and Practice in the Early Hospitals in Baghdad: Al-Kaškarī on Rabies and Melancholy', Zeitschrift für Geschichte der Arabische-Islamischen Wissenschaften no. 15 (2003): 242.
introduced for it birsām'. This would seem to be reasonable grounds for attributing its introduction to Hunayn. However, in the entry at 1607:3 birsām is
 traditionally the authorship of the Arabic translation of Paul's Pragmateia has been ascribed to Hunayn, in that case it would still be unclear what exactly bar Bahlul intended in citing Paul as a distinct source.

Most of the elements of the various translations of the Aphorisms are found in the Greek entries cited above. The one missing phrase, ikhtilāt al- aql in Hunayn's translation of iii. 30, does occur in an entry for the etymologically Syriac equivalent of \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon v i ̃ \tau \iota \varsigma, s ̦ a b r a \bar{a}\) :
 \(S\) Sab \(\underline{b} \bar{a} r a \bar{a}\), from șāborut \(\bar{a}\), in a manuscript delusion (waswās), and according to bar Serošway disorder of the mind (ikhtilāṭ al-aql), raving, loss of reason. (According to) others, disorder of the mind (ikhtilāt al-dhihn).

\section*{Section Two}

In this section, I compare the translations and lexicographical background of Greek words in the Aphorisms for which the Syriac version gives the self-same word borrowed from the Greek as an equivalent, and for which Hunayn's Arabic translations display a high degree of stability.
2.1


Atllayṭtuta, an athlete (atlayṭā), a wrestler (muṣāri'), a fighter (munādii), a striver (mukādiḥ). According to bar Serošway, courageous, brave. According to Hunayn, a wrestler (șirrī̀). In a manuscript atlaytutua, the good things present with the sultan. In the Book of Paradise, all of the great nobles who are mighty and glorious in battle.

This word occurs a single time in the Aphorisms, in aphorism i. 15. The Syriac version gives the borrowed Greek word, while Hunayn's Arabic translates it with al-sirrı̄ ' ūn 'wrestlers'. Ullmann notes examples of Arabic translations for \(\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma\) and the related word \(\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau 1 \kappa o ́ s ~ f r o m ~ a ~ v a r i e t y ~ o f ~ w o r k s . ~ A ~ t e x t ~ f r o m ~\) the Hippocratic On Regimen uses the same form as that found in the Aphorisms, but the usual word employed there is the related al-muṣāri, also meaning 'wrestler'. \({ }^{117}\)

These translations roughly correspond to the definition attributed explicitly to Hunayn in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, șirrī̀. The series of synonyms given at the beginning of the entry indicate a certain instability in the reception of the term in Arabic, contrasting with the regularity of the attested translations. The word seems to have been thoroughly integrated into the Syriac language, such that no single native synonym needed to be cited to clarify its meaning.

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \pi \lambda \eta \xi i{ }^{\prime} \alpha\)}
116. Duval: السلبطان.
117. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 75.
 Apoloksia, stroke (šuttāqā), stroke (sakta), according to Zakariya in (one) manuscript, apopliksia, and according to Sergius 'being deprived' (meštalhānā), and likewise bar Serošway.




Apopolikia (according to) Paul, abūliqsīya, nullity of sense and action in the governing parts. Hippocrates says that his leg became apoplectic; its meaning is that this sleeping occurred in one leg only. This disease consists of the loss of sense and action. He said another time, hemiplegia (fālij). Again, apopleksia, stroke, stroke. \({ }^{118}\)

Aplpāsia (according to) bar Serošway, stroke (šuttāqā), stroke (sakta)

Apslsia, according to bar Serošway sudden death (mawtā d-menšel), sudden death (mawt al-faja).

Forms of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \pi \lambda \eta \xi \dot{\prime} \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \pi \lambda \eta \xi 1 \varsigma\), and the related adjective \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \sigma \varsigma\) occur
118. I have followed Pormann in the reading of this passage and in much of the translation. Pormann, Oriental Tradition, 19.
seven times in the Aphorisms. Both the Syriac translation and Hunayn's Arabic version use generally well-established equivalents for these instances, the former giving a form of the borrowed Greek word, the latter giving a form of al-sakta 'stroke'. There are exceptions in both versions; although they are relatively minor, their description will bring up a few points of interest.

In aphorism vi. 56, Hunayn's Arabic version employs the hendiadys alsakta wal-fälij 'stroke and hemiplegia' to translate the phrase \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \pi \lambda \varepsilon \xi\) 'inv \(\tau 0 \mathrm{v}\) \(\sigma\) ब́ \(\mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma .{ }^{119}\) The Syriac too departs from its normal course in rendering this phrase. Instead of using the borrowed Greek term, it translates with mrašlutā 'paralysis'. It may be that both Hunayn and the translator of the Syriac Aphorisms were motivated to modify their usual approach to translating \(\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi \lambda \eta \xi \dot{\xi} \alpha\) in this aphorism by the context of the aphorism, which mentions several other diseases of the head, or by Galen's commentary, which discusses the generality of the effects of phlegm and melancholy in such cases. \({ }^{120}\) Another exception occurs in the Arabic translation of aphorism vii. 40. There, Hunayn rendered the phrase \(\hat{\eta}\)
 parts slackens'. The Syriac in this case only slightly departs from the other examples discussed, giving aw medem men pagrā apoplêtiqiya nehwā, 'or a part of the body is apoplectic'.

One relevant text from the early Arabic version also survives. In aphorism iii. 16, the term \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma} \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau\) ot occurs in the context of a list of diseases. While Hunayn's Arabic and the Syriac follow their usual approach as described above,
119. Mimura's edition differs slightly from Tytler's text, which reads simply al-fálij 'hemiplegia'.
120. Mimura ed., Tafsīr Jālīnūs, VI 129-130.
al-Biṭrīq's version translates the word with al-fālij 'hemiplegia'. This equivalent overlaps with the exceptional hendiadys used by Hunayn in aphorism vi. 56, but as an isolated example little can be concluded solely on the basis of it.

If we turn to the entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, however, it is possible to descry a certain division between the various authors' approaches to the Greek term in question which may broaden the implications of the single early Arabic example. In the definitions attributed to Paul of Aegina in the entry at 253:25, the extended descriptions of the symptoms of the disease do not include Hunayn's preferred equivalent al-sakta. Instead, this word occurs alongside the strongly synonomous Syriac word šuttāqā after a restated headword. If the verb qāla 'he said' may be taken to refer to Paul, however, bar Bahlul does attribute the equivalent al-fálij to the translation of the work Paul.

The repeated pairing of al-sakta and šuttāqā is also notable. Even though they are not clearly related in a formal etymological sense, these two words do share a common sense-development from roots meaning 'to be silent', and bear a certain phonetic resemblance to one another as well. The prominence of that native Syriac equivalent in these entries combined with the presence of several relatively extensive and complimentary definitions gives the impression that the borrowed Greek word was somewhat obscure in Syriac. Yet at the same time šuttāq \(\bar{a}\) is not very well represented in the lexicons considered here. Only a single-word entry pairing it with al-sakta in bar 'Ali's Lexicon occurs in them. \({ }^{121}\)

The other equivalent given in the Syriac Aphorisms is better represented in the lexicons. Bar Bahlul's entry for mrašlutā runs as follows:
121. Gottheil ed., Syriac-Arabic Glosses, II 425:16.

Mrašlut̄ā in a manuscript, numbness (khadar). That is, that movement (considered) by itself is lost or greatly diminished, without sensation. \({ }^{123}\) Slackening (al-istikhrā) is said for it. According to bar Serošway mrašlut̄ā is from rušālā (paralysis), slackening (al-istikhrā) of the nerves. Mrašlut̄ā d-parsup \(\bar{a}\) (paralysis of the face) is of one side, according to bar Serošway, they read this for several types of canine convulsions (haw da-qrin leh quniqus w-ap mus w-ap qpāsā \(\underline{k a l b} \bar{a} n \bar{a}),{ }^{124}\) paralysis of one side of the face (al-laqwa).

That a word related to the exceptional usage found in Hunayn's Arabic version of vii. 40, al-istikhrā, would appear so prominently in the entry for the exceptional usage mrašluta \(\bar{a}\) found in the Syriac version of vi. 56 is interesting. Given the evidence already presented that the Syriac and Arabic Aphorisms were authored by different people, this may be due to Hunayn's having used this Syriac equivalent in his Syriac version of the work rather than the borrowed Greek word.

\section*{2.3}

\section*{\(\ddot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha\)}
122. Duval:
123. Literally, 'that movement by itself without sensation is lost or greatly diminished'.
124. Both quniqus and qpāsā \(\underline{k} a l b \bar{a} n \bar{a}\) are defined as 'canine convulsions'. Although \(m u s\) is obscure, it is clearly related to these by the force of the definition.

Herumē, aromatics (afāwīh), and according to bar Serošway, perfume, scent (alṭīb). In the Book of Paradise, choice perfumes that purify. Herume \(\overline{\text {, scent }}\)

This word occurs once in the Aphorisms, in the phrase \(\dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega ́ \mu \alpha \sigma\) t \(\quad\) טरí 'fomentations containing aromatics' in aphorism v. 28. The Syriac gives the slightly modified borrowing herum \(\bar{e}\) in the phrase šuḥāna da-b-herume \(\bar{e}\) 'fomentations with aromatics'. Hunayn's Arabic version gives for this phrase altakmīd bil-afāw̄̄h 'fomentations with aromatics'. The early Arabic translation of this aphorism also exists, and for this phrase employs al-bakhūr bil-țīb 'fumigation with scent'.

Ullmann notes some examples of the related adjective \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa\) ó and verb \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha i \zeta \omega\). One of these is taken from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six, where both the early Arabic version and Henayn's version give the same basic form atyabu rīhan 'strongest in scent' for the superlative \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ\). The other two examples are taken from Dioscorides' Materia Medica. In the first of these the translator employs the verb yutayyibu 'he makes scented' to translate the verb \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\sigma} \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\), while in the second he uses the phrase ( \(f \hat{i}\) ) adwiyatin mimmā yaqa u fihi al-afāw̄̄h '(as for) a drug that has in it aromatics' to translate the substantive \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \kappa \alpha ́ s .{ }^{125}\)

Although herome is for our purposes here both a Greek and a Syriac word, we can compare entries for the native Syriac equivalent besme 'perfumes', given in the entry at \(657: 15\), to get a better sense of the possible distinctions to be made

\footnotetext{
125. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 137-138.
}
between the Greek and Syriac lexicography:

 Basāmā, perfumer (al-'atṭār). Bat besmē, censer. Besāmē with a long a-vowel on the semkat, pleasure, enjoyment. Besmā rišāyā ('choice perfumes') according to bar Serošway, scent of incense, musk, amber, and the like. Besmā, frankincense, perfume. Besmānā, scent. Besāmā mtaqaqen, perfumers, perfumers.

Much of the material in these entries overlaps with that found in the entry at 657:13 for herome . However, Hunayn's translation in the Aphorisms, al-afāw̄̄h, is notably found only in the latter. This constitutes evidence that in Hunayn's Syriac translations of the word \(\ddot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha\) and related terms would have themselves tended in general to employ herom \(\bar{e}\) rather than besm \(\bar{e}\).
2.4

\section*{\(\dot{\varepsilon ̇ \pi ı \lambda \eta \psi i ́ \alpha ~}\)}

Aipilmasia, in a manuscript epilepsy (al-ṣar'), that is pilipsia.

Aipilipisia, in a manuscript falling suddenly, sudden, unexpected falling.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { امتداد الجسد مع مضرة تنال الأفعال الرئيسة؛: }
\end{aligned}
\]

Hepilimsia according to Paul, this is rigour of the entire body, with injury of the governing power. Rigour of the body with harm befalling the governing powers.
 Hepilimsia, its meaning is the seizure of the senses, epilepsy (al-sar), falling and paralysis of the entire body.
'E \(\pi \wedge \lambda \eta \psi i ́ \alpha\) and related words occur six times in the Aphorisms. Both Hunayn's Arabic and the Syriac translation are almost entirely consistent in their renditions of them, the former translating with forms of al-sar \({ }^{\text {c }}\), the latter with the borrowed Greek word. There are no important exceptions. Ullmann's citations from works attributable to Hunayn likewise give al-sar \({ }^{〔}\) or related words, while those from works attributed to al-Biṭrīq usually give transliterations. \({ }^{126}\) In the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms, the word is translated junūn 'madness'. In the fragments of the Aphorisms found in the Syriac Epidemics, the word is also transliterated.

Of the four entries for the term found in bar Bahlul, one is attributed to Paul of Aegina, while three are attributable to Henayn. Paul's entry at 646:22 contains equivalent Arabic and Syriac translations of his definition of the term, but does not include Hunayn's standard translation al-sar \({ }^{〔}{ }^{127}\) The entry for the word at 144:20 again displays strong parallelism between the Syriac and Arabic
126. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 256-257.
127. Pormann, Oriental Tradition, 17-18. His comparison of this text with the relevant passage from the Arabic translation of Paul's Pragmateia displays important differences between the two.
definitions, but does not contain \(a l\)-sar \({ }^{\text {' either. The entry at } 143: 11 \text {, strongly }}\) attributable to Hunayn by virtue of the citation of 'a manuscript', merely lists alşar \({ }^{\text {' without explanation, accompanied by a variant Syriac transliteration. The }}\) entry at 647:21 gives a more detailed explanation in Syriac, with al-sar ' inserted in the middle. None of the entries contain a single-word native Syriac equivalent. This pattern seems may indicate that Hunayn was responsible for the introduction of \(a l-s r^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) as an equivalent for \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi ı \lambda \eta \psi i ́ \alpha\), or at least that it was established in the period intervening between al-Bitrīq's translations and his own. \({ }^{128}\)

\section*{\(\kappa \alpha \tilde{v} \sigma o \varsigma\)}



Qāwso and Qāwsus, a spasm. According to bar Serošway, it is burning (mawqdānit̄ā), burning fever (al-ḥummā al-muḥraqa). According to Hunayn, a fever occurring due to the rotting of the hot matter that is inside the veins.

Forms of this and related Greek words occur some five times in the Aphorisms. In all but one case, the Syriac version gives the self-same word borrowed from the Greek, while Ḥunayn's Arabic version translates with a form of al-hummā almuhhraqa 'burning fevers' without exception. The one exception in the Syriac version is found in aphorism iv. 54 , where the phrase \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \nu \rho \varepsilon \tau o i ̃ \sigma ı ~ \kappa \alpha v \sigma \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v\) 'in burning fevers' occurs. This contrasts with the usual use in other contexts of
\(\kappa \alpha \tilde{0} \sigma o \varsigma\) as a substantive standing alone, as in aphorism iii. 30. For this phrase, the Syriac translation gives eštāwāt̄ā mawqdāniț \(\bar{a}\) 'burning fevers'.

Ullmann notes an occurrence of the related verbal form каvбóo \(\mu \alpha\) in Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six. In that place, the early Arabic translation of the work employs the transliterated Greek word as an equivalent in the phrase alhumma \(\bar{a}\) allat \(\bar{\imath}\) tud' \(\bar{a}\) qaws \(\bar{u} s\) 'the fever that is called qaws \(\bar{u} \bar{s}\) '. The later version attributed to Hunayn also adopts a different approach from that attested in the translator's version of the Aphorisms, employing a hendiadys in the phrase li-man yajid lahīban wa-tawaqqudan 'for the one he finds blazing and burning'.

By virtue of the presence of strong Syriac material in the entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, we may infer that the borrowed Greek word was relatively unfamiliar to Syriac speakers. The definitions match both Hunayn's translations in the Aphorisms and the exceptional Syriac translation in aphorism iv. 54. The Syriac definition attributed to Hunayn is a good example of his Syriac glossographical style.
2.6

\section*{\(\tau \dot{\varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha v o \varsigma ~}\)}

TTêtānus, that is rigors (mqaysuta), spasms (al-tashannuj).
 التحدّبث
129. Duval: ゅalfor.

TTêtānus, this is tetanus (zqāta \(\bar{a})\). The interpreter of the Compendium of Paul says that it is tetanus (al-kuzāz), meaning by it spasms (al-tashannuj). He named it tamaddud, and I say that it is tahaddub.

Têt (al-imtidād) occur, those with tetanus (al-kuzāzī).

Tiṭānus, rigours (mqaysuta), spasms (al-tashannuj). He says tetetānus.

Forms of the word \(\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha v o s ~ o c c u r ~ n i n e ~ t i m e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ A p h o r i s m s . ~ B o t h ~ H u n a y n ' s ~\) Arabic translation and the Syriac version translate the word consistently, the former with forms of al-tamaddud 'rigours', the latter with forms of the borrowed Greek word. Three translations of the Greek word survive in the fragments of the early Arabic translation, where they are consistently translated with forms of al\(k u z \bar{a} z\) 'spasms'. Furthermore, Ullmann notes an example from Aristotle's History of Animals, which gives al-kuz \(\bar{a} z\) as a disease of horses. \({ }^{131}\)

The precise sense of the term \(\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha v o \varsigma\) was unstable even in the later Greek tradition, and this instability was carried over into the Arabic medical tradition as well. \({ }^{132}\) This is quite clear in the entries from bar Bahlul's Lexicon. Some of the discussions of the equivalents of this term bear on important questions regarding
130. This entry goes unlisted in Duval's Greek index.
131. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 671.
132. Pormann, Oriental Tradition, 265.
the relationship between the Syriac and Arabic medical traditions. In particular, the relationship between those glosses attributed to Paul and the rest of the lexicographical material needs to be addressed.

The Syriac equivalent \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\) at the beginning of the entry at 789:8 is attributable to Hunayn. A definition attributed to the commentator on the Compendium of Paul follows, which gives the equivalent al-kuzāz. However, this definition is heavily mediated by the writer of the entry, who glosses al-kuzāz with al-tashannuj 'spasms'. The writer then refers back to the commentator for the equivalent al-tamaddud before giving his own equivalent al-tahaddub.

When we consider the entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Syriac equivalent \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\), the picture is clarified somewhat:

التشنيج"
Zqātā, tetanus (kuzāz), which is said of humps. The translators and the ancients called it tamaddud, and Hunayn specified it with spasms and hunching (altashannuj wal-taḥaddub) but did not call it al-kuzāz. Zqātā \(\underline{d}\)-men bestrā according to Paul, tetanus (kuzāz) in the back. Ezdqet according to bar Serošway, they had spasms (tashannajū). Zqātā mqaysuta, nullification of the senses (altakhbīl), effectation of spasms (al-tashnī̄).

An apparently related entry occurs in bar 'Ali's Lexicon:

\[
\text { الكزاز والتقلّص ويقال على الحدبة وحنين يخصنّه بالتثنّّج والتحدّب ولا يسمّيه الكزاز: } 133
\]

Zq \(\bar{a} t \bar{a} \bar{a}\) is a disease that occurs from the front and from the back simultaneously, or from the front at once and the back. \({ }^{134}\) The Greeks called it têtanus, spasms and contraction (al-kuzāz wal-taqalluṣ), which is said of humps. Hunayn specified it with spasms and hunching (al-tashannuj wal-tahaddub) but did not call it alkuzāz.

The material in both these definitions for \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\) strongly agrees with that found in the entry at 789:8. There, again, al-kuzāz and al-tamaddud are attributed to the commentator on Paul, while the writer of the entry provides al-tashannuj and altahaddub himself. In his entry for \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\), bar Bahlul attributes al-tamaddud to the ancients and the translators (al-qudama'w-al-naqala) as an equivalent for \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\), credits Hunayn with the specification of the disease by al-tashannuj and altahaddub as a hendiadys, and then explicitly denies that Ḥunayn used al-kuz \(\bar{a} z\) as its equivalent. This denial occurs with almost exactly the same formulation in bar 'Ali's entry as well. There, however, the fact that Hunayn did not use al-kuzāz as an equivalent for the Greek \(\tau \varepsilon \in \tau \alpha 0 \varsigma\) is stated more clearly, without primary reference to the Syriac \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\) as an intermediary between the two.
\(A l-k u z \bar{a} z\) is used as an equivalent for \(\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha v o s\) several times in the Arabic version of Paul of Aegina's Pragmateia. \({ }^{135}\) The explicit dismissal of Hunayn's use
133. Hoffmann ed., Syrische-arabische Glossen, 129:3559.
134. Although a distinction appears to be intended between these two phrases, their meanings are almost entirely indistinguishable. It may be that something has dropped out of the text.
135. Pormann, Oriental Tradition, 260-271.
of al-kuz \(\bar{a} z\) in this context is thus strong evidence against Hunayn's authorship of that work. The convergence amongst the three entries discussed above, along with the citation of Hunayn by the lexicographers for the distinctions they contain, is strong evidence for identifying Hunayn as the author of the entry at 789:8. In my opinion it is likely the case that the later compilers referred to Hunayn's discussion in that entry in writing their own entries for \(z q \bar{a} t \bar{a}\). Since the author of the entry there refers to 'the interpreter of the Compendium of Paul', meaning the translator of the Pragmateia, this attribution is strong evidence against Hennayn's authorship of that work.

From the perspective of the lexicographers' explicit attribution of some equivalents for \(\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha v o \varsigma\) to Hunayn and their explicit refusal to attribute others to him, the remaining entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon fall into two categories. One of these represents entries that contain equivalents for \(\tau \varepsilon \in \tau \alpha v o \varsigma\) linked to Hunayn, including the entries at 788:23 and 802:13. The other contains equivalents attributed to the commentator on Paul or 'the ancients'. This category has only one member, the entry at \(789: 11\). Given the clear division laid out by the lexicographers, this unattributed definition probably should not be attributed to Hennayn, but could be associated with Paul. If so, this would be another example of Pauline material occurring in the lexicon without attribution, as was seen in the discussion of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) above (unit 1.3.8).

There exists an entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the other Syriac equivalent given in these entries, mqaysuta \(\bar{a}\), which also contains a definition attributed to Paul, and which further extends the account of these equivalents laid out in the entries above:

Mqaysut \(\bar{a}\) in a manuscript, a spasm (tashannuj). (According to) Paul, rigours (imtidād). (According to) bar Serošway, perplexity (al-tashabbuk).

Despite this quite consistent material, it is worth repeating that Hunayn did not use either of the equivalents attributed to him by the lexicographers in translating \(\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha v o s\) in the Aphorisms, but instead preferred the word al-imtidād. While this equivalent was conservative in relation to al-tashannuj and al-tahaddub, it is not dismissed in the lexicons in the same fashion as is al-kuzāz. Furthermore, the lexicographers' assertion that al-kuzāz belongs to an earlier stage of the translation movement is corroborated by al-Bitrīq's use of that word to translate \(\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha v o \varsigma\) in the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms.

\section*{2.7}

\section*{甲}

Pititisis according to bar Serošway, this is diminution, diminution, tuberculosis (alsill).

Ptway according to bar Serošway, tuberculosis (ptisis), tuberculosis (al-sill), hectic (fever) (al-diqq).

136. Duval's manuscripts P and S add this text beginning from السقيم.
 هـمـمو مفبمـر السلّهٌ Ptisis, tuberculosis, hectic (fever), the tormenting illness. Continuous fevers with spittle of blood, which is abscesses in the chest. Ptisisis d-'ayne (tuberculosis of the eyes) according to bar Serošway, consumption of the eyes, Pțisis star men ešāt̄ā (tuberculosis without fever) in a manuscript, blood occurring from ulcers of the chest without fever. Ptisisis, tormenting (disease), tuberculosis (al-sill).
1648:21 هـمعقا137 الذين بهم قرحة السلّه

Ptsiqē , those with the ulcers of tuberculosis (qarha al-sill).

1648:22 هـمهص حَ السلّ جاء به فولوس في علل عين. فقال إنّ السلّ علّة صبيّ العين اذا ضـاق وضفف، Ptsis in a manuscript, tuberculosis (al-sill). Paul introduced it among the illnesses of the eye. He said tuberculosis is the illness of the pupil of the eye when it is weary and weak. \({ }^{138}\)

Forms of the disease-name \(\varphi\) Өí \(1 \varsigma\) occur several times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac translation invariably gives a form of the self-same borrowed Greek word, while Hunayn's Arabic version translates regularly with a form of al-sill 'tuberculosis'. A translation of \(\varphi \theta\) íбıs exists in the fragments of the early Arabic version as well. In translating aphorism v. 9, al-Bitrīq rendered the term with aldumr fì al-ri'a 'emaciation in the lungs'. In the early Arabic version of aphorism
137. Duval: هـمـقا.
138. Cf. bar Bahlul's entry for tarsāytāa at 2089:5 in the unit on \(\dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \eta \psi i s ~ i n ~ C h a p t e r ~\) One (1.2.7).
v. 64 , the related participial form \(\varphi \theta 1 v \omega ́ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mathrm{l}\) is translated man bihi dumr wa-qarh fì al-ri'a 'he who was emaciation and ulceration in the lungs'.

Beside Hunayn's regular rendering of the disease al-sill, in several places the definitions from bar Bahlul's Lexicon given above agree with texts cited by Ullmann that use distinct terminology. In two texts from Dioscorides' Materia Medica, a form of the phrase qarha fì al-ri'a 'an ulcer in the lungs' translates the adjectival form \(\varphi \theta\) ıбıкós. The use of the word qarha relates these to the entries at 1647:22 and 1648:21. To translate the more general sense of \(\varphi\) 0íars, 'diminution' and the related verb \(\varphi \theta\) ív \(\omega\), texts from the Arabic versions of Aristotle's History of Animals and Generation of Animals use the word al-nuqșān 'diminution'. \({ }^{139}\) This word also figures in bar Bahlul's entry at 1560:14.
2.8

\section*{甲 \(\rho\) evós}

Prêyas according to bar Serošway, judgment, thought. Prênas in a manuscript, diaphragm (hijā̄b). According to Paul, the peritoneum (ṣafāqāt) \({ }^{140}\) of the chest.

Forms of the noun \(\varphi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} v\) occur three times in the Aphorisms. All of the extant
139. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 728-729.
140. Although the sense of the word is clear, this particular plural is not attested with this meaning in Wehr and Freytag's dictionaries. Both agree that the word sifāq (pl. sufuq) carries the anatomical sense. This definition suggests that the plural al\(s a f \bar{a} q \bar{a} t\) was also in use in medical circles.
texts from the Syriac version translate it with a form of the borrowed Greek word, while Hunayn's Arabic version utilizes forms of al-hijāb 'diaphragm' for two of these instances. However, in aphorism vi. 18, the word al-kulya 'kidney' appears in its place, in the context of a list of parts of the body prone to suffering mortal injury. The Syriac version of this aphorism follows the modern editions in placing \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon ́ v a s\) in this place in the text, and no alternate readings appear for it in either Magdelaine's or Mimura's editions. The translation of this word in the early Arabic version of aphorism vi. 18 also exists. There al-Biṭrīq employed the word sifāq 'peritoneum'. Furthermore, Ullmann notes a text from Aristotle's Parts of Animals that makes al-hijāb and al-ṣifāq synonymous. \({ }^{141}\)

Turning to the entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, we see that the two Arabic equivalents attested in the available literature both occur. Hunayn's usual equivalent in the Aphorisms, al-hija \(b\), is given in a definition referred to 'a manuscript', and which is thus relatively firmly attributable to the translator. A plural of al-Bitrī̄q's equivalent al-sifāq in the single available example from the early Arabic version follows in a definition attributed to Paul. Although Ullmann's text shows that these two terms were known to be synonymous, the isomorphism obtaining between the Pauline definition and al-Biṭrīq's translation on the one hand and the unattributed definition and Hunayn's translation on the other is notable, and accompanied by other evidence could bear further on the authorship of the Arabic version of the Pragmateia.

\section*{Section Three}

In this section I discuss the translations and scholarly background of words for which there is evidence for a high degree of continuity between the earlier and later Arabic translations of the Aphorisms.
3.1

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \eta \dot{\eta} \rho\)}






 ikna



\(\bar{A}\) 'ar according to bar Serošway, it is neither only masculine or feminine, without spirit ( \(d-l \bar{a} r u h \bar{a}\) ). A substance that has the power of coldness dominant, and that has associated with it in mixture the power of wetness. The sense of this is that the dominant one's (name) is applied to both of them, for there is no substance that possesses one of these powers simply. For all substances are not (solely) hot
like fire, nor wet like water, nor dry like earth, nor cold like air. But because the physicians consider that blood is warm and wet, and that its constitution is of air, they also say of air that it is hot and dry. The doctors of the church, however, because they were observant, saw that if people called (air) wet and hot, no dominant power would belong to it specifically. For if people called it hot, that is the power of fire, and if wet, that is of water. And on that account, for these reasons they said cold is [its] power. But not all of them consent to that. So it is the reader's to accept which of all seems better to him, whether it is cold as the doctors say, or wet and hot as the physicians say. Air, sky. And according to Hunayn, \(\vec{a} \vec{a} r\). It is said (both) masculine and feminine. The Greeks call it \(a^{\prime} a y r\). This is below the tops of tall mountains. What is above this is called aether. Air, the sky at the extremity of the clouds. Others, space (al-faḍā'). In a manuscript it is written \(\vec{a} \vec{a}\) with regard to its vocalization.

The Greek word \(\dot{\alpha} \eta \rho\) occurs a single time in the Aphorisms. The Syriac gives the borrowed Greek word, which was present in the Syriac language for centuries prior to the period under consideration in this work. The Arabic translates with al-haw \(\bar{a}\) ' 'air'. The entry from bar Bahlul's Lexicon is of inherent interest. In it, bar Serošway contrasts the physical views of the physicians with those of the doctors of the church, while making no final judgment regarding their disagreement. A clear distinction is observable, furthermore, between the approach adopted by bar Serošway in this entry and that of the entry for the Syriac word \(d m \bar{a}\) attributable to Hunayn given above (unit 1.3.1). Along with the entry for hešok \(\bar{a} \bar{a}\) presented in chapter one (unit 1.3.14), this entry gives further evidence of tension between the authority of philosophical and religious sources in 'Abbāsid-era Syriac thought.

As in the entry for hešo \(\underline{a} \bar{a}\), the religious and philosophical perspectives are allowed to stand side-by-side. In this case it is bar Serošway who clearly prefers the theological interpretation. While the writer prefers the churchmen's account, he leaves open to the reader the choice between the two interpretations, indicating a certain openness to speculative inquiry.
3.2

\section*{ג́кроұорбо́vє؟}

Aqrokordonês, according to Zakariya hanging warts, and according to Paul. Aqrokordon, according to bar Serošway this is the extremities of tendons (riši yatrēe). He said its connection is thin, such that one would think it something attached. Aqokordon, a pustule called aqrūkhurdhūn. This is the extremities of tendons (riši yatrā̄), the extremities of tendons (aṭrāf al-awtār).

Акродорбо́vєऽ, the name of a type of skin disease, occurs once in the Aphorisms, in the list of disease-names in aphorism iii. 26. The Syriac gives the self-same word borrowed from the Greek, while Hunayn's Arabic translation gives altha àalıl al-mи 'allaqa 'hanging warts'. For its part, the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms simply gives tha 'ālıll 'warts'.

Al-tha'āl \(\bar{l} l\) is also a common element in the translations for this word mentioned by Ullmann, but the addition of al-mu'allaqa or al-muta'allaqa is significantly rarer in his citations. \({ }^{142}\) In the second entry above, someone, presumably bar Serošway, provides a brief explanation of the reasoning behind adding al-muta allaqa. At any rate it seems that the use of al-tha'āl̄̄l in translating this word had achieved a level of consensus before Ḥunayn's time. In cases like this, the importance of earlier translation activity for Hunayn's work is emphasized.

Although Hunayn's definitions in 278:14 do not relate directly to the Aphorisms, there are interesting relationships between them and certain other sources noted by Ullmann. The Syriac translation riši yatrā is a calque of the Greek term, and the Arabic translations atrāf al-awtār is a near-calque. Ullmann notes that al-Rāzī's H\(H \bar{a} w \bar{\imath}\) contains the Arabic calque ru'ūs al-awtār, which Ullmann links to Isțtifān. \({ }^{143}\) Furthermore, recalling the Arabic transliteration given in the entry at 278:14, three quotations from Dioscorides' Materia Medica translate \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \chi о \rho \delta o ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma ~ w i t h ~ a l-t h a ' a ̄ l ı ̄ l ~ a l l a t \bar{\imath} ~ y u q a ̄ l ~ l a h a ̄ ~ a q r u k h u r d u ̄ n i s ~(' t h e ~\) warts that are called aqrukhurdūnis'). \({ }^{144}\)

\section*{3.3}

\section*{\(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \varsigma\)}
142. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 90-91.
143. ibid.
144. There are slight variations in the transliterations amongst the three equivalents given by Ullmann.


Asqāridês, small, thin worms that are in the stomach. (According to) bar Serošway, small worms that are in the stomach.

The word \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho^{\delta} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma\) occurs once in the Aphorisms, also in the list of diseasenames in aphorism iii. 26. The Syriac gives the borrowed Greek word in its place, while in Hunayn's Arabic translation al-d \(\bar{u} d\) 'worms' is employed. For the phrase containing two disease-names related to worms in the aphorism, \(\check{\text { é }} \mu \mathrm{mv} \theta \varepsilon \varsigma\)
 wa-dūd țiwāl wa-d̄̄d mithla dūd al-khall 'round worms, long worms, and worms like vinegar-worms' \({ }^{145}\)

In the entry from bar Bahlul's Lexicon, two almost identical sentences occur, one in Syriac and the other in Arabic. In this instance, the Syriac carries a single adjective, qatine 'thin', that is not found in the Arabic. An entry for the Syriac equivalent given in the entry, šušle \(\bar{e}\), contains a direct citation of Hunayn's lexicographical activity:

1959:14 مه علا حَ ديدان حبّ القرع حيّات البطن الذي في الاعماء صحّحه حنين"
Šušlē in a manuscript, worms, ringworms, \({ }^{146}\) worms of the belly which are in the intestines. Hunayn rectified it.
145. Ullmann notes this usage with interest in his citation of this text, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 141.
146. The meaning of this phrase is obscure. The nearest suitable sense I have found is the word qurā 'ringworm'.

The final element of this definition resembles a translation of the form \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho ı \delta \dot{\alpha} \varsigma\) cited by Ullmann in Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Seven, al-hayyāt almutawallida fǐ al-baṭn 'worms generated in the belly'. \({ }^{147}\)

\section*{3.4}

\section*{\(\delta v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho i ́ \alpha\)}



Dusantareya, ulcers of the bowels, ulcers of the bowels (qarh al-am \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) '), also diarrhoea (khilfa) because of ulceration of the bowels, dysentery (saḥj). Dusêtsêria, that is, the sores that are these ulcers in cholera.

Forms of the word \(\delta 0 \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho i \alpha\) occur numerous times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac gives the self-same word borrowed from the Greek in every instance. In all but one case, Hunayn translates the word into Arabic with a version of the phrase ikhtiläf al-dam 'bloody diarrhoea'. The exception is found in aphorism iii. 30, where sahj al-am ' \(\bar{a}\) ' 'abrasion of the intestines' is used instead. The early Arabic
 al-a \({ }^{\prime} \bar{f} \bar{j}\) ' diarrhoea from the large intestine'. In the extant early Arabic version of aphorism iii. 16, the translator specifies this interpretation somewhat further, giving ikhtilāf min khurāj al-afāj 'diarrhoea from abscesses of the large intestine'. Al-Bitriqq's translations thus give more specific interpretations of the disease than do Hunayn's, while the latter's renditions are much more regular.
147. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 141.

In the numerous texts from the Arabic versions of Book Six of Galen's On Simple Drugs cited by Ullmann, the usual translation of \(\delta v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho i ́ \alpha ~ i n ~ H u n a y n ' s ~\) version is qurūh al-am ' \(\bar{a}\) ' \({ }^{148}\) However, in a citation from Book 10 of that work, the Greek word is transliterated. In the texts from Book Six, al-Biṭrīq preferred several different equivalents. Sometimes he used ikhtilāf al-aghrās 'diarrhoea with mucus', sometimes simply al-aghrās 'mucus', \({ }^{149}\) and sometimes the same equivalent preferred by Ḥunayn in the Aphorisms, ikhtiläf al-dam 'bloody diarrhoea'.

The definitions attributable to Hunayn in the entry from bar Bahlul's Lexicon correspond only to the examples from the later version of Book Six of On Simple Drugs and the single exceptional translation in aphorism iii. 30. One way of interpreting the discrepancies between these translations follows on from a consideration of the different audiences for whom these works were intended. While the Aphorisms was often used as an introductory text to medicine, both On Simple Drugs and Hunayn's glossary were more advanced works meant for doctors with at least some training. Arguably, the aetiological translation found in the two latter works is more appropriate for the specialist, while the symptomatological translation found in the former is more appropriate for students.
148. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 210-211.
149. This word is obscure. The only anatomical definition available for this form occurs in volume III, p. 269 of Freytag's dictionary. There he mentions the plural aghrās for the word ghirs, and defines it res ex utero muci instar prodiens cum foetu.

\section*{\(\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \mu o v i ́ \alpha\)}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1497:9 هـa }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { وجع الرئة وردمها }
\end{aligned}
\]

Pêriplêumnyā according to bar Serapion, swelling of the lungs ( \(u b b y a \bar{a} \bar{a} \underline{d}-r a \bar{t} \underline{t} \bar{a}\) ), pain of the lungs. According to Hunayn, fever with swelling of the lungs. Pêripulumyā according to bar Serošway, swelling of the lungs, swellings of the lungs. Pêriplêumnyā according to bar Serošway, pain of the lungs and swelling of the lungs, pain of the lungs and their swelling.

Priplunumипуа̄ according to bar Serošway, swelling of the lungs, swelling of the lungs.

Prplgmunyā according to bar Serošway, pain of the lungs, pain of the lungs.

Forms of this disease-name occurs some five times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac translation renders these instances in three different ways. For the occurrences in aphorisms iii. 23 and iii. 30, the borrowed Greek word is given as pêriplumипуā. In aphorism vi. 16, the phrase 'ubbyānā \(\underline{d}-r \bar{a} t \underline{a} \bar{a}\) 'swelling of the lungs' is employed. Finally, in aphorisms vii. 11 and vii. 12 the phrase haša \(\bar{d}\)-r \(\bar{a} t \underline{a} \bar{a}\) 'disease of the lungs' is given. Ḥunayn's Arabic translation consistently gives forms of dhāt al-ri'a 'disease of the lungs'.

Bar Bahlul's entry for the word at 1497:9 contains definitions written by several different authors, all of which are relatively similar. Hunayn's definition is distinguished mostly by the addition of the symptom of fever to the description of the disease, but since no Arabic text occurs in it, no comparison may be made between it and the text of his version of the Aphorisms. While one of the alternative Syriac translations 'ubbyān \(\bar{a} d-r \bar{a} t \bar{a} \bar{a}\) 'swelling of the lungs' figures prominently in these definitions, the other hašā \(d-r \bar{a} t \underline{a} \bar{a}\) 'disease of the lungs' is not found there. In the absence of evidence from the early Arabic version, it is impossible to assume that Hunayn's translation in the Aphorisms represents any process of development in translation technique.

\section*{3.6}

\section*{\(\pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \alpha\)}
1489:3 هب: هتا حََ مح: ه:ْ النقرش؛

Pdgrē in a manuscript and (according to) bar Serošway, gout (al-niqris).

1500:18 هصب! Pudagrā in a manuscript, gout (al-niqris). Pudagrā, putagrā, and (according to) others pudanga, gout.

Pṭagrā, gout.


Ptlgya, which is pudagrā, (according to) bar Serošway, gout.

The disease \(\pi \mathrm{o} \delta \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \rho\) is mentioned several times in the Aphorisms. Both the Syriac version and Hunayn's translation adopt regular approaches to rendering the term. The former gives forms of the borrowed Greek word, while the latter uses al-niqris 'gout'. Beyond this, Ullmann cites a text mentioning a form of the related adjective \(\pi \mathrm{o} \delta \alpha \gamma \rho \iota \kappa\) ós from Book Six of Galen's On Simple Drugs. In translating it, both al-Bitrī̄q and Henayn again use al-niqris, \({ }^{150}\) indicating that a stable equivalency between these two words had been established well before the beginning of Hunayn's career.

The entries from bar Bahlul's Lexicon also show a high degree of stability for the equivalence between \(\pi \mathrm{o} \delta \alpha \dot{\gamma} \rho \rho\) and al-niqris. However, no native Syriac equivalent is given, indicating that the borrowed Greek word had been thoroughly integrated into the language. On the other hand the transcriptions of the Greek word in the Lexicon have a strong tendency toward irregularity, moderating somewhat this impression.
3.7

\section*{\(\sigma \alpha \tau v \rho ı \alpha \sigma \mu o i ́\)}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { تتتو من البدن. نَ إنعاظ من غير شهوة الجماع" }
\end{aligned}
\]

Saturismu according to Zakariya, things that protrude from the body. Saturiasmu according to bar Serošway, certain protuberances of the body (nd्āyē meddem \(d\) pagrā\()\). In a manuscript, excesses protruding from the body. In a manuscript,

\footnotetext{
150. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 543.
}
sexual excitement without desire for copulation.

A form of this Greek word occurs a single time in the Aphorisms. The Syriac gives the self-same word borrowed from the Greek, while Hunayn's Arabic version gives al-khānāzīr 'scrofula'. The early Arabic version of this aphorism likewise gives this word, albeit in the indefinite. This indicates that a stable approach to translating this term into Arabic was established well before the beginning of Hunayn's career. Despite this, the entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon has no trace of this Arabic equivalent and seems largely to refer to a different sense of the word. It is possible that this may bear on the question of the authorship of the Syriac Aphorisms. An entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the word ndēaye given in the entry above also does not contain Hunayn's Arabic equivalent:

وغيرها. تـتما حَّتضيح قفز شرارة ويقال منه تٌا ويكن نفر تفرّق اضطراب"

Nd \(\bar{a} y \bar{e}\) according to bar Serošway, that which occurs in the virile member, abscesses of the penis. And according to Zakariya, that which protrudes from the body, such as worms and the like. Nd \(\bar{a} y \bar{a}\) in a manuscript, splashing, \({ }^{151}\) jumping, sparkling. Nd \(\mathbf{d} \bar{a}\) is derived from it, and it is fleeing, separating, disorder.
3.8

\section*{\(\sigma \varphi \alpha ́ \kappa \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma\)}
151. Neither this noun nor the second form of the root \(n d h\) are attested in the dictionaries of Wehr and Freytag. I have translated it according to the sense of the root and the meaning of the Syriac word.


Gāngr according to Paul, this is decay with pus due to which the body dies. Ghanghranā, which is decay ('afan). In one place he says this is gangrene ( \(\bar{k} k u l u t \underline{a})\), which is repletion, \({ }^{152}\) bad gangrenous sores (al-ākila al-khabītha). Gängr w-aspaqelus, this is death of the flesh, dead flesh.

This word occurs a single time in the Aphorisms, in aphorism vii. 50. There, both the Syriac Aphorisms and Hunayn's Arabic version reproduce the Greek word in transliteration. The Syriac translation gives the phrase ḩša \(\underline{d}\)-spaqêlos 'the disease of sphacelus', while Hunayn's version gives al-ílla allat̄̄ yuqālu lahā sfaqı̄̀ūs 'the disease which is called sphacelus'. As we have seen in this chapter, it is quite rare for a technical Greek term to be thus reproduced without explanation in Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms. Ullmann notes a single occurrence of this Greek term in Galen's Anatomical Procedures, \({ }^{153}\) where the Arabic phrase wa-mā yaḥduthu fìh min ta affun al- izzām 'that which occurs in it of decay of the
 Arabic definition of gangrene in bar Bahlul's entry corresponds partially with this translation.

An entry for bar Bahlul's Syriac equivalent of gangrene, masyutā 'decay', contains evidence of Hunayn's lexicographical work:
152. The sense of this is obscure. Noting it, R. Payne Smith wrote sed vix recte.
153. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 661.
154. ibid.

... Masyutā, decay ('afan), Hunayn rectified it. According to Zakariya amsit, I decayed, I became moistened, I moistened. Metrmasyānut̄ā in a manuscript, coagulation.
3.9
\(\tau \rho ı \tau \alpha i ̃ o \varsigma\)

Imtriṭaus (according to) bar Serošway, a fever that is called half-tertian, halftertian fever (niṣf ḥummā al-ghibb).

825:25 子;
Triṭ̂êus, prostriṭêus, tertian fever (ḥummā ghibb).

This type of fever is mentioned twice in the Aphorisms. In both cases the Syriac translates using the borrowed Greek word, but does so using slightly different forms. In aphorism iii. 21, the name occurs in the plural \(\tau \rho \imath \tau \alpha i ̃ 1\), and the form of the Syriac borrowing of the Greek word reflects its plurality with the spelling
 correspondingly renders it tritêeos. It would thus seem reasonable to call this usage a transcription rather than a borrowing. In both cases Ḥunayn's Arabic versions uses a form of the equivalent al-ghibb 'tertian fever', which is also the equivalent found in the entries from bar Bahlul's Lexicon.

Despite the consistency amongst the translations and the entries, a text from

Ullmann's Wörterbuch demonstrates that the usage al-ghibb was not universal in the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement. In the Arabic version of the Cyranides, a description of the course of the fever reading al-nāfid alladh \(\bar{\imath}\) min thalāth ilā thalāth 'fits that run by threes' serves to translate a form of the related verb \(\tau \rho ı \tau \alpha i ̈ \zeta \omega . ~{ }^{155}\) This emphasizes the unanimity between the lexicons and Ḥunayn's translations, but does not constitute strong evidence for identifying any specific contribution from the translator.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha
\end{aligned}
\]

Plegmā according to bar Serošway, this is a wet and cold humour. Its constitution is properly derived from the element of water. Its home is in the lungs and its power is in the chest, phlegm (al-balgham). Plegmā again, and plegmāt \(\bar{a}\).

Forms of this Greek word occur four times in the Aphorisms. In each case the Syriac gives the self-same word borrowed from the Greek. The Arabic likewise uses a form of the borrowed Greek word al-balgham in each case. Ullmann cites a text for this word from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six. There, the early Arabic translator al-Biṭrīq and Hunayn both translate using al-balgham as well, showing that the borrowing had occurred well before the beginning of Hunayn's career. Bar Serošway's entry from bar Bahlul's Lexicon follows the same

\footnotetext{
155. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 684.
}
approach as that adopted in the several definitions found in the entry for mert \(\bar{a}\), discussed in the discussion of \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda i ́ \alpha\) above (unit 1.7 in this chapter), as well as that found in the entry for \(d m \bar{a}\) in the discussion of \(\alpha i \not \mu \alpha\) (unit 1.3.1). Here, then, we may distinguish the Syriac as a full borrowing as opposed to a transcription, given that the lexicographers define it according to the conventions used in defining other Syriac humoural terms as opposed to those used in defining analogous Greek words.

\subsection*{3.11}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \dot{\eta} \\
& \text { 1566:10 هـهم } \\
& \text { גهتا مصتمدا ورم حار"٪ }
\end{aligned}
\] Plêgmonê in a manuscript, phlegm (al-balgham). And according to Zakariya plgêmonay, hot swellings that occur on account of blood. And according to bar Serošway, hot swellings ( ūbbyānē hamimē), a hot swelling (waram ḥār).

The Syriac version of the Aphorisms adopts varying approaches to translating the forms of the Greek noun \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \eta\) and the participle of the related verb \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha i v \omega\) that occur six times in the Aphorisms. Three of these instances are translated with the native Syriac equivalent 'u\(b b y a \bar{a} n \bar{a}\) 'swelling', while for the other three the borrowed Greek word is used. Heunayn's Arabic version consistently employs a form of the equivalent waram 'swelling' to translate each instance. A slight variation occurs in aphorism v. 23, where the adjective al-hāra 'hot' is added to modify the plural al-awrām. This is almost certainly due to the context of that aphorism, which discusses the potential medicinal uses of the
quality of coldness. This rendering is closer to the definitions for \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \eta\) found in bar Bahlul's entry at 1566:10.

Two translations of \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \eta\) from the early Arabic translation are also extant. In aphorism iii. 24, the same equivalent preferred by Hunayn, waram, is given. However, in another place aphorism al-Biṭrīq adopted a different approach,
 kabidih khurāj thumma taba'ah fuwāq fa-dhālika sharr 'for the one upon whose liver an abscess comes out, then hiccups follow, that is a bad sign'. This contrasts with Hunayn's rendering of the text with wa-'an waram al-kabid fuwāq 'on account of swelling of the liver, hiccups'.

An entry for the Syriac equivalent \(\dot{u} b b y \bar{a} n \bar{a}\) reads as follows:


Ubbyānā \(\underline{d}\)-gagartā according to bar Serošway, swelling of the uvula. Ubbyānā, a swelling (waram). 'Ubbyānā \(\underline{d}\)-hekk \(\bar{a}\), a swelling of the palate. 'Ubbyānā \(\underline{d}-\) 'uqbarț̄ ('swelling of a muscle'), swelling of the muscles of the pharynx. 'Ubbyānē hāmime \(\underline{\text { d-hāweyn lput é eqārā }}\) d-tepre ('hot swellings that occur at the root of the fingernails'), whitlow (al-dawāhis) 'Ubbyāne \(\underline{d}\)-hāweyn men tar it \(\bar{t} \bar{a} w\) la al helbā haw d-pris (swellings that occur on account of the mind and unto the
156. Duval: :ســـــوط الـــــــــــة. I have followed the easier sense of the minority of Duval's manuscripts.
diaphragm that is spread out), \({ }^{157}\) the diaphragm (al-hijāāb). Ubbyānā \(\underline{\text { d }}\)-metqrā \(\operatorname{bar}\) tet \(\underline{a}\) ('the swelling that is called the son of the fig') according to bar Serošway, this is that which is on the chin, swellings that occur in the place of the beard. 'Ubbyānā in a manuscript, swellings. Ma'bay, it swelled (wārim).

Although bar Bahlul's Greek entry accurately conveys the sense of the term in question, the two main translations of the Aphorisms do not usually specify its sense to this same extent. That entry may therefore have been used more to explain the meaning of the Greek term. The Syriac entry, however, appears more to be focused upon the identification of day-to-day ailments.

In this case, Hunayn's translations clearly relied in important respects upon prior Arabic and Syriac translations. However, neither the early Arabic translation nor the Syriac version of the Aphorisms followed an entirely regular approach to translating \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \mathrm{\mu} \eta\). Ḥunayn's Arabic version stands out in its adoption of a single, regular, native equivalent.

\section*{\(\chi\) дд́́ \(\rho \alpha\)}

Kolêrā, cholera (hayḍa), dyspepsia. In a manuscript, diarrhoea from below and vomiting from above.
157. Although the sense of each of the words is clear, the meaning of this sentence is uncertain.
158. Duval: ||تحA.

Kolêra according to bar Serošway, intense disturbance of the stomach, dyspepsia.
Again, kolara. \({ }^{159}\)

A form of \(\chi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha\) occurs once in the Aphorisms, in aphorism iii. 30. The Syriac version gives a form of the borrowed Greek word, while Hunayn's Arabic version gives al-hayda 'cholera'. The agreement between the entry at \(876: 5\) in bar Bahlul's Lexicon and Hennayn's translation of the Aphorisms contrasts with the absence of the translator's equivalent al-hayḍa from bar Serošway's definition in the entry at \(877: 3\). The presence of al-hayda in the entry at \(876: 5\) indicates a relative degree of stability for this approach to translating the term, while the Syriac definition explains in greater detail the symptoms of the disease. This is further extended by the use of this Arabic word to translate \(\chi\) ó \(\lambda \varepsilon \rho \alpha\) in Theomnestus of Nicopolis' Horse-medicine. \({ }^{160}\)

\section*{Conclusion}

Having provided these examples, several of the questions I posed in the introduction to this chapter may be answered. First, we may consider the extent to which the 27 Greek words discussed above were integrated into the Syriac
159. Duval also notes a definition for this Greek word at \(544: 15\). I discuss the entry in which it occurs in the discussion of \(\delta v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho i \alpha\) in unit (2.3.4).
160. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen (supplement), II 691.
language. To restate the question, how profound was the trend toward adoption of Greek vocabulary in Syriac medicine? In other words, did the Syriac glossographers treat these Greek words as fully native, or were etymologicallySyriac equivalents resorted to in order to explain them?

The entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon and the translation of the Aphorisms give evidence of active Syriac equivalents for some of these words and not for others. Hunayn's Arabic translations of the eight words presented in section one display a high degree of instability. Four of these cannot be shown to possess a clear Syriac equivalent in the sources considered here, namely \(\alpha i \mu о \rho \rho o i ̂ \delta \varepsilon \varsigma\), \(\varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon o ́ \varsigma, ~ \kappa i ́ v \delta v v o \varsigma\), and \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi 0 \lambda i \alpha \alpha\). Conversely, the other four, غ̇лíл \(\lambda о о \varsigma, \kappa \iota \rho \sigma o ́ \varsigma\), \(\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \alpha \rho \gamma o \varsigma\), and \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon v i \tau \tau \iota \varsigma\), do correspond at least roughly to native Syriac equivalents.

Hunayn's translations of the nine words presented in section two evince a lower degree of instability than those in section one. However, they follow largely the same pattern in terms of the presence of active etymologically-Syriac equivalents in the translations and lexicons. Four of them, \(\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i ́, \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda \eta \psi i ́ \alpha\), \(\varphi \theta\) írı̧, and \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon v o ́ \varsigma\), show no evidence of simple, active Syriac equivalents. The other five, \(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \lambda \eta \xi \mathfrak{i} \alpha, \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \rho \omega \mu \alpha, \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda \eta \psi i ́ \alpha, \kappa \alpha v ̃ \sigma o \varsigma\), and \(\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha v o \varsigma\) do show evidence for active Syriac equivalents. Although in certain cases the lexicographical entries for these Syriac equivalents were important as loci for the establishment of Arabic terminology, evidence for the existence of these equivalents does not predict any particular level of order in Hẹunayn's Arabic translations.

Despite this negative conclusion, it may be fruitful to compare these examples with those presented in Chapter One. In the more or less arbitrary selection of the treatment of 29 Greek words in the translations of the Aphorisms presented there, significant explicative translations were only found in Hunayn's

Arabic translation for a single instance, that of avitónatov (unit 1.1.3). In the present chapter, however, seven of the 28 terms presented were given explicative treatment by Hunayn in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms. \({ }^{161}\)

A closer look at these examples should aid in explaining the source of the less orderly translations. In translating кıрбós (1.5), for example, Hunayn relied upon an Arabic word borrowed from the underlying Syriac equivalent dalāytā. It is possible to surmise that this word was somewhat unfamiliar to Hunayn's audience on the basis of his extant relevant lexicography. His approach to translating the word likewise appears to reflect this unfamiliarity. Rather than presenting the word simply without explanation, he provided an explicating translation to introduce the term to his readers. In later instances of the word in the text of the Aphorisms, however, he gradually reduces the amount of explication before finally allowing his preferred equivalent to stand by itself. A similar pattern is also observable in the case of cì \(\begin{aligned} & \text { cós (1.2). }\end{aligned}\)

In the example of \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \chi 0 \lambda i \alpha\) (1.7), it is more plausible that the absence of an established native Syriac equivalent for the Greek term had implications for Hunayn's Arabic translations. The evidence from the earlier Syriac translation of the Aphorisms attributed to Sergius indicates that the borrowed Greek word was not immediately adopted in Syriac medicine. The later Syriac translators,
161. Although other words discussed in Chapter One were translated in a variety of ways in the Arabic Aphorisms, like \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta\) ós (1.2.1) and \(\dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta\) (1.2.7), for example, I would argue that this variety is more stylistic than reflective of a need to interpret the sense of an unfamiliar term. For the same reason I have exluded кivovoos (2.1.4) from the tally of explicated terms treated in this chapter. The seven mentioned all are themselves considered in section one.
however, seem to have abandoned any attempt to render the complex sense of the Greek word, preferring to adopt it instead. Although Hunayn's preferred equivalent al-sawd \(\bar{a}\) ' eventually came to be a part of the Arabic lexicon with more-or-less an identical sense to that of the Greek word, the variety of approaches adopted for translating \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda i \alpha\) in the Aphorisms reduce considerably the simple agreement between the source-text and the translation.

For terms presented in the second section, Hunayn's activity appears more to be that of an expert drawing upon the resources of his native tongue than that of the wordsmith innovating or borrowing lexical items. This is apparently the case in the discussion of \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \imath \lambda \eta \psi i ́ \alpha\) in unit (2.4), for example. The terms so established may sometimes have resonance with native Syriac equivalents, as for example in the translations of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi \lambda \eta \xi i ́ \alpha ~(2.2)\).

The bewildering swirl of terms surrounding tétovos (2.6) gives strong evidence for the value of these sources for understanding the background of the Greek-to-Arabic translations. The regularity of Hunayn's translations of these terms combined with the evidence for the progressive development of Arabic translation techniques they provide shows clearly Ḥunayn's preference for simple and accurate translations of central technical terms of the medical art. Yet in considering the glossographical background for words like \(\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i ́\) (2.1), the rich background of potential Arabic equivalents available to Hunayn is emphasized as well.

The most important characteristic of the terms in the third section of this chapter is the degree of continuity between al-Bitrrīq's and Hunayn's Arabic translations they display. The Arabic translations for words like \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \chi\) орঠóves (3.2), ג̇бкарíঠ\&ऽ (3.3), \(\delta v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho i ́ \alpha ~(3.4), ~ a n d ~ \varphi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha ~(3.10) ~ w e r e ~ a l l ~ e s t a b l i s h e d ~\) well before the beginning of Hunayn's career. This fact may be contrasted with
several words, concentrated in section two, for which glosses attributed to Paul of Aegina agree better with al-Bitrīq's translation than they do with Hunayn's.
 fit this description. The relevant lexicographical entries for the latter word provide strong evidence that the traditional ascription of the Arabic translation of Paul's Pragmateia is incorrect.

Furthermore, some important examples of more expansive discussions of medical and philosophical material appear in these examples. When compared with the material presented in Chapter One, descriptions in both Syriac and Arabic of concepts of medical theory appear more commonly in these examples. This is due to the fact that many more of these terms represent technical terms of art than do the words presented in chapter one. Although not written by Hunayn himself, bar Serošway's entry for \(\dot{\alpha} \eta ́ \rho ~(3.1) ~ f u r t h e r ~ e x t e n d s ~ t h e ~ m o t i f ~ o f ~ t e n s i o n ~\) between religious and philosophical authorities first noticed in the entry for \(h e s ̌ o k a \bar{a}\) in unit (1.3.14).

Although the evidence is mixed, important relationships between Syriac and Arabic in Hunayn's translation technique are displayed in several of these examples. In some cases it appears that Ḥunayn's Syriac usage may have differed from that found in the extant Syriac Aphorisms.The technical nature of several of the words considered allows for deeper insight into the specific contributions of Hunayn to Arabic medical translation. The evidence in these first two chapters thus provides firm ground for comparing the translations of the Aphorisms and their lexicographical background at a larger scale.

\section*{PART TWO}

\title{
COMPARING THE SYRIAC AND ARABIC TRANSLATIONS OF THE HIPPOCRATIC APHORISMS
}

\section*{CHAPTER THREE}

\section*{The early Syriac version of the Aphorisms attributed to Sergius of Reš 'Aynā and 'Abbāsid-era Syriac and Arabic medical translation: A comparative study}

In the previous chapters, I treated the lexicographical relationships among the several translations of the Hippocratic Aphorisms on a word-by-word basis. In this chapter, I move to considering the translations at the sentence level of organization. Many of the trends observable in the previous chapter will also present themselves here, while other developments not noticeable at the level of individual words will also make their first appearances.

In particular, while the distinction between Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac version in terms of adherence to the literal sense of the source-text has already been made clear in a general way, the key characteristics of the relationship between the early Arabic version and the other versions are not so easily discoverable at the lexical level. Furthermore, the very scanty remains of the early Syriac version require more concentrated attention in order to be made comprehensible in any general sense. For these reasons, I have chosen to consider several texts of the Aphorisms on the basis of their being represented in these
earlier versions.
Although I shall not consider systematically all of the terminology present in these aphorisms, in several cases terminological variation makes consideration of the Greek entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon useful. In these contexts I shall provide analyses of the relationship between these and the translations of the Aphorisms, following the same general approach as that adopted in the previous chapter. Furthermore, in several contexts there will arise opportunities to consider entries for Syriac terms that translate Greek words not represented in Duval's index to bar Bahlul's Lexicon. In this way our understanding of the usefulness of the Lexicon for studies of these translations will be further extended.

As discussed in the introduction to the thesis, Grigory Kessel has extracted the text of seven aphorisms from the Syriac Commentary on the Epidemics. Of these seven aphorisms, four are also found translated in the fragments of the early Arabic version, as contained in the Arabic Palladius and/or al-Ya qūbī's History. Since these four allow for the fullest consideration of the Syro-Arabic translation tradition of the Aphorisms, I will consider these in Section One. I will then proceed to treat of the three that have no corresponding text in the History or in the Phoenix MS in Section Two.

For each aphorism, the texts will be presented in chronological order according to the current scholarly understanding of their periodization, except where otherwise noted. First I shall provide the Greek text of the aphorism for reference. The early Syriac fragment will be presented next, followed by the early Arabic fragments. Then I will give the texts from the Syriac Aphorisms, followed by those from Hunayn's Arabic translation.

\section*{Section One}

The following four aphorisms are represented in all both of the extant Syriac translations and the major Arabic translations of the Aphorisms.

\section*{Aphorism ii. 1}


\section*{Syriac Commentary on the Epidemics (hereafter E)}

When there is pain with sleep, it is deadly, but if sleep benefits it does not bring death.

Al-Bitrī̄q's Version of Palladius' Commentary on the Aphorisms (hereafter P)
فى أيّ مرض كان إن جاءَه النوم بوجع فذلك يموت وإن نفع النوم فليس بميّت

In whatever disease it is, if sleep brings pain, that kills, but if sleep benefits it is not deadly.

Syriac Aphorisms (hereafter S)

Diseases in which sleep causes pain are deadly, but if sleep benefits it is not deadly.

Hunayn's Arabic Aphorisms (hereafter A)
عإذات كان النوم في مرض من الأمراض يحدث وجعاً ذذلك من علامات الموت، وإذا كان النوم ينفع فليس ذلك من

When, in one of the diseases, sleep brings pain, that is (one of) the signs of death, but when sleep benefits, that is not (one of) the signs of death.

The Greek text of the aphorism consists of two conditional sentences resolved by predication. The adjective \(\theta \alpha v \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \mathrm{ov}\) has the same form in both instances. When comparing the four translations, one may note immediately that the two later versions preserve this symmetry while the two earlier versions do not. The predicate in the Syriac Aphorisms in both sentences is d-mawta-w '(it) is deadly', and in Hẹunayn's version both sentences conclude with dhālika min 'alāmāt almawt 'that is (one of) the signs of death'. This contrasts with the varying grammatical approaches of the earlier two aphorisms. The fragment from the Syriac Epidemics uses d-mawta-y '(it) is deadly' in the first sentence but ite \(\bar{h} h\) mawta 'it brings death' in the second. The early Arabic version gives dhālika \(y u m \bar{t}\) 'that kills' for the first sentence but (laysa) bi-mayyitin '(is not) deadly' for the second. The two later translations thus appear to reflect a greater concern for rendering the style of the Greek original.

The version in the Syriac Aphorisms is the most literal translation of the four. Only a few particles of the Greek original are left unrendered, and no new text is added. Both of the Arabic versions add material, with Heunayn's version in particular making somewhat extensive expansions to the text. The early Syriac version in contrast does not translate the entire text, neglecting to translate the
 simple terminology of the aphorism is found in the Syriac translations of the verb
\(\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{n}\) 'it benefits'. The early Syriac version translates this word with tawtar, while the later translates it with mahnyā, both of which carry the same general sense as the Greek.

\section*{Aphorism ii. 9}

E.

For bodies, when someone desires that they be purified, he makes them easy of flowing.
P.
ينبغي لمن أراد تتقية الاجساد ان ينقّيها قبل ذلك أى بإذابة ما فيها من الكيموس الغليط.

It is necessary for the one who desires the purification of bodies that he purify them before that, that is, by liquefaction of whatever thick humours are in them.
S.

For bodies, when someone desires to purify them, he should make them easily flowing.
H.
كلّ بدن تريد تتقيته فينبني أن تجعل ما تريد إخراجه منه يجري فيه بسهولة.
(For) every body that you desire to purify, it is necessary for you to make that
(thing) whose expulsion you desire easily flowing in it.

In comparing these four texts, one notices immediately the substantial concord between the two Syriac versions and Hunayn's Arabic version against the early Arabic translation. All of the former three follow the source-text by beginning the aphorism with a phrase referring to the body, while the latter departs from the text by placing the word al-ajsād in the middle of the sentence instead. This appearance is even more noticeable in the treatments of the word \(\varepsilon\) v̋poa. The Syriac versions and Hunayn's version all translate cű \(\rho o \alpha\) with various phrases signifying 'easily flowing'. The early Arabic version departs significantly from the Greek original, however. It translates \(\varepsilon\) है \(\rho \circ \alpha \pi 01 \varepsilon i ̃ v\) with the causative form of the same verb already used to translate к \(\alpha \theta \alpha i \rho \varepsilon ı\), naqiya 'to purify'. Then follows the explication ay bi-idhāba mā fìhā min al-kaymūs al-ghal̄̄z 'that is, by liquefaction of whatever thick humours are in them'. The introduction of the term \(i d h \bar{a} b a\) heightens the level of lexical sophistication of the aphorism, but in general the translation's departures from the original tend to obscure the sense of the text. This lack of precision in translation was likely what made the explication appear necessary in the first place.

In some ways, Heunayn's version differs from the other three translations. Whereas the two Syriac versions and the early Arabic translation render the thirdperson verb \(\beta\) oú \(\lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha l\) with third-person forms, it appears that Hunayn translated it with a second-person form. Although this judgment rests entirely upon small dots in the manuscripts that are often subject to variation, according to Mimura's apparatus the manuscripts are very consistent in making these verbs second person. Hunayn's translation is also somewhat more expansive in its treatment of the terminology in comparison with the Syriac versions, adding explicative
phrases like mā turīdu ikhrājahū minhu 'that (substance) whose expulsion you desire'. This does not affect the clarity of his translation, however.

Each of the four translations gives a distinct equivalent for the Greek word \(\sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha\). This word is strongly represented in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, and the entries for the Syriac terms provide very interesting background into the translation techniques used in producing these texts. I shall thus compare the translations of this word in the context of their lexicographical background, following a similar method to that adopted in the previous two chapters:

\section*{\(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha / \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha / \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \sigma v\)}
 Asomatā according to Sergius, bodies (gušmē), persons, and substances according to one of the Greeks. Bodies (al-ajsām), persons, substances.

Som \(\bar{a}\), this is the body (pagr \(\bar{a})\).


Somaṭa and asomatāa, embodied (things) (gšimē) and unembodied (things). Sonaṭā and asonaṭa, the embodied (al-mutajassimūn) and the unembodied. According to Sergius somat \(\bar{a}\) and asomata \(\bar{a}\), body (gušma \(\bar{a}\) ) and not-body.

Given that the body is the proper focus of the medical art, forms of the word
\(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) are found numerous times throughout the Hippocratic Aphorisms. Hunayn's Arabic version, the Syriac translation, and the early Arabic translation are all strongly consistent in their renderings of it. Save for one instance, and a few cases where he omitted it as superfluous to the sense of the text, Hunayn rendered the word with a form of al-badan. The only major exception occurs in his translation of vii. 40, where he translated \(\tau \iota\) тоṽ \(\sigma\) ต́ \(\mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma\) with 'uḍwin min al-aḍà 'one of the parts'. For their part, the extant lemmas of the early Arabic translation consistently translate forms of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) with forms of al-jasad. The Syriac translator employed pagrā in every translated instance of the term save one: for the first of the three occurrences of the word in aphorism ii. 15, the broadly synonymous word gušmā is given instead.

Three examples from the fragments of the Hippocratic lemmas in the Syriac Commentary on the Epidemics also exist. In aphorisms ii. 9 and iv. 13 the early Syriac version translates \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) with gušmā 'body', as discussed above. If these may be taken to indicate the translator's habitual translation of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\), a clear contrast may thus be observed between the two Syriac versions. In aphorism ii. 6,
 with aylēn d-makebbin b-medem 'those who feel pain in something'. It may be that a word has dropped out of the text.

The number of instances where Hunayn's Arabic omits the term \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) as superfluous to the sense of the aphorism is significantly greater than the number of instances where the Syriac version does so. This phenomenon occurs by my count five times in Ḥunayn's Arabic but only once in the Syriac version. \({ }^{162}\) All of
162. This occurs in Ḥunayn's translations of aphorisms vi. 56, vii. 28, vii. 60, vii. 61, and vii. 74. The Syriac omits any translation of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) in aphorism ii. 7.
the occurrences in the Arabic version are near the end of the work. Also in a few places, despite choosing to translate an instance of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\), Ḥunayn modifies its position in the aphorism. \({ }^{163}\) This type of transposition generally does not occur in the Syriac version. Ullmann notices two translations of this word in Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six. Although he indicates that one of the early Arabic examples is corrupt, the other translates with al-abdān, and so is close to Hunayn's translations of it in the Aphorisms. \({ }^{164}\)

Although the entries for \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) extant in bar Bahlul's Lexicon contain several elements of interest, none of them relate directly to Hunayn's Arabic translation. The strong representation of Sergius' lexicographical material bears closer attention, however. In the entry found at 218:4, Sergius is cited for a threeword definition of the Greek term. This definition is then repeated in Arabic at the end of the entry. Since Sergius lived nearly a century before the Arab conquest of the Syriac-speaking lands, it is safe to assume that he was not the author of this Arabic definition. Thus we may note that bar Bahlul's Lexicon gives evidence that Sergius' lexicographical work was translated into Arabic. Further research may demonstrate whether or not this translation was systematic. In the entry attributed to Sergius, the equivalent for \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) used in the early Syriac translation of the Aphorisms, gušmā, is found. In the unattributed entry at 1311:16, the equivalent used in the later Syriac translation is found instead.

The entries at 1311:16 and 1311:26 contain material likely attributable to Hunayn. One may imagine the former entry being drawn from a translator's working glossary. The latter entry allows Hunayn's (presumed) lexicography to
163. This occurs in Henayn's translations of aphorisms ii. 51 and v. 69.
164. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 664-665.
be compared to Sergius' work. In that entry, a definition likely attributable to Hunayn glosses the plural noun \(\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) and its negative \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) with the plural of the adjective gšima 'embodied' and its negation. These are then rendered with an Arabic reflexive plural participle al-mutajassimūn 'those who are embodied' and its negation. The following definition attributed to Sergius adopts a somewhat less sophisticated approach, translating the Greek nouns with the literally equivalent Syriac noun gušmā 'body' and its negation. Thus here, in contrast to the preceding discussion, Hunayn's improvements to existing Syriac scholarship is noticeable.

An entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the first equivalent given for \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) in the Syriac Aphorisms, gušmā, reads as follows:


 Gušmā, the body (gšimā) according to bar Serošway, it has no fixity, it is not subjected to the senses, and it displays the nature that is in the theory of separation, body (jism), embodied, coporeal (jasadānī). Gušmā, body, corpus (jasad). (According to) Zakariya metgaššam, to be embodied, to be incarnate. Gšimā, one having a body. According to bar Serošway gušmā, that which has three aspects (napšānā), (namely) extension in depth, length, and breadth. Animate body (jismun nafsānīyun).

Another longer entry that is left unattributed reads like this:

IAحL 477:3







Gušmā is that which possesses three aspects: depth, length, and breadth. Every body has these three aspects, and every thing that has these three aspects is a body. Gušmā is defined in this way: A nature consisting of powers, and a receptacle of colours and limited essences that doubly abide upon the body in an abundance of species. These are divided primarily into two types: That which is animate and that which is not animate. Those which are not animate are things like the heavens, the earth, fire, and water. Those which are animate are divided into three further types: Perceiving living things, vegetative living things, and the simply vegetative. Perceiving living things are things like animals and birds. Vegetative living (things) are things like shellfish, sponges, and oysters, and all things that sense when the hand is near to them, but do not move from place to place. The vegetative are things like grass, seeds, trees, and roots, and everything that possesses the impulse of growth. The body (al-jasad).

This is an entry for pagrā, the main equivalent for \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) in the Syriac Aphorisms:

وأقول البدن وجاء به اليّا أسقف بيت المقدّس المعروف بعليّ بن عبيد الفجر وعندي هو الفغر. هـتخا مصـهر بدن
 a ling ona




Pagrā, corpus, body. Pagrā according to bar Serošway, the definite arrangement of the living members according to the wisdom of its Creator, the body (al-jasad) and I say the body (al-badan). The bishop of the Holy House known as 'Alı̄ ibn 'Ubayd suggested to me that it is 'al-fajr' but for me it is 'al-faghr'. Pagrā msayyț̄, flammable body. Pagrā according to bar Serošway, the arrangement and order of the natural members, for every body that is has arrangement and order in its members. But there are ordered members which are not bodies, such as the members of a statue. The body (al-jasad). Pagrā, the Greeks call the body and the tomb by this single name. Pagrē are named somāt \(\bar{a}\), and as though in tombs, thus are souls confined in the body. Again, the soul is called psuke , meaning cold, as coldness is psukus. They say that because souls are called by their best element, souls (psukê), meaning souls (napšāt\(\underline{a} \bar{a}\) ), are so-called because of the rational spirits. The body (al-jasad).

These entries contain several important elements which deserve scrutiny. First among them is the presence of Hunayn's preferred translation of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) in the Aphorisms, al-badan, in the entry for pagrā beginning at 1487:23. Although the definition in which it occurs is ascribed by bar Bahlul to bar Serošway, external evidence may be adduced to argue that it ought rather to be attributed to Hunayn. Consider the following entry from bar 'Ali's Lexicon:


Pagrā, body (badan), body (jasad). The arrangement of the living members within limits according to the wisdom of the Creator.

The Syriac definition found here is almost identical to the first Syriac definition in bar Bahlul's entry at 1487:23. As discussed in the Introduction, according to his own testimony bar 'Ali relied in important respects on Hunayn's lexicon in the production of his own work, and there is no indication that he was familiar with bar Serošway's glossary. Thus it seems either that bar Serošway reproduced Hunayn's definition in his own lexicography or that the text of bar Bahlul's Lexicon is mistaken in its attribution of this definition.

It is somewhat surprising that the Arabic equivalent for \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) which Hunayn so systematically preferred in his translation of the Aphorisms should be so little represented in both the Greek and Syriac entries of bar Bahlul's Lexicon. This effect is heightened by the discussion of the two possible Arabic equivalents 'al-fajr' and 'al-faghr' mentioned near the beginning of 1487:23. These two words are both potential Arabisations of the Syriac word pagrā. The bishop's suggestion al-fajr, however, neglects the spirantisation of the medial gāmal, while the lexicographer's suggestion al-faghr apparently reflects the preference that the spirantisation be retained.

This indicates that these translators were unsatisfied with the usual Arabic equivalents for pagrā and were seeking alternatives. The reason for this is arguably that the Syriac words clearly express two different senses of the concept 'body', as may be seen in comparing the two entries translated above. Gušmā and
related terms refer to objects having material extension broadly considered, while pagrā refers strictly to the bodies of living things. This entry thus allows us to observe some of the process by which medical Arabic was formed in relation to notions embedded in the Syriac lexicon. Less attention is devoted to the Arabic equivalent of gušmā, since both jasad and jism may convey the broader meaning of 'body'. Jism is etymologically related to gušmā and accords with it in meaning very closely, and it is furthermore absent from the entry on pagrā.

\section*{Aphorism iv. 13}


E.

In [giving] hellebore (b-elebārun) [to] those who are not purged easily, it is necessary beforehand to make the body moist.
P.

عند شرب الأدوية والخرْبَق ينبغي أن يرطَب أجساد الذين لا تخفّ التنقية عليهم من فوق قبل الدواء بكثرة الطعام. In [giving] purging drink and hellebore (al-kharbaq), it is necessary to make moist bodies for whom purging from above is not [borne] lightly, before the purging, with much food.
S.


In [giving] the hellebore drink (šeqyā \(\underline{d}\)-hurbaknā ) to those who would not easily be purged from above from before, it is necessary before the drink that one make their bodies moist with much food and rest.
H.

بنذاء احتثا إلي أن يسقى الخربق وكان استقفراغه من فوق لا يؤاتيه بسهولة فينبغي أن يرطب بدنه من قبل إسقائه إيّاه
One who needs to be given hellebore (al-kharbaq) to drink, but whose purging from above does not come easily, must have his body made moist, before his being given it to drink, with more food and rest.

Of these four translations, the version taken from the Syriac Epidemics stands out as abbreviated when compared with the other three versions. This could indicate that it was an ad hoc translation, or that it was for some other reason not intended to stand as a translation of the entire text. It omits any reference to 'from above' (ơ้ \(\vee \omega\) ) or to food ( \(\tau \rho \circ \varphi \tilde{\eta}\) ) Whatever the reason for it, its abbreviation makes comparison of it with the other texts of limited utility.

The Syriac version and Henayn's translation both follow the word-order of the Greek original more closely than does the early Arabic translation. This is most evident in the early Arabic version's placement of the phrase 'to liquify bodies' before the phrase 'purging from above'. In the corresponding text of the original aphorism and of the two later translations, these phrases occur in the opposite order. In considering the translation of the first phrase, there is an
example of the Syriac version's greater literalness when compared with Hunayn's translation. The Syriac's word order follows the source-text's as closely as possible, with almost every word translated literally. Although Hunayn's translation does not make any great departure from the source-text, the changes he introduced, such as introducting the aphorism with man ihta \(\bar{a} j\) ilā 'one who requires' instead of with a simple preposition, indicate less concern to follow the text literally.

The only terminological element of any interest is the translations of tov̀s \(\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \beta\) ópous. In the early Syriac version, the borrowed Greek word is employed, while in the later Syriac translation the native equivalent hurbaknā is used. For their parts, both of the Arabic translations employ the same word al-kharbaq. The Syriac translations thus show at least a superficial process of development, while the Arabic approach to translating this word appears to have been stable from the earliest period of Greek-to-Arabic medical translation.

\section*{Aphorism vi. 31}
 \(\lambda u ́ \varepsilon\).
E.

For pain of the eyes, pure wine, washings, fomentations, blood-letting, or a drink of medicine cures.
P.

شرب الخمر صرفاً والكماد الحارّ وقطع العروق وشرب الدواء يحلّ وجع العينين. A draught of pure wine, hot fomentations, cutting the veins, and draughts of medicine resolve pain of the eyes.
S.
 For pain of the eyes, a draught of pure wine cures; a bath, a fomentation, venesection, or a draught of medicine cures.
H.

أوجاع العيين يحلّها شرب الشراب الصرف أو الحمام أو التكميد أو فصد العرق أو شرب الدواء.
Pains of the eyes are resolved by draughts of pure wine, baths, fomentations, venesection, or draughts of medicine.

Several points of contrast may be noted when comparing these translations. Both of the Syriac versions render the Greek text more literally than do either of the Arabic translations. This is especially true of the earlier Syriac translation, given that the later version does depart slightly from the source-text in that it repeats the verb šrā 'cures', while the Greek original gives the verb \(\lambda v \varepsilon i ́\) only once. Hunayn's Arabic translation for its part follows the source-text's word order slightly more closely than does the early Arabic version, in that the former text begins with 'pains of the eyes', as does the Greek original, while the latter places the corresponding phrase at the end of the aphorism. Hunayn however wrote the verb immediately following the introductory phrase. In this he appears to have preferred to conform to classical Arabic usage by introducing the main clause
with the verb. The text of the early Arabic version from Houtsma's edition also neglects to translate \(\lambda\) ov \(\boldsymbol{\rho}\) óv.

Compared to the aphorisms treated above, this text contains a greater variety of vocabulary. Although the Greek words are rather thinly represented in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, a brief comparison of the variations observable in the Syriac terminology with their corresponding entries in the Lexicon should prove of interest.

First, we may observe that the Greek word \(\lambda\) ovioóv is translated differently in the two Syriac versions. The early translation gives mashutā 'washing'. An entry for this word in bar Bahlul's Lexicon reads as follows:

1115:3 بجهسبما/ أقول الغُسل*
Mashutā, I say washing.

For its part, the Syriac Aphorisms translates this word with banā 'bath'. Although this Syriac word does not appear to have its own entry in the Lexicon, it is possible to discover it elsewhere. For example, consider these entries:

394:22 حالم ََ جانتا الحمّام״
Balanin, this is baths (banā), baths (al-ḥammām).




Balan \(\bar{a}\) according to our teacher, the Greek balānin ( \(\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v \varepsilon i ̃ o v\) ) is the same as \(a\) bath (ḥammām). According to translations (yubuālē), a bath (balanā). (According to) others a bath (balanas). Banē hababnārā, a bath attendant (ḥammāmī). Balani,
baths.


Bnawāt̄ā, baths (banās), baths. Banē habannārā, a bath attendant (hammāmī).

The profusion of Syriac terms derived from the Greek \(\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \varepsilon\) iov makes it somewhat difficult to situate the specific word banā within the lexicographical tradition. However, the presence of Ḥunayn's Arabic translation of \(\lambda\) ovipóv, alhammām, in close proximity to bana, the translation of that word given in the Syriac Aphorisms, may be contrasted with the lack of correspondence between the equivalent given in the older Syriac translation and Hunayn's Arabic version. This suggests a closer relationship between Hunayn's Arabic translation and the later Syriac version.

Another significant difference between the two Syriac versions is found in the translations of the Greek word \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon\) ßотоцín. The fragment from the Epidemics gives \(\check{s} \underline{b} \bar{a} q\) dma 'blood-letting', while the text of the Syriac Aphorisms gives \(p s a \bar{a} q\) warid \(\bar{a}\) 'venesection'. The latter translation is more literal and more technical. The Lexicon does not appear to contain any specific reference to the practice of venesection in connection with the Syriac words used in either translations. However, a third phrase with the same meaning is referred to in the entry beginning at 2089:16 for for ' \(\operatorname{tra} \bar{a} \bar{a}\) ', where this definition is found:
2089:18 ... Lا;u o;ب! فصد العرق فصد العروق؛ ... tra' warid \(\bar{a}\), opening of a vein, opening of veins.

This definition conforms to Hunayn's usage in his Arabic translation of the

Aphorisms, where he gives faṣd al- 'urūq 'venesection, opening of veins' for \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \beta\) ото \(\mu\) ín. It contrasts, however, with the early Arabic translator's somewhat less technical equivalent of this Greek word qat' al- urūq 'cutting of the veins'. This evidence tentatively suggests that Ḥunayn preferred a different usage in his Syriac version of the Aphorisms from either of those employed in the extant translations.

\section*{Section Two}

For the following three aphorisms, the early Arabic translation is not extant.

\section*{Aphorism ii. 6}
 тои́тоוฮเv \(\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \vee \omega ́ \mu \eta ~ v о б \varepsilon і ̃ . ~\)
E.

Those who suffer pain in some part, but do not sense most of the pain: the mind of these people is diseased.
S.

When part of some (peoples') body pains them, (but) mostly they do not sense the
pains, their judgment is diseased.
H.

من يوجعه شيء من بدنه ولا يحسّ بوجعه في أكثر حالاته فققله مختلط. One to whom a part of his body causes pain, but he does not sense its pain in the majority of its circumstances, (this means that) his mind is confused.

The Syriac versions of aphorism ii. 6 differ from one another in several respects. In some ways, the later Syriac version is closer to the Greek original than is the earlier one. For example, in it the first word ókóбot is translated with kad, but in the early translation that Greek word has no equivalent. The translations of the
 interpreted the genitive plural \(\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi o ́ v \omega v\) to refer back to \(\tau \alpha ̀ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) in the sense of 'most of the pains', while the later translator read it as a genitive construct agreeing with the verb \(\alpha i \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} v o v \tau \alpha 1\), in the sense of 'not having perception of the pains'. Heunayn's Arabic translation agrees with the later Syriac translation in its interpretation of this element of the Greek text.

The most interesting differences amongst the three translations lie in their varying renditions of the noun \(\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \eta\). Here I consider the lexicographical background to these translations:

\section*{\(\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta\)}

Gnomê, this is will, mind (re \(\mathfrak{y} \bar{a} n \bar{a})\), intellect (tar itita \()\), will.

Forms of \(\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta\) occur twice in the Aphorisms. For both of these instances the Syriac version gives the equivalent tar iț \(\bar{a}\) 'intellect'. Hunayn's Arabic gives a different word in each case: in aphorism ii. 6, al- 'aql 'reason' is employed, while in v. 16, al-dhihin 'mind' is found. The early Syriac version of ii. 6 exists, and there a different word, madd 'a 'mind', is used. Although the early Arabic version for v. 16 is also extant, it appears that the translation of \(\gamma \vee \dot{\rho} \mu \eta\) dropped out of the text Houtsma used in making his edition.

Considered by itself, the definition attributable to Hunayn in bar Bahlul's Lexicon links the translation from the Syriac Aphorisms with the translator's lexicographical work. If we look to the definitions of the Syriac words, a more complex picture emerges, however. The entry for madd' \(\bar{a}\) reads like this:









166. Duval: میدر.
 preferred for clarity.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { بـ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Madd'áa, mind, understanding, reason ('aql). (According to) bar Serošway, it is the fount which possesses all thoughts. It has its dwelling-place in the heart, and it is that which receives exalted knowledge regarding the essences, according to their differences from one another, and rational knowledge, and the action of thoughts. Understanding (al-fahm). Madd' \(\bar{a}\) is thinking, and knowledge of the affair of the soul, which is the affair of speech, thought, and judgment (tar it \(\bar{a}\) ). It is distinguished from intelligence (hawn \(\bar{a}\) ) in that it possesses the power of speech, free from the action of the voicing of the words of the current, unuttered, undivided in change, unlocalized (lit. 'a word of a place'). (It extends) into the future, in all who know and all that is understood, as though without limit. Thought (hušāba \(\bar{a}\) ) is the likeness of that which is thought, and the discriminating, judging sense of the intellect. Thus is reason (malilut \(\bar{a}\) ). These distinguish the mind in the soul, for they produce reason by (means of) another natural power and the nature of the soul. Thus (the mind) is the cause of every utterance of a word. Others say that, instead of reason, the word is the willing power of the soul. So it is that John the Evangelist, when he desired to make clear the eternity of the only one who is with the Father, named him the word made manifest, and that is not (the same as) speech. And there are those beyond these who assent (as well). For some of them consider a portion of philosophy regarding speech, and of these the Organon alone of (the texts of) philosophy, when it states this argument, to wit, 'skillful words uttered with a correct manifestation'. \({ }^{168}\) Reason, gnosis.
168. I have been unable to trace this reference.

Madd' \(\bar{a}\) again, it is mind (idda \(\underline{t}^{\mathrm{t}} \overline{\bar{a}}\) ), one of the powers of the soul in its activity in the heart.

This entry refers to both religious and philosophical texts to give specific definition to the faculty of the mind amongst the rich psychological terminology of Syriac. For our purposes, two aspects stand out. First, the Arabic terms given in the initial definition attributable to Hunayn include both of the Arabic equivalents for \(\gamma \vee \propto \dot{\mu} \eta\) to be found in the translator's version of the Aphorisms. Second, bar Serošway's detailed account of the term clearly makes the concept tar \(i \underline{t} \underline{a} a\) a subsidiary product of the action of the mind. The implications of this may be seen more clearly when the entry for tar itita itself is considered:



ذوي الاباب الرأي والفهم"

Tar itā, deliberation, opinion, or intention. In a manuscript innermost conviction. According to Zakariya thought. Bnay taritiā, people of opinion (ahl al-ra'y). (According to) bar Serošway, again, tar itiō, the end of thoughts secretly within the heart upon which (one) makes examination. For tar \(i \underline{t} \bar{a}\) is distinct from re \(y\) yānā ('mind'), thought, understanding, intention, or opinion. Taritita hawnānāyta, rational understanding, rational thought. In a manuscript, that which is in an apostle. Intellect restrains folly, it restrains those who possess understanding. Opinion, understanding.

Drawing on this material, it is clear that to say that someone's madd'a is disordered, as does the early Syriac translation of aphorism ii. 6, is quite different from saying that someone's tar itita is disordered, as does the later Syriac version of that aphorism. The latter translation both is the more specific of the two and is represented in the short entry for \(\gamma v \omega \mu \mu \eta\) attributable to Hunayn provided at the beginning of this discussion. This constitutes a link between the translator's lexicography and the Syriac Aphorisms. On the other hand, the presence of Ḥunayn's Arabic equivalents for \(\gamma \vee \dot{\rho} \mu \eta\) taken from his translation of the Aphorisms in the entry for madd' \(\bar{a}\) and the concurrent absence of those equivalents from the entry for tar it \(\bar{a}\) rather suggest a link between Hunayn's Arabic translation techniques and the former Syriac term rather than the latter.

The source of this discrepancy could perhaps be located in the differing conceptual scope of these Syriac and Arabic psychological terms. Bar Bahlul's Lexicon does not provide an exact Arabic equivalent for tar ițā. In other words, the term's precise connotation of 'the faculty of mind that deals with the final products of thought, such as opinion or intention' is not represented in the lexicographical work presented here. When rendering tar itia into Arabic without an exact equivalent, either the first element of this concept, i. e. 'faculty of mind', could be retained, or the second, i. e. 'intention or opinion'. In the context of aphorism ii. 6, it is clear that the element 'faculty of mind' is closer to the general sense of the text. For this reason, the Arabic terminology given in the entry for madd \({ }^{\prime} \bar{a}\) better renders the Greek than that given for \(\operatorname{tar}\) it \(\bar{t} \bar{a}\).

The difference between the two translations' approaches to translating \(\gamma v ต ́ \mu \eta\) in aphorism ii. 6 may also be due to the translators' responses to Galen's commentary on the aphorism. Hunayn rendered the end of Galen's commentary by writing '(I)n this place there is no difference between my saying mind ( \({ }^{\text {aqq }}\) ),
understanding (fahm), intelligence (dhihin), or thought (fikr). \({ }^{169}\) Thus it may be that Hunayn preferred to employ the most general of the possible terms. Again, this approach renders Hunayn's translation closer to the earlier Syriac version than to the later.

\section*{Aphorism iii. 19}


E.
 All diseases occur at all times. Some of these (diseases) in some of those (times) extend and exacerbate more.
S.

مثمد:دنـ.
All diseases occur at all times of the year. However, some diseases occur or exacerbate more often at some of these times.
H.

والأمراض كلّها تحدث في أوقات السنة كلّها إلاّ أنّ بغضها في بغض الأوقات أحرى بأن تحدث وتهيج. All diseases occur at all times of the year, but at some of these times it is more to be expected that they occur or exacerbate.

The Greek text of this aphorism is very concise. The early Syriac version strongly reflects this concision, while the later Syriac version and Hunayn's Arabic translation both add significant material to the text in order to clarify it for their readers. This is particularly the case in the translations of the phrase \(\delta\) ' \(\begin{gathered} \\ v \\ \\ \alpha\end{gathered} \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime}\) \(\dot{\varepsilon} v i ́ \alpha s ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega v . ~ I n ~ t h e ~ G r e e k, ~ b o t h ~ t h e ~ s u b s t a n t i v e s ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ i n i t i a l ~ s e n t e n c e, ~\) vooń \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) and \(\check{\rho} \rho \eta \sigma \iota\), are subsumed under the pronouns in that phrase. The early Syriac translator preferred to translate the text literally without any explicitation, while the two later translations repeat one or both of the equivalent words. Hunayn in his Arabic translation chose to interpret the adverb \(\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{0 \nu}{}\) without reference to quantity, instead giving the word \(a h r r \bar{a}\) 'more appropriate' in its place. In this the Arabic version contrasts slightly with both of the Syriac translations.

The verb \(\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha a l\) provides an opportunity to consider the very interesting Syriac and Arabic terminology for this medical phenomenon both in the Aphorisms and in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. I shall treat here the scholarly background to the translations of this and related terms.

\section*{\(\pi \alpha \rho о\) э̆vбнós}

Although forms of this word occur several times in the Aphorisms, none of the entries in Duval's Greek index to bar Bahlul's Lexicon refer to any of them directly. Forms of two quite distinct Syriac terms translate \(\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ \varsigma\) and the
related verb \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi\) v́v \(\omega\) in the Aphorisms. The more common of the two, \(\underline{d} \bar{a} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\), is employed for eight of the nine occurrences of these words. In aphorism iii. 19, however, the verb metmarmrin serves to translate the verb \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi\) vivetal instead. Of these eight examples, iii. 19 is the only extant example from the early Syriac translation. There, the same word metmarmrin is used in place of \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi \dot{v} v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) as well.

For his part Hunayn employed a variety of words as equivalents for \(\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ i n ~ h i s ~ A r a b i c ~ t r a n s l a t i o n, ~ i n c l u d i n g ~ d a w r ~ ' p e r i o d i c ~ e x a c e r b a t i o n ', ~\) nawb 'paroxysm', and the verb hāja 'to exacerbate'. In one of the three instances of these words in aphorism i. 11, he apparently interpreted \(\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ s\) to be synonymous with \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \eta\), and gave the translation waqt muntahā al-marad 'the time of the height of the disease, \({ }^{170}\)

Several aphorisms containing these words survive from the early Arabic translation. These examples also display a variety of approaches to the translation of \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu\) ó . Generally in these translations the term ihtiyāj serves to render the Greek word. In cases where the phrase \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \pi \varepsilon \rho\) tó \(\delta o v \varsigma ~ a c c o m p a n i e s ~ i t, ~\) however, the translator interpreted the word to signify a particular type of fever, and so rendered it with phrases like al-ḥummā allat̄̄ ta rid hīna báda hīna 'fevers that recur periodically'. In a single example in aphorism ii. 13, the phrase hidda al-marad 'sharpening of the disease' translates the Greek word.

Several of these examples invite closer scrutiny, but before proceeding to that, I would like to examine the entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Syriac equivalents for \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ \varsigma\), as they will help greatly to clarify this relative variety of terminology. An entry for the word murmārā reads like this:
170. See unit (1.2.4) above.


 الغيظ* Murmārā, paroxysm of fevers (ihtiyāj al-ḥummā), exacerbation (iskhāṭ). According to Hunayn exacerbation (ihtidad). Mettmarmar, to exacerbate (yaḥtaddu). Murmāre \(\bar{e}\) and \({ }^{\text {'d }} \bar{a} y \bar{e} \overline{\text { differ, for }} \mathfrak{d} \bar{d} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) is properly used for periodic fevers, while murmārē is (used) mostly for continuous fevers. The first is called qild al-ḥummā, nawba al-ḥummā, or dawr, while the second is called ihtiyāj alḥummā. Murmārā according to bar Serošway, this is (said) of lasting anger, lasting sorrow, the strengthening of illnesses, wrath.

The following entries define words related to \(\underline{d} \bar{a} y \bar{a} \overline{:}\)

D\(\overline{\operatorname{D}} \bar{a} \bar{a}\) in a manuscript, the paroxysms of a tertian fever ('ubūq ḥummā ghibb). And according to bar Serošway, a paroxysm (hudara), paroxysms (al-dawr).



171. Duval: :قـــّـــــة. The word qild fits the sense and is supported by the analogous entry for ' \(\underline{d} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) at 1406:5.
172. Duval's manuscripts F and S read \({ }^{\text {; }}\), +i 'according to our teacher' instead.


'Āday 'alaw, this is 'passing it by', he supposed he Arabicized it as 'he passed it by on his way'. 'Adㅢāyā 'alaw according to bar Serošway, it overcame him and conquered him. 'Ādên 'alay nesyunē, evils befell me. 'Ādên hašē, pains overpowered. \(\underline{D} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) and murmārā differ, according to bar Serošway, for \({ }^{d} \underline{a} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) is used for periodic fevers, while murmārā (is used) mostly for continuous fevers. The first is called qild al-ḥummā, nawba al-ḥummā, also dawr al-ḥummā and ubūq al-ḥummā, while the second is called ihtiyāj al-ḥummā. \(\underline{D} \bar{a} y \bar{e} d \underline{d}-l \bar{a}\) šāwên according to bar Serošway, uneven paroxysms (adwār ghayr mustawiya). \(\underline{D} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) wa-tawbā, flow and ebb. \(\underline{D} \bar{a} y \bar{a} \underline{d}-e s ̌ a ̄ t \underline{a}\) ('paroxysm of a fever'), fevers which do not exacerbate ( \(\operatorname{tanūb),~uneven~paroxysms.~}\)

Of central importance are the parallel definitions of \(\underline{d} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) and murmāra \(\bar{a}\) which figure in the entries at 1042:1 and 1406:5. Besides the fact that bar Bahlul did not attribute the definition at 1042:1 to a specific lexicographer, the strong parallels between the terminology of the translations of \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ A p h o r i s m s ~ a n d ~\) that of these definitions should allow for their authorship to be attributed strongly to Heunayn. Although most of the manuscripts used by Duval attribute the version in the entry at 1406:5 to Bar Serošway, according to the editor's apparatus two of his manuscripts read ayk rabban 'according to our teacher (Hunayn)'. On the basis of the evidence presented below, I believe the alternate reading attributing the definition to Hunayn is likely the more accurate of the two. Such a reading is substantiated, furthermore, by an entry in bar 'Ali's Lexicon. There, the following entry is found:


Murmārē, with long-a for the mim and \({ }^{d} \underline{d} \bar{a} y \bar{e}\) differ, for \({ }^{\text {'d}} \bar{a} \bar{a} y \bar{e}\) is properly used for periodic fevers, while murmārē is (used) mostly for continuous fevers. The first is called qild al-ḥummā, nawba al-ḥummā, or dawr al-ḥummā, while the second is called ihtiyāj al-ḥummā. Exacerbation, sharpening (iḥtidād).

If we look closely at the examples from the Aphorisms, we see that the distinction introduced in the Syriac terminology between the paroxysm of recurrent fevers, \(\underline{d} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) and that of the continuous fever, murmāra, is faithfully carried over into Hunayn's Arabic translation as well. In the vast majority of the instances where d \(d \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) or a related verb occur in the Syriac Aphorisms, Hunayn employed one of the words mentioned in the definition of that word in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. The only exception is found in aphorism i. 11, where waqt muntahā al-marad 'the time of the height of the disease' is found instead, as mentioned above. However, as expected from his definition, in the one case where a verb related to murmārā is found, Hunayn translated it with the verb hāja, which is related to ihtiyāj, the word associated with murmārā in the entries from the lexicons.

Several points of interest may be noted in relation to these examples. Most importantly, the Syriac terminology had evidently developed a higher degree of terminological specificity relative to the Greek. Whereas the text of the Aphorisms refers to the exacerbations of periodic fevers and continuous fevers
173. Hoffmann: جتص.
174. Hoffmann ed., Syrische-arabische Glossen, 218:5585.
with the same term, \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu o{ }^{\circ}\), the Syriac text introduces terms that immediately distinguish between the two. The glossographers' sense of the superior precision of the Syriac terms perhaps allowed for the Greek term to be neglected entirely insofar as their work is reflected in the entries of bar Bahlul's Lexicon. Furthermore, the correspondence between the terminology present in the translations of the Aphorisms and the definitions of \({ }^{\dot{d}} \bar{a} \bar{a} y \bar{a}\) and murma \(\bar{a} \bar{a}\) strongly indicate Syriac influence upon Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms. On the basis of this evidence, the distinction between the Syriac terms used as equivalents for \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ s\) in the Syriac Aphorisms constitutes an important example of terminological innovation in Syriac medicine. As shown by comparing his entries for these terms in bar Bahlul's Lexicon and his translation of the Aphorisms, Hunayn's Arabic translations faithfully maintained this terminological distinction.

Although the evidence for translations of \(\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ s\) from the early Arabic translation is partial, lacking in particular the crucial aphorism iii. 19, comparing these texts with the evidence taken from the other translations provides some opportunity for considering their relationships with one another and with the lexicographical tradition. Perhaps the most interesting element of these comparisons is the correspondence between Hunayn's simple definition of murmār \(\bar{a}\) in the entry at 1042:1, ihtidad, and the early Arabic version's rendering of \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu\) ós in aphorism ii. 13, hidda al-marad. This may suggest that this definition of Hunayn's represents a continuation of an earlier stage of translation technique. In general, the early translator's approach to translating these terms was more haphazard than Hunayn's. Furthermore, he used the term reserved by Hunayn for the paroxysms of periodic diseases, ihtiyāj, in several aphorisms where the context refers the term to the paroxysms of acute diseases, and where
the Syriac translation gives \(\underline{d} \bar{d} \bar{y} y \bar{a}\) and not murmāra \(\bar{a}\). This suggests that Hunayn could have been responsible for the transferral of this distinction, and at the least that it was not established in Arabic at the time of al-Bitrīq's translation. Furthermore, the link between the Syriac terminology and Hunayn's Arabic translation on the one hand contrasts with the dissimilarity between the Syriac terminology and al-Bitrīq's Arabic translation on the other. This is a strong indication that Hunayn employed developments in Syriac medical terminology in order to make his Arabic translations more precise.

\section*{Aphorism iii. 20}



E.

 Those (things) that occur in spring are the diseases of the sons of black bile, madnesses, epilepsy, flow of blood, canine angina, catarrh, leprosies, ringworms, itches, ulcerous eruptions, and pains of the joints.
S.



In spring there is mania, melancholy, epilepsy, apoplexy, flowing of blood, angina, catarrhs, quinsy, cough, scurf, ringworm, tetter, the greatest amount of ulcerous pustules, abscesses, and pains of the joints.
H.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { قد يعرض في الربيع الوسواس السوداوي والجنون والصرع وانبعاث الدم والذبحة والزكام والبحوحة والسعال والعلّة } \\
& \text { التي يتششّر منها الجلد والقوابي والبهق والبثور الكثيرة التي تتقرّح والخراجات وأوجاع المفاصل. }
\end{aligned}
\]

There may occur in spring melancholic delusion, madness, epilepsy, flowing of blood, angina, catarrh, hoarseness, cough, the disease due to which the skin flakes off, tetter, herpetic eruptions, great amounts of pustules that ulcerate, abscesses, and pains of the joints.

This aphorism consists entirely of a list of diseases which predominate in the springtime. The Syriac translations differ substantially from one another, both in the lists of diseases and, in the cases where lists overlap, in their respective terminologies. This is of particular interest in that the later Syriac version displays a much greater tendency to employ forms of the Greek words contained in the source-text as loan-words than does the earlier version. \({ }^{176}\) I have already considered several of the Greek disease-names present in this aphorism in the first chapter of the work. These include \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda 1 \kappa \alpha ́\) (2.1.7), غ̀ \(\pi \downarrow \lambda \eta \pi \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́\) (2.2.4), \(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \lambda \eta \xi i ́ \alpha\) (2.2.2), \({ }^{177} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varphi \circ i ́(1.3 .3)\), and \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \rho \imath \tau \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha}\) (1.3.9). In the following pages,

\footnotetext{
176. This exemplifies well the broader trend discussed extensively in Chapter Two.
}
177. Although this word does not occur in the modern editions of this aphorism, it

I shall provide lexicographical studies for those terms in this aphorism that I have not yet considered. I shall then return to consider the implications of these comparisons for our understanding of the relationship between the three translations presented above.

\section*{\(\mu \alpha v i ́ \alpha\)}

Mānyā, madness (junūn), and according to Paul and bar Serošway, madness (šanyut̄ā), rabidity.

Forms of \(\mu \alpha v_{i} \alpha\), the related adjective \(\mu \alpha v_{\imath}\) ós, and the related verb \(\mu \alpha i v o \mu \alpha\), occur several times in the Aphorisms. Despite their close relationship, the Syriac version of the Aphorisms approaches these words quite differently. For the two instances of the adjective employed as a substantive in aphorisms iii. 20 and iii. 22 , the borrowed Greek word maniya 'madness' is employed. For the occurrences of the noun and the verb from book five on, however, forms of the native nouns šnāyā 'frenzy', šānyutā 'madness', and the verb šn \(\bar{a}\) 'to go mad' are used instead. In aphorism iii. 20, the early Syriac version gives šānyut̄ā 'madness', contrasting with the later version's employment of the borrowed Greek word. For its part, Hunayn's Arabic version consistently translates all of these words with a form of al-junūn 'madness' adding a form of the verb uṣīb 'to be stricken' to render the verbs. Although most of Ullmann's examples also employ al-junūn, he notes one translation of a form of adjective \(\mu \alpha v ı\) кó \(̧\) from Dioscorides' Materia Medica that
does occur in the later Syriac version of it.
gives a transliteration of the word. \({ }^{178}\)
The Greek lexicography in bar Bahlul's Lexicon matches well with both the Syriac and Arabic equivalents. An entry for the Syriac equivalent šnāyāa adds more detail:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { عن صورة العقاءث* }
\end{aligned}
\]

Šānyā, a madman, an insane person, one stricken. In the Gospel, šnaw lahon, they were deluded. Šānyut̄a, madness. (According to) Zakariya, confusion. And according to bar Serošway, madly in love. In a manuscript, departure. Šnāyā according to bar Serošway, madness and again annihilation of the reason, departure of reason... Šani, he fed him, he nourished him, he fed him, he was lacking the form of the rational, absent from the form of the rational.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa v v a ́ \gamma \chi \eta
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { العضل الداخل في الحنجرة٪ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Qunnānkê, pain of the throat, angina (al-dhibḥa). According to bar Serošway qunā\(\underline{k} \hat{e}\), a swelling in the innermost muscles of the throat, swelling of the inner muscle in the throat.

Forms of кuvá \(\gamma \chi \eta\) occur six times in the Aphorisms. Both of the main translations
178. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 406.
are entirely consistent in their renderings of the term, the Syriac giving hānoq \(\bar{a}\) 'angina', and Hunayn's Arabic giving al-dhibha with the same meaning. One aphorism from the early Arabic version containing кvvá \(\gamma \chi \eta\) is extant, and there too al-dhibḥa is its translation. A single aphorism from the early Syriac version containing the word is also extant. The translation found there, hānoqā kālbānā 'canine angina', differs slightly from the later version's equivalent in that it gives reference to the etymological sense of the Greek word alongside the standard Syriac name for the disease.

The single entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for кuvá \(\gamma \chi \eta\) contains two definitions of the term. The first, attributable to Hunayn, gives two Arabic equivalents for the Greek word, including al-dhibha, the translator's preferred equivalent in the Aphorisms. The second definition, attributed to bar Serošway, provides a brief symptomatological account of the disease first in Syriac and then almost identically in Arabic.

The definition in bar Bahlul's Lexicon for the Syriac equivalent of кvvó \(\gamma \chi \eta\) runs as follows:

Hִanoqā, strangulation (khanq). In a manuscript edša d-ḥanoqā, types of strangulation. Hanoqā, angina (al-dhibḥa).

It is clear from this evidence that this disease was clearly defined in both Syriac and Arabic. The presence of nearly univocal terminologies in both the Syriac and Arabic traditions, and the strong representation of these in the both the Greek and Syriac entries in the Lexicon, makes it certain on the basis of this material that these terms were well-established long before Hunayn's career.

\section*{ко́рv̧̧}
 Qoruzā, in a manuscript a cold (bard), and according to bar Serošway catarrh (alzukām), which is a flux that goes down to the nostrils, catarrh (al-zukām).
1754:7 مْ;برا آبه ح: ه:ة خبطة من البرده:

Qorizā according to bar Serošway a catarrh (khabṭa) due to cold.

Forms of the Greek word kópu弓a occur five times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac version in each case translates with a form of the word qurārā 'cold, catarrh'. In four of these five instances, Hunayn's Arabic translation gives forms of the word al-zukām 'colds', but in aphorism ii. 40, the nearly synonymous word al-nazla is found instead. Ullmann notes several other instances of this Greek word from various Arabic translations, all of which give forms of al-zukām as well. \({ }^{179}\) The early Syriac version of iii. 20 is extant. As in the version of that aphorism found in the Syriac Aphorisms, it gives a form of qurārā.

The entries for the Greek word in Bar Bahlul's Lexicon corroborate only one of these three translations, that is, the more common Arabic equivalent al\(z u k a \bar{a}\). Two other Arabic synonyms, bard and khabta, are also introduced there. Bar Serošway's definition at the end of the entry at 1752:2 gives a Syriac definition of the Arabic al-zukām in an interesting variation on the Syriac/Arabic bilingualism that characterizes these entries, which usually proceed in the
opposite order of languages.
Turning to bar Bahlul's entry for the Syriac equivalent for кópv弓а in the Aphorisms, qurārā, we find the following text:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { مه;برا الزكام" }
\end{aligned}
\]

Qurārā according to Zakariya, catarrh (nzārā). In a manuscript, chilling (altabrīd), which is said of catarrh (al-nazla). Hoarseness, that is catarrhs (dawbē). But this occurs with cough and hoarseness supervening in the lungs. Catarrh (alnazla), also catarrh (khabṭa). (In) a manuscript catarrhs (al-nazlāt) on account of which occur colds (al-zukām) due to (both) cold and heat. According to bar Serošway fluxes which come down from the head, which is qorizā, colds (alzukām).

By virtue of the prominence of forms of the word al-nazla in this entry, we find here a fuller representation of the vocabulary of the Arabic Aphorisms. Not only is al-nazla represented, but the author of this entry, presumably Hunayn because of the citation of 'a manuscript', has also placed that term in a causal relationship with al-zukām. This emphasizes the exceptional character of Ḥunayn's translation of кópu乞̧a with al-nazla in ii. 40. Whether his text differed from the Syriac translator's or he simply preferred another interpretation, this discrepancy is yet another example of variation between the two translations.

A further problem arises, however, in that the material attributable to Hunayn in this entry tends to make al-nazla rather than al-zukām the Arabic equivalent of the Syriac qurārā, while the reverse is the case in the translations of the Aphorisms. This point is brought out further if we consider the translations of
the Greek word \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho o s\) s 'catarrh' in the Aphorisms. In all four instances of that term, al-nazl or al-nazla is the equivalent given by Hunayn, while dawbā is the standard equivalent in the Syriac version. Here is an entry for dawbā in bar Bahlul's Lexicon:
 ;ْخرا النزف"
Dawbā, a cold (zukām), flow (of bodily fluid) and excretion, \({ }^{180}\) menstruation. Something which melts something else, I say 'yudhībuhū (it melted it)'. According to bar Serošway, liquefaction (al-dhawabān), catarrh (al-nazla). Dawbā, flows of blood (al-nazf).

The material attributable to Hunayn in this entry makes al-zukām equivalent to \(d a w b \bar{a}\), while the definition attributed to bar Serošway makes al-nazla its equivalent. That Hunayn held al-zuk \(\bar{a} m\) equivalent to \(d a w b \bar{a}\) is further indicated by the following entry from bar 'Ali's Lexicon:

\(D \bar{a} w b \bar{a}\) [is said] of menstrual blood, semen, or of fluxes that come down from the head. Al-nazf (flows of blood) (and) al-hayḍ (menstrual blood) are used for the
180. I take al-imdha' to be the verbal noun of the fourth form of the root \(* \mathrm{mdhy}\) as found in Freytag's dictionary. Otherwise it is not represented in the dictionaries I have consulted.
181. Hoffmann ed., Syrische-arabische Glossen, 109:3054.
first，al－imdhā（excretion）is used for the second，and al－zukām（catarrhs），al－ saylān（flows），and al－dhawabān（liquefaction）are used for the third．

To recapitulate，in the Syriac translation кópu \(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\alpha}\) is translated with qurārā，while \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \rho o o s\) is translated with dawbā．In Hunayn＇s Arabic Aphorisms and in other translations，кópv弓人 is almost always translated with forms of al－zukām，while \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \rho o o s\) is generally translated with forms of al－nazla．\({ }^{182}\) Yet in several examples of Hunayn＇s lexicographical writing，these Syriac and Arabic equivalents are reversed：al－nazla is associated with qurārā，while al－zukām is associated with dawb \(\bar{a}\) ．Based on the lexicons，it would seem reasonable to expect that Hẹunayn＇s Syriac translation would have used these two Syriac words in a corresponding way to these two Arabic words，yet the opposite is the case in the extant Syriac Aphorisms．

The close relationship between these terms makes firm argumentation solely on the basis of these variations difficult．With that said，the lexicographical evidence combined with the regularity of the translations indicates a rupture between Hunayn＇s Syriac to Arabic lexicographical activity as preserved by bar Bahlul and the equivalents for кópv弓а and ка兀о́ \(\rho \rho o o s\) in the Syriac Aphorisms． This contributes further to the impression that Hunayn was not the author of the latter work．

\section*{\(\beta \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \chi o \iota\)}

182．This equivalence also obtains in several translations of \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho o v s\) noted by Ullmann，Wörterbuch zu den griechisch－arabischen Übersetzungen，p． 333.

The following word in the aphorism, \(\beta \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \not 01\), is not represented in Duval's Greek index to bar Bahlul's Lexicon. Furthermore, the early Syriac translation of it in the aphorism under consideration either was omitted by the translator or has dropped out of the text. The sense of \(\beta \rho \alpha \alpha_{\gamma} \chi\) ot is very close to that of кópv弓 \(\alpha\), and the entries for the Syriac equivalents of it in bar Bahlul's Lexicon contain material relevant to the discussion of the latter term given above.

Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms translates \(\beta \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma 01\) regularly with forms of the word al-bahūha 'hoarseness', while the Syriac version gives as its equivalent forms hurāšā with the same meaning. If we consider the entries for the Syriac equivalent hurās̄ā in the lexicons, some notes of interest may be made:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 734:1 مه;عال عرفتُ أنّه نبات حارٌ اذا أكل أولد الذبحة زعموا ـ ممه:تا فنم حه سهزعا المنحر والرقبة من } \\
& \text { الثاة. وقال لي سنان ابن ثابت أنّه الذبحة بعينها . سه;al نزلة زكام. سه;al ذبحة" }
\end{aligned}
\]

Hurāsā, I know it to be a hot plant. If it is eaten it produces angina, they suppose. The people of Qatar say for hurā̄̌s̄a, the neck and collarbone of the ram. Sinān ibn Thābit told me that it is specifically angina. Hurāšā, catarrh (nazla), a cold (zukām). Hurāšā, angina.

Another entry for the term is found in bar 'Ali's Lexicon:

مهزّمل. البحوحة في الصوت النزلة يكون منها الزكام ويكون من حرارة وما كان من برودة يسمّى مه;i!. \({ }^{183}\) Hurā̃̌ā. Hoarseness (al-baḥūha) in the voice, catarrhs (al-nazla) due to which occur colds (al-zukām). They are produced on account of heat, and those which are produced from cold are called qurārā.
183. Hoffmann ed., Syrische-arabische Glossen, 140:3762.

This entry clarifies somewhat the material presented in the discussion of кópu弓а above. Two Syriac words for 'catarrh' hurāšā and qurārā exist with opposing senses; namely, the first is defined as 'hot catarrh', and the second as 'cold catarrh'. Two Arabic terms corresponding to these exist in the lexicons as well. According to this entry, the Arabic equivalent of hurāās̄a 'hot catarrh' is baḥūha. Although bar 'Ali's entry does not give an Arabic equivalent for qurārā 'cold catarrh', in the entry at 1757:13 the word al-tabrīd is given as its equivalent in a definition credited to 'a manuscript', which is thus strongly attributable to Hunayn. This latter Arabic word refers etymologically to the particular antithermic aetiology of the illness, as does the Syriac. Although it is impossible to argue so with certainty, al-tabrīd would appear to be good candidate for a calque promoted by scholars who hoped to transfer Syriac etymological meaning into Arabic.

Although according to the lexicons this Syriac distinction was carried over into Arabic, it appears that Hunayn in his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms preferred to use more general terminology. Unlike qurārā, the Syriac equivalent for кópu弓a in the Aphorisms, neither word of the pair al-nazla/al-zukām refers to the heat or coldness of the congestion. Although the lexicographers attempted to maintain this element of the Syriac terminological apparatus, it does not appear to have found significant employment in the translations.

\section*{\(\beta \boldsymbol{\eta} \chi \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v}\)}

The Greek word \(\beta \mathfrak{\eta} \xi\) has fully synonymous and etymologically-interrelated equivalents in Arabic and Syriac, al-su 'āl and šāalā, both meaning 'cough'. Forms
of these words are used to translate all instances of forms of \(\beta \dot{\eta} \xi\) in all of the versions of the Aphorisms under consideration where an equivalent is extant. Notably, this word, like the preceding word \(\beta \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma 01\), is not present in the early Syriac translation of aphorism iii. 20, either because of the translator's deliberate omission or because a word has dropped out of the text.

A single entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon reflects the univocity of these translations:
1997:14 مظلا حَسعال؛:

Šala in a manuscript, cold (su'āl).

\section*{\(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\)}
945:27 خهص:ا برص اسود"

Lêpra, black leprosy (baraṣ aswad).

A form of this word occurs a single time in the Aphorisms, in aphorism iii. 20. The Syriac translation gives the term qalāpitā as its equivalent, while the fragment of the early Syriac version gives a different word, garb \(\underline{\bar{a}}\). Hunayn in his Arabic version used a five-word explicating translation, al-illa allat̄̄ yataqashsharu minhā al-jild 'the disease due to which the skin becomes scaled'. This same translation is also used in Henayn's translations of occurrences of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) in Galen's comment on this aphorism. \({ }^{184}\)
184. Mimura ed., Tafsīr Jālīnūs, III 60-61. \(\Lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \tau \rho \alpha\) occurs twice in the context of Galen's repeating the disease-lists given in the lemma.

Ullmann notes numerous examples of translations of this Greek word and the related adjective \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho o ́ s .{ }^{185} \mathrm{~A}\) variety of Arabic equivalents may be found in these examples. The material recorded in the Syriac lexicons is also of significance for the variations observed in the translations, so here I will record Ullmann's examples serially.

In the occurrences of \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\) in Book Six of Galen's On Simple Drugs, Ullmann cites three equivalents each from the versions of al-Bitrīq and Hunayn. In all three of these cases the former translates \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \rho \alpha\) with baraṣ 'leprosy' while the latter gives al-illa allatı yataqashsharu ma'hā al-jild 'the disease due to which the skin becomes scaled'. Although the overlap between Hunayn's translations and his translation of \(\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) in the Aphorisms is striking, Ullmann's next examples depart from this pattern. In the Arabic translation of the Hippocratic work On Nutriment, a form of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) is translated with al-baraṣ 'leprosy', the same translation preferred by al-Bitrīq in the example cited above. In each of two citations from Dioscorides' Medical Material, the word \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \varsigma ̧\) is translated with the phrase al-jarab al-mutaqarrih 'ulcerous mange'. Again according to Ullmann, the Arabic translation of Artemidorus' The Interpretation of Dreams makes equivalent to the series of three disease-names \(\psi \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha v \eta\) \(̀ \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha v\) \(\eta ̀ ~ \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha\) the two words al-jarab aw al-baraṣ 'mange or leprosy'. Finally, an example from Book 10 of Galen's On Simple Drugs translates a form of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) with the hendiadys al-jarab wal-wadah 'mange and tetter'. \({ }^{186}\)
185. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 387-388.
186. See Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 50 for a discussion of variations, including this one, between the translations of Books Six and 10 of On Simple Drugs.

Ullmann also records several translation equivalents for words related to \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\). For a participle of the verb \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho\) tó \(\omega, \lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho \iota \omega \dot{v} \tau \omega v\), the translation of Dioscorides' Materia Medica gives jarab 'mange'. \({ }^{187}\) In another example from the same work, a form of the word \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s\) is again translated with jarab 'mange'. \({ }^{188}\) Several translations of the Greek adjective \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho\) ós also occur in the Wörterbuch. \({ }^{189}\) In one example from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six, the simple word \(\lambda غ ́ \pi \rho \alpha \varsigma\) occurs, followed later in the text by the phrase \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho o v ́ \varsigma\) ővvoas. The early Arabic translation of the work attributed to al-Biṭrīq gives for \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \varsigma\) baraṣ ‘leprosy’ and for \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho o v{ }^{\prime}\) ővo \(\alpha\) s 'al-baraṣ min al-azfār 'leprosy of the fingernails'. Hunayn's later Arabic version translates \(\lambda غ\) ह́ \(\mu \rho \alpha \varsigma\) in a fashion similar to his other translations cited above, giving al-illa allat̄̄ yataqashshar \(m a ' h \bar{a}\) al-jild', 'the disease with which the skin becomes scaled'. For \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho o v ́ s\) ővoxas he used al-azfār allat̄̄ tabyaḍd 'fingernails which have whitened'.

In Arabic translations of the Gospels, one from Matthew and one from Mark, words derived from baraṣ 'leprosy’ are used to translate forms of \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho\) ós. In three citations from the Arabic translation of Dioscorides' Medical Materials, four translations of forms of the Greek word are found, each of them employing a different equivalent. Three of these translate the phrase \(\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho o v{ }^{\prime}\) ővo \(\alpha \propto\). Of these three, the first Arabic equivalent cited by Ullmann is al-taqashshur al- \(\overline{\text { anrid }}\) fì alazfär 'scaling occuring in the fingernails', the second is al-tashaqquq al-azfär wa-taqashshuruha 'the splitting and scaling of the fingernails', and the third is al\(\bar{a} t h \bar{a} r\) al-bayd al-ārid lil-azfār 'white marks occurring on the fingernails'. In one
187. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 388 .
188. ibid.
189. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 389.
of these citations, the simple word \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha \varsigma\) is also found, and is translated into Arabic with al-jarab al-mutaqarrih 'ulcerous mange'. \({ }^{190}\)

Turning to the lexicographical background in Hunayn's school, the single brief entry for \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) identified by Duval in bar Bahlul's Lexicon defines the disease as baraṣ aswad 'black leprosy'. This corresponds to a few of the translations, notably al-Biṭrīq's early translation of Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six, the translations of the Gospels, and that of Artemidorus' The Interpretation of Dreams. When we consider the entries for the two Syriac terms qalāpitita and garba used in the translations, however, much more significant information regarding the scholarly background lying behind the Arabic translations comes to light. First, this is an entry for qalāpitā:




 Qlāpē, scales (qushūr). Qalāpițā, itch (al-ḥazāz), tetter (al-qawābī). Qlāptā desaypa, I say it is the slag of iron (tawbāl al-fūlādh). Qalāpit̄ā according to bar Serošway, that in which a person's skin flakes (nater bah besreh), and he scratches much but it is not sufficient, and the skin scales off (metqalap) from the scratching, tetter which itches and scales off (tanqashir). Again qalāpitāa which scales and flakes, tetter which scales and flakes (tantathiru). In a manuscript the
190. Ibid.
191. Duval: الفولاد.
scaling of the body, tetter, the scaling of the flesh, which is a disease. Hunayn introduced it for tetter which is scaled. (According to) al-Marwazī dry mange (aljarab al-yābis), flaking tetter. Paul read for qalāpit̄ā leprosy (baraṣ). In the Torah, 'the Lord strikes with torpor and with qalāpitita'. \({ }^{192}\) Again, white, ulcerous qalāpit̄ā which appears due to tetter.

A short definition of this Syriac word containing relevant material also occurs in bar 'Ali's Lexicon:
 Qalāpitāa, dry mange or flaking tetter, itch, the disease in which the skin flakes off (al-illa allatī yataqashshar fīhā al-jild), scaling of the flesh. A disease.

An entry for gar \(\underline{b} \bar{a}\), the equivalent given for \(\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \rho \alpha\) in the fragments of the early Syriac version of the Aphorisms, reads as follows:

Garbū, leprosy (baraṣ). Garbā, a leper. Garbē, leprosy. Da-greㅁ, lepers, spotting of the body (waḍah al-badan). According to bar Serošway it is white, spotting,

\footnotetext{
192. Deuteronomy 28:22.
}
193. Gottheil ed., Syriac-Arabic Glosses, II 349:1
leprosy. (According to) others, whenever the word refers to the leprous individual it is pronounced without aspiration 'garb \(\vec{a}\) ', and when it refers to the ulcers, it is pronounced with aspiration 'gar \(\underline{b} \bar{a}\) '. It occurs due to the deadness of the living flesh, and it is known to be so, since where the flesh is pricked or dug out, no blood flows out from it, just as it does not flow out from the dead. Some (kinds) of it spread in the body, and some do not. When the body is weak it is spread from place to place, but when it is strong it does not spread, but remains in its place.

Before proceeding to a discussion of the relevance of these two entries for the Greek to Arabic translation movement, it should be noted that each of them appears to refer to a different skin disease. The interesting symptomatogical descriptions in Syriac differ clearly from one another. In particular, the disease qalāpit \(\bar{a}\) is said to be accompanied by an intense sensory experience in the skin, namely itching, while in the disease gar \(\underline{b} \bar{a}\) the skin is said to have died.

Each one of these three entries contains important information concerning the variety of Arabic equivalents given for Greek words related to \(\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha\) in the literature surveyed above. Most prominently, Hunayn's translation activity is directly referred to in terms which link the entry for qalāpitita to the translations of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) most strongly attributed to the translator in the scholarly literature. It appears from this material that the multi-word explicative translations in the Aphorisms and the later version of Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six were developed in response to the sense of the Syriac word qalāpitā . It should also be considered very likely that qalāpitā served as Ḥunayn's equivalent for \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) in his Syriac translations. This example also serves well as an example of bar 'Ali's direct reliance upon Hunayn's glossary.

The Syriac word qalāpitīa has a similar etymological sense-development to
that of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\), both words expressing the sense of 'scaling, flaking'. Hunayn's several Arabic definitions in the lexicons attempt to introduce a word related to an Arabic word for this general concept, al-qishr 'scales', to serve as an equivalent for qalāpitīa. This etymology is not shared by any of the other equivalents discussed above. Also notable in this connection is the absence from the entry on qalāpitita of the otherwise commonly-used Arabic equivalent baras save in a single brief reference to Paul of Aegina. Baraş, however, figures prominently in bar Bahlul's entry for \(\operatorname{gar} \underline{b} \bar{a}\), the equivalent for \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) in the early Syriac version.

These distinctions are borne out by the accounts of skin diseases given in Thābit ibn Qurra's Book of Treasures on the Science of Medicine. Thābit divides his chapter on leprosy in that work into two parts. In the first part, Fī al-judhām wal-bahaq al-abyad wal-aswad 'On leprosy and white and black tetter', he first describes the general aetiology of the various melancholic afflictions of the skin. He then goes on to cite Galen to the effect that at a late stage of the skin disease called al-judhām, the outer skin flakes off (taqashshara al-jild al-zāhir). \({ }^{194}\) In a second section, Fī al-baraṣ 'On leprosy', Thabit gives a different aetiology which relies not on melancholy, but on phlegmatic blood. \({ }^{195}\)

These sources indicate that a Syriac terminological distinction without an immediately obvious Arabic counterpart faced Ḥunayn. Less careful translators had rendered the Greek \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) with the term baraṣ. It is even the case that the single unattributed entry for that Greek disease-name in bar Bahlul's Lexicon
194. Thābit (d. 901) was an important figure in the development of Arabic philosophy and science. G. Sohby ed., Kitāb al-Dhākhira fì Ilm al-Ṭibb Ta 'ı̄̄f Thābit ibn Qurra, (Cairo: Government Press, 1928), 1ヶ^-1rq.
195. Ibid., \\&..
defines the word as such. Yet in both his lexicographical work and in his translations, the least that may be said is that the etymological sense of the Syriac word qalāpitā urged upon Hunayn the introduction of new Arabic terminology centred around the word al-qishr 'scales'. Furthermore, the symptoms associated with baraṣ were not the same as those associated with qalāpitī , but rather were more closely associated with a different Syriac disease-name, garb\(\underline{b} \bar{a}\). It is also possible that Thābit ibn Qurra's distinction between al-baraṣ and al-judhām corresponds to the distinction between these Syriac words. If that is the case, it would mean that Heunayn's terminological innovation did not entirely hold, even for the generations of medical authors immediately succeeding him. Yet at the same time, Hunayn's explicating translations appear to have given Galenic authority to a description of the symptoms of the disease that would not necessarily have been present in the original Greek of whichever work Thābit consulted.

The various Arabic translations cited above may be divided into four categories. First are those works which translate \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \alpha\) with al-baraṣ. These are either very early translations, such as those attributed to al-Bitrī̄, or non-medical works, such as the Gospels and Artemidoros' work on dream-interpretation. Second are those translations which use the multi-word explicating translation alílla allat̄̄ yataqashsharu ma'hā al-jild or a slight variation thereof. These examples are drawn from the works most strongly attributed to Hunayn, such as the Aphorisms and the later version of Book Six of Galen's On Simple Drugs, and to reiterate are strongly supported by Hunayn's entries for qalāpitā in the Syriac lexicons.

A third category includes translations which reflect somewhat Hunayn's lexicography by including a word related to al-qishr without using the identical
explicating phrase. This category comprises some of the translations taken from Dioscorides' Materia Medica. These are particularly interesting in that they may give some insight into Hunayn's approach to modifying previous translations. \({ }^{196} \mathrm{~A}\) fourth category includes medical works which translate neither with al-baraṣ nor with a word related to al-qishr. Included here are other translations from Dioscorides and the single example from Book 10 of Galen's On Simple Drugs. In most of these texts, the term al-jarab 'mange' is used. This word also figures in a definition of qalāpitita attributed by bar Bahlul to al-Marwazī. In the example from Book 10 of Galen's On Simple Drugs, the term al-wadah is employed alongside al-jarab.

What should be made of the single example of relevant Greek lexicography that appears in bar Bahlul's Lexicon? This definition, although unattributed, defines \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) with al-baraş, which as we have seen represents an older stratum of translation when compared with Hunayn's work. A potentially important clue may be found in bar Bahlul's entry for qalāpit \(\bar{a}\), where the authority of Paul is cited for the equivalence of that Syriac word and the Arabic al-baras. As seen earlier, in Chapter One, material that closely tacks with Pauline definitions may be found without attribution in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. \({ }^{197}\) We may thus presume that Hunayn did not write the entry for \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) presented here. Given that at present Paul is the only other author besides Hunayn for whom there is evidence that bar Bahlul included his definitions without attribution, and given that al-baras clearly
196. The original Arabic translation of Dioscorides' Materia Medica is attributed to Iș̣tifān ibn Bāsil, and Ḥunayn is said to have rectified it. See Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 55.
197. See the discussion of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha\) in unit (1.3.7) above.
represents a stage of the translation movement prior to Hunayn, the translator of Paul's Pragmateia is the most likely author of the short entry for the Greek word \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\).

To sum up this rather long discussion, it may be remarked that parallel developments regarding the translation of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) occurred in the Syriac and Arabic medical traditions. In both the early Syriac and early Arabic translations, terms taken by the later lexicographers to be equivalent both to that Greek word and to one another were used, namely garb\(\underline{a} \bar{a}\) and al-baras. At some point in the centuries intervening between Sergius and Hunayn, the Syriac term qalāpit̄ā, a word with a similar etymological sense as \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\), came to be preferred as the translation equivalent for that Greek word.

Hunayn, wishing perhaps to retain the nuances of the Syriac terminology and at any rate unsatisfied with al-baras, attempted to introduce Arabic terminology that likewise agreed with the etymological sense of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\). Although his terminology was not universally adopted, in the generations after Hunayn the distinction between these two types of leprosy persisted in various guises. Thus we see the Syriac and Arabic translation traditions undergoing parallel developments. That is to say, a distinction originating in the Syriac tradition was transferred into the Arabic translations in successive stages, with Hennayn's work playing a prominent but not ultimately decisive part in the process.

\section*{גєıŋŋ́v/גєıхŋขıкóv}



Likinios, tetters. In a manuscript hazāzit̄ \(\bar{a} \underline{d}\) - \(\underline{e} e p \bar{a}\), lichen of stones. \({ }^{198}\) Jibr̄̄l and Hunayn rectified it in this manuscript. Likên, tetter of horses according to our teacher. Liknês, tetter, tetter.

966:3 حصيمه, دواء القوابي"
Likniqon, the disease 'tetters'.

The Greek word \(\lambda \varepsilon \not \chi \chi \tilde{\eta} v \varepsilon \varsigma\) occurs once in the Aphorisms, in aphorism iii. 20. Both the Syriac translations give hazāzziṭa 'ringworm, tetter' as its equivalent, while Hunayn's Arabic translation gives al-qawāb̄̄ 'tetters'. Alongside this example, Ullmann notes others, including a text from Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six. There, al-Biṭīq employed al-hazāz to translate the Greek term, while Hunayn again translated it with a form of al-qūba \(\vec{a}^{\prime 199}\)

The entries for \(\lambda \varepsilon \not \subset \not \subset v\) and \(\lambda \varepsilon \downarrow \chi \eta v i \kappa o ́ v\) in bar Bahlul's Lexicon corroborate Hunayn's usage alongside definitions for other senses of these Greek words. \({ }^{200}\) The entry for the Syriac equivalent does likewise:



198. Although al-hazāza does not carry this sense in the dictionaries of Wehr and Freytag, the sense here is clear.
199. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 385.
200. Although several other entries for \(\lambda \varepsilon \iota \chi \eta ์ v\) and related words are noted in Duval's index, they refer to other senses of the term, so I shall not treat them here.

حصمصه مرا /Lالصغير الأذن،
Hazāziț̄a according to bar Serošway, that which occurs on the surface of the outer skin, tetter (al-qubā), ulcers (al-sa fa). Hzzāzē, tetters. Hzzāzā, one suffering from tetter, itch, or mange. Hzzāzā, hzā̄z, ringworm (ḥazāz), mange, one suffering from tetter, itch. Also, the small, the fat tail of a sheep (al-ilya). According to Zakariya \(d a-h z a \bar{a}\), one suffering from tetter. According to bar Serošway al-sa'fa, tetter (haz \(\bar{a} z i t \bar{a}) . H z \bar{a} z\), this is the place of the smalls of the ears. And there are those who say the place where the fat tail of the sheep is small, and others say that which is on its exterior. Hazāzitita, the small of the ear.

It is of some interest, perhaps, that al-Bitrīq used an Arabic word related etymologically to the Syriac equivalent, while Hunayn preferred a word from an entirely different root. This is the case despite the representation of al-haz \(\bar{a} z\) in definitions of hazāzițā attributable to Ḥunayn in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. At least superficially, then, the Arabic translations of \(\lambda \varepsilon \chi \chi \tilde{\eta} v \varepsilon \varsigma\) represent a development away from terminological similarity with the Syriac.

\section*{\(\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\xi} \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)}

Hêksanttimaṭā, this is pustules (hemṭ̂e , according to Paul, pustules (al-bathr).

\footnotetext{
 transcription.
}

Forms of this Greek word occur twice in the Aphorisms. Both Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac version translate in the same way each time, the former with al-buth \(\bar{u} r\) 'pustules' and the latter with hemtē. These translations thus accord exactly with the entry in bar Bahlul given above. The early Syriac translation of aphorism iii. 20 gives a different word here, mapqāt \(\bar{a}\) 'eruptions of the skin'. This word figures prominently in the Syriac translations of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi\) ó \(\sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha .{ }^{202}\) The entry in bar Bahlul for the Syriac equivalent hemtee provides some additional information of interest:
 وايضاً قروح لم تتضت عمنتا ولا حمهة

Hemteè, I found it to be 'impetigo'. According to bar Serošway, hemṭē occurring on the eyelashes. According to Paul pustules (al-bathr). According to bar Serošway hemtē, impetigo, and also ulcers that are not concocted, ulcers that are not ripe.

Two points of interest stand out regarding this entry. First is the repetition of Paul of Aegina's definition from the Greek entry above, which serves to emphasize again that material attributed to Paul occurs regularly in entries possessed of Syriac head-words. In this case, the Pauline Arabic definitions of the Greek word and its Syriac equivalent are identical with one another.

\section*{\(\varphi v ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)}

1513:9 همهماهر أج هَ هققا خراجات*
Pumāt \(\bar{a}\) according to Paul abscesses (napqē), abscesses (khurājāt).

Forms related to this Greek word occur several times in the Aphorisms. Both the translations of it in the Syriac Aphorisms and those in Hunayn's Arabic version of the work vary in their approaches to rendering it. The more common equivalent of the word in the Syriac version is napqa 'abscess', but the closely synonomous world mapaqt \(\bar{a}\) also may be found to represent it. Hִunayn usually gave in its place khurāj 'abscess', but sometimes preferred bathr 'pustule' instead. In the extant examples of translations of this word in the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms, forms of khurāj are also employed. The early Syriac version of aphorism iii. 20 gives for \(\varphi v ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) a word with the consonantal skeleton \(m g^{\prime}\), identified by Kessel as an hapax legomenon. \({ }^{203}\)

Ullmann notes a variety of Arabic equivalents for \(\varphi \tilde{\nu} \mu \alpha\).Texts cited there from Hunayn's translations of Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six likewise render \(\varphi v ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) with forms of \(k h u r a ̄ j\). The equivalents given in the early Arabic version of that work attributed to al-Bitrīq differ from those in the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms, however, in that they render \(\varphi \tilde{v} \mu \alpha\) with forms of waram 'swelling' instead of khurāj. An example from the Hippocratic work On Nutriment translates \(\varphi \tilde{v} \mu \alpha\) with bathr, while another from the Hippocratic On the Nature of Man gives for \(\varphi v ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) khurāj. Ullmann finally cites two translations from Dioscorides' Materia Medica. One of these translates pú \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) with al\(k h u r \bar{a} j \bar{a} t\), while the other translates that same form with the hendiadys al-awrām
al-khurājīya 'protuberant swellings' \({ }^{204}\)
The definition attributed to Paul of Aegina in bar Bahlul's Lexicon notes the main equivalents for \(\varphi \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) in Hunayn's translations and the Syriac Aphorisms. Somewhat unusually, however, I could find no entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon Syriac that refers specifically to the sense of the main Syriac equivalent for ¢ú \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\), napq \(\bar{a}\). However, a few short entries in bar 'Ali's Lexicon do refer to it:
\[
\text { بقما وحها هo:ا. البثر بقرب الاظفار . } 205
\]

Napqe \(\bar{e}\) da-lwat taprē, pustules (al-bathr) near the fingernails.
\[
\text { بقما. خراجات. } 206
\]

Napqē ,abscesses (khurājāt).

Although Hunayn or his students recognized the equivalence between this Syriac word and two of the three Arabic terms mentioned as translations of \(\varphi \tilde{v} \mu \alpha\) in the sources mentioned above, when compared with the lexicographical activity for other Syriac words treated so far in this work, his extant treatment of napqe is very scant. The discrepancy is especially striking when the lexicographical material for the secondary equivalent for \(\varphi \tilde{v} \mu \alpha\), mapaqta, is considered. \({ }^{207}\) This may indicate that Hẹunayn did not use this word often in his Syriac translations.
204. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechische-arabischen Übersetzungen, 747-748.
205. Gottheil, Syriac-Arabic Glosses, I 81:10.
206. Gottheil, Syriac-Arabic Glosses, I 81:13.
207. These entries are given in the discussion of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \tau \eta(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) in unit (1.3.7).

\section*{Conclusion}

In Chapter Two, the absence of well-established Syriac technical equivalents for particular Greek words was shown to pose special challenges for Hunayn's Arabic translation technique. Building on this evidence, several examples presented in this chapter show the importance of Syriac lexicography as a scholarly locus for the Hunayn's production of Arabic terminology. Often, extensive theoretical discussions in Syriac or broad-ranging excursions into the Arabic lexicon accompany Syriac words used as equivalents for Greek words in the Aphorisms. These Greek words, however, may be slightly represented in or absent from the lexicons. This is shown in the discussions of words like \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\), \(\gamma \vee \omega ́ \mu \eta, \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\), and \(\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ a b o v e . ~\)

Parallel developments in Syriac and Arabic translation techniques may be observed when comparing the translations themselves. While it is still quite literal when compared with Hunayn's Arabic translation, the later Syriac version appears to be slightly more reader-oriented than does Sergius' version. Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms rather represents a movement towards both greater accuracy and greater ease of comprehension when compared with alBiṭrīq's version.

At the same time, the development of these two languages' translation techniques displays some clear differences. In Chapter Two, I demonstrated the strong distinction between the Arabic and Syriac receptivity for Greek loanwords. In the present chapter, especially in the discussion of aphorism iii. 20, evidence of the progressive Graecization of Syriac vocabulary is observable in the higher number of Greek loanwords in the later Syriac version of the Aphorisms as compared with the earlier Syriac version. The later Syriac version
and Hunayn's Arabic translation both display a higher degree of sophistication when compared to the earlier examples of their respective traditions. Furthermore, given the background evidence available, both from Hunayn's Risāla and the material from the lexicons presented here, it is clear that Hunayn did not develop his Arabic translation technique in isolation from prior developments in the Syriac tradition.

The evidence presented thus far for Hunayn's Arabic version points to a combination of accuracy regarding the sense of the source-text combined with clear terminological influence from the Syriac scholarly background. The most obvious way to account for this is to posit that Hunayn produced his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms as an extension of his Syriac translation of the work. The material presented in this chapter thus specifies and heavily emphasizes the Syriac component of Ḥunayn's Arabic translations.

\section*{CHAPTER FOUR}

\section*{The 'Abbāsid-era Syriac and Arabic translations of the Aphorisms and their Scholarly Background}

In the previous chapter I compared the extant fragments of the early Syriac version of the Aphorisms with the translations of the corresponding texts in the broader Syriac and Arabic traditions. In this chapter, following a similar approach, I compare translations taken from the partially surviving early Arabic version of the Aphorisms attributed to al-Biṭīq with the later Arabic version of Hunayn ibn Ishāāq and the ‘Abbāsid-era Syriac rendition of the work. Although the early Arabic version is of inherent interest in many respects, my primary intention in undertaking this comparison is to compare and contrast the techniques used in the Syriac translation with those adopted by Heunayn in his Arabic version in the light of the texts of al-Bitrī̄q's translation. In doing so, the value of the Syriac Aphorisms for the study of the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement will be kept in focus. Because a much larger body of text is available from the early Arabic translation than from the early Syriac version treated in the previous chapter, it has been necessary to make a selection. I have chosen the texts presented below with the aim of discussing questions I perceive to be of interest for the study of these translations and their lexicographical background.

As discussed earlier, in the introduction to his edition of the Syriac Aphorisms, Henri Pognon contrasted the styles of the Arabic and Syriac translations contained in the Paris manuscript by describing the Syriac translation
as more literal than Hunayn's Arabic version. \({ }^{208}\) While including the early Syriac version in this type of comparison is difficult because of the paucity and brevity of the surviving fragments, the translations of the lengthiest lemmas of the Aphorisms survive from the early Arabic version, therefore allowing for extensive analysis. I have chosen a handful of aphorisms which I have found to be reasonably representative of the variations in style observable in the translations.

Alongside a comparison of the varying styles and levels of sophistication of these translations, several themes and motifs prominent in earlier chapters of this work will be further extended in this one. Although I shall not be able to provide an exhaustive treatment of the lexicographical background for Hunayn's versions of these texts, I shall provide comparative studies for several terms of interest. In particular, key advances in translation technique, influential borrowings from Syriac, and terms possessing extensive theoretical treatment in bar Bahlul's Lexicon will be given special attention.

\section*{Aphorism i. 1}



P.

(1) Life is short, art is long, time is sharp, experience is mistaken, and judgment is difficult. (2) It is necessary for the physician that he not confine himself to doing what is necessary that he do without seeking to aid the patient himself, those who serve him, and those who are outside.
S.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) ستا כتק. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) Life is short, and the art is long. The moment is sharp. Experience is not sure. The crisis is difficult, (2) therefore, it is necessary not only for you that you give yourself to doing what should be done, but also he who is ill, those nearby him, and those outside.
H.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) العمر قصير والصناعة طويلة والوقت ضيّق والتجربة خطر والقضاء عسر (2) وقد ينبي لك أن لا تقتصر على } \\
& \text { توخّي فعل ما ينبغي دون أن يككن ما يفطله المريض ومن يحضره كذلك والأثياء التي من خارج. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) Life is short, the art is long, the moment is narrow, experience is dangerous, and judgment is difficult.(2) It may be necessary for you not to content yourself with putting your mind to doing what is necessary without that (also) being what the patient does, or those who serve him likewise, or the things that are outside.

As by far the most famous of the Hippocratic Aphorisms, this text has received a correspondingly larger amount of scholarly attention. Most important for the purposes of this discussion is Franz Rosenthal's 'Life is Short, the Art is Long'. \({ }^{209}\)

Before proceeding to his overview of the Arabic commentaries, Rosenthal made some brief comparisons of the terminology of the three translations presented above. Most of his attention was given to the difference between the translations of the Greek phrase ó \(\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\) кalpòs ò \(\xi u ́ s\), for which Hunayn's Arabic version represents a significant advance in accuracy over al-Biṭrīq's. Rosenthal characterized this as an advance due to the fact that the Arabic hadī̀ 'sharp' does not carry the same idiomatic sense of urgency as the Greek ó \(\xi \dot{\prime} \varsigma\) does. \({ }^{210}\) This account may be supplemented by remarking that the Syriac harrip does carry this idiomatic sense, so the Syriac translation does not suffer from the same awkwardness despite its employing a broadly analogous technique.

The relative obscurity of the second half of the aphorism allows for the description of the varying approaches to handling difficult material observable in these translations. Of the three, Hunayn's translation is by far the most complex, and adds the most material. The early Arabic version adds some interpretative elements, but does not explicate to the extent that Hunayn's translation does. At the same time, there are some interesting overlaps between the two versions. In translating the verb \(\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon ा v\), for example, both Arabic translators employed the same form of the verb iqtașara 'to confine oneself, to content oneself', although the contextualized senses of the word are slightly different between the two versions. In contrast, the Syriac version, which of the three follows the sourcetext most closely in general, preferred to render a much more basic and obvious sense of the Greek verb, translating it tetel napšāk (l-mabed ) 'give yourself (to doing)'.

Hippocratic aphorism', Bulletin of the History of Medicine 40 (3) (1966).

Although several of the lexical variations are interesting, the approaches to translating the Greek word кpírı̧ are of particular importance for the purposes of this thesis. This importance derives from the fact that the Syriac translation of the word buḥrānā was borrowed into Arabic with the sense of 'medical crisis' in certain contexts, but was not used to translate other, more general senses of крírıs. Here I shall consider the translations of this word along with their scholarly background.

\section*{крі́бıç/крívo}

Qrinon, to judge, to judge.

Qrisis according to Bar Serošway, judgment, judgment.

As one of the more important motifs of the Aphorisms, discussion of the prognostic construct of the crisis in fevers occurs in several places in the work. Forms of the noun крítı, the verb крive, and various related words occur numerous times in the work. In all cases, the Syriac version translates these words with a form derived from the root *bhr , either the noun buhrānā 'crisis' or the verb bhar. Reference to the Syriac summary of Book III of Galen's On Critical Days attributed to Sergius of Res Ayna shows that these equivalents were well established long before Ḥunayn's lifetime. \({ }^{211}\)
211. Eduard Sachau ed., Inedita Syrica, (Wien: Verlag der buchhandlung des

Hunayn's Arabic translation is less regular. Although the most common equivalent is the noun al-buḥrān 'crisis', either singly or, when translating verbs, with accompanying verbs such as \(a t \bar{a}\) 'to come', there are several exceptions. A handful of examples from the early Arabic translation of the Aphorisms also exist. The translations in that version tend to be based on forms related to the word alfaraj 'relief'. These are often extended by the words qad \(\bar{a}\) ' or yaqḍ \(\bar{\imath}\) 'conclude/ judge'. For example, in aphorism ii. 23, the verb крivetal is translated by the phrase yaqḍ̄̀ alayhā bil-faraj 'conclude with relief'.

Several of Hunayn's exceptions to these techniques deserve further scrutiny. Perhaps most interesting of these are the translations of the noun крivov in aphorism v. 22. The relevant Greek sentence admits of multiple interpretations, and here Hunayn and the translator of the Syriac version have each chosen a different one. The Greek sentence and the two translations run like this:
 For these, heat is a friend and a crisis, but cold is an enemy and a harm.
S.

For these, heat is a friend, but cold crises are deadly opponents.
H.
فالحارّ لاَصحاب هذه العلل نافع شاف والبارد لهم ضـارّ قاتل.

For those suffering from these illnesses, heat is a benefit and a cure, but cold is for them a deadly harm.

The two translations clearly reflect different readings of the Greek text. Heunayn's translation of крĩvov with shāf 'cure' reflects a reading close to that of the modern editions, with кןĩvov referring back to \(\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu\) óv. On the other hand, the Syriac text reads крĩvov as a substantive introducing a new clause, and translates it with \(b \bar{a} h\) ure 'crises'. Furthermore, the Syriac translation renders the words \(\varphi\) í \(\lambda\) ıov and \(\pi\) одє́ \(\mu\) ıov literally, while in Ḥunayn's version they are given a medical interpretation. This example strongly contributes to the argument against Hunayn's authorship of the Syriac translation.

Another interesting exception to Hunayn's translation of крíбıऽ with albuḥrān occurs in the aphorism under consideration here, aphorim i. 1. There both Hunayn and the early Arabic translator translate крí⿱ıऽ with al-qaḍā 'judgement', while the Syriac translator's choice buhrān \(\bar{a}\) is consistent with his other translations of the term. In aphorism iv. 59 as well, Henayn's translation of the verb крívetal with takūn tanqaḍ̄ 'conclude' hearkens back to the preference of the older translator and contrasts with the Syriac translator's continued consistency expressed by his translation metbahrā 'come to a crisis'.

A further exception occurs in aphorism i. 19. At the end of that text the phrase \(\pi \rho\) ò \(\tau \tilde{\omega} v \kappa \rho \iota \sigma i ́ \omega v\) 'before the crisis' is found. Although there is nothing in the text of the aphorism itself to suggest that anything other than the usual medical crisis is intended, Hunayn in this place gives min qabl awqāt al-infiṣāl 'before the time of separation', while the early Arabic translator gives qabla an ta'khudhahum al-ḥumm \(\bar{a}\) 'before the fever seizes them'. The Syriac translator again follows his normal technique, translating the phrase with qdām buḥrāne 'before the crises'.

Although it has long been clear that the Arabic word al-buhhrān was
borrowed from the Syriac buhrānā, \({ }^{212}\) the examples above show that the borrowing was quite limited in its grammatical scope. At least for Hunayn, albuhrān could only be used as a noun with the limited sense of 'medical crisis'. For the broader sense of the Greek term крíбוя, as found for example in the first aphorism, the Syriac borrowing could not be expected to be understood as an equivalent.

Furthermore, although al-buhrān could be employed in translations of the verb крívต, the term itself was never allowed to exert verbal force. Rather, auxilary verbs had to be used to support the sense. One might speculate that the inelegance of such constructions led Hunayn to prefer the Arabic verbal equivalent tanqad̄̄ in aphorism iv. 59, where the Greek source-text is very concise. These phenomena stand in contrast to the Syriac examples, where bhar/ buḥrānā was used to represent all the complex senses of this Greek word. Ullmann's examples for крíбıs taken from the Arabic translation of the Hippocratic Epidemics likewise contain both of the main Arabic equivalents. In the first of these, al-buhhrān is given, while in the second, waqt al-inqiḍä occurs instead. \({ }^{213}\)

Even in this well-known and broadly accepted example of Syriac influence, close attention to the details of these translations displays a much more nuanced phenomenon wherein the Syriac borrowing is forced to compete with other, better established Arabic usages. The combined circumstances of terminological instability in the Arabic and terminological stability in Syriac clearly did make
212. Glen Cooper, Galen, de Diebus Decretoriis, from Greek into Arabic (Surrey: Ashgate, 2011), 18.
213. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 369.
the borrowing attractive, but this was by no means a mechanical transfer from the Syriac lexicon into the Arabic. On the other hand, by resorting to the Syriac term, the Arabic translations introduced further complexity into their lexical apparatus. The effect of this was to eliminate the one-to-one correspondence between bhar and крivต evidenced in the Syriac Aphorisms, and thus to reduce the degree of similarity between the source-text and the translation.

The two brief entries for крívov and крíণı̧ in Bar Bahlul's Lexicon presented at the beginning of this discussion refer only to the element of 'judgment' in the sense of these words, and not at all to their medical senses. Some relevant information is found in the definitions for the Syriac terms, however. The following entry is one example:
```

365:8 حمس:را امتحان وأقول تبحّر تفتيش. حسزما/ استشفاف اختبار امتحان. حس:ا مدتحن مختار مصفّا

```


Buḥrānā, trial, and I say deep study (tabaḥhur), inquiry. Bḥurutāa, discernment, examination, trial. Bḥirā, examined, chosen, ordered, selected, elected. Bḥurā, observer, examiner, inquirer, assessor. Buhrān̄̄̄ according to bar Serošway, crisis (al-buḥrān). Buḥrānē, inquiries.

According to modern standards of lexicography, the relationship between the words in the Arabic lexicon derived from the root *bhr and those words in the Syriac lexicon derived from the same is very obscure at the least. The Arabic words tend to display a relationship with the noun bahr 'sea', while the senses of the Syriac words proceed from the verb bhar 'to try (metal by fire)'. Developing from each of these two senses, however, both languages came to signify a certain similar concept, which we may call 'mastery of learning', with words derived
from this root. The sense of the Arabic tabahhur is that of learning as deep as the sea, while the Syriac verb may carry the meaning of expertise in a field of knowledge, such as is gained by intense effort and trial. The lexicographer's awareness of this overlap in meaning between the two languages is indicated in the entry at \(365: 8\). Reference to this happenstance etymology could have served to suggest or to justify the borrowing of the Syriac word buhhrānā into Arabic.

Although Hunayn's use of the Arabic al-buhrān to translate крírs is not reflected in the Syriac entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon attributable to the translator, a definition from bar 'Ali's Lexicon does contain it:

حهسزمل البحران والمحنة القضا تفتيش. 214
Buḥrānā, crisis (al-buḥrān), inquiry, judgment (al-qaḍā), discrimination.

\section*{Aphorism i. 3}








P.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) عند تكثيف الصحّة القصوى أخطأ إن كانت في المنتهى (2) لأنّها لا تستطيع أن تثبت على حالها ولا تقيم } \\
& \text { على غير انتقال، (3) وإذا كان لا بدّ لها من الانتقال وليس تقدر على أن تنتقل إلى خير ممّا هي عليه فقد بقي أن } \\
& \text { تنتقل إلى ما شرّ. (4) من أجل ذلك هو أمثل أن تطلق الصحّةّ بلا إبطاء كيما يبتدئ الجسد بالتربية من ذي قبل. } \\
& \text { (5) وألّا يفرط في التنقّص فإنّ ذلك خطأ ولكن على قدر ما تحتمل طبيعة الذي يفعل ذلك به. (6) قال: وكذلك أيضاً } \\
& \text { الفرغ إذا بلغ المنتهى خطأ والملء إذا بلغ المتتهى خطأ. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) In stripping, extreme health is mistaken, if it be to the utmost, (2) because it is not possible that it can be established in its state, and does not remain without change. (3) If there is no escape for it from change, and it cannot change to better than that which it currently is, it only remains that it can change to that which is worse. (4) Because of this it is preferable that you disengage health without delay, to the point that the body begins with training as before. (5) Let it not be lax in diminution, for that is a mistake, but rather (let it be done) to the extent that the nature to which it is done can bear. (6) He said: And likewise, again, purging when it reaches the limit is a mistake, and repletion that reaches the limit is a mistake.
S.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) (1) حمA, }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) For those who exercise, complete fattening which is in the furthest extreme is unsafe, (2) for it is not possible that they persist in it, nor that they be still. (3)

Then, because they cannot be still, and it is no longer possible to advance to that which is better, it remains therefore that they pass to that which is worse. (4) It is advantageous, therefore, because of this, not slowly to relax the fattening, until the body begins again to receive nourishment, (5) but also not to bring their evacuation to the furthest extreme, for this is unsafe. Instead, to the extent that the body's nature is prepared to endure evacuation, one should bring it as far as that. (6) Likewise, then, both evacuations brought to the furthest extreme are unsafe, and, again, renewed nourishment that is in the furthest extreme is unsafe.
\(\xrightarrow{\mathrm{H} .}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) خصب البدن المفرط لأصحاب الرياضة خطر إذا كانوا قد بلغوا منه الغاية القصوى. (2) وذلك آّنه لا يمكن أن } \\
& \text { يثبتوا على حالهم تلك ولا يستقرّوا ـ (3) ولمّا كانوا لا يستقرون وليس يمكن أن يزدادوا إصلاحاً، فبقي أن يميلوا إلى } \\
& \text { حال أردأ. (4) فلذلك ينبغي أن ينقص خصب البدن بلا تأخير كيما يعود البدن فيبتكئ في قبول الغذاء. (5) ولا يلغ } \\
& \text { من استفراغه الغاية القصوى فإنّ ذلك خطر لكن بمقدار احتمال طبيعة البدن الذي يقصد إلى استفراغه. (6) وكذلك } \\
& \text { أيضاً كلّ استفراغ يبلغ فيه الغاية القصوى فهو خطر . وكلّ تغذية أيضاً هي عند الغاية القصوى فهي خطر. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) Excessive abundance of body for the people of exercise is dangerous, when they have reached the furthest limit of it. (2) This is because it is not possible that they be stable in that state of theirs, nor that they be established. (3) Because they cannot be established, and it is not possible that they increase in health, it remains for them to tend to a worse state. (4) Because of this it is necessary to decrease abundance of body without delay, to the extent that the body reduces and begins to accept nourishment. (5) But one should not reach the furthest limit in purging it, for that is dangerous, but (purge) only to the extent that the nature of the body that you intend to purge can bear. (6) And likewise, again, all purging that reaches the furthest limit is dangerous, and again, all nourishment that goes to the furthest limit is dangerous.

This aphorism presents a relatively straightforward example of the different translators' approaches to rendering the lengthier prose sections of the Aphorisms. Although they display several notable variations in technique, Hunayn's translation and the Syriac version accurately communicate the meaning of the source-text. The early Arabic version, however, appears to suffer from a fundamental miconstrual of the Hippocratic author's intended subject of discussion. Despite this, all three display a high degree of consistency.

The two Arabic renditions overlap in some places. For example, in sentence (3), both Arabic translations render the verb \(\lambda \varepsilon\) ínetal with the same word, baqiya 'it remains'. Hunayn's version tends to be much more fluid and concise, and to utilize a much greater variety of grammatical strategies to translate the text. In that same sentence, Ḥunayn used an inner accusative (an) yazdādū iṣlāhā '(that)
 Biṭī̄q used a simpler verbal construction (an) tantaqil ilā khayr '(that) they change for the better' in place of it. Ḥunayn's version both translates è \(\pi \downarrow \delta t \delta o v^{2} \alpha ı\) more literally and uses more elegant Arabic. To the final clause of this sentence, which in the Greek is a simple nominative construction, moreover, Hunayn added the verb yamīlū 'they tend to', while al-Biṭrīq simply repeated the verb tantaqil 'they change'. Although both made additions, Hunayn introduced stylistic variation, while al-Bitrrīq's approach employs stylistic repetition.

In considering the Syriac version, elements of the text tend to confirm Pognon's initial judgment that the translation proceeds in a more literalistic way than does Hunayn's version. One example of this is found in the translations of the verb \(\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon i v\) in sentence (5). The Syriac version renders both of these in a very literal way with word naytē 'to bring', but neither Arabic version provides a
literal translation of the first occurrence, nor any translation at all of the second.
Several terminological notes of interest may be made as well. Most importantly, the early Arabic version's translation of the Greek \(\dot{\varepsilon} v\) toĩ \(\sigma\) \(\gamma v \mu v a \sigma \tau \kappa \kappa\) ĩбıv with inda takshīf 'in stripping' is very obscure. Although this aphorism is only attested in the single Phoenix manuscript of Palladius' Commentary on the Aphorisms, some sense can be made of this reading in that the Greek word derives from the word \(\gamma \nu \mu \nu\) ó \(\varsigma\) 'nude'. If this explanation holds or may be supplemented with other evidence, the word takshīf carrying this sense would be a significant new addition to the Arabic lexicon. Whatever the case, this is at best a very vague and unclear rendition of the sense of the aphorism. Hẹunayn's Arabic and the Syriac translation both render the word \(\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau ו \kappa о\) õбıv in a way closer to the mainstream interpretation of the aphorism as reflected in Galen's commentary on the text. \({ }^{215}\)
 interpretation underlying the equivalent in the Syriac translation mpatmut \(\bar{a}\) 'fattening' largely accords with Ḥunayn's rendering khiṣb al-badan 'abundance of the body'. Both of these, however, are both less literal and more accurate than the early Arabic version's al-sihha 'health', which is simplistic to the point of misconstrual.

The word with the broadest theoretical relevance by far in this aphorism is \(\dot{\eta} \varphi\) ט́бıs 'nature', which occurs in sentence (5). Here I provide below a study of that term as it occurs in the Aphorisms and the Syriac lexicons:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi v ́ \sigma \iota \varsigma
\end{aligned}
\]

Pusis according to bar Serošway, essence, essence, substance (al-jawhar). (According to) Zakariya, spirituality. In a manuscript, the stature of mankind.

Pisis in a manuscript, nature (kyānā), and according to bar Serošway, substance (al-jawhar). Pisis, nature (al-ṭabī́a), and again, substance. Pisisāyā, naturalness, substantiality.

Psis according to bar Serošway, the essence of something, the essence of something, its substance (jawharuh).

Forms of the word \(\varphi\) v́बו̧ occur several times in the Aphorisms. Ḥunayn's Arabic translation, the early Arabic version, and the Syriac translation all use regular equivalents to translate them. The Syriac version employs kyānā 'nature', while the two Arabic translations both use forms related to al-tabī'a 'nature, character \({ }^{\prime} .^{216}\) Ullmann notes examples from other Arabic translations as well. All of these also use forms related to \(a l-\operatorname{tab} \bar{c}^{\dot{ }} a\) as well, except for two examples
216. Although \(a l\)-tabī \({ }^{\prime} a\) is usually translated into English with 'nature', and is broadly synonymous with that English word, I have sometimes preferred to use 'character' to emphasize the differing etymological senses of the various terms treated here.

Galen's On Simple Drugs Book Six for which Hunayn uses al-jawhar 'essence' instead. \({ }^{217}\) For one of these examples, the early Arabic version exists as well, and gives a form of \(\operatorname{al-tab\overline {\imath }}{ }^{\circ} a\). Thus Hunayn in this case moved away from what appears to have been a wide consensus preferring \(a l-\operatorname{tab}^{i} \bar{i}^{\prime} a\) as the equivalent for甲úбıs.

The etymological patterns of sense-derivation for these words display some interesting features. The Greek verb \(\varphi\) v́ \(\omega\), from which \(\varphi\) v́øı̧̧ derives, has several senses, including prominently 'to give birth to', 'to grow', and 'to become'. The Syriac kyān \(\bar{a}\) is related to the verb kān 'to be', and so shares with the Greek term a similar sense-development. The Arabic \(\operatorname{al-tabī}{ }^{\circ} a\), however, derives from the verb taba 'a 'to stamp, to impress'. Its sense development is thus closer to that of the English 'character', which derives ultimately from the Greek \(\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \eta ์ \rho\) 'impress, stamp'.

This etymological distinction has figured interestingly in at least one modern debate around language reform in the modern Muslim world. The following passage was written in Turkey during the 20th century in response to the official introduction into Turkish of the neologism doğa 'nature', derived from doğmak 'to be born', as a replacement for tabiat, the borrowed Turkish form of \(a l-\underline{t a b} \overline{1} a\) :

The Western languages have 'nature', which comes from a Latin word meaning birth. According to our belief, however, what is called 'nature' is not born but created, which means that this [word \(d o \breve{g} a\) ] is wrong, conceptually and semantically. We cannot say \(\operatorname{doğa}\), for tabiat was not
spontaneously born; it was divinely created. \({ }^{218}\)

From the material considered here it seems that \(a l-\operatorname{tab} \bar{\imath}^{i} a\) was the established translation of púбıs from a very early date. However, Hunayn was perfectly capable of introducing new lexical approaches in his Arabic translations at least partly in response to etymological congruencies between Greek and Syriac vocabulary, as I have already shown in the discussion of the translations of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) (3.2., iii. 20), for example. Furthermore, as I shall show below, entries for the Syriac equivalent kyānā demonstrate that the translators were well aware of Arabic equivalents which share a similar sense-development to the Greek and Syriac words under discussion. Not only, then, has the peculiar Arabic approach to the concept of 'nature' resisted change in modern times, the word al-tabí \(a\) and its etymological derivation also seems to have proved resilient in the face of two of its most prominent intellectual forebears as well. The only exception to this is the Arabic word al-jawhar, which appears roughly to have a similar sensedevelopment to \(\varphi\) v́бıs and kyān \(\bar{a} .{ }^{219}\) This usage is the only substantial evidence that Hunayn preferred an Arabic usage closer to the Greek and Syriac terms in question.

Entries for the Syriac equivalent kyānā in bar Bahlul's Lexicon provide important context for these translations. They also contain interesting material showing the prominence of Aristotelian logic in the Syriac scholarly background
218. Geoffrey Lewis, The Turkish Language Reform: A Catastrophic Success (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999), 115.
219. This word derives from the Pahlavi \(g \bar{o} h r\), which means 'essence' but may also mean 'bloodline'. It is related to the Sanskrit gōtrá 'clan'.
to the Syriac and Arabic translations of Hunayn and his successors:

 يعظ"

Ky \(\bar{a} n \bar{a}\), that which exists of itself, and is the receptacle of a thing, nature (alṭabī́a), essence (al-jawhar). Kyānā, nature, essence, character. Kyānāit̄, by nature. Kyānāyā, characteristic, essential. Kyānāytā, characteristicness. And according to Zakariya akin, to form, to style, to bring into being (kawwan), or to create. Mkawwen, to correct, to reprimand, to admonish.

At the end of this entry, a definition of the ap'el verb akin attributed to the lexicographer Zakariya gives several Arabic equivalents. Among these we find the verb kawwana 'to bring to into being', the presence of which demonstrates some awareness of the etymological relationship between these Syriac and Arabic words. Despite this, again, Hunayn preferred to rely upon the previouslyestablished equivalence between the Greek púбı̧ and the Arabic al-tabī \(a\) rather than to seek a more semantically exact term by resorting to the resources of Syriac.

Another related entry runs as follows:
220. Duval: (sic) الطبيعة. For several places in the Aphorisms which the Syriac translates with the adverbial form kyānāit, Hunayn's Arabic translation reads bil-ṭabì \({ }^{\prime}\), thus making this the best supposition for the otherwise strange collocation in this entry.














 Kyānā mtumāyā ('eternal nature'), which, in one place, is the Infinite, or the Cause of all, or the Sufficient, or the essences of all good (things), nature (alṭabī a ), the eternal essence, who is God, the Noble and Majestic (wa-huwa Allāh 'azz wa-jall). Kyānite \(\bar{a}\) is that which, wherever something is, it accompanies it, such as reason for men, and heat for fire. Characteristicness. Kyānā is whatever exists of itself, and is the receptacle of a thing. It is defined as self-existent because it is distinguished from the accidents which do not exist of themselves, and from that which is of the receptacle of the thing. Because powers, accidents, and actions exist of it, the thing which exists substantially due to it and on account of it is distinct from that which exists substantially (of itself). There is no nature that is not a substance, and each nature that is possesses substance of itself.

Again, because it is an existent, it is separated into four types: The intelligible, the sensible, the general, and the particular. The intelligible is, for example, the angels or the souls. The sensible is, for example, the visible bodies. The universal is, for example, a nature, whatever it is, whether of men or of one of the other species, which occurs to the judgment exercised alone and simply. The wise named these conceptions 'essence' (usiyā). The particular is, for example, one nature out of all of the natures, or one substance out of all of the species, like Paul or Peter. The wise said of this that it is the vehicle and principle part of the essence. Accompanying and belonging to each nature, whatever it is, are six attributes. 1. That it is not in the thing, but all (of the thing) is in it. 2. That it gives from its name and from its definition all of what is said of (the thing). 3. That it indicates this thing expressly. 4. That it does not have that which the contrary has. 5. That there is not in it lack or excess. 6. That when it is one, at the same time it is. The receptacle of these is that which the contrary has, the common essence, the stature which exists due to it and on account of it, and the essence existing of itself, which is an indication of itself for the engenderedness of the thing. Nature. Kyān \(\bar{a}\), a state of generality that is near to (the state of) being understood in the mind. And in the reckoning of the sense \({ }^{221}\) of the definition, an individual state that does not accept along with (the others) plural enumeration, nor, analogously, increase.
221. The sense of this is somewhat obscure. The word haniyuta \(\bar{a}\) (translated here 'sense') literally means 'pleasure, sweetness', which makes little sense in this context. For this reason I have translated according to the evident meaning of the passage.

This entry displays clearly the importance of Aristotelian logic for the terminology of Syriac philosophy. Several elements of the unattributed, encyclopaedic definition strongly resemble discussions found in Aristotle's Categories, for example. \({ }^{222}\) Thus, the Peripatetic account of the concept of 'nature' colours unavoidably the scholarly background to the Syriac translations of \(\varphi\) v́ors in the Hippocratic Aphorisms. In this way, the historical diversity of Greek philosophy appears to collapse into a single Syriac idiom dominated by Attic and Hellenistic understandings of key theoretical concepts.

Finally, it may be remarked that the absence of an analogous Arabic text penned by Hunayn or one of his successors, coupled with the strongly independent cast of the Arabic equivalent for \(\varphi\) v́бıs al-tabī̀ \(a\), indicates a certain degree of rupture even at the heart of the translation movement. Of course, Hunayn could not have foreseen the extent to which Arabic would come to dominate philosophical discourse at the expense of languages like Syriac over the following centuries. As this process continued, however, the important elements of the endeavour of Greek-to-Arabic translation that were written in Syriac came to be relatively inaccessible to many scholars who sought to use the translations as independent works. Lacking knowledge of Greek, many scholars must have remained generally unaware of the tension between the etymological senses of
222. Several Syriac translations of and commentaries on the Categories were performed at various stages between the 6th and 9th centuries. The study of this tradition has received important scholarly attention, notably in Daniel King, The Earliest Syriac Translation of Aristotle's Categories (Leiden: Brill, 2010). According to King, Hunayn later composed a translation of this work, which is lost.
qúaıs and \(a l-t \underline{t} b \bar{\imath} \dot{a} a\) and the implicit challenge represented thereby, for example. Yet even a passing acquaintance with the Syriac versions of Greek philosophical works could have afforded such scholars an opportunity to consider the significance of this terminological variation. At least in some senses, then, the transition from the bi-lingual Syriac-Arabic scholarly culture of Hunayn to the monolingual Arabic one of later centuries entailed a significant loss of intellectual and cultural wealth and value.

\section*{Aphorism i. 4}




P.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) الأطعمة اللطيفة لطافة دقيقة جداً ليست تحتمل لا في الامراض المزمنة ولا في الحادّة (2) والاطعمة التي ايضاً } \\
& \text { على حدّ اللطافة رديئة (3) مثل انّ الملء 223 الذي على الحدّ الاقصى رديء يعني الممزوج. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Thin feedings of a thinness seriously thin and established are not borne, neither in chronic illnesses, nor in acute. Again, feedings upon the limit of thinness are
223. The ms. here reads al-m \(\vec{a}^{\prime}\) 'water'. Biesterfeldt corrects to al-mil' 'repletion', which is clearly preferable. However, it appears a later scribe added to the end of the aphorism the phrase ya'n \(\bar{\imath}\) al-mamz \(\bar{u} j\) 'he means mixed' in response to the copyist's mistake, which then came to be integrated into the manuscript tradition.
harmful, just as fillings which are upon the furthest limit are harmful, meaning mixed.

\section*{S.}


Regimens thin and established, in illnesses of extreme length, and in acute where they are not appropriate; regimens that go unto the furthest limit of thinness harm.
H.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) التدبير البالغ في اللطافة عسر مذموم في جميع الأمراض المزمنة لا محالة (2) والتدبير الذي يبلغ فيه الغاية } \\
& \text { القصوى من اللطافة في الأمراض الحادّة إذا لم يحتمله القوة عسر مذموم. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Regimens extreme in thinness are a blameworthy harm in all chronic diseases without exception. Regimens that go to the furthest extreme of thinness in acute diseases, if they do not maintain the patient in strength, are a blameworthy harm.

The Greek text of this aphorism consists of three complete nominal sentences, the latter two compounded into a single sentence. The first sentence describes 'restricted and rigid' regimens of feeding as 'treacherous' in certain cases. Specifically, in chronic diseases ( \(\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о i ̃ \sigma ı v . . . \pi \alpha ́ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota)\) such regimens are called ‘always' (aicí) treacherous, while in acute (ójॄ́бvv) diseases they are called treacherous 'where they are not called for' (oṽ \(\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi 1 \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1\) ). The latter two sentences together make an analogy between regimens that 'reach' ( \(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \gamma \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha 1\) ) the states of being 'extremely thin' (ě \(\sigma \chi \alpha \tau \circ \vee \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau o ́ \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)\) and 'extremely full'


Both Hunayn's Arabic translation and the Syriac version of this aphorism
reflect a significantly different Greek text than that given by modern editors. Most importantly, the third sentence referring to 'repletion' ( \(\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma)\) is omitted entirely. Notably, a sentence carrying much the same meaning occurs at the end of aphorism i. 3, discussed above. In Hunayn's translation, furthermore, the differentiation between the appropriateness of thin regimens for different kinds of diseases is made with two contrasting sentences, rather than a single sentence as in the Greek edition. The translation of the word 'extreme' ( \(\check{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \sigma v)\) with the phrase 'the furthest extreme' (al-ghāya al-quṣwā) colours the qualified impermissibility of thin regimens in acute diseases, while in the modern Greek edition the word takes its sense from its opposition to 'extremely full' regimens.

The Syriac translation may be read either as employing an extended casus pendens, both clauses being predicated by the final word 'are grievous' ('asqīn), or alternatively as having suffered the loss of the predicate of the first sentence through scribal error. Importantly, the text follows the same general outline as Hunayn's Arabic translation in its omission of the third sentence. Unlike in Hunayn's translation, however, the distinction between the appropriateness of thin regimens for chronic and for acute diseases is carried out entirely in the first sentence. In the notes to his French translation of the Syriac translation, Pognon speculates that the Greek manuscript tradition used by the translator likely did not


The fact that both of the later translations appear to refer to the same variant of the Greek text would appear at first glance to give evidence that the two works were produced by the same author. However, the subtle but clear differences between the two versions vitiates this line of argumentation to a certain extent. Given that Galen does not discuss the omitted sentence in his commentary on this

\footnotetext{
224. Pognon ed., Une version syriaque des Aphorismes, ii. 4, note 1.
}
aphorism, \({ }^{225}\) it is possible that both Hunayn and the author of the Syriac translation each chose to translate in a way reflecting Galen's understanding of the text rather than to provide a more complete text along the lines of those preferred by modern editors.

Regarding the terminology of this aphorism, I shall now consider the scholarly background for the translations of the Greek word díaital with the translations of that word in the works under consideration here:

\section*{סíaız \(\alpha\) ( \(-\eta \varsigma\) )}

Diêtis, this is regimen (dubbārā), regimen (al-tadbīr).

Forms of this Greek word and the related verb \(\delta\) ıaıt \(\alpha \omega\) occur several times in the Aphorisms. The instances of these words are mostly concentrated in Book One of the work. The Syriac version translates all of these with a form of the word dubbārā 'regimen' or the related verb dabbar 'to manage'. Both of the Arabic versions are less regular in their approahces. Hunayn translated these instances in two distinct ways. In all but two of these cases, he employed forms of the word al\(t a d b \bar{i} r\) 'regimen' and the related verb dabbar 'to manage'. In some cases, however, he used an alternative word, al-ghidh \(\bar{a}\) ' 'feeding, nourishment', for example in aphorism i. 9. In the early Arabic version attributed to al-Bitrīq, these Greek words are usually translated with forms of al-at ima 'feeding', al-ta 'ām 'food', and the related verb at 'ama 'to give food'. In aphorism i. 16, however, the word al-tadāb̄̄r 'regimens' is employed instead.
225. Mimura ed., Tafsīr Jāl̄̄̄̄̄̄s, i. 25-28.

There is a strong resemblance and clear etymological relationship between the Syriac equivalent dubbārā and Hunyan's usual preference al-tadbīr. However, the presence of this Arabic word's plural al-tadābīr in the early Arabic version of the Aphorisms demonstrates that it was used to translate díaı \(\tau \boldsymbol{l}\) at an earlier stage of the translation movement. Nonetheless, it is possible that the very well-established Syriac usage evidenced both in the Aphorisms and in bar Bahlul's Lexicon influenced Ḥunayn's Arabic translations by making al-tadbīr seem a more natural choice. A brief entry for the Syriac equivalent further extends this pattern of agreement:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 537:4 بْد:ا مصهصسل تدبيرات العليل الناقة أقول تدبير ترفّق. بهح:ا تدبيرات سير وأقول مذاهب وزاد المروزي } \\
& \text { إعمال. مبد:طا مدبّر سائس. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Dubbārā msawsyānā (caretaking regimen), regimens (tadbīrāt) for the ill, convalescence. I say, caretaker's regimen (tadbīr taraffuq). Dubbārē, regimens, modes of conduct, and I say methods (madhāhib). Al-Marwazī adds practices. M \(\underline{d} a b b r a \bar{n} \bar{a}\), director, leader (sā̀is). According to bar Serošway dābabar, dubbārā, that according to which God directs the people, to direct.

\section*{Aphorism i. 12}
(1) Tov̀ৎ \(\delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \mu \circ v ̀ \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \delta \eta \lambda \Omega o v ̃ \sigma ı v ~ \alpha i ~ v o v ̃ \sigma o ı ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha i ~ \tilde{\omega} \rho \alpha ı ~ \tau o v ̃ ~\)






\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) الأدلّة على اهتياج الأمراض وإشكالها الأمراض أنفسها وساعات السنة وتداول الاهتياج بعضه بعضاً (2) إن } \\
& \text { كان يكنن في كلّ يوم أو كان يكون يوماً بعد يوم وإن كان في أكثر ذلك يكن. (3) قال أبقراط: الدليل على حال } \\
& \text { الأمراض ما يظهر من لفظ الجسد فيها مثل من به ذات الجنب إن ظهر به نفث عاجل من أوّل المرض قصر مرضه، } \\
& \text { وإن ظهر ذلك متأخّراً طال مرضه. (4) والبول والبراز والعرق وإذا ظهر على الوجه الذي يجري عليه القضاء بالفرج } \\
& \text { أو على خالف ذلك دلّ على تصر الأمر اض وطولها . }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) The indications of the excitations of diseases and their shapes are the diseases themselves, the times of the year, and the alternations of the excitations relative to one another, (2) if they are occurring every day, or occurring day after day, or if they are occurring [at intervals] greater than that. (3) Hippocrates said: The indication of the state of diseases is what appears of the emissions of the body on account of them. For example, in one suffering from pleurisy, if spittle appears quickly from the beginning of the disease, his disease is short, but if that appears in a delayed fashion, his disease is long. (4) And urine, faeces, and sweat, when they appear in such a way as to bring about the crisis or in an opposed way, indicate the brevity of the disease or its length.
(1) The diseases are indications of paroxysms and orders, as are the times of the year and the intervals of the cycles, (2) if they occur each day, or occur one day
and one day not, or are some time of greater length. (3) But so also is that which is seen afterwards, for example in pleurisy. For if simultaneously accompanying it spittle is seen from the beginning, it is short, but if it is seen at length it is long. (4) And urine also, and faeces, and sweat, when they are seen to accord, they indicate whether the diseases come to crisis with difficulty or with ease, and if they are long or short.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) إنّه يـلّ على نوائب المرض ونظامه ومرتبته الأمراض أنفسها وأوقات السنة وتزيّّ الألدوار بعضها على بعض } \\
& \text { نائبة (2) كانت في كلّ يوم أو يوماً ويوماً لا أو في أكثر من ذلك من الزمان والأثشياء التي تظهر بعد. (3) ومثال ذلك } \\
& \text { مـا يظهر في أصحاب ذات الجنب فإنّه إن ظهر النفث فيهم بدياً منذ أوّل المرض كان المرض قصيراً، وإن تأخّر } \\
& \text { ظهوره كان المرض طويلاً، (4) والبول والبراز والعرق إذا ظهرت بعد فقد تلّنا على جودة بحران المرض ورداته } \\
& \text { وطول المرض وقصره. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) The diseases themselves indicate the paroxysms of the disease and its order and its degree, as do the times of the year and the increase of the periodic exacerbations alternating relative to one another, (2) whether they are each day, or are one day and one day not, or are greater than that in time, as do the things which appear afterwards. (3) An example of that is what appears in sufferers from pleurisy, for if spittle appears in them immediately from the beginning of the disease, the disease is short, but if its appearance is delayed, the disease is long. (4) And urine, faeces, and sweat, when they appear afterwards, may indicate the good or bad quality of the crisis of the disease, and the lengthiness of the disease or its brevity.

Several points of interest may be observed in comparing these translations. In translating \(\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho\) in sentence (3), each of the three versions takes a different approach. Due to the division of the commentary in which it occurs, the early

Arabic translation resumes with 'Hippocrates said' followed by a restatement of the subject of the aphorism, thus adding an entire clause to the text. The Syriac interprets \(\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho\) in a relatively strong sense, reading the word as an indication of contrast with the first part of the aphorism, and thus translates it elā wa-ap 'but, however'. Hunayn in his Arabic version interpreted this Greek word in its weaker sense, and so passed over it without giving a translation. This is another example both of the more literal approach of the Syriac version compared with Hunayn's translation and in general of the fact that the two translations often approach the Greek text in distinct ways.

Similarly, later in the third sentence, the Syriac again adopts a much more literal technique in translating the two verbs \(\beta \rho \alpha \chi\) v́vel 'it is abbreviated' and \(\mu \eta \kappa v ์ v \varepsilon 1\) 'it is prolonged' when compared with both of the Arabic versions. In the source-text, the subject of these verbs is not stated explicitly. In the Syriac version, the phrases kary \(\bar{a}-y\) 'it is short' and nagirt \(\bar{a}-y\) 'it is long' are likewise employed without the subject being made explicit. In both of the Arabic translations, however, the subject marad 'disease' is introduced to specify the sense. Heunayn's uses of the inner accusative, for example in the phrase kāna almaradu qasīran 'the disease is short', add a certain Arabic stylistic flair that is lacking in al-Biṭrīq's translations here, for example in the latter's corresponding phrase qasura maraduh 'his disease is short'.

The translations of \(\delta v ́ \sigma \kappa \rho ı \tau \alpha\) and \(\varepsilon v ̋ \kappa \rho ı \tau \alpha\) in sentence (4) are also notable beyond the phenomena I described above in the discussion of крíяı in the context of aphorism i. 1. Al-Biṭrīq's version adopts a significantly different interpretation of the text in translating these words than do Hunayn's translation and the Syriac version. The Greek text proceeds by listing first three types of bodily excretions: oṽ \(\alpha\) 'urine', v̇ \(\pi \circ \chi \omega \rho \eta \not \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) 'faeces', and i \(\delta \rho \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ ' s w e a t '\).

Following these, four qualities of diseases are listed: \(\delta v ́ \sigma \kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha\) 'having an ill crisis', єőк \(\rho \iota \tau \alpha\) 'having a good crisis', \(\beta \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \alpha\) 'shortness', and \(\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ́\) 'length', which modify \(\tau \grave{\alpha} v o \sigma \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\). All seven of these words are simply coordinated by the repeated conjunction \(\kappa \alpha\) ì. Following these are the plural participle


Due to their having the neuter gender, there is no obvious reason to place \(\delta v ́ \sigma \kappa \rho \imath \tau \alpha\) and \(\varepsilon ঠ ̋ \kappa \rho \imath \tau \alpha\) with either the words preceding or the words following them. That is to say, these two words could equally well be among the things that show, or the things being shown. Al-Bitrīq evidently considered them to be among the things that show, along with the bodily excretions preceding them in the text. Hunayn's translation and the Syriac version, however, both interpret these to be among the things shown by the excretions. In doing so they follow the same interpretation as that adopted by Galen in his commentary on the aphorism. \({ }^{227}\) In this case the earlier and later Arabic translations of the Aphorisms are distinguished by the fact that Hunayn translated according to Galen's interpretations. \({ }^{228}\)

Whoever was the author of the Syriac version, in this case that text and Hunayn's translation are largely in accord regarding their following the authority of Galen. However, even here a certain difference between the two is noticeable. Hunayn's Arabic translates \(\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha\) and \(\varepsilon\) v̋к \(\rho \tau \alpha\) more literally than does the Syriac version. The former translation renders these two with jawda buḥrān (al-

\section*{227. Mimura ed., Tafsīr Jālīnūs, I 40.}
228. For several more examples of Hunayn's translation's and the Syriac version's use of Galen in their renditions of the Aphorisms, see Overwien, 'Paradigmatic Translator', 165-177.
marad) wa-rida'atih 'goodness of the crisis (of the disease) and its badness', while the latter gives '(en) 'asqā̄ị̂ wa-pšiqā̀it mṭbharin (kurhānē) '(if) the diseases come to crisis with difficulty or with ease'.

Having already discussed the lexicographical background for several of the terms found in this aphorism, including \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi \cup \sigma \mu o ́ \varsigma ~(3.2 ., ~ i i i . ~ 19) ~ a n d ~ к р i ́ \sigma ı \varsigma ~\) (above, i. 1), the word in this aphorism with the most varying and interesting approaches across the three versions is ка兀 \(\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \alpha\). I shall consider here its scholarly background:

\section*{\(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\)}



Qatastasis, this is fitness, permission, rank, and arrangement (tukkāsa), reliance, support. Elsewhere, degree, position. In a manuscript, alteration of the air (šuḥlāp \(\bar{a}\) da \(\bar{a}-{ }^{\prime} a r\) ). Again, qātastāsis, peace or order (dubbārā).

Qatastasis or qtsis in the Book of Paradise, arrangement (ttukkāsā). In a manuscript, peace or order.

Qtustasis according to Sergius, arrangement (tukk \(\bar{a} s \bar{a})\).


Qatastasis and qtsis according to the Book of Paradise, fitness, familiarity. In a manuscript, peace or order. Qtsttāsis, this is a fraternal order.

Forms of \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\) and related words occur several times in the Aphorisms. The Syriac version of the work takes a largely uniform approach to translating these instances by employing forms of the noun tukkāsā 'arrangement' for \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \varsigma\) and the related verb takkes 'to arrange' for the verb ка0íवтף \(\mu\). Hunayn in his Arabic version regularly adopted two different approaches for translating two different senses which these Greek words carry in the Aphorisms. For the sense of 'order of a fever', Hunayn basically employed the word al-nizām 'order'. For example, in the aphorism under consideration here, i. 12, this term forms part of the hendiadys nizāmih wa-martabatih '(the disease's) order and degree'. This translation may be contrasted with the early Arabic version's rendition ishkālihā '(the diseases') shapes'. In another aphorism, iii. 8, Hunayn translated two instances of the word \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ ' i r r e g u l a r ' ~ w i t h ~ g h a y r ~ m u n t a z ̌ i m ~ ' w i t h o u t ~\) internal order' and 'ghayr lāzima l-niz̧āmihā 'without adhering to its order'. K \(\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma 1 \varsigma\) also occurs with the sense of 'condition of the atmosphere' in other places in the work; for these, Hunayn employed phrases like hāāāt al-hawa' 'states of the air', as for example in aphorism iii. 15.

The relationship between the standard Syriac equivalent \(t u k k \bar{a} s \bar{a}\) and the
 former word is a slightly modified loan-word itself based on the Greek word \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi 1 \varsigma\) 'arrangement'. The entries identified by Duval as relevant to кגбо́бтабıऽ for the most part define senses of the Syriac loan-word qatastasis, rather than senses of the Greek word itself. An important exception to this occurs in the entry at

1691:23. There, bar Bahlul refers to 'a manuscript' for the definition šuhlāpāa \(\underline{d}\) \(\vec{a} \vec{a}\) 'alteration of the air'. Considering that Hunayn showed a sensitivity to the difference between the medical and meteorological senses of като́бтабıs in his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms while the extant Syriac translation does not, it is interesting to see a reference to this sense of the Greek word in a Syriac definition attributable to Hunayn.

The Greek entries identified by Duval are not strongly representative of
 the Aphorisms. The closest match occurs in entry 1691:23, where the second element of the hendiadys nizāmih wa-martabatih is found. The translator's approach is better reflected in parts of bar Bahlul's entries for the Syriac equivalent \(t u k k \bar{a} s \bar{a}:\)

Țukkāsā according to Zakariya, establishment, regimen (al-tadbīr). Takkes, to form, to establish. In a manuscript \(t\) ukk \(\bar{a} s \bar{a}\), arrangement .



```

مهنجْفس عفيفاً ذو مرؤة. هقما مراتب درجات رسّوم. هِمعا منزلة رسم درجة نظام. هجما حبما منزلة سوء.

```

\(T e k s \bar{a}\), a fitting aim that is established, which, according to the intention of the one who orders, proceeds for all things naturally, to the completion of the fashioning
of the quality of the essence, arrangement, degree. Teks \(\bar{a}\) is something whose action indicates figuratively something else, and is the rightful completion of the quality of the essence, arrangement, degree. Teeks \(\bar{a}\) d-dumesțiqun, the arrangement of affairs. In a manuscript and in the Gospel, wa-nkep wa-mtakkas ('chaste and orderly'), one chaste and having virtue. \({ }^{230}\) Teksē, grades, degrees, limits. Țekssā, position, limit, degree, order (nizāam). Ṭekssā bišā, an evil position. Mṭakksānā (according to) Zakariya, one who arranges, one who prepares. Mttakkas rāzzā ('the sacrament was received') I say, it was eaten. Tṭakkesiw, you ate it. TTaksist \(\bar{a}\), this is the people of an order.

In these examples, the presence of Hunayn's preferred Arabic equivalent for \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\) al-nizām in the entry at 807:1 makes the Syriac lexicography much more strongly representative of the Arabic Aphorisms than the Greek lexicography. Again, the important evidence for Hunayn's Syriac activity in the entry for \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\) at 1691:23 is also the only information relevant to the atmospheric sense of this Greek term in the entries presented here. This again tends to indicate the importance of both Greek and Syriac in Hunayn's lexicographical work.

\section*{Aphorism i. 13}

 \(\pi \rho о \theta v \mu\) ó \(\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha\) ع̇óv \(\tau \alpha\).
P.

(1) The elderly bear fasting, as it is light upon them, and after them upon those at the limit of youth, and then juveniles, whose bearing of it is slight. (2) Children are the least in bearing that, and especially the most appetitive (al-akyās) of them, due to the great heat in them.
S.

(1) The elderly very easily bear fasting. The middle aged are second. Youths are less well-able. (2) Children are the least of all, and of these, those who by chance are of greater appetite than others of the same age.
H.
(1) المشائن أحمل الناس للصوم ومن بعدهم الكهول والشبان أقلّ احتمالًا له (2) وأقلّ الناس احتمالاً للصوم
الصبيان وما كان من الصبيان أقوى شهوة فهو أقل احتمالاً له.
(1) The elderly are the best of people to bear fasting, and after them the mature. Youths are less able to bear it. (2) The least able to bear fasting are children, and those children who are strongest of appetite are the least able to bear it.

The translations of this aphorism present a contrast between the Syriac translation on the one hand and the Arabic translations on the other. The Greek text is quite abbreviated. In the first sentence, the verbal phrase \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon i ́ \eta \nu \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \sigma 1\) 'they bear
fasting' is modified by the comparative \(\varepsilon v \cup \varphi \rho \rho \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha\) 'best able to bear', which describes \(\gamma \varepsilon\) ќpovtȩ 'the elderly'. The following phrases then compare the ability of people of different ages with this capacity of the elderly without restating any of the elements of the verb phrase. Instead, the adverbs \(\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha\) 'second', \(\eta \kappa \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha\) 'least', and \(\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon ̀ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha\) 'least of all' refer the successive subjects back to the statement in the first clause.

The Syriac translation follows this approach closely. The main content of the aphorism is expressed in the phrase \(t \bar{a} \underline{\underline{b}}\) dalila \(\vec{a} \boldsymbol{i} \underline{\sim}\) msaybrin șawm \(\bar{a}\) 'very easily bear fasting', which modifies s \(\bar{a} \underline{b} \underline{e}\) 'the elderly'. As in the Greek, none of these elements are restated in the remainder of the text; rather, adverbs used as comparatives refer the subjects back to the first statement.

Both of the Arabic translations differ substantially from the Syriac version in their approaches to rendering this aphorism. In each of these texts, a form of the verb ihtamala 'to bear' renders the Greek \(\varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \circ 0 \sigma\). Forms of this verb are then restated several times in the text that follows. In the early Arabic version, the verb is restated twice, and in Hunayn's version it is restated three times. Al-Bitrīq restated the noun al-șawm 'fasting' by means of pronouns like dhālika, and Hunayn's version departs evenfurther from the Greek original by also repeating al-șawm itself in the second sentence.

Another example of the Syriac translator's greater concern for rendering literally each word of the Greek original may also be seen in these authors' approaches to translating the Greek tú \(\chi \eta\) 'by chance' in the second sentence of this aphorism. In fact, neither of the Arabic authors gave an equivalent for this word at all. The Syriac version, however, again translates it literally with \(d\)-ged \(\underline{s} \bar{a} \bar{a}\) 'by chance'. Although the Arabic translators were not mistaken in regarding qúxn as a relatively insubstantial element of the Greek text, the presence of its
equivalent in the Syriac version distinguishes the latter translation from the former two. Furthermore, a long, unattributed entry for the Syriac equivalent gedša \(\bar{a}\) in bar Bahlul's Lexicon helps further to emphasize the overtones of Aristotelian logic in the terminology of the Syriac Aphorisms:


㞓















Gedš̌ā, accident. In a manuscript, accidental. Again, gadš̌an is masculine, and gdašen is feminine. Ged \(\underset{s}{ } \bar{a}\) is that which is in a thing, but the form of that thing does not derive from it, and it is not possible that it subsist without the thing in which it is. It is thus restricted to being in some thing, for each accident that is, is in a thing which is receptive of it by nature, and whose form does not derive from it. It is thus, because whiteness, which is an accident, is not a part of the body containing it, and because it is not possible that it subsist without that in which it is. For it is not possible that whiteness subsist without a body to contain it. If someone says that a body or a substance, wherever it is, subsists in a place, when it is not part of (the place), and it is not possible that it subsist without (the place), he knows that that body does not subsist in that place by nature, but by virtue of that in the body which is not essential, and which is rather one of the types of quantity. Accident is thus defined in this way: That which may be thus separated without destruction of that in which it is, that which is and is separable. For though there are accidents that are not separable, like the blackness of ravens or of Cushites, they are (in fact) separable in the mind, as it is possible for people to imagine a white raven or black milk. \({ }^{232}\) Thus this is without destruction. For if there were accidents (whose removal) would destroy the thing in which they occurred, but did not destroy the essence, but (only) the mixture or the embodiment or the surface, or one of the things that accompanies the essence, it is not possible that essence be destroyed in one of these ways. Accident is thus
232. The white raven is a common example in Aristotelian logic, for example in the Prior Analytics, \(27^{\mathrm{b}} 5\).
defined in this way: That which does not possess substance of itself, and is not the receptacle of the thing. For it is established that it does not exist of itself, nor does it receive the thing that is separate from the essence, which does exist of itself and does receive the thing of which it is stated to be receptive by definition, as it is so distinguished to be receptive by the judgment. Accident is thus defined in this way: That which is not a kind, nor a type, nor a form, nor a property, and which has its subsistence at all times in another thing. It is then separated into six types: The intelligibles and the sensibles, the generalities and the particulars, and the mutables and the immutables. The intelligibles (are things) like wisdom and ignorance, good and evil, righteousness and sinfulness, and all those things which properly have their existence in the soul. The sensibles (are things) like all that stimulates the five senses, I say the colours, sound, smells, taste and all the varieties of touch. The generalities (are things) like all whiteness and all blackness. The particulars (are things) like the white which is in snow, or the black which is in ink. The mutables (are things) like a fever which is and is separable, or a change of colour due to fear, or the darkening of the Sun. The immutables (are things) like the blackness of a raven or of a Cushite, which are designated by nature, or a scar which is fixed upon the body, which does not change on account of it. Accidents (al-'araḍ).

As King indicates in his glossary, ged \(\check{s} \bar{a}\) was the Syriac equivalent for the Greek logical term \(\sigma v \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \varsigma ~ ' a c c i d e n t ' ~ f r o m ~ a n ~ e a r l y ~ s t a g e . ~ . ~ W h i l e ~ i t ~ c l e a r l y ~ r e l i e s ~\) upon an Aristotelian conceptual framework and has Peripatetic overtones, the details of the entry do not readily appear to match exactly those found in any
specific work of Aristotle. Furthermore, the presence of phrases like 'I say' ( \(\bar{a} m a r-n \bar{a}\) ) indicate that this is an independent composition. Although due to its being unattributed to any author it is possible to attribute its authorship to Hunayn, comparison of the style and language to other of his Syriac works would be desirable for making a firm judgment on the question.

\section*{Aphorism iii. 1}


S. \({ }^{234}\)

(1) The alterations of the times of the year very often beget diseases, (2) and great variations of cold or heat in the times of the year, (3) and those of the others analogously.
H.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) إنّ انقاب أوقات السنة ممّا يعمل في توليد الأمراض خاصّة، (2) وفي الوقت الواحد منها التفيّرّ الثديد في } \\
& \text { البرد أو في الحرّ، (3) وكذلك في سائر الحالات على هذا القياس. }
\end{aligned}
\]
234. Due to a lacuna in Houtsma's text of al-Bitrīq's translation of this aphorism, I have placed the early Arabic translation last.
(1) The alternation of the times of the year is one of the things that especially affects the generation of diseases, (2) and in one of these times severe changes in cold or heat, (3) and likewise for the remaining conditions in an analogous way.
P.
(1) الزمان من اجزاب الساءاء السنة. [.........] (2) عن عظم البرد والحرّ (3) وغير ذلك ممّا يجرى مجراه أى انقلاب ساعات
(1) The alteration of the hours... (2) from the magnitude of cold, or hot, (3) or others of those that follow the same course (yajrī majrāhu), that is, the alteration of the hours of the time of the parts of the year.

The manuscript of the Arabic Palladius ends with aphorism ii. 19. For aphorisms that follow, then, our only source for al-Bitriqq's translation is the History of alYa'qūbī, as described in the Introduction. The text of the lemmas as found in Houtsma's edition has suffered some attrition, and they tend to be less reliable than those found in the Arabic Palladius. Despite this, it is still possible to draw interesting conclusions from them regarding the variety of approaches adopted in rendering the Aphorisms into Arabic.

When comparing the three versions of aphorism iii. 1 presented above, a picture that should be by now somewhat familiar emerges. Even considering the important lacuna in Houtsma's edition of the History, it is clear that al-Bitrīq's translation of the aphorism suffers from a lack of clarity. The explanation of the sense of the aphorism found at the end of the translation, 'that is, the alteration of the hours of the time of the parts of the year', whether added by the translator, alYa'qūbī, or some intermediary scribe, reflects nothing in the original Greek. It appears, however, that its addition was seen as a helpful supplement to the text of
the translation itself.
Although the Syriac translation faithfully renders the Greek aphorism, it also reflects the source-text's quite concise character. This technique may be compared with the more expansive approach adopted by Hunayn in his Arabic version. For example, in the first clause of the aphorism, both the Greek and the Syriac version rather straightforwardly state the subject under discussion. First, each gives the subject 'the changes of the seasons' (Ai \(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \alpha i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\omega} \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega v\), šuḥlapayhon \(d\)-zab̄ne \(\underline{d}\)-šattā), then the verb 'beget' ( \(\tau\) íктovaı, mwalldin) modified by the adverb 'often' ( \(\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha\), \(t \bar{a} \underline{b} \underline{i t t i r a} \bar{a} i \underline{t}\) ), and then the object 'diseases' (voøฑ́ \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\), kurhānē). The word order of the Syriac version thus follows the source-text exactly with barely anything added or removed.

This is not the case in Hunayn's Arabic translation, however. There, the single verb tíktovol is rendered with a more complex phrase mimmā yámal fī tawlīd (al-amrād) 'is one of the things that affect the generation (of diseases)'. Furthermore, rather than adopting a similarly straightforward approach to translating \(\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha\) to that of the Syriac version, Hunayn rendered this word with the adverb khāsssatan 'especially' This type of distinction between the two versions is also evident in the translations of \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha\) in the final clause. The Syriac version rendered this phrase literally with hānēn \(d\)-šark \(\bar{a}\) 'the others', while Hunayn explicated, using \(f \bar{\imath}\) sā ír al-hā \(\bar{a} \bar{a} t ~ ' f o r ~ t h e ~ r e m a i n i n g ~ c o n d i t i o n s ' . ~\)
 the opportunity to consider the translations of the word \(\lambda\) ó \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{o}}\) g in the context of these translations of the Aphorisms and their scholarly background.

Logos, speech, saying, speech. Logos, this is 'with difficulty', extremity.

947:21 خهص هتًا الكالامه
Logios, words, speech.





Logos and logia in Greek are defined as is mellt \(\bar{a}\) 'word' in Syriac, for both it and logia in Greek are understood in many ways. It signifies the definition of the cause of a thing, the word uttered from the mouth, and the reasoning of the soul, as well as the trust men give to one another, the portion that divides a person from a thing, [and] the gathering of many minds, speech (al-kalām).
947:8 خهرما مده| الكام المنطق":

Logya, word, speech, logic (al-manṭiq).

947:11 خمليمعهو قياس المنطق فكرة سمعدا قلب فكر"
Logismos, reason (qiyās), logic (al-manṭiq), thought, thought, heart, thinking.

The Greek text of the Aphorisms contains approximately eight uses of forms of the word \(\lambda\) ó \(\gamma o s\) in various phrases and compounds, as well as one use of the related word \(\lambda\) orıoرós. The Syriac translation of the work uses three main approaches to rendering these nine instances, each of which has distinct treatment in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. I shall structure this discussion around these three
translations and the relevant lexicographical material .
 коифí̧ovorv 'those lightenings (of the disease) that are not usual (lit. not according to reason)'. The Syriac translation renders \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) к \(\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda\) óyov with law bwālitā 'not suitable', while Ḥunayn's Arabic translation gives bi-khilāf al-qiyās 'against reason'. In this case, the two translations differ significantly in their interpretation of the Greek source-text, with Heunayn's translation being somewhat more literal than the Syriac version. Two successive entries in bar Bahlul's Lexicon relevant to wālițā read like this:
665:17 قلالٍ ينبني يجب مهـلمبد تزيم؛

Wālē, to be appropriate (yanbaghī), to be necessary (yajib), it is incumbent, it is necessary ( \(z a \bar{d} d e q\) ).


Wälitā, necessary (awjaba). Wālyā it according to Hunayn as he introduced in a certain place, better (ajwad), and for me it is 'being done of necessity'. In a manuscript wālita \(\bar{a}\), right ( \(z e d q \bar{a}\) ).

What is especially important to note about these entries is the absence of Hunayn's translation in aphorism ii. 27 al-qiyās. This word is also absent in the lexicography for the second approach to translating \(\lambda\) ó \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\) os in the Syriac Aphorisms. This approach involves ze \(\underline{d} q \bar{a}\) 'necessity', a word that also figures in both of the above entries for wālitita.

Later in the same aphorism discussed above, ii. 27, for example, the
compound word \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda\) ó \(\gamma \omega \varsigma\) 'beyond reason' is employed in the Greek sourcetext. In place of this word, the Syriac version gives the phrase ĺbar men zedq \(\bar{a}\) 'unduly', while Hunayn again followed much the same approach as above, writing 'alā ghayr al-qiyās 'in (a way) other than reason'. Yet in other instances where the Syriac uses some form of zedqu , Hunayn adopted a different approach which aligns better with the Syriac lexicography given so far. For example, in aphorism ii. 28 , the phrase \(\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda\) ov \(\tau 0 \tilde{v}\) к \(\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \gamma o v\) 'greater than that which is regular (lit. according to reason)' is translated in the Syriac yattir men zedqā 'more than is right', and similarly Hunayn's Arabic gives akthar mimma yanbagh 'more than what is appropriate'. In aphorism v. 64, the Syriac again translates the phrase \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ w i t h ~ l \underline{l b a r ~ m e n ~ z e d q a ̄ ~ ' u n d u l y ', ~ w h i l e ~ i n ~ t h i s ~}\) place Hunayn uses the phrase 'alā ghayr mā tuwajjibuhu al-illa 'without the disease necessitating it'.

We have already seen the equivalence between wālitia and zedq \(q \bar{a}\) in bar Bahlul's entries for the former word. In these entries there also occur words related to two of the Arabic equivalents, yanbaghī 'to be appropriate' and yajib 'to be necessary', that Hunayn used to translate \(\lambda\) óvos in the Arabic Aphorisms. An entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon relevant to the Syriac zedqā also contains definitions related to Ḥunayn's approach to translating \(\lambda\) ó \(\gamma\) oc:

Zadiqā, righteous, truthful, righteousness, truthfulness. Zadiqutā, truthfulness, righteousness. Zadiqutā, this is the excellence of rational will that pursues righteousness, which is fit to struggle against the enemy. Zadiqut̄ \(\bar{a}\) is thus said of God, either simply or because He is unmoved in excellence, righteousness. Zaddeqteh, you behaved righteously in it. According to bar Serošway, that is, you did it in a righteous way. Zaddeqtān, you purified me. Zaddeqayn, purify me. Ezdaddaq, to do righteousness. Zaddeqeh in a place in the Book of Paradise, 'according to his promise'. Zaddeq, this is necessity (zedqua), according to that which has been decided to be necessary, to necessitate (awjaba). Zedqua, right, necessary, true. Zedquāta, alms. Zādeq, it is necessary (yajib). Zedqan, it is necessary for us, it is right for us. Zädeq, this is to be seemly, to be fitting, according to that which is necessary to be said, a decree (ketbā), to be necessary (yajib), to be appropriate (yanbaghī). Zaddeq, this is from righteousness and justice, they adjudicated, they acted equitably. Zādqqā, necessary. Zedqqā, righteousness, truth. Zadqan, we acted righteously. Zedqan, our share, our portion. Zedqē , close acquaintances.

Buried in this entry, we again find Hunayn's general equivalents for \(\lambda\) óvoç in the Aphorisms, yanbaghī and yajib. Thus far, then, these two Syriac equivalents have been shown to be more or less synonymous with one another. These accord both with certain approaches of Hunayn's in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms and with Arabic definitions of these words found in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. On the other hand, nothing related to Hunayn's third major equivalent for \(\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma\), al-qiyās, occurs in these contexts.

Forms of a third Syriac equivalent for \(\lambda\) ó \(\gamma \mathrm{o}\), pehma \(\bar{a}\) 'analogous', occur in
the aphorism under consideration here, iii. 1 , and in aphorism iv. 71. In both of these places we find in Hunayn's Arabic version a form of al-qiyās, which is largely synonymous to this Syriac word. An entry for peḥmā in bar Bahlul's Lexicon reads like this:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1533:7 يِسدا قال حنين ينتظم على معانٍ شَتّى فيقع على نظير مثل ندّ. ويقع على قياس بين شيئين ليعلم أيّما } \\
& \text { أفضل في جملة الأمر وظاهره. ويقع على النسبة وهي قياس بين شيئين متناسبين ليعلم بالحقيقة كم مقدار أحدهما } \\
& \text { من الآخر . شكل عدل كفو وآخرون نظيره }
\end{aligned}
\]

Pehmā, Ḥunayn said: It is arranged according to six meanings. It is used in place of an equivalent, a like, (or) an equal. It is used for an analogy (qiyās) between two things in order to know which of them is more appropriate for the sum of an affair and its manifestness. It is used for the ratio, which is an analogy (qiyās) between two proportioned things making known the quantity of one to the other in reality. A shape, a balance, a match. (According to) others, an equivalent.

Although I have shown in several places above that the Syriac version of the Aphorisms and Hunayn's version are very often quite different in terms of the rate and types of variation in their respective translation techniques, the variations in these translations of \(\lambda\) óvos are especially striking. The three approaches in the Syriac translation that I have described are all reflected both in Hunayn's Arabic translation and in glosses attributable to Hunayn in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. This is not the case, however, in the relevant Greek lexicography cited at the beginning of this discussion. Although these entries are important and interesting, \({ }^{235}\) they
235. In particular, the entry at \(948: 10\) is very likely a fragment from Hunayn's lost glossary.
only reflect Hunayn's translation choices in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms very partially. This is, again, emphatically not the case for the Syriac lexicography. Thus we may say that the scholarly background to Hunayn's Arabic translations of \(\lambda\) ó \(\boldsymbol{o}_{\mathrm{o}}\) s in the Aphorisms give very strong evidence for the translator's use of a Syriac source-text in his production of this version of the Hippocratic work.

Yet, at the same time, these three interpretations of \(\lambda\) ó \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{0}\) os in the Aphorisms do not overlap in the Syriac Aphorisms and in Hunayn's Arabic version. In all of the cases where the Syriac version uses a form of the equivalent pehma \(\bar{a}\), Hunayn employed the analogous Arabic equivalent al-qiyās. Yet in several places in the latter work al-qiyās is used where one of the other Syriac techniques (zedqua or b\(w \bar{a} l i t \bar{a}\) ) is used in the Syriac version. Again, these Syriac approaches are clearly distinct both in their meanings and in the approaches Hunayn used to carry them over into Arabic, as evidenced by the material in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. As such, while it is very likely that Hunayn used a Syriac exemplar at least in some capacity in his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms, it is almost equally as unlikely that the extant Syriac Aphorisms was in fact the Syriac exemplar employed by Ḥunayn. To say this is perforce to say that Ḥunayn was not the author of the extant Syriac translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms.

To conclude this discussion, we may consider the two instances where translations of \(\lambda\) ó \(\gamma o s\) are found in al-Bitrīq's early Arabic translation of the Aphorisms. In the aphorism directly under discussion here, iii. 1, al-Bitrīq translated кađò \(\lambda\) ójov with yajrī majrāhu 'following the same course' in the sense of 'analogous'. In aphorism v. 64, he translated \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ ' u n u s u a l l y ' ~\) with the single word jiddan 'seriously'. Neither of these translations are represented anywhere in the lexicographical material presented above, nor do
they resemble any of the translations presented from the other two works. Thus, despite what I believe to be the strong unlikelihood that Ḥunayn was the author of the Syriac Aphorisms, the contrast between al-Bitrīq's translation and the two other translations under consideration is much stronger than that obtaining between the Syriac version of the Aphorisms and Hunayn's Arabic version. This may be explained at least in part because al-Bitrīq did not have recourse to the Syriac medical tradition in producing this work, but instead translated directly from Greek to Arabic. The translations of \(\lambda\) ó \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\) os thus provide clear insight into the part played by Syriac sources in the advancement in Arabic translation technique made by Hunayn ibn Isḥāq.

\section*{Aphorism v. 64}
(1) Га́ \(\lambda \alpha \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \alpha \imath ~ \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \gamma \varepsilon ́ o v \sigma ı ~ \kappa \alpha \kappa o ́ v \cdot ~ \kappa \alpha \kappa o ̀ v ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi v \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma ı ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o i ̃ \sigma ı v ~\)





E.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) أَعُط اللبن لمن يشتكي رأسه ولمن به عطش (2) وايضاً لمن به اختلاف من مرّة صفراء وحمّى حادّة ولمن } \\
& \text { اختلف دماً كثيراً (3) وهو موافق أن يعطى لمن به ضمر وقرح فیى رئته اذا لم يكن محموما جدّا ويعطى لمن كانت } \\
& \text { حمّاه ليّّة فاترة مزمنة من غير أن يكن به شيءُ من العلامات التى ذكرنا ويكن جسده ناحلا جداًّ. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(1) Give milk to one who complains of his head, or to one who has sneezes, (2) and again to one who has diarrhoea due to yellow bile and an acute fever, or to
one with extremely bloody diarrhoea. (3) It is appropriate that it be given to one with emaciation and ulceration in his lungs when he is not intensely feverish, and that it be given to one whose fever is gentle, weak, and chronic, without anything of the signs we mentioned, and whose body is seriously thin.
S.
مبـ
(1) To give milk to those who suffer pain in the head is bad. It is also bad that it be given to those who suffer from fever, and to those with swelling and rumbling in the places beneath the cartilage of their ribs, and to those who sneeze. (2) It is also bad for those who have bile in their faeces while they have an acute fever, and for those who have very bloody faeces. (3) It is beneficial that it be given to consumptives who do not have a fever that is very great, and in fevers that are long and fine, when there is not anything of what was said previously, except what is fit for the wasting of the body.
H.
236. Pognon corrects to See Pognon ed., Une version syriaque des Aphorismes, 39 n. 2.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) اللبن لأصحاب الصداع رديء وهو أيضاً للمحمومين رديء ولمن كانت المواضع التي دون الشراسيف منه } \\
& \text { مشرفة وفيها قراقر ولمن به عطش (2) ولمن الغالب على برازه المرار ولمن هو في حمّى حادّة ولمن اختلف دماً }
\end{aligned}
\]
كثيراً، (3) وينفع أصحاب السلّ إذا لم تكن بهم حمّى شديدة جدًّا ولأصحاب الحمّى الطويلة الضعيفة إذا لم يكن
(1) Milk for the sufferers of headache is bad, and it is bad also for the feverish, and for the one whose area below the ribs is elevated and in which are rumblings, and for the one who has sneezes, (2) and for the one in whose faeces yellow bile predominates, and for the one with an acute fever, and for the one with very bloody diarrhoea. (3) But it benefits the sufferers of tuberculosis if they do not have a very severe fever, and the sufferers of long, weak fevers if there does not occur along with these anything of what we described previously, and whose bodies waste without the disease necessitating it.

The first matter which must be remarked upon when considering these translations is the corrupt state of al-Biṭriq's translation as represented in Houtsma's edition of al-Ya'qūbī's History. This is shown by the fact that the entire first part of the aphorism communicates precisely the opposite meaning as that which the Hippocratic author apparently intended; instead of milk being described as harmful for the sufferers of these illnesses, the text enjoins that it be given to them! Furthermore, certain elements of the text have dropped out, notably the mention of a disease of the diaphragm at the end of sentence (1).

Comparing the Syriac version with the Arabic version of Hunayn, the stronger adherence to the literal sense of the text of the former may be observed. For example, the two occurrences of \(b \bar{c} \check{s}\) 'bad' in sentence (1) and the third occurrence at the beginning of sentence (3) mirror the repetition of какóv in the original Greek in these places. This while Hunayn in his Arabic version only stated the equivalent radì' a single time to stand for all three.

A difference of interpretation in sentence (2) may also be observed amongst
the different versions. Both the early Arabic version and the Syriac translation
 in ways that show their authors understood the prepositional phrase \(\dot{\varepsilon} v\) toĩ \(v\)
 ט்тохตрŋ́бוєऽ 'for those whose faeces have bile'. The early Arabic version translates this phrase li-man bih ikhtilāf min mirra ṣafrā' wa-ḥummā hādda 'to one who has diarrhoea due to yellow bile and an acute fever', while the Syriac translation gives here l-aylēn d-mertā ite \(2 \underline{b} t h o n ~ w-i \underline{t} l\) l-hon ešāta \(\bar{a} h a r r i p t a \bar{a}\) 'for those who have bile in their faeces while they have an acute fever'. Hunayn's Arabic translation differs, however, in that the translator appears to have interpreted this clause to refer to two different cases rather than one. In translating this section, he wrote li-man al-ghālib álā birāzih al-mirār wa-li-man huwa fì ḥummā hādda 'for the one in whose faeces yellow bile predominates, and for the one with an acute fever'. In his commentary on this aphorism, Galen likewise differentiates slightly between the two \({ }^{237}\) Thus it appears that Hunayn translated the Hippocratic lemma to accord with Galen's interpretation, while the author of the Syriac translator preferred literally to render the original Greek of the aphorism.

Finally, I would like to consider the translations and lexicographical
 Syriac translation in particular:

\section*{}


Upupularion according to Paul, the epigastrium (al-sharāsīf), and in one place apukidria, meaning the sides (gabbē) below the ribs, and according to bar Serošway, below the membranes (taḥt al-marāqq).
 Aspukndrun (according to) our teacher, one of the epigastria, the navel. It is in Greek, and it is one of the membranes of the stomach.
 Apukidria (according to) bar Serošway, this is the place of the lungs, the stomach, the liver, the spleen, and the navel, the breast and the stomach.
 translating these instances, in something of an exception to the usual pattern I have observed over the course of this work, Hunayn's Arabic version follows a more regular pattern than does the text of the Syriac Aphorisms. In three of the four cases, the Arabic version translates this word with the phrase mā dun alsharāsīf 'that which is beneath the rib cartilage', while in aphorism v. 64 under consideration here, this varies slightly to al-mawādi‘ allatı̄ dūn al-sharāsīf 'the places that are beneath the rib cartilage'. For its part the Syriac version adopts two very different approaches to this term. For the first two examples of vं \(\boldsymbol{\pi}\) oxóvסpıov in the Aphorisms, which occur in aphorisms iv. 64 and iv. 73, the Greek word is translated with a form of the word gabbe 'sides', which corresponds with the entry from bar Bahlul's Lexicon at \(81: 18\) presented above. For the following two examples, a different translation is given, namely atrawa \(\bar{t} \underline{a}\)
\(\underline{d} a\)-theyt hashuse \(\underline{d} \underline{d}\)-el' ' 'the places that are beneath the cartilage of the ribs'. The sense of the latter translation is almost identical to Henayn's preferred translations of all instances of \(\dot{v} \pi \sigma \chi 0 ́ v \delta \rho \imath v\) in his Arabic version of the Aphorisms.

An entry in bar Bahlul's Lexicon relevant to the Syriac equivalent of v́лоұо́vסpıov, gabbē, reads like this:

Gabbā, the side, and according to bar Serošway gabbā, for the side he read the hip (al-khāṣira). Gabbeh, he says this for (someone's) being brought near, to his side.

This entry's irrelevance to the Arabic translations of the Aphorisms is clear. However, further resources regarding the Greek entries may be located in other places in the lexicons. First, an entry for \(\dot{u} \pi \mathrm{o} \chi \mathrm{o} \mathrm{v} \delta \rho \mathrm{\rho}\) ov in bar 'Ali's Lexicon reads in the following way:

Upukundrion. The place below the cartilage of the ribs (atra \(\bar{a} \underline{d a-t} h e y t ~ h a s h u s \bar{a} \underline{d}-\) el' \(\bar{e}\) ). The rib cartilage (al-sharāsīf).

This entry, likey attributable to Hunayn by virtue of bar 'Ali's stated reliance upon the translator's glossary, reproduces almost exactly the second of the two Syriac translations of \(\dot{v} \pi \mathrm{o} \chi\) óv \(\delta \rho \iota o v\) in the Aphorisms. This constitutes evidence that Hunayn used this equivalent in his own Syriac translations. Yet again, the
discrepancy between the Syriac and Arabic versions of the Aphorisms is remarkable, despite their convergence in the latter two instances of \(\dot{v} \pi 0 \chi\) óv \(\delta \rho ı v\) in the work. Given the strong agreement between these latter equivalents, the sense that the Syriac equivalent gabbē 'sides' is far less explicit than Hunayn's technique tended to be is only heightened. Yet on the other hand, the echo of Hunayn's technique in the latter two instances of the Syriac version must be
 seems to be attributed to Paul of Aegina in bar Bahlul's entry at 81:18 also adds another, albeit limited, example of the tendency for Hunayn's and Paul's glossography in the Lexicon to be at variance with one another.

At any rate, it is quite clear that for the translations of \(\dot{v} \pi 0 \chi\) óv \(\delta \rho 10 v\) the relevant Greek lexicography is of greater importance than is the Syriac lexicography. This argument is further extended by the presence of a definition of this Greek word in an extended Greek-to-Arabic anatomical entry attributed to Hennayn in bar Bahlul's Lexicon, which reads as follows:




```

وما دون ذلك وهو القسم الثالث يقال الأوسط منه باليونانية aهـحهام, ويقال له أيضاً aهسه, ويقال لجنبتيه

```



239. Duval: ,

Marqāqā according to Hunayn, the membranes of the stomach (marāqq al-baṭn). It is called in Greek epigastron. It is divided into three parts, which have many names in Greek. That which is below the rib cartilage (sharāsīf) which is opposite the navel is called upokondrion. As for that which is below the navel up to the pubic region, the middle part of it called upogastrion, and it is also called itron, and in Arabic the abdomen (thunna). As for that which is from its two sides, the left and the right, to the backbone, it is called in Greek qênêon, the meaning of which is 'the empty' (al-khālī), because this part is empty on account of the bowels. In Arabic it is the haunches. That which is below this is divided into three sections. The middle of it is called in Greek êpibêon, and it is also called êpsion, and its sides are called lagunês. In Syriac the middle part is called mahsān̄̄ew\(e z b \bar{e}\) ('the loins and the genitals'), and its sides are called maḥāne \(w\)-gese \(\underline{d}\) maḥāne ('the loins and the sides of the loins'). In Arabic the middle is called al'āna ('the loins'). And according to bar Serošway marqāqeh, this is its membrane. Marqāqā, the limbs of the stomach (ghuṣūn al-baṭn). In the Book of Paradise, he reads a single qop. A hilt, its hilt, as it is in the Book of Judges. \({ }^{240}\) In a manuscript, its hilt. Bar Serošway adds, its abdomen, the membranes, its adipose membranes (tharbuh).

\section*{CONCLUSION}

\section*{Hunayn ibn Isḥāq's Syriac scholarship and the study of Greek-to-Arabic medical translation}

In surveying the material presented in this thesis, it is clear that the relationship obtaining amongst Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq's Greek, Syriac, and Arabic scholarly works was a complex one. It can only be assumed that small portions of Hunayn's Greek lexicographical work survive in the extant Syriac lexicons. However, certain examples presented above clarify the ways in which Greek and Syriac scholarship informed Ḥunayn's Arabic translation work as represented by his version of the Hippocratic Aphorisms.

The entries and translations of the Greek word av̇tó \(\mu \alpha \tau\) tov in Chapter One (1.1.3) and the long Arabic entry for víto \(\begin{gathered}\text { óv } \delta \rho ı o v ~(4 ., ~ v . ~ 64) ~ b o t h ~ g i v e ~ s t r o n g ~\end{gathered}\) evidence for significant Greek-to-Arabic lexicographical work on the part of Hunayn that informed his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms without any significant Syriac intermediary. Yet, the evidence for the very strong relationship that obtained between Hunayn's Syriac and Arabic philological work strongly outweighs these examples. In only a few cases has it proved difficult to discover parallels between Ḥunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms on the one hand and entries in the Syriac lexicons on the other. This holds true even in spite of the clear evidence presented throughout this thesis that Hunayn was not the author of the extant Syriac Aphorisms. This pattern is further strengthened by the existence in several cases of exact or nearly exact agreement between lengthy explicating translations in the Arabic Aphorisms and the definitions in the lexicons of Syriac
equivalents of these words. \({ }^{241}\)
To repeat, this pattern of agreement between the lexicons and Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms is insufficient for the attribution of the authorship of the Syriac translation of the Aphorisms to Hunayn, due to the strong pattern of divergent interpretations between the main Arabic and Syriac translations. Rather, the broad terminological agreement between the two translations and the contrasting interpretative and stylistic modes they adopt points to their having been composed by two different authors within the same scholarly milieu. This is consistent with Hunayn's account in the Risāla regarding his contemporaries' Syriac translations of Galen's Commentary on the Aphorisms. On this basis I believe it is very likely that the extant Syriac Aphorisms was composed by either Job of Edessa or Jibrīl ibn Bukhtīshū \({ }^{`}\).

This likelihood may be considered disappointing, given that it removes the best hope for the survival of a Syriac translation composed by Hunayn. However at the same time it would mean that in the extant translations of the Aphorisms we have a very good simulacrum of the state of both the Syriac and Arabic translation traditions prior to Hunayn's career. This allows for judgments about Hunayn's especial contribution to 'Abbāsid-era Greek scholarship to proceed upon quite firm foundations.
241. Examples of this occur in the discussions of кıюбó (2.1.4) and \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\) (3., iii. 20). The pattern of agreement mentioned has potential for (admittedly very tentative) reconstruction of Heunayn's lost Syriac translations in the event of the preparation of digitized versions of the lexicons of bar Bahlul and bar 'Ali.

The characteristics of the various translations

Despite its being much less given to stylistic variation and creative adaptation than Hunayn's Arabic translation, the Syriac Aphorisms generally gives a reasonably good sense of the text. This is especially true when it is compared with al-Bitrīq's early Arabic version, which can be quite awkward. \({ }^{242}\) Again taking this version to represent the state of the art of Syriac medical translation prior to Heunayn, it is evident that the tradition by that time had reached what may be described as a tolerable degree of competency.

In contrast, as has been generally recognized for Hunayn's Arabic translations, the standard Arabic version of the Aphorisms is quite sophisticated. This may be observed both in its reader-oriented presentation of the sense of the Greek original and in its stylistic quality as a work of Arabic literature. On the basis of the evidence presented here, I hold that the high quality of Hunayn's Arabic translation owes a great deal to the translator's thorough familiarity with the methods of Syriac translation established by Sergius of Reš 'Aynā and his successors. \({ }^{243}\)
242. The latter conforms to a pattern of relative inaccuracy and lack of sophistication noted for early Arabic medical and philosophical translation in previous studies. See for example John M. Mattock, 'The early translations from Greek into Arabic: A comparative assessment' in Symposium Graeco-Arabicum II (Amsterdam: B. R. Grüner), 102, as well as Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 47-48.
243. A somewhat similar argument was put forward by Henri Hugonnard-Roche on the basis of a few examples in his article 'L'intermediaire syriaque', 198-200,

At the same time, however, this does not mean that Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Aphorisms was in any sense a mechanical reproduction of his lost Syriac translation of the work. As may be seen for example in the discussion of the translations of \(\alpha \not \sigma \theta \mu \alpha\) in Chapter One (1.2.10), there is evidence that Greek-to-Arabic and Syriac-to-Arabic lexicography were both involved in the production of Hunayn's translations. This points to a tri-lingual translation process that saw Hunayn first translate the Greek original into Syriac, and then use both the original and the Syriac translation in the production of his Arabic version.

Other evidence drawn from the lexicography and the comparison of the Syriac and Arabic versions also emphasizes the importance of Hunayn's knowledge of Arabic for the quality of his translations. Even in cases of clear Syriac influence such as the borrowing of the word buḥrān 'crisis' discussed in the treatment of кpírıs (4., i. 1), the exigency of clearly communicating the sense of the Greek original often prompted Ḥunayn to vary his approach or to rely on precedents established earlier in the Arabic medical tradition. In many places in the entries collected by bar Bahlul, furthermore, Hunayn displays a deep knowledge of the possibilities afforded by the Arabic lexicon.

In other examples, too, we see the limits of the influence of Syriac idiom on the Arabic translations. In the long entry for the Syriac word pagrā found in the discussion of the translations of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) (3.1., ii. 9), for example, the non-existence of an exactly corresponding Arabic word prompted one of the lexicographers to suggest that the Syriac word should be taken over into Arabic. However, this borrowing does not appear to have been influential. Similarly in the discussion of кטvó \(\gamma \chi \eta\) (3.2, iii. 20), there is evidence for an attempt at transferring the specific
which is cited in the Introduction.
sense of the Syriac terminology into Arabic by means of calque translation. This adds a layer of complexity to an already extensive set of Arabic equivalents in the study of the lexicography, but again it does not appear to have been influential in the texts of the translations.

This evidence thus shows conclusively and in detail that the sophistication of Hunayn's Arabic translation techniques owed a great deal both to his own Syriac scholarship and that of his predecessors. Although the fact does not diminish the importance and value of Hunayn's Arabic scholarship, the complex interaction of Greek, Syriac, and Arabic in the scholarly background to his translations contrasts markedly with the simpler Greek-to-Arabic paradigm apparently underlying al-Bitrīq's translation of the Aphorisms. \({ }^{244}\) Perhaps somewhat counterintuitively, the existence of some form of a Syriac intermediary appears to have increased rather than to have decreased the quality of Hunayn's Arabic translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms.

\section*{Evidence of direct Syriac influence upon Hunayn's Arabic translations}

This statement regarding the character of Syriac influence on the early Arabic translations of the Hippocratic Aphorisms may lead us to a broader consideration of the implications of the evidence presented herein. In several cases, such as for example the translations of \(\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu o ́ \varsigma ~(3.2 ., ~ i i i . ~ 19) ~ a n d ~ \lambda غ ́ ~ \pi \rho \alpha ~(3.2 ., ~ i i i . ~ 20), ~\) there is clear evidence that variations both in the general idiom and the specific medical terminology of Syriac came to be expressed in Hunayn's Arabic

\footnotetext{
244. That is, at least as far as the preface to the Arabic Palladius may be trusted, where it is stated that the work was translated 'from Greek into Arabic'.
}
translation of the Aphorisms. If one were to read Hunayn's Arabic translation alongside the Greek original without reference to the Syriac translation, these variations would appear to be unexplainable anomalies. Furthermore, it is reasonable to expect that Syriac idiom likewise came to be expressed in other Arabic compositions of Hunayn's. This is so, since it is to be assumed that an even stronger relationship obtained between Hunayn's own Syriac works and his Arabic ones than that which I have shown to exist between the Arabic Aphorisms and the Syriac version now extant.

Perhaps more encouragingly, we may also expect that many of Hunayn's Arabic translations have a similar relationship to the Syriac lexicons of bar Bahlul and bar 'Ali as does his Arabic Aphorisms. Some understanding of Syriac medical and philosophical terminology is necessary for accessing this material. Yet as I have shown throughout the present work, interesting and at times enlightening discussions of Greek, Syriac, and Arabic terminology may be found in these lexicons. The foregoing research thus specifies the immense value of these lexicons as tools for the study of the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement.

\section*{Implications for Arabic translations beyond the field of medicine}

Although medicine and philosophy were certainly distinct disciplines for Greek, Syriac, and Arabic authors, at the same time the links between the two subjects were very strong. Even at the terminological level, the two fields were profoundly interwoven. The deep influence of Aristotelian terminology on the Syriac medical vocabulary shown in bar Bahlul's entries for kyānā (4., i. 3), and gedšā (4., i. 13) points to an interesting phenomenon whereby, in a sense, the long history of Greek thought comes to be compressed into a single idiom. The Hippocratic
 tone and in conceptual weight, yet it is impossible to escape the impression that the two have been conflated in the Syriac translation of tú \(\mathfrak{\eta}\) with gedšā in aphorism i. 13.

In this respect the study of Arabic medicine shares certain problems with that of Arabic philosophy considered more broadly. The types of variations in translation I have treated throughout this thesis pose similar or even greater problems for the latter study. As Gutas writes in his introduction to the study of Avicenna's philosophical works,

By the fourth/tenth century... an Arabic speaking intellectual had to contend with three separate levels of Arabic: native and literary usage... the usage of the Islamic disciplines, and the usage of the translations, itself not uniform but varying according to different periods and complexes of translations. \({ }^{245}\)

The evidence presented in this thesis contributes to the process of delineating the types of effects produced by the interaction of Syriac with Arabic in one of these complexes of translation, the medical translations of Hunayn ibn Ishāq. In doing so, it shows obliquely the types of benefit that may be expected to derive from the study of extant Syriac sources for other such complexes as well. In particular, the detailed study of bar Bahlul's Lexicon should provide significant insight into the philosophical translations of Hunayn and his successors in much the same way as I have shown it to do in regard to Hunayn's medical translations. Although the
specific ways in which Syriac came to influence certain other bodies of Arabic translation beyond this one will differ according to context, the types of relationships I have observed herein I hope will prove to be useful models.

Syriac scholarship in the social and intellectual history of Islamicate societies

These findings are also of importance for areas of research beyond the relationship between Syriac and Arabic translations of Greek texts. The examples of longer entries I have provided above point to the value of bar Bahlul's Lexicon as a window into the intellectual life of Hunayn and his successors. This is especially true in entries for words like hešok \(\bar{a}\) (1.3.14) and \(\vec{a} \operatorname{ar}\) (2.3.1), which display a certain tension between theological and philosophical conceptions. These entries along with many others in the Lexicon that I have not treated in the present work provide valuable material for the study of Syriac philosophy.

Other material cited herein from the Syriac lexicons is of importance for the history of Greek-to-Arabic translation without particular reference to Syriac exemplars. Most prominently, there is significant evidence against the traditional ascription to Hunayn of the Arabic translation of Paul of Aegina's Pragmateia. This evidence is found primarily in the discussion of \(\tau \varepsilon \in \tau \alpha v o \varsigma ~(2.2 .6)\). There, an entry from bar Bahlul's Lexicon very likely written by Hunayn refers to the translator as someone other than himself, and in other entries the later compilers bar Bahlul and bar 'Ali explicitly state that Hunayn did not use an Arabic equivalent for \(\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha v o \varsigma, a l-k u z \bar{a} z\), that occurs frequently in the Arabic version of the Pragmateia. Furthermore, in other places it appears that Pauline material appears in bar Bahlul's Lexicon without attribution. This may be observed for example in the discussion of the translations of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha\) (1.3.8).

Finally, I would like to say a few words about the relationships of these findings to debates concerning the broader social and cultural history of Arabic and Syriac intellectual life. Regarding the former, an ongoing debate concerning the character of the impulse that drove the classical Arabic engagement with Greek philosophy and science has tended to divide into two camps. One of these, centred around the work of George Saliba, has tended to focus on the interactions between Greeks and Arabs in the late Umayyad caliphate, when certain Byzantine administrative literature came to be rendered into Arabic. \({ }^{246}\) The other, whose position has been articulated forcefully by Dimitri Gutas, favours a later date for the beginnings of serious engagement with Greek literature on the part of Arabic speakers. Gutas argues that rationalism and scientific inquiry played a key part in early 'Abbāsid political propaganda, and that political dynamics should be considered the fundamental impulse behind the institutionalization of the Arabic sciences. \({ }^{247}\)

Certain material in this thesis arguably supports each of these perspectives. For example, the very existence in any form of an early Arabic translation of the rather obscure Alexandrian physician Palladius' Commentary on the Aphorisms, performed directly from Greek into Arabic, would seem to support at least to a certain extent the idea of an early, western stratum of translation. Furthermore, the clear evidence against Hunayn's authorship of the Arabic translation of Paul's Pragmateia discussed above is accompanied by certain examples of convergences between the Greek-Syriac-Arabic lexicography attributed to Paul in

\footnotetext{
246. Saliba, Islamic Science, passim.
}
247. Gutas, Greek Thought, 29.
bar Bahlul's Lexicon and al-Biṭrīq's early Arabic version of the work. \({ }^{248}\) These convergences perhaps suggest a heavier reliance on late-Hellenic Alexandrian works in the early period of the translation movement. On the other hand, the definite inferiority of al-Biṭrīq's translations compared with Hunayn's tends to amplify somewhat the nuances of Gutas' position.

Gutas' work has also figured prominently in debates concerning the character of the Syriac contribution to the establishment and development of Arabic philosophy and the relative merits of these two traditions considered separately from one another. Neatly summarized in Siam Bhayro and Sebastian Brock's article 'The Syriac Galen Palimpsest and the Role of Syriac in the Transmission of Greek Medicine in the Orient \({ }^{2},{ }^{249}\) this discussion has likewise seen different voices adopt two opposing perspectives. Gutas' position, as characterized by Bhayro and Brock, has been decisively to favour the work of the 'Abbāsid-era Greek-to-Arabic translation movement over that of earlier exponents such as Sergius of Reš 'Aynā. Whatever their quality and importance, from this perspective it is possible to view the 'Abbāsid-era Syriac medical works of Hunayn and others as mere extensions of the same processes that underlay the Greek-to-Arabic translation movement, and thus to relegate the Syriac element to the background of the historical account. \({ }^{250}\) In countering this view, Bhayro and
248. Notably in the discussions of \(\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha v o \varsigma ~(2.2 .6)\), again, as well as those of \(\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha\) (3, iii. 20), кívסvvo̧̧ (2.1.4), \(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \lambda \eta \xi \mathfrak{j} \alpha(2.2 .2)\), and \(\varphi \rho \varepsilon v o ́ \varsigma ~(2.2 .8) . ~\)
249. Siam Bhayro and Sebastian Brock, 'The Syriac Galen Palimpsest and the Role of Syriac in the Transmission of Greek Medicine in the Orient', Bulletin of the John Rylands Library 89(1) 2013.
250. Ibid., 41.

Brock point to the inchoate scholarly understanding of much of the Syriac medical tradition while again emphasizing the independent value Hunayn accords to his Syriac translations in the Risäla. \({ }^{251}\)

Although the somewhat narrow focus of the material presented in this thesis makes difficult the drawing of sweeping conclusions, it may be said that the evidence presented herein tends to favour the latter account of Bhayro and Brock. This may be seen first of all in the varying quality of the Syriac and Arabic translations of the Aphorisms that directly preceded Ḥunayn's career, represented above by the Syriac Aphorisms and al-Bitrīq's early Arabic translation. Although neither of these translations reaches the standard of Hunayn's nuanced Arabic translation of the Aphorisms, the Syriac Aphorisms is also very much superior to al-Biṭīq's version. This suggests that the Syriac translation tradition, in its development between Sergius' career and the early years of the 'Abbāsid dynasty, had much more to contribute to Hunayn's project than did the nascent Arabic tradition of the time.

Again assuming that the extant Syriac Aphorisms is not the work of Hunayn, the comparisons in Chapter Three that show development in Syriac translation technique take on greater importance. In the discussions of words like \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) (3.1., ii. 9) , \(\gamma v \omega ́ \mu \eta\) (3.2., ii. 6), and \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\) (3.2., iii. 20) it appears that the later Syriac version of the Aphorisms is more precise than the earlier version found in the Syriac Epidemics. Furthermore, in the discussions of \(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) and \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\), I presented strong evidence from bar Bahlul's Lexicon that these specific developments in Syriac translation technique had implications for the Arabic translation techniques of Hunayn and his school. Even if these developments
were not as dramatic as the advances in translation technique associated with Hunayn's work, they still represent important contributions on the part of the medical translation tradition initiated by Sergius to Arabic medical translation.

Both of these lines of argumentation support Bhayro and Brock's call for the addition of the word 'Syriac' to the usual phrase 'Greek-to-Arabic translation movement'. Furthermore, this evidence sits alongside numerous examples of less individual import that demonstrate that Ḥunayn's Syriac lexicography was a very important locus for the establishment of the Arabic terminology the translator used in his rendering of works like the Hippocratic Aphorisms. Yet in this way to consider Hunayn's translation techniques without reference to their broader historical context is to enter significantly murkier waters.

Even in the absence of Hunayn's own Syriac translation of the Aphorisms, it is possible with the aid of bar Bahlul's Lexicon to observe some of the ways in which Hunayn's work must have differed from the extant Syriac version. In the discussion of the scholarly background to the translations of the Greek word к \(\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\) in the Aphorisms (4., i. 12), I observed the Syriac translation to render this word in an entirely regular fashion, whereas Hunayn used different Arabic equivalents for the term according to context. In particular, the use of \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma 1 \varsigma\) with reference to the atmosphere prompted Hunayn to adopt a dramatically different approach. In one of bar Bahlul's entries for this Greek word, a definition of кんđ́́ \(\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\) attributable to Hunayn provides in Syriac an atmospheric sense broadly analogous to that Hunayn used in his Arabic translation. Given the strong evidence that Ḥunayn used a Syriac version in preparing his Arabic translation of the Aphorisms, it is quite likely that he employed this or a similar Syriac phrase in his Syriac version.

It seems reasonable to suggest that Henayn's greater attention to detail as
manifested in his Arabic translations should also have figured in his Syriac translations. This kind of phenomenon points away from the influence of the tradition of Sergius upon Hunayn's translations, and tends to lend weight to the otherwise polemical remarks in the Risāla concerning Hunayn's fellow translators into Syriac. Furthermore, as I mentioned in the Introduction, there is important evidence that Hunayn's trial at the court of al-Mutawakkil represents a signal break between the Syriac court physicians and the translator.

For these reasons, I would suggest that personality was a key factor in these historical developments alongside language and religion, and that recognition of this may help the organization of historical research. This may be expressed in the following way: Prior to the accession of the 'Abbāsids, there existed a Sergian tradition of Greek-Syriac medical translation. This tradition continued to be preeminent in eastern Mesopotamia up to the time of Hunayn. Although Hunayn originally undertook his medical translations as an extension unto Arabic of this Syriac tradition, the historian should judge his translations as the beginning of a distinct 'Hִunayn’’ tradition of translation. \({ }^{252}\) This tradition in effect, if not in intent, used Syriac as an intermediary between Greek and Arabic.

Effectively, the two debates concerning Arabic and Syriac that I have discussed each reflects the same fundamental parodox in the writing of history. Any event or complex of events may be approached by considering the ways in which that event displays continuity with the historical events that preceded it. However, at the same time no historical phenomenon may be reduced to a
252. Although elements of this account should hold beyond the field of medicine, it should be remembered that the situtation in a discipline like philosophy was a good deal more complex.
mechanical reproduction of its material causes. That is to say, every historical event is, in some sense, new and irreducibly unique.

The Syriac medical literature practiced by Hunayn's contemporaries was advanced enough to be mistaken for the work of the famous translator. Yet Hunayn did not content himself with reference to these standards of Syriac translation. Rather, he consistently strove to bring the older Greek and Syriac intellectual traditions into contact with the newly emergent standards of Arabic literature. It thus appears that the standards, styles, and referents of Arabic literature considered as a whole represent an important element of the new in the Greek-Syriac-Arabic translation movement as represented by Hunayn.

Despite this, it is clear that the findings I have presented strongly emphasize the importance of what might be called the broader Aramaic culture of translation. As is generally well-known, many of the central works of Aramaic literature were translations, the main example of this in Syriac being of course the numerous detailed translations of the Bible. \({ }^{253}\) Hunayn's extensive employment of Syriac sources in his translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms strongly emphasizes the importance of this long tradition for the Arabic translations. This highlights the kinds of organic processes that influenced Greek-to-Arabic translation alongside the more intentional institutional efforts emphasized by Gutas. In this reading, the political interests of the 'Abbāsid elites provided the impetus for sustained contact and competition between the Syriac and Arabic intellectual traditions, each of which however had its own life apart from the
253. Brock relies extensively on this literature in his study of the Syriac Aphorisms 'Syriac Background’, passim. For a more detailed exposition, see idem., The Bible in the Syriac Tradition (Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2006).
machinations of princes.
While it is impossible to disregard the importance of religious and political management for the translation movement, the integrity of the various intellectual traditions that in effect served as its material cannot be ignored either. By dividing this history into two, the identity and contributions of the Sergian Graeco-Syriac tradition on the one hand and the Hunaynī Graeco/Syriac-Arabic tradition on the other may be more easily distinguished. Given their quite different historical, social, and political contexts and aims, it makes sense to study these two as discrete yet related phenomena. This may be accomplished without denigrating the intellectual value or historical importance of either one.

In sum, the figure of Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq represents the point of confluence between two traditions, that of ancient Aramaic and that of blossoming Arabic. No matter the various translators' knowledge of Greek sources, and no matter the desire of the 'Abbāsid intellectual elites to see works of classical Greek literature rendered both elegantly and accurately into Arabic, it was ultimately by recourse to the praxis of translation maintained in the Syriac tradition that a satisfactory Arabic translation of the Hippocratic Aphorisms was performed. Certainly the extent to which this characterizes Hunayn's other translations and the broader work of Greek-to-Arabic translation will admit of further specification. However, the evidence I have presented in this thesis clearly demonstrates the great potential of Syriac sources for enriching scholarly understanding of both the details and the general character of these profoundly important historical subjects.
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\begin{abstract}
APPENDIX

An alphabetized version of Duval's Greek index to bar Bahlul's Syriac Lexicon
\end{abstract}

As discussed at the beginning of Chapter One of this thesis, Rubens Duval, the editor of bar Bahlul's Syriac Lexicon, prepared an extensive index of Greek terms that he had identified as present in the latter work. However, this index has until now remained ordered according to the terms' column and line numbers rather than alphabetically, making it very difficult to consult systematically.

The following represents an alphabetically-ordered list of the Greek and Latin terms identified by Duval as present in bar Bahlul's Lexicon. Although I provide it here with the intention of facilitating reference to the Lexicon for all interested scholars, I hasten to add that it should not be taken to replace Duval's index entirely. The original index includes important references that I have not included in this list. Furthermore, in numerous cases several Greek terms occur in the context of thematically-ordered entries, as may be seen in the entry for the word marqa \(\bar{a} \bar{a}\) in the discussion of \(\dot{v} \pi 0 \chi\) óv \(\delta \rho\) ov at the end of Chapter Four above. This and like phenomena are emphasized by Duval's approach but suppressed here. Thus cross-reference between this list and Duval's index will often prove beneficial.

Finally, another slight problem in the original edition may be noted. For the intial pages of the sections in the Lexicon for the letters \(\bar{a} l e p\) to \(p \bar{e}\), the linenumbers given in Duval's index differ from those added in the Philo Press edition due to the editor's including the lines occupied by the section titles in his count. This approach was not adopted, however, in the Greek index for the letters
following \(p \bar{e}\) nor in any of the other indices. For this reason, the references in the index to columns \(5,6,349,350,441,442,525,526,599,600,663,664,671\), 672, 708, 709, 783, 784, 833, 834, 858, 859, 931, 932, 985, 986, 1207, 1208, 1291, 1292, 1471, and 1472 will differ from the Philo edition's line numbering.

\section*{Greek Words}

\section*{A}

ג̀óóocos 106:23
ä \(\alpha \tau\) оv 106:23
ӓ \(\beta \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ 10: 13\)
д́ \(\beta \beta \tilde{\alpha}_{\varsigma} 18: 7\)
д̀ßéлтєроз 10:15
д́ß \(\mathfrak{\alpha}\) 15:25
\(\dot{\alpha} \beta \wedge \lambda \eta\) ́ 15: \(^{15}\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \alpha \mu i ́ \varsigma(=\alpha ̈ \gamma v o \varsigma\), тò \(\delta \varepsilon ́ v \delta \rho o v\) то̃̃ \(A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha ́ \mu)\) 20:3-4
д̀ \(\beta \rho \alpha \mu i ́ \varsigma ~(i ̀ \chi \theta ́ ́ \varsigma) ~ 20: 3-4 ~\)
ג́ßpotóvıvov 20:19
\(\dot{\alpha} \beta\) о́тovov 20:21-24, 422:27, 594:8
кєка兀иє́vov 20:21-24
д̀ßpóvตv 20:17
äßvббоऽ 14:19, 160:17
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} 22: 26\)

\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta\) òs \(\alpha \nsim \theta \rho \rho \pi\) оऽ 26:17
д \(\gamma \alpha\) Өог̃ 24:8
व̌ү \(\alpha \theta\) vveĩs 22:22

\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega v\) 33:16
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda 1 \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon 22: 11\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda\) охо० 22:3, 28:25

ब̀ \(\gamma \alpha \lambda 1 \alpha ́ \sigma о \mu \alpha ı 22: 9\)
а́ \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta\) 26:20, 30:24, 156:12
वं \(\gamma \alpha \pi \eta\) тós 23:11, 31:1
व̈ \(\gamma \alpha\) ркко́v 22:16, 32:16, 445:3
व̉ץ \(\alpha \sigma\) тós 23:1
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon\) 亿́סıov? 198:11
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \alpha\) 198:15
व̈ \(\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda\) оऽ 23:12, 198:12
वَ \(\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \cos 30: 16\)
वัүย́р \(\omega \chi\) оऽ 22:28
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta(\dot{\eta}(\dot{\eta}) ? 33: 15\)
व̈ \(\gamma\) ท́ратоv 27:15
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta ́ \rho \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma(\lambda i \theta \circ \varsigma)\) 27:12, 864:14

व̈ \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\circ}\) о̧ 7:8, 26:19, 28:4
д̀ \(\gamma\) เ́́т \(\alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ 28: 2\)
वं \(\gamma \kappa\) ќд \(145: 24\) ?, 276:8, 851:1
д́үки́дıо 275:21
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa v ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ 214: 3\)
д́ \(\gamma \kappa ळ ́ v ~ 273: 16\)
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đข̉толт兀ко́ 57：23
\(\alpha\) 兀̇тобұ६ס́íç 57：6，7，57：27，58：13，1171：3
బv̉тоข̃ 47：28，117：11
аט̉то甲טทั（ \(\tau \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha ̀ \alpha\) v̌ \(\alpha \tau \tau)\) 57：14

גv̉точía 57：25
బv̉ðๆ́v 59：24，117：21

д̀фа́кๆ 247：20，248：18？
д̀раvíoєı 247：25
\(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon i \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu 249: 17\)
д̈ \(\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma ~ 249: 19 ~\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\kappa} \kappa \nu \nu\) 262：3
ä \(\varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau о \varsigma\) 269:19
גфо́р \(\boldsymbol{\alpha}\) тог 21:7
д̀форєєіॅ 255:11, 257:1

A甲робíтך 266:23, 599:17, 1608:21
ג̀ \(\varphi \rho\) о́vıт \(\rho о\) v 251:3, 264:2, 267:11, 965:14, 1289:20
\(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta \nu \circ \varsigma(\lambda i \theta \circ \varsigma)\) 267:23, 1631:1

ӓ甲р \(\omega v\) 268:19
\(\dot{\alpha} \varphi \chi \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda\) оऽ 198:12
А даї́ 154:8
А А \(\alpha\) їко̀ऽ ( \(\mu \nu \alpha \varsigma\) ) 154:10
дх \(\alpha\) тทร 156:10
\(\dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha 1\) 164:24, cf. \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \tau \alpha \iota\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \chi i \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \cos 156: 18-19,172: 5\) as ( \(\dot{\alpha} \chi i) \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \circ \varsigma\)
A \(\chi 1 \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \varsigma ? 62: 11\)

д̀ \(\chi \lambda\) ús 157:16
д̉ \(\chi\) рác 164:12

वै \(\chi\) 1701:25
ӓ \(\chi\) טpov 156:27
д̀ \(\chi\) ро́v 155:10
д̀ \(\chi\) ю́ 60:10, 155:5, 1914:4 ( \(\alpha \chi \tilde{\omega} \rho \frac{\varsigma}{)}\)
д \(\chi \omega ́ \rho \omega v\) 60:10, cf. 155:5
ад \(\chi \tilde{\omega} \rho\) оऽ 155:5, cf. 60:10
д̀ \(\psi i ́ \delta \alpha\) ( \(\alpha \psi i ́ s) ~ 163: 1\)
ג̀ \(\psi i ́ v \theta\) ıov 265:1
\(\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma ı\) 1349:1
\(\dot{\alpha} \psi ı v\) ®ítņ oĩvos 265:7
ג̉ \(\omega\) рí \(5: 17,92: 11\) )

\section*{B}
\(\beta \alpha \beta \alpha i ́ 349: 5,354: 3\)
\(\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \alpha\) 353：15，382：5
\(\beta \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \sim \alpha 353: 15,382: 5\)
\(\beta \dot{\alpha}\) Өоц 382：5，995：10
阝о́клоц 373：9
\(\beta\) кктпро́́ 352：15
阝о́кхарıя 352：12
\(\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v \varepsilon\) ̃̃ov 394：22，399：18
\(\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v \varepsilon \cup \tau\) тко́ऽ？396：10

\(\beta\) 人́ \(\lambda \alpha\) vol \(\delta \rho\) vós？383：15，397：21
\(\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \nu о \varsigma ~ \mu \nu \rho \varepsilon \psi \iota к \mathfrak{~ 3 5 1 : 1 - 3 , ~ c f . ~ 4 1 1 : 5 ~}\)
\(\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha\) v́бтıov 351：5－10，395：26
\(\beta\) 人́дıо七？369：15
\(\beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda\) оvтєц 351：24
\(\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\prime} 351: 22\)
\(\beta \alpha ́ \lambda \sigma \alpha \mu о \nu ~ 351: 11-12,369: 23,399: 23\)
\(\beta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \alpha \xi\) 1576：11
\(\beta\) 人vavбía 352：2
ßávavбor 352：2
阝о́лтıбца 418：24
\(\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu\) о́я 1129：6
В \(\alpha \alpha \beta \beta \tilde{\alpha}\) ¢ 423：10
\(\beta \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \theta \rho o v ~ 427: 11\)
В \(\alpha \rho \theta\) одо \(\alpha\) і̃ос 438：28
阝а́pıs 353：1
Bарvá \(\beta\) ся 432：17
\(\beta \alpha \rho\) и́ \(\mathbf{v ı}\) и 352：22
\(\beta \alpha \rho \cup \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \delta ı\) ъ 353：2
\(\beta \alpha \rho\) и́s 353：4
\(\beta\) 人́pvтоv 427：12
B \(\alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \nu\) 409：22，cf．386：12
\(\beta\) व́ \(\sigma \alpha v\) о̧ 408：17
\(\beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha ~ 408: 10\) ，cf．\(\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma\)
\(\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ 408: 11\) ，cf．\(\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha\)
\(\beta \alpha \sigma\) ідєv́ऽ 352：6，408：11
\(\beta \alpha \sigma\) лики́ 408：23
\(\beta \alpha \sigma\) л \(\lambda\) ıко́v 408：21，789：4
\(\beta \alpha \sigma\) т \(\lambda\) ィко́s 408：20
В \(\alpha \sigma ı \lambda ı \tilde{\imath} v\) ？598：6
阝абліі́бкоз 352：5，823：11， 20
阝о́бı̧ 409：24
阝о́то̧ 349：9（ \(\beta\) व́סоऽ），349：13，380：14
ßóтоv 甲и́ \(\lambda \lambda\) оv？380：15
阝о́трахос 349：15
\(\beta \alpha \tau \rho \alpha ́ \chi 1 \circ\) 350：7，381：27
\(\beta\) ат兀óえоүoс？381：3
\(\beta \alpha \varphi\) ๆ́ 352：10
\(\beta \delta \dot{́} \lambda \lambda\) ıov 357：26
\(\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \lambda\) ои̃vтаı \(361: 9\)
\(\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \alpha\) 383：10，cf．\(\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda o s\)
В \(\varepsilon \lambda i ́ \alpha \rho\) 397：23
\(\beta \varepsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ 361: 10\), cf．\(\beta \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \alpha\)
\(\beta \varepsilon \lambda\) о́бтабıऽ 398：17
\(\beta \varepsilon \lambda\) оио́коऽ 2068：18
\(\beta\) в́д \(\tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma ~ 352: 1\)
\(\beta \varepsilon ́ \lambda \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha\) ？351：14
Bévetor каì Прабıvoí 413：20，cf．Veneti et Albati，\(\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma ı v o s ~\)
\(\beta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon\) víкıov 362：5（vít \(\rho \circ v\) ），375：4，1289：22（víт \(\rho o v\) ）
\(\beta \varepsilon \tau о\) víкп 1816：5，2058：17

В \(\eta \theta \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta\) 191：16
\(\beta \eta \lambda\) ó 367：9，cf．12：1
\(\beta \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha\) 384：5
В \(\eta \rho \sigma \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon\) 432：22
阝и́ \(\chi\) ıо 383：3，393：11
ळ̈ ßí 49：24，50：1，60：6，286：1
\(ィ \beta \lambda ı\) оп́кп 354：16，383：12
\(\beta \imath \beta \lambda_{1}\) оп́кац 382：13
阝ィвлıоө́ккр 396：9

\(\beta\) \(\beta\) ді́ov 354：12
\(\beta\) \(\beta \lambda i ́ \omega v\) 354：12
\(\beta i ́ \beta \lambda\) о弓 382：7
BıOvvía 387：20
阝íкоз 373：1
\(\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau 0 ́ \varsigma ~ 1701: 25\)
\(\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu i ́ \alpha ~ 384: 3-4\)
\(\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \varphi \eta \mu о\) з 384：3－4
\(\beta \lambda\) غ́лєı七 395：10
\(\beta \lambda \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \rho o ́ \xi v\) ибто 395：5
\(\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varphi \alpha \rho о v ~ 395: 12\)
阝入ท̃тоv 397：16，398：12，430：20
阝о́єऽ 364：16
\(\beta\) оŋ́ \(\theta \varepsilon 1 \alpha\) 370：11
ßоךӨós 366：20
ßó日 \(\rho\) ıov 376：18，cf．\(\beta\) ó \(\theta\) роя
ßóӨроз 376：25， 27
\(\beta\) oıó́pı \(\alpha\)（ \(\beta\) ot \(\delta \alpha ́ \rho ı o v) ~ 374: 23 ~\)
ßоíסı \(\alpha\)（ \(\beta\) oí́ıov）364：7
ßодаĩs（ \(\beta\) оди́）370：1
ßо́ \(\lambda\) ßor？33：23
ßодßós 48：25，394：26，cf．ßó \(\lambda ı\) ıı？
غ̇ठळ́ \(\delta \mu\) ця 367：15
غ́ \(\mu \varepsilon \tau \iota к\) ќऽ 367：15
阝оди́ 368：22，369：1
ßоцßидı́s 2044：22
Ворє́ац 373：20，24，375：17
乃одхóv 63：10
ßотávŋ 191：8
ßо́трия 366：10－11，873：6
ßov（as etymological element）362：10
ßoúß \(\alpha\) дor 362：21，367：14
ßои́ß \(\alpha\) доц 362：21
ßоиßø̃vєऽ（ \(\beta\) оиßळ́v）362：16
ßочүóió 363：14
ßои́ү \(\lambda \omega \sigma \sigma\) ov 363：7，368：14，2040：3
Воикє́ \(\alpha \lambda\) ос 92：23，1823：19
ßоикє́ழ \(\alpha\) оос 176：15－16
ßои́кıva 1380：7，cf．ßuкávŋ
ßоидعuтท́pıov 369：3
ßоидعити́я 368：16
ßоидク́ 367：11，368：22，369：25，388：18，637：1
ßои́ \(\lambda \mu\) оз 369：9，896：8
ßouvıós 370：12，376：23
ßouvióסos 362：7，376：23
Boúviov 303：6－11，370：12
ßouvoí 371：26
ßои́лаıя 371：26
ßои́лр \(\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ 3 7 2 : 4 ~}\)
ßov́tupov 366：3
ßои́р \(\theta \alpha \lambda \mu\) оv 362：14，372：1，843：6
\(\beta\) ро́ка1 422：15，431：25？
\(\beta\) рохíшv 422：19
ßрахи́ 422：18

ßрєтаvvıкй 422：16？，425：18
Bрı́́pعต૬ 430：9
\(\beta\) роүхí 426：24，432：15
阝ро́иос 426：22
ßрогти́ 428：5
阝ром̃хоя 428：6
阝рохи́ 428：7
阝ро́хоц？427：22

Bpúov 421：22，425：19，25，426：8，427：15，543：5，1341：5
\(\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \iota v\) 427：5？， 18
\(\beta \rho \cup \omega v i ́ \alpha ~ 86: 5-7,178: 21,188: 15-18,191: 13-17,422: 26-28,427: 1,472: 4,1296: 1,3,1573: 20\)
ßриюví̧？427：25，cf．22：19
\(\beta \rho \tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} 428: 8\)
ßúas 362：9
\(\beta \cup \zeta ั \alpha v \tau i ́ \alpha ~ 364: 26\)
ßико́vŋ 373：2， 10
ßuкаvíбтๆร 373：2
ßúvŋs（ \(\beta\) v́vq）370：9
\(\beta\) vov́øๆร 382：23
ßироєи́s 375：9
\(\beta \omega \lambda \omega \dot{\delta \eta}\) ？369：6， 18
\(\beta \omega \mu\) о́ 370：3

\section*{\(\Gamma\)}

ү \(\alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ 441: 8\)
\(\gamma \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma\)（ \(\lambda i \operatorname{i}\) os）863：14，cf．\(\lambda i \operatorname{i}\) os（ \(\gamma \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma)\)
\(\gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \lambda_{1} \alpha\) 441：6，cf．\(\gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \lambda_{10}\)
\(\gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \lambda i ́ \alpha\) 198:16
\(\gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \lambda_{1}\) ov 503:1, cf. \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \lambda_{1} \alpha\)
\(\gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha ı v \alpha\) 444:8-12
Г \(\alpha \delta \alpha ́ \rho \omega v ? ~ 487: 10\)
Гá \(\delta \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha 441: 12\)
Г \(\alpha ́ \delta \eta \rho \alpha ~ 453: 15\)
Га́丂̧ 442:12
\(\gamma \alpha \zeta о \varphi\) и́ \(\lambda \boldsymbol{\xi}\) 478:24
үала 441:4
Гóios 442:20
\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau i ́ \eta \varsigma\) ( \(\lambda i \theta\) оя) 859:2, 865:7, cf. \(\lambda i \theta\) оऽ ( \(\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma\) )
\(\gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о \varsigma(\gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha)\) 443:13
үалаร́ías 1930:4
Г \(\alpha \lambda \alpha \tau i ́ \alpha \varsigma\) ( \(\dot{\eta \tau i ́ v \eta) ? ~ 495: 10 ~}\)
\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \rho ı o v ~ 443: 13, ~ 490: 11\)
\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} 443: 16\)
\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \vee o ́ \varsigma ~ 443: 12, ~ 498: 3\)
\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ 443: 8\)
\(\gamma \alpha ́ \lambda\) ıov 443:22, 858:9

\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda\) ıко́ 443:26
үо́ \(\mu\) о̧ 499:26
\(\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \alpha ́ \varsigma ~ 444: 6\)
үанүо́s 444:6
үо́роз 366:7?, 445:4, 518:17
\(\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho ~ 444: 14,508: 9\)
\(\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \mu \alpha \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha\) 508:15
\(\gamma \alpha v \rho i ́ \alpha \sigma ı \varsigma ? ~ 442: 8\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ 441: 4\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \sim \eta \kappa \alpha ~ 456: 9\)
Г \(\varepsilon\) ยvv 457:5, 487:8

Гє \(\theta \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \nu \varepsilon ı ̃ ~ 453: 8\)
रвít \(\omega \nu\) 455:26
Гє \(\lambda \alpha \sigma\) ó \(498: 24\)
Гغ \(\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma\) ıоऽ 444:1
\(\gamma \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon ı 456: 27\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon 487: 15\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma 1 \alpha ~ 507: 14\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ 457: 4\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon v \eta\) тóv 504:18
\(\gamma \varepsilon v v \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha\) 457:1
Гєvvๆбо́р 505:19
\(\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma\) ( \(\gamma \varepsilon ́ v v \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)\) ) 457:15
үєvviкŋ́ 457:12
\(\gamma \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ 457: 3\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ 457: 2\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon v \tau 1 \alpha v \eta\) 457:10, 504:13
\(\gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha i ́ o s ~ 444: 28\)
үєро́vıov 458:9
Гєриалıкй 520:3
Гєро́vтıо̧ 445:1
\(\gamma \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon 457: 14\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon\) च̃øъ 485:19, 504:6
үєळүраці́а 607:3
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega ́ \delta \eta \varsigma(\lambda i \theta o \varsigma) 864: 5\), cf. \(\lambda i \theta\) os ( \(\gamma \varepsilon \omega ́ \delta \eta \varsigma)\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha 1442: 4,485: 17\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta \varsigma ~ 561: 3\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho i ́ \alpha ~ 442: 6, ~ 456: 1, ~ 465: 17-18\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho ı к о ́ v ~ 465: 17-18 ~\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \pi о г\) кќ 457:12
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha\) 456:6, cf. \(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha \varsigma\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ 442: 9\), cf. \(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma\) кко́ 474:2, 933:2
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \iota к о ́ \varsigma ~ 456: 8,482: 5\), cf. \(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \nless о ́ v, \gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma\) о́я
\(\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma\) о́ \(484: 27\)
\(\gamma \tilde{\eta}\) 22:2, 111:25, 441:4, 482:3, 487:5, 1812:10 (кגì غ̀ \(\pi \grave{~} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\tau} \tau \varsigma \varsigma 484: 23\)
غ̇คعт \(\rho\) óc 484:21
\(\kappa \mu \omega \lambda i ́ \alpha ~ 489: 3\), cf. кц \(\mu \lambda i ́ \alpha\)
\(\mu \eta \lambda i ́ \alpha\) 487:6
\(\pi v 1\) ĩuı 489:1
бацí́ 29:13, 488:15, 508:22, 890:15, cf. \(\sigma \alpha \mu i ́ \alpha ~(\gamma \tilde{\eta})\)
ді̃ 486:5
\(\gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃ s ~ 483: 1\)
غ̇лì \(\gamma \tilde{\eta} v\) őv \(\tau \alpha\) 1032:8
\(\gamma \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \varsigma\) 489:13

\(\eta\) поконєіог 67:4, 517:21
\(\gamma \eta ̃ s\)
ג̀бтท́ค 508:22, 971:13
غ̈v \(\tau \rho \alpha\) 487:16, 498:1, 890:19
\(\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\) 483:4, cf. \(\gamma \dot{\prime} \gamma \alpha \varsigma\)
\(\gamma\) ' \(\gamma \alpha \rho \tau\) ои \(482: 26\)
\(\gamma i \gamma \alpha \varsigma 482: 24\), cf. \(\gamma \dot{\prime} \gamma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\)
\(\gamma \gamma \gamma\) íiov 50:22, 487:12, 905:15
үі̧́ı 1701:24
\(\gamma\) íveб \(\theta \propto ı\) ? 488:18
\(\gamma\) ıレต́бкєı 487:14
\(\gamma \lambda \alpha\) коко 490:15, 494:7
\(\gamma \lambda \alpha\) кос? 494:14
\(\gamma \lambda \alpha 0 \kappa o ́ \varsigma ~(\gamma \lambda \alpha 0 ́ \xi)\) 491:10
\(\gamma \lambda \alpha v ́ \kappa \omega \mu \alpha\) 491:4
七ò Г Г \(\alpha \varphi\) טр \(\alpha\) 403:10, 494:18
\(\gamma \lambda\) عи́кıvov 492：27
\(\gamma \lambda \eta ́ \chi \omega v\) 490：13，497：22，897：10
\(\gamma \lambda\) оі̃оя 493：12
үдикі́а؟？464：14，493：2，4，7，9， 15
\(\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa и ́ 496: 6\), cf．\(\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa ט ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma\)
\(\gamma \lambda\) кќр \(\rho ı \zeta ̆ \alpha 494: 4,16\)
\(\gamma \lambda\) ки́тєроз 496：18，сf．\(\gamma \lambda\) лки́
\(\gamma \lambda\) ккибі́ঠ \(29: 10-12,472: 20,1580: 11\)
Паıоvía 493：22
\(\gamma \lambda v \pi\) тóv 496：20
\(\gamma \lambda \cup \varphi \eta_{?}\) 488：24
\(\gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha\) 493：14
\(\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma\) ќконог 493：20
\(\gamma \vee \alpha \varphi \alpha ́ \lambda ı\) ıv 482：7，502：10，905：13
\(\gamma v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon\) śs 507：15
\(\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) 503：4，17，504：5，cf．\(\gamma v \omega \mu \kappa\) ќv
\(\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta\) 504：3
\(\gamma \nu \omega \mu\) ко́v 465：19？，500：2，cf．\(\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\)
\(\gamma v \omega ́ \mu \omega v\) 184：14，468：10，503：10，905：25
\(\gamma \nu \tilde{\sigma}\) ィऽ 484：25，503：16
\(\gamma \nu \omega \sigma\) тıко́s 493：19，503：22
\(\gamma v \omega \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ 504: 2\)
\(\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu\) 503：25
\(\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon\) 504：1
боүүט́גŋ 468：7
үоүүט́入ıs 468：7，871：6
үоүүv́бovo兀 460：3
үо́ \(\mu\) рюс̧ 469：14
үóvaбı 431：8
үоvŋ́ 468：19－20
үóvos 485：20

रóvo 468:9
रраıко́с? 32:17
\(\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha\) 512:5, 518:26, 567:3, 1207:14?
үраццагєи́ 512:13
\(\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota к \mathfrak{~ 5 1 2 : 3 , ~} 8\)
\(\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau\) то́v 1968:1
\(\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tau о \nu ~ 517: 11,518: 18\) ? \(\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tau \alpha ~ 517: 8\)
үраювíסıоv? 517:6
\(\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta^{2} 512: 4\)
\(\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau^{\tau} \omega \sigma \alpha \nu\) 512:14
Грпүо́рıоя 518:19
үү \(\mu \nu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu ~ 612: 16\)
үข \(\nu \vee \alpha ́ \sigma 1 \alpha ~ 465: 21 ~\)
\(\gamma \nu \mu v \alpha ́ \sigma \iota v\) 388:25, 465:23, 466:14, 500:1
\(\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \varepsilon \operatorname{vos} 612: 16\)
\(\gamma\) риขабтєќ 503:20
\(\gamma\) ט́p \(\alpha\) Oоs 473:24?
үи́pıv 474:9
خं үúpı̧ 111:23, 198:24
रण́чоऽ 459:9, 471:24
\(\gamma \omega\) ví \(469: 2-3\), \(\gamma \omega\) vías 468:22
\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon і ̃ \alpha\) 469:2-3
òpө́́ 469:2-3


\section*{\(\Delta\)}

סádíov 525:14
бацо...(?) 561:17
ба́́ \(\mu \omega v\) 525:21
бо́крих 303:4
ба́крvos ( (д́ккри) 528:12

ба́ктидоı т \(\rho \varepsilon і ̃ \varsigma ~ 528: 7 ~\)
бо́ктьдоэ 528:10
бо́ \(\mu \alpha \lambda \varsigma\) 526:17, \(692: 14\) (- \(\varepsilon \omega \varsigma)\)
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غ̇ \(\mu \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha\) ¢̆v 1062：28？
с̌ \(\mu \lambda \varepsilon \psi ч \varsigma ~ 132: 1-2\)
с́ \(\beta\) роооэ 182：11
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غ́ムлєбغі̃т \(\alpha\) ？639：18
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غ́ \(\mu \varphi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon 1 ~ 639: 25\)
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ء́ \(\varphi \varphi \omega \mu \alpha\) 189：23，190：22？，1104：20，1643：22
\(\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \nu \varepsilon v ̃ \mu \alpha\) 235：17
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غ̇vтє入દ́ \(\chi \varepsilon 1 \alpha ~ 123: 3,204: 2,205: 7\)
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\(\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \alpha\) ĩoऽ \(931: 15\)
\(\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega ́ \pi о \cup \varsigma ~ 931: 18\)
\(\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu\) óv 931:24, 932:9
\(\lambda \alpha ́ \delta \alpha v o v ~ 409: 15, ~ 932: 14, ~ 943: 9 ~\)
\(\lambda \alpha \theta\) рі́ц 933:9, 936:5
\(\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \cup \rho о \varsigma ~ 936: 10\)
\(\lambda \alpha\) ко́ऽ 969:1
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha ~ 967: 6, ~ 969: 3\)

\(\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon 1\) 933:26
\(\lambda \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha ~ 974: 3\)
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~(-\alpha ́ \delta \alpha) ~ 976: 5 ~\)
кขv \(\downarrow ข\) Өрю́лоч 954:21, 2008:8
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \eta ́ \delta \omega v\) 934:3
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho\) о́ \(\alpha \alpha \tau\) оऽ 934:12
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ 934: 14\)
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \tau \eta ์ \rho 422: 8\) ?, 976:4
\(\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \omega v 934: 1\)
\(\lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha ́ v \eta ~ 934: 19\)
\(\lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \tau \eta ́ \rho ı\) о⿱ 933:24
Лаобíкєıа 932:24, 943:8, 949:11
\(\lambda \alpha\) ós 933:1, 954:11
\(\lambda \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \theta\) ov 935:13
\(\lambda \alpha ́ \rho v \gamma \xi(-v \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma) 518: 8,935: 21,965: 10\)
^аṽбоऽ 954:12?
\(\pi \rho \alpha 1 \pi\) о́бıтоऽ 954:13
\(\lambda \alpha ́ \chi \alpha v \alpha\) 933:21
\(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta \varsigma ~ 944: 3\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon 944: 6\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \omega ́ v\) 941：13
\(\lambda\) ह́үouđı 944：8
（ \(\lambda \varepsilon 1\) ）\(\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho i ́ \alpha ~ 205: 21\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon \mu \dot{\operatorname{vov}}\) 967：3

\(\lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \tau \rho 1 \beta \eta \eta^{\sigma} \varepsilon 1\) 943：20
\(\lambda \varepsilon і ̃ \pi о \nu ~ 968: 24\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon\) ıлирі́а 968：6
\(\lambda\) عípıvov \(\mu\) и́ \(\rho\) ov 174：2
\(\lambda \varepsilon \iota \rho\) о́ \(\mu\) рооч 174：2

 غ̇лì．．．）
\(\lambda \varepsilon \chi \chi \tilde{\eta} v \varepsilon \varsigma\) 965：21（ï \(\pi \pi \omega v), 965: 24,966: 6\)

\(\lambda \varepsilon 1 \tilde{~ 943: 23 ~}\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ́ v \eta ~ 969: 2, ~ 981: 11 ~\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon к т\) ќкот 935：18，946：5，980：8
\(\lambda \varepsilon \xi\) ıкóv 945：20，973：6
\(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi 1 \varsigma ~ 945: 17, ~ 973: 1,1610: 19\)
\(\lambda \varepsilon \circ \vee \tau \circ \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \lambda\) о人 \(783: 5\)（ \([\lambda \varepsilon \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́] \tau \alpha \lambda \circ v\) ），944：16，1463：19
\(\lambda\) воvтото́ \(\delta\) ıо⿱ 944：16－17，963：1
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＾єóvтıos 932：25
\(\lambda \varepsilon \pi i ́ \delta\) ıov 946：3，960：13，968：12
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\(\lambda \varepsilon \pi\) í 945：26，968：26
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\(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha\) 945:27
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\(\lambda\) дико́ïov 945:4
\(\lambda \varepsilon v ́ \kappa \omega \mu \alpha\) 944:20
\(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega v\) 932:21, 934:22
\(\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \alpha \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma ~ 969: 18\)
\(\lambda \eta \mu v i ́ \alpha\)
\(\gamma \tilde{\eta} 966: 15,966: 19\) ?
\(\gamma \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \lambda \eta \mu v i ́ \alpha ~ \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma i ́ \varsigma ~ 967: 7\)
б甲роүі́ц 787:14, 934:24, 966:15, 20, 975:9, 1092:1, 1108:20
\(\lambda \tilde{\eta} \rho \circ \varsigma\) 935:23
\(\lambda \eta ́ \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1\) 968:15
\(\lambda i \beta \alpha\) 963:14
\(\lambda i ß \alpha v o \varsigma ~ 963: 12, ~ 17, ~ 22, ~ 964: 18 ~\)
\(\lambda_{1} \beta \alpha v \omega \tau i ́ \varsigma ~ 964: 19, ~ 967: 12,1698: 6\)

^ıßєгтívตv 576:8, 947:1
人ıßи́ๆ 938:4, 939:7, 946:21, 963:13
\(\lambda_{1}\) ßóvotos 963:25
\(\lambda\) дүvv́s 965:5
\(\lambda\) ıүvбтıко́v 947:3, 964:5, 965:3, 973:7

\(\lambda_{1}\) Өоко́ \(\lambda \lambda \alpha\) 972:1
\(\lambda i \theta\) оя 946:6, 969:12
\(\alpha i \mu \alpha \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma\) 970:8, 18, cf. \(\alpha i \mu \alpha \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma(\lambda i \theta o \varsigma)\)
д̀ \(\lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ 971: 7\)


\(\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau i \tau \eta \zeta\) 971:1, cf. \(\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma(\lambda i \theta\) os \()\)
\(\gamma \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ 970: 22 ~\)
\(\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \eta \varsigma ~ 972: 8\), cf. \(\gamma \varepsilon ळ ́ \delta \eta \varsigma ~(\lambda i \theta o \varsigma)\)
өракі́аৎ 970:24
\(\theta\) puítns 971:9
ió \(\sigma \pi 1 \varsigma ~ 971: 23\), cf. íá \(\sigma \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma\)
iovסаїко́s 971:11, cf. ỉovסaïкós ( \(\lambda i \theta\) os)
\(\mu \alpha \gamma v \tilde{\tau \iota \varsigma} 970: 26\), cf. \(\mu \alpha \gamma v \tilde{\tau} \tau 1 \varsigma\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma\) 971:3, cf. \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma(\lambda i \theta o \varsigma)\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi\) і́тпऽ 971:18



ỏ甲ítทs 971:25

бо́ \(\mu\) ıо̧ 972:10
ба́лүєıоз 971:16

б \(\mu\) र́pıৎ 972:6
бтоүүі́тทऽ 971:27
\(\sigma \chi 1 \sigma\) тós 970:20

\(\lambda_{1}\) Ó́ \(\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \mu\) о⿱ 970:10
\(\lambda i ́ \mu \eta \nu\) 966:18
\(\lambda_{1 \mu} \boldsymbol{\imath} v 966: 17,974: 12\)
\(\lambda \mu \eta\) то́ 967:16
\(\lambda \mu \nu \eta ̃ \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ 438: 16, ~ 966: 22 ~\)
\(\lambda i ́ \mu о \varsigma ~ 966: 13\)
\(\lambda\) ıvópıov 967:23
\(\lambda\) ıvó \(\omega \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ 964: 15, ~ 967: 17\)
\(\lambda\) ıvoтоıós 967:24
\(\lambda\) ıvóблє \(\mu\) ноv 967:21, 25, 1244:20
\(\lambda\) лıаро́ 968:5
\(\lambda_{1 \pi \text { ирі́ая 968:6 }}\)
\(\lambda_{\imath \tau \alpha v \varepsilon i ́ \alpha ~ 951: 5, ~ 965: 12 ~}^{\text {1 }}\)

\(\lambda i \tau \rho \alpha\) 965:17
\(\lambda i ́ \tau \rho o v\) (vít \(\rho \circ\) v) 180:18
\(\lambda\) í 940:17, 963:14, 963:15, 968:16, 18, 979:10
\(\lambda o ́ \beta 1 \alpha\) 946:24
\(\lambda\) дүópıov (-ı \(\alpha\) ) 948:7
\(\lambda\) оүа́s (-ádoc) 947:5


\(\lambda o ́ \gamma 1 \alpha\) ( \(\tau \grave{\alpha}\) ) 947:8, 948:10
лоүі́атроз 947:6
лоүєки́ 564:12, 947:13, 15
入оүıкоі́ 947:22, 948:3
\(\lambda\) оүıко́s 947:20
\(\lambda\) óvıos 947:24?
\(\lambda\) оүıо́татоऽ 947:19
\(\lambda\) оүі́бєєа1 947:23
\(\lambda\) дүıб \(\mu\) о́ 947:11
\(\lambda\) ó os 947:9, 948:10, 947:21 ( \(\lambda\) ó \(\mathbf{\gamma o v}\) )
\(\lambda o ́ \gamma \chi \eta\) 954:9
\(\lambda\) оүді̃兀ı 953:6 (غ่єє́ \(\rho \alpha\) ), 954:2
\(\lambda\) дйо́я 953:24
\(\lambda\) дию́о́ๆऽ 953:25
\(\lambda\) оvбópıo 949:2
\(\lambda\) оикаvөŋ́боцаı 944:1

Коика̃ऽ 957：14
\(\lambda\) дv́бの 946：10
\(\lambda\) охєvoн
\(\lambda\) र́к人ıva（－\(\alpha \mathrm{l}\) ）956：6？
\(\lambda 兀 к \alpha ́ v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma ~ 956: 24,1809: 5\)
\(\lambda\) и́кєıov 958：16
\(\lambda\) и́квıo̧（vóбоৎ）956：24
\(\lambda\) ќкıov 956：11，957：4，958：15
\(\lambda\) икокто́vоя 232：18，956：15
\(\lambda\) и́коз 958：21
до́кочıऽ 210：22－23，956：9，958：22
\(\lambda\) диаıvó \(\mu \varepsilon\) vos 946：8
\(\lambda\) и́ \(\alpha\) 959：1
\(\lambda\) диıбтŋ́s 959：2
＾vбаvías 954：23
＾vбías 955：1
\(\lambda v \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \chi ı v ~ 954: 17, ~ 954: 21 ~\)
\(\lambda \nu \sigma \sigma \eta \tau \eta ́ \varsigma ~ 954: 25\) ？
\(\lambda\) ขбба̃ข \(\tau \circ \varsigma\) ？226：6
＾v́бтра 954：27
\(\lambda 兀 \tau \rho \dot{\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ 965: 8 ~}\)
\(\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \tau \mathfrak{\varsigma}\) 965：9
\(\lambda u \chi v i ́ \delta 1 o v ~ 953: 1\)
\(\lambda u \chi\) vís 952：23，953：18
à \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho}\) ía 952：24
\(\lambda\) úzvos 965：7，966：4
\(\lambda ט ́ \omega\) ？64：25
\(\lambda \tilde{\omega}\) оऽ 946：11
\(\lambda \omega \rho о ́ т о \mu о \varsigma ~ 969: 5\)
\(\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma 954: 25\) ？
\(\lambda \omega ́ \tau \alpha \xi 950: 14\)
\(\lambda \omega \tau \alpha ́ \rho ı \alpha\) 950:15
\(\lambda \omega \tau\) ¢́ 950:22, 954:20
ö \(\gamma\) рıо \(950: 17\)
ทॅиєроц 950:19
ó év Aiүv́nt¢ 950:9
тò \(\delta \varepsilon ́ v \delta \rho o v\) 950:15

M
\(\mu \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \varsigma ~(-\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha)\) 985:15
\(\mu \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \alpha v o v\) 989:18, 1005:19

\(\mu \alpha \gamma ı \tau \rho ı \alpha v o ́ s ~ 1002: 13,1007: 5\) ( \(\mu \alpha \gamma ı \tau \tau 1 \alpha v o i ́)\)
\(\mu \alpha ́ \gamma ı \tau \tau \rho о \varsigma ~ 985: 9,1002: 13\)
\(\mu \alpha \gamma v \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma\) 297:3-4, 660:17, 863:6, 1006:15, cf. \(\lambda i \theta\) os ( \(\mu \alpha \gamma v \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma)\)
но́үос 1000:28

\(\mu \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon \lambda \kappa о v\) 986:11
\(\mu \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \theta\) 1023:9, 1029:17
\(\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) 995:5
\(\mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \mathfrak{\prime}(-\widetilde{\omega} v) 1194: 8\), cf. \(\mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \kappa\) ко́
\(\mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau ⿺ к\) ќ́ 995:3
\(\mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota к о ́ v ~ 995: 4, ~ 7, ~ 1181: 5, ~ 1185: 21, ~ 1194: 9, ~ c f . ~ \mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau є к ŋ ́ ~\)
ualvís 1023:20
Макарі́а 1148:24
Мако́рıо̧ 992:8, 1143:3, 1148:18
наквбоскко́v 992:2
но́кє \(\lambda \lambda\) оv 993:4, 1146:14
но́кєр 992:12, 18

\(\mu \propto \kappa \rho о ́ \theta \varepsilon v ~ 992: 6\)
нккроөөці́́ 1148:4, 9

накро́ \(\theta\) оноз 992:14, 1148:6
\(\mu \alpha к \rho о \tau \rho \alpha ́ \chi \eta \lambda о \varsigma ~ 1148: 7\)
\(\mu \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \theta \rho \circ v 1087: 15\)
\(\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha\) 1088:1
\(\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta ~ 1021: 13,1032: 1\)
\(\mu \alpha ́ \lambda_{1 \sigma \tau \alpha} 1093: 7\)
\(\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda\) ov 988:1, 1089:15
\(\mu \alpha v \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda 10 v 1103: 26\)
\(\mu \alpha ́ v \delta \rho \alpha\) 990:10
\(\mu \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \gamma\) о́ \(\alpha\) ¢ 933:7, 990:12, 1068:11, 1104:5
بavía 989:15
\(\mu \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \gamma v \alpha 1150: 24\)
\(\mu \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \theta \rho o v ~ 993: 10,1164: 9\)
\(\mu \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \mu\) о́ 993:7, 19
\(\varphi \theta \imath v\) б́ \(\eta \varsigma\) 993:20
Ма́pүоऽ 1149:17
Маркі́шv 1162:1
Ма́ркоз 1161:26
\(\mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha\) рíтпऽ 1689:3
на́ \(\rho \mu \alpha \rho о\) 1159:11
ни́pov 994:11
\(\mu \alpha \rho \sigma\) и́ \(1 \circ\) 人 1159:24
на́ртор (-о̧) 798:16
\(\mu \alpha \rho \tau\) рі́а 993:14, 1155:5
Марторо́тодıऽ 994:2

\(\mu \alpha \sigma\) Өós 991:10
\(\mu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau ı \xi(-\imath \gamma \varsigma)\) 991:13
\(\mu \alpha \sigma \tau i ́ \chi \eta\) 990:26
\(\mu \alpha \sigma \tau i ́ x ı v o v ~ 991: 3\)
\(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha 10 ́ \tau \eta \varsigma\) 987:11
\(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \div \grave{\prime} \tau \omega \nu\) 987:19, 1060:17
\(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ 987: 13\)
Маv́б 1 доऽ 1037:11
\(\mu \alpha \chi \alpha\) цǿviov 866:1
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon\) 1017:8
\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ o v ~ 187: 12-14\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta\) 1017:18

\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \circ \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma 1068: 3\) ?
\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \rho \rho \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu\) 1017:23
\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \sigma ט ́ v \eta\) 1004:6
\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha 1018: 1\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda\) о́vo \(\mu \varepsilon v\) 1018:5
\(\mu \varepsilon \theta\) обıко́s 1025:6
МєӨó 1 ıऽ 1186:16

\(\mu \varepsilon \theta\) v́бкшv 1025:9
\(\mu \varepsilon \theta \dot{\omega} \omega v\) 1025:2
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda i ́ \alpha\) 990:14, 1021:15, 20, 1085:3
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \circ \lambda_{1 \kappa \alpha} 1017: 10,1021: 16\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi\) о́бıov 1073:13
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \varphi \cup \lambda \lambda о\) о 271:11-17
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu 1022: 6,1073: 17\)
\(\sigma \pi\) ó\&ıov 1071:1, cf. \(\sigma \pi\) óסıov
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ v \theta\) lov 1023:3, 1071:13
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \eta \rho i ́ \alpha ~ 1022: 4\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \tau \eta ~ 988: 7,1022: 7\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota 1022: 11\)
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda ı ~ 1023: 7,1070: 21\) (-ıTO؟), 1072:12
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \alpha ~ 1021: 22,1023: 4,1073: 15\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı к п \rho i ́ \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ 1022: 20\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı к \eta \rho i ́ s ~ 1072: 15\) ？
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda\) íк \(\rho \alpha \tau\) о⿱ 1022：13，1091：27，1093：9
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda i \lambda \omega \tau\) оऽ 1022：2，1071：3
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \mu \eta \lambda \alpha 1073: 7\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda i ́ v \eta\) 1473：3
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda ı \sigma \sigma \alpha\) 1022：1
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \sigma o ́ \varphi \cup \lambda \lambda\) ov 1022：18，1072：25，1093：11
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda i \tau \varepsilon 1 \alpha\) 1070：15？
Мє入ítๆ 1070：24
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma\)（ \(\lambda i \theta \mathrm{os}\) ）865：10，1072：16，cf．\(\lambda i \theta \mathrm{o} \varsigma\)（ \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \tau \tau i \tau \eta \varsigma)\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \tau \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) 1022：3
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda o \varsigma(-\varepsilon \alpha) 1091: 17\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \omega \tau \eta(-\eta ์ v) 1070: 25\)
\(\mu \varepsilon v \delta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma\) เov 1023：17
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \iota ~ 1023: 16\)
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \rho \mu \nu \alpha \alpha 1024: 14\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \rho ц \nu \eta ́ \sigma \omega 1024: 23\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \rho i ́ \varsigma ~ 1025: 1,1025: 8\)（－íסoऽ）
\(\mu \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \tau \kappa \eta ́(\tau \varepsilon ́ \chi \vee \eta)\) 1077：11
нєродоүía 1040：1
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \rho о \varsigma ~ 993: 17\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \tau\) ќpıov 669：3，1024：3
\(\mu \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \ddot{\kappa \alpha i ́ ~(~} \varphi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \varepsilon \varsigma)\) 991：1，1024：1，cf．\(\mu \varepsilon \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \alpha ı \sigma\)

\(\mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta\) рí 1024：5，1075：20
\(\mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho ı v o ́ \varsigma ~ 1113: 1\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \sigma о\) о́р чира 990：19
нє́бov 1023：23
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \pi \downarrow \lambda \alpha 1023: 24\)
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \pi і \lambda\) о～991：8
Mعбб⿱㇒́as 991：5
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀\) тoṽ 1019:7
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ 252: 7,581: 4,987: 17,1066: 7,1689: 5\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha \dot{\alpha} 1018: 13\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda\) ıкоі́ 987:16
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda\) ov 1063:1, 1091:5
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ 1018: 23 ? ~\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau i \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı 1019: 1\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ \varphi \rho \varepsilon v \alpha 1019: 8\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta^{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}\) 1019:12
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega ́ \rho ı \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ 1019: 16 ? ?\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho ⿺ \sigma \mu\) о́ 1019:13
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho ı \sigma \mu o ́ \varsigma ~ 1019: 16 ? ?\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho о \lambda о \gamma i ́ \alpha ~ 1019: 18\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho о \lambda о \gamma\) ккю́v 91:4
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega \rho о \varsigma\) (-סотós) 1203:1
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\rho} \rho \alpha \varsigma 1019: 10\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau о ́ \chi \eta ~ 1018: 16\)
\(\mu\) є́тохоऽ 1019:14
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha\) 987:15, 1069:24
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ غ ̇ \lambda \alpha i ́ o v ~ 1019: 6 ? ~\)
\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau ŋ ́ \varsigma ~ 1019: 3,1203: 12,1868: 24\)
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho o v 1053: 9\) ?
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\(\tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda ı v o v(\mu v ́ \rho o v) ~ 803: 4, ~ c f . ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \lambda ı \varsigma\)
\(\tau \tilde{\lambda 1}\) с 789：18？，803：5，1571：1，1800：6
тпр \(\tau\) тки́ 1242：20

Tí \(\gamma \rho ı\) ィ 801：26
\(\tau \imath \theta \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda\) оऽ 805：18，806：19，810：20，2051：14（ \(\tau \imath \theta \mu \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega v)\)
Тıцаíov B \(\alpha \rho \tau\) и́ \(\alpha\) ıо̧ 803：26
тí \(\eta\) 803：17
ті́ \(\eta\) бъऽ 811：16
тípıov？248：25
Tıиó \(\theta\) соऽ 803：13
\(\tau \iota \mu \rho i ́ \alpha\) 480：28，803：19
\(\tau \not \mu \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ 803: 16\)
Tıтóv 802：19
тítavos 800：11，802：6，812：16
兀ı兀í̧ 789：3，802：15
тí \(\tau\) об \(800: 17,802: 17\)
Títos 800：9
то́коऽ 797：5
то́ \(\mu\) оऽ 794：24
тóvos 795：4
то \({ }^{\alpha} \alpha ́ \rho ı v\) т \(793: 22\)
то \(๕\) єv́тทऽ 793：20
тоо \(\mu \alpha\) ĩov 799：10，cf．\(\tau \rho о \pi \alpha\) ĩov
толıка́ 796：14
толıкท́ 796：13，1806：17
толıко́ऽ 796：16
兀о́лоऽ 795：26
толотŋрŋтท́s 57：4，830：11
тор \(\dot{\text { v́ }}\) ıov 791：16
то́ \(\rho\) voऽ 464：9，798：23，822：23
\(\tau \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \alpha\) 783：7，818：5，819：21，820：15
（ко́ \(\mu \mu\) ？） \(821: 1\)
\(\tau \rho \alpha ́ \gamma ı \mathrm{lov} 818: 15,820: 18,821: 2\)
\(\alpha \not \lambda \lambda о\) 818：16

траүıбко́s 818：10
траүотө́ \(\boldsymbol{\omega}\) 819：17
траүорі́ \(\alpha \vee о\) оя 819：15，819：23
тро́ ооऽ 817：24，820：29，821：2
траүо́х\＆ıлоऽ 818：13？
тр \(\alpha \gamma\) ¢ \(\delta\) í 259：10，819：2
\(\tau \rho \alpha \gamma \varphi \delta\) о́ 819：10，820：20
\(\tau \rho \alpha \gamma\) ¢о́я 798：8
Tpaıovós 827：7
тра́ктато⿱ \(831: 22\)
тра́лє弓а 825：27，831：11
тралєЦі́тทऽ 830：12
тра́хๆ入ос 829：7
трахण́тๆร 819：1
\(\tau \rho \alpha \not \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha\) 818：18，819：13
\(\tau \rho \alpha \chi \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa\) о̀v（код入ои́pıov）828：27
T \(\rho \alpha \chi \tilde{\rho} v \alpha\) 829：1，cf．T \(\rho \alpha \chi \omega v i ̃ \tau ı \varsigma ~\)
Т \(\rho \alpha \chi \omega v i ̃ \tau \iota ~ 818: 8, ~ c f . ~ Т \rho \alpha \chi \tilde{\omega} v \alpha\)
трєĩ̧ 828：5
трıкко́бıа 826：24
трıа̃ऽ 784：7？，830：7
трíßодо̧ 824：8？，825：14，21，826：22
т \(\rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \lambda \alpha\) 825：16
т \(\boldsymbol{\prime}\)＇\(\omega\) ตvov 825：18

трíعvoc 826：13？
трı́бл \(\varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma\) 825：23
трі́клıvov 832：11
трці́бьо 824：29，829：14
трıóßoдov 823：1，824：27
\(\tau \rho\) о́¢ \(\varphi \alpha \lambda \mu\) оऽ 827：25

трıлло⿱̃v (фф́р \(\mu \alpha \kappa о\) ) 827:9
Трі́лодıя 827:8

т \(\uparrow є \kappa \alpha i ́ \delta \varepsilon к \alpha ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о ́ \sigma \tau о \lambda\) оı 827:12
\(\tau \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta \varsigma ~ 827: 16 ~(\tau \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma v ?) ~\)
\(\tau \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma\) 827:28, cf. Eৎ \(\mu \tilde{\eta} \varsigma\) T \(\rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma\)
трıбои́бıоऽ 827:11
трөбта́тๆऽ 502:21, 827:14, 828:23

трıтои́бıo̧? 827:22, cf. \(\tau \rho ı \sigma о\) б́бıऽ
трі́甲иддоv 826:7, 16, 828:9
трíq \(\alpha\) סı \(\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha\) тó 824:11, 826:26
трхх́́бıя 821:24, 822:1, 827:4
трфонаvє́s 826:1, 828:16, 19
трıхо́s ( \(\theta \rho \dot{\text { í' }}\) ) 795:3
\(\tau \rho о \pi \alpha i ̃ o v ~ 823: 6\), cf. тоо \(\mu\) кiov
тротŋ́ 823:29
тротıко́с 822:24
тюои̃ \(\lambda \lambda \alpha\) 794:19, 799:17, 808:6, 821:18
тюо́ч \(702: 2,822: 19,824: 6\)
Тро́чцоз 822:27
трохі́бкои 829:2

триүต́v 824:3
три́ \(823: 3,7\)
Tрша́s 824:5?


трю́छцца 204:25, 829:10, 1453:3
\(\tau \rho \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\) 822:4
трю́ \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) 822:5

тט́ 0 or 793：26
ти́ \(\lambda \omega \sigma\) 〒 793：24
то \(\pi\) лаvías 795：6， 23
ти́лоя 795：16，21，805：20，813：6
тט́ \(\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma\) ¢！546：15
тט́pavvos 798：10，822：9
tupós 797：7
тúழ 796：7
тич \(\lambda\) ós 805：14
тичஸ́ठŋऽ 805：16
Тиро́v 816：11
тט९ \(\omega\) vıкòs \(\varepsilon\) ủpoк \(\lambda u ́ \delta \omega v\) 795：18
Tuұıко́s 793：19

\section*{Y}
vóкıvӨos 48：22
ט́a入દ́人 58：25
ט் \(\alpha\) доєı \(\delta\) ท́s 170：22，615：6，2074：9
ט́ßрі̧́\＆ıv？64：13


ט̛زıєıvós 51：8

ט̛үрокод入ои́рıк 51：11－12
úy роко \(\lambda \lambda\) ои́pıov 51：14
v̇ठatís 113：1
ช̋ \(\delta \alpha \tau\) тоऽ 112：9－10
v̈ \(\delta\) عоо丂 612：20
v̋ vo \(33: 23,621: 22\)
v̌סvov 54：6，664：4


ข̋ \(\rho \propto \cup \nu \lambda \longleftarrow\) ¢ 607:25, 609:25, 657:6
v́ \(\rho \rho \eta \lambda\) òv oí \(\delta \eta \mu \alpha\) 52:21

v́ठо́́yароv 54:10
v́дроки́ \(\lambda \eta\) ? 610:9
ט่брокє́ч \(\alpha \lambda\) о० 80:18-19, 85:8, 610:12

v́ठ оо́ \(\mu \eta \lambda\) оv 42:14, 54:17
v́ \(\delta \rho\) о́ \(\mu\) vроv? 610:3, 6
ט่бролє́лєрı 54:24, 55:1
v́ठроро́батоv 42:8, 1889:1, cf. v́ \(\delta \rho o ́ \sigma \alpha \tau о v ~\)
v́ठןóбатоv 609:22, cf. v́ \(\delta \rho \circ \rho o ́ \sigma \alpha \tau о v\)
v́брохо́оৎ 117:7, 572:22
v́ठрютıко́s 610:10
ข̋ \(\delta \omega \rho\) 52:15, 112:9-10
viós 48:8, 118:13, 145:10-11, 202:16

v̌ \(\lambda \eta\) 615:1, \(5(-\alpha \varsigma), 10,624: 22,625: 5,6\)
ข́цп̃v 118:1
viooкvá \(\mu\) vov ( \(̀ \lambda \alpha ı v\) ) 47:24, cf. 47:22
и́обки́ \(\mu\) оц 47:22, 26, 78:9, 617:5
\(\pi\) тои̃ \(\dot{\text { ̇́ }} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ 1499: 12\)
ขัл \(\alpha \rho \xi 1 \varsigma ~ 84: 20, ~ 617: 6\)
v̇лархі́а 620:1, 5
ช̋ларұоऽ 620:2
ن்латєía 259:1?, 619:13, 646:13


\(\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ́ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon 118: 23\)
ن́л \(\grave{\lambda} \alpha \beta\) ov 118:26, 119:13

ט்л \(\varepsilon \beta\) ßодท́ 620：4
ט்лєр \(\theta \varepsilon \tau\) тко́v 121：7
ข̇лєраблıஎтท่ร 118：27


и̇лєрๆ甲аvía 143：23
ช̇тєрıко́v 79：1，84：5，617：8，1631：12
ข்лєрпча́vєıа 119：7，143：23
ט่л \(\varepsilon \rho \emptyset \varphi \alpha \vee \varepsilon ะ ข ~ 119: 5 ~\)
ט่лع \(\rho \circ \rho \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ 119: 9\)
ט́лєのต̣ov 119：11
ט́ти́кооv 83：6，1558：12？
ט́лŋ́v \(\varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha\) 119：17

ช̋ \(\pi \nu \omega \sigma \alpha\) 119：20

ธ́ло \(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \tau\) т́ठєऽ 81：25

v́то́ \(\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \sigma \sigma\) оv 80：27，83：28
ט́лоүрафŋ́ 79：12，142：24，617：26
ธ́лоүра́рıov 142：24
и́лоүрара̃v 142：24
ט́ло́ঠŋ \(\mu \alpha\) 119：22

ט்лоби́тєऽ 80：24

v̀兀ò દ̀ \(\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \omega v \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha\) ？79：21
ט̇лò દ̇ \(\pi \downarrow \chi \varepsilon i ́ \rho \omega v ? ~ 79: 23\)
บ்ாо́ \(\theta \varepsilon \sigma\) เя 82：8，85：3，618：7，621：2
ט́то́Өॄбıऽ？80：20，85：8

ข̇тоөп̃каı 80：10
ท்токв́́ \(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon v о \nu ~ 8 0 : 9 , ~ 6 1 8 : 2 0 , ~ 6 2 0 : 9 , ~ 6 5 2 : 1 7 ~}\)
モ̇v ט̇токє \(\mu\) と́v＠201：9
ט்токıбті́ऽ 84：17，23，618：23
ข̇токорıбтıко́v 121：9
v̇ло́крıбاৎ 142：18？，252：19



ข̇ло́дขтоц 85：6，145：4
і́то \(\boldsymbol{v \grave { \mu } \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \text { 80：22，618：10，620：18，621：14，645：16 }}\)

ن́лоноvŋ́ 120：1

ஸ́ло́тvov 81：12，144：17
ข́ло́бтабъऽ 120：7－9
ن̇тобтатıко́я 619：22， 25

ข́лобтทбо́ \(\mu\) ย \(\alpha\) 120：11
ข̇ло́бү \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha\) 79：3，254：12
і́ло́табıऽ 85：4，142：14
ט́лò v́ \(\pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega v ~ 79: 29 ?\)
טंто甲ף́тпऽ 80：3？

ธ்тоұо́vסрıov 81：18－19，141：9，233：10，254：6，1160：27
ט́то́ \(\chi \mu \alpha\) 81：21－23，81：27，255：13，257：23
і́тохण́ \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) 80：15，81：21，27，82：28
ن́ \(\pi \omega \theta\) вí̧ 64：3？
ט் \(\pi \dot{\pi} \pi \boldsymbol{1}\) 81：12，81：14，255：2，639：6，640：1


ט̇ \(\pi \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha\) 120：13
＇Yркаvía 94：20
v̋ \(\sigma \sigma \pi\) оऽ 78：3，234：18？
ט்бтєคウ́бєє 120：16
ט̃६ 120：15


v́qๆ \(\lambda\) 人ós 120：18
＇Y \(\psi\) ıбти́pıoı 85：5，618：16
v̋భוஎтоৎ 120：20，141：22，262：23
ט̋чоऽ 120：22，202：18
ขँ \(\omega \mu \alpha\) 141：20，144：3
ช́ \(\varphi \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon\) 121：1－3
ธ \(\psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega\) 121：1：3


\section*{\(\Phi\)}

甲व́ү \(\alpha\) vov 909：1
甲 \(\alpha \gamma\) ह́ס \(\alpha ı v \alpha\) 1471：20
甲 व́үєтаı 1471：18
фо́үонаı 1471：19
фо́ \(\gamma \rho о\) о̧ 1471：16
\(\pi о \tilde{~} \varphi \alpha ́ \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v\) 1542：25？
чає́ \(\theta \omega v\) 1472：12
甲ако́s（－oí）1479：7，12，1521：9
ó غ̇ \(\pi \grave{\imath} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v\) 1479：12
Факои́бๆ 1479：16？
фа́ \(\lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma ~ 1475: 24\)
甲ад \(\alpha\) \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\jmath}\) оv 957：9，1475：15，1629：1
甲 \(\alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma i \tau \eta \varsigma ~ 689: 11,1475: 20\)
甲 \(\alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma\) ítıo 957：9，1475：19

甲адакро́s 1636：16
甲адарís 1475：21
甲 а \(\lambda \lambda\) ós 1584：22？
甲ával 1576：14，cf．甲áбıs
甲avós 586：18？，1577：18
甲аvтабі́а 1476：16，1579：8， 10 （ \(\varphi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \dot{́} \alpha \varsigma), ~ 1580: 3\)
甲аро́ \(\gamma \gamma\) юо 708：11
фарє́т \(\alpha\) 1484：9
чариаквиткко́v 1482：5，1628：3
фарнкко́я 1484：11，1627：21
фа́ \(\rho v \gamma \xi(-v \gamma \gamma \alpha)\) 1614：13
фо́бүаvov 866：1
фव́бŋ入ос 1477：24
甲абıбvós 979：9，1478：17
甲 абіодоз 139：4－8
甲व́бı̧ 1477：20，1557：15，1588：17，21，cf．甲 \(\alpha\) vaı
甲о́бб人 1477：15
甲 \(\alpha \tilde{\sigma ı \varsigma ~ 1471: 11 ~}\)
фદ́ \(\gamma \gamma\) оऽ 1494：1
фعĩб人 1491：12
甲غ \(\lambda\) óvns 1545：11
甲 \(\lambda\) дóvoo 1545：11
\(\varphi \eta ์ \eta\) 6：20，1555：3
\(\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho i ́ \alpha \sigma ı \varsigma ~ 1647: 19\)
\(\varphi \theta \mathrm{w} \omega \dot{\text { ® }} \mathrm{\eta} \varsigma\) 1647：14
¢日七бוкоі́ 1648：21
甲Өíбıц 1560：14，1645：19，1647：15，1648：22，1994：14
甲日óๆ 1645：19
甲Өора́ 269：15
甲เó \(\lambda \eta\) 241：2，1007：20，1544：1，7，1547：3， 15 （－\(\uparrow v)\)
\(\varphi i ́ \beta \lambda \alpha 1540: 9,1560: 9\)
\(\varphi \uparrow \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \alpha \theta\) oç 1547：11
Фі \(\lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho\) юо̧ 1544：16
甲ı \(\lambda \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varphi\) 七оv 1545：6，1566：9
\(\varphi \backslash \lambda \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma 1544: 20,1545: 2\)
甲і \(\alpha \lambda \eta ́ \theta \eta \varsigma\) 1545：19
\(\varphi เ \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma ~ 272: 6,1545: 10\)
甲іларүvрía 1548：6
甲ı \(\lambda \alpha \rho \chi i ́ \alpha ~ 1546: 14\)
甲íえ \(\alpha \rho \chi\) ○ऽ 1547：4
甲1 \(\lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha\) ípıov 1511：26，1547：13
Фі́入ıллоৎ 1546：11
код \(\omega v\) ví 1546：19
\(\varphi \downarrow \lambda \lambda \cup \rho \varepsilon ́ \alpha\) 1554：3
甲1 \(\lambda\) об́́óтотоऽ 1544：15
甲ı入o

甲í \({ }^{\prime}\) oı 1546：2
غ่ \(\mu о\) и̃ 1546：2


甲іло́ктıбıऽ 1554：4

甲і \(\lambda\) о \(\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \varsigma ~ 1548: 1\)


甲 \(\lambda\) доло́ \(\tau \omega \rho\) 1544：19

甲í̃oç 1545：21
甲іло́бочоз 1548：11
甲ілобоч́́́ 1548：10
甲ıえои́ \(\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ 1567: 3 ?\)

甲ı入óхороऽ 1568：7

甲í \(\lambda \tau \alpha \tau\) о̧ 1544：22？

чцо́я 1554：12

\(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \beta о \tau о ́ \mu о \vee 315: 8,1566: 15\)（ \(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon\) ßо́то \(\mu \circ v\) ）
\(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha\) 1565：20
\(\varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu о \vee \eta ́ ~ 589: 22,1176: 14,1347: 8,1497: 12,1566: 10,1567: 13,1624: 22,1634: 7\)
甲 \(\lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi\)（－غ́ßоऽ）1564：3，1574：8
甲 \(\lambda\) оүо́ц 1568：3
 1793：14
iठaĩos 1786：18
\(\varphi \lambda o ́ \xi\) 1568：3
甲до́ктаıvа 1566：13，1568：14
甲 \(\lambda\) икті̧́ 1508：13？
фовєро́я 1499：21，1505：15
човŋӨ்́бонаи 1499：24，1505：20
чо́ßоз 1499：21，26，1505：9， 15
甲оıvı \(\gamma \mu\) о́́ 1517：10
Фотvíкү 1516：5
甲оívı \({ }^{\text {2 }}\) 234：20，1505：14，1514：24，28，1518：3，1542：8
ŋ̇ ßото́vๆ 1514：25
код \(\lambda\) ópıov 1516：3
بоиvıкítๆs oĩvos 1516：16

¢óvıo 1517：12
¢óvios 1517：12
甲óvoç 1517：12
بо́рßıov 1523：21
форєі̃о 1522：25，1523：7（форєі̃оv）

чориíov 1523：26
甲ортíov 1523：23
甲ои̃ 1499：10
чои̃ \(\operatorname{vos} 1524: 1,9\)
甲раүно́я 1603：3
甲ракто́ 1636：10，1637：13（ \(р\) ккто́ऽ）
чрарс́́סıov？521：3
甲рє́aр 1607：14
甲рعvĩтıц 1497：16，1607：3
甲 \(\rho\) عvós 1606：23
甲р́́v 1606：23
甲ро́vๆбıऽ 1518：12
¢роขтєєะ \(\mu\) ои 1610：15
甲 рúyovov 1628：12
Фриүє́я 1035：1
Фриүía 1608：14

甲риктŋ̀（ \(\rho \not \eta \tau i ́ v \eta) 1616: 4,12\)
чриктюрі́а 1616：8？， 10 ？
甲иүо́с（－व́סоৎ）1542：9
фúүع \(\theta \lambda\) оv（ \(-\lambda \alpha\) ）1500：5， 10
фи́кך 1521：22
甲и̃коऽ 1521：14， 22
\(\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma ı\) 1522：1
фидаки́ 1541：18

甲ט́ \(\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}\) 1546：1，1547：6
甲идєтıкоí 1508：11，1510：17
\(\varphi v \lambda \eta\) 1541：23，cf．\(\varphi \tilde{\lambda} \lambda \frac{}{}\)
甲טдía 1510：3
甲и \(\lambda \lambda i ̃ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ 1510: 23 ~\)
```

\varphiи́\lambda\lambdaоv 932:16, 1087:15, 1276:17, 1509:8, 1511:11, 1542:1, 1568:16, 17
\varphiṽ\lambdaov 1508:10, cf. \varphiv\lambda\eta
\varphiv́\mu\alpha\tau\alpha 1513:9
\varphiv́р\alpha\mu\alpha 261:14, 1541:6
\varphiv\sigma\alpha\lambdaí\varsigma (-í\deltao\varsigma) 237:10, 1585:20
\pi\varepsilonрі̀ \varphiv́\sigma\varepsilon\omega\varsigma 1497:25
\varphiv́\sigma\eta\sigmaו\varsigma 906:18?
\varphiибккоí 1517:24
\varphivбъоү\nuю\muо⿱וки́ 1519:1, 1557:9, 1581:20, 1588:1
Под\varepsiloń\mu@vо\varsigma 1518:23
\varphivбı\lambdaо\gamma\etã\sigma\alphaı 1517:21
\varphivбъо\lambdao\gammaí\alpha 1557:3
\varphivбюо\lambdaо\gammaוко́v 1557:4
\varphiv́\sigmaıя 468:19-20?, 1518:9, 1555:13, 1588:15
\varphiv́\tau\varepsilonо\mu\alpha 1513:28
(oivóv0\eta) \tauò \varphiv\tauóv 59:9
\varphiю́к\eta 1521:20
\varphi\tilde{¢ 1129:8, 1504:15, 1518:11, 1541:16}
\varepsiloṅк \varphi\omega\tauó\varsigma 1518:7
\varphi\tilde{\tau\alpha 1503:23, 1504:15, 1504:26}
\varphi\omega\tau\varepsilonıvoí 1503:23
\varphi\omega\tauí\sigma\omega 1504:17
\varphi\omega\tauós 1129:8
X
\chi\alphaı\rho\varepsilon\tauı\sigma\muós 1624:11
\chi\alphaд\alphá
\chi\alpha\lambda\beta\alpháv\eta 858:13, 894:15
\chi\alpha\lambdaıv\alphápıov 1786:15
\chi\alphá\lambdaк\alphav0ov 858:17, 859:7, 1099:4, 1797:9
\chiа\lambdaк\varepsilonv́\varsigma 1790:15, 1797:6

```

Х \(\alpha \lambda \kappa \eta \delta \omega ́ v\) 898：23
\(\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \eta \tau \alpha ́ \rho ı v\) 859：5，898：25，899：2，1798：2，cf．калкĩ兀ıऽ
\(\chi \alpha \lambda\) кíov 899：4
Халкі́я 899：1
\(\chi \alpha \lambda\) кі̃тıऽ 859：5，898：25，899：5，981：18
\(\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa o ́ s ~ 1792: 15 ~(-o i ́) ~\)
кєка兀иє́vоя 858：18，898：21，1381：7
\(\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \tilde{v} \alpha\) 人̈ \(\theta\) оऽ 51：11－12，859：1，899：7
\(\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa v ́ \delta \rho ı \sim\) 899：n．1，1786：1，1798：13
ханко́кгп 274：18
\(\chi \alpha \mu \alpha ı \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \vee \eta\) 859：23
\(\chi \alpha \mu \alpha ı \delta\) иїттъ oĩvos 860：6
хацаíסрия 395：25，788：18，796：12，859：15，900：13
\(\chi \propto \mu \alpha i ́ к ı \sigma б о \varsigma ~ 860: 8 ~\)
\(\chi \propto \mu \alpha 1 \lambda \varepsilon\) б́кך 860：11
\(\chi \alpha \mu \alpha ı \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu ~ 292: 5,596: 24,726: 24,860: 5, ~ 898: 10, ~ 903: 25\)（－оขтоц），904：6，904：8，1455：14， 1457：17
\(\lambda\) عико́ц 859：27
\(\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma\) 860：2－3
ханаі́ \(\eta\) лог 215：15
даналіітья 217：10－13，303：2，596：26，859：17，898：10，904：16，1162：13
\(\chi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i ́ \alpha ~ 2046: 17\)
\(\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ́\) 865：23，915：3
\(\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \rho ı\) ќ̧ 211：25－26
да́pıs 865：19
\(\chi \alpha \rho ı \tau і ́ \omega v\) 925：7，1146：16
\(\chi \alpha ́ \rho ı \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ 1037: 23 ~\)
хо́ртпई 920：2

ұо́бкаvov 909：1
\(\chi \varepsilon i ́ \lambda \eta ~ 869: 3\)
\(\chi \varepsilon \not \mu \alpha ́ \rho \rho о и я ~ 869: 4, ~ 888: 8 ~\)
\(\chi \varepsilon 1 \mu \dot{v}\) 888:9, 1394:14
ұєí 683:26, 869:19, 891:4 (-\&ऽ), 907:21 ( \(\chi \varepsilon i ́ \rho \varepsilon \varsigma) ~\)
\(\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \pi \varepsilon ́ \delta \eta ~ 869: 20, ~ 907: 19, ~ 1559: 10 ~\)
\(\chi \varepsilon ı \rho о \pi о\) і́т \(\tau \circ \varsigma\) ( \(\chi\) í \(\tau \omega v\) ) 1052:17
хвı оотоvía 891:6
хعוроирүía 890:21
дعıค́́vєıа 890:24
\(\chi \varepsilon \lambda\) ídoveऽ 869:12, 888:1
\(\chi \varepsilon \lambda\) ıóvıov 168:10?, 350:12, 869:8, 878:3, 887:21, 895:12, 897:4, 1100:12, 1785:19
\(\chi \varepsilon \lambda \omega ́ v \eta\) 156:21, 887:13
\(\chi\) писі́а 901:9
\(\chi\) ү́цๆ 859:25, 1799:7 (-ธ̃v)
\(\chi\) ұ́ \(\mu \omega \sigma\) ся 888:13
\(\chi\) ๆ́ \(\alpha(-\alpha \varsigma) 891: 1\)
\(\chi \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \varsigma 928: 12\)
хía 886:20? 868:22
\(\gamma \tilde{\eta} 868: 22\)
дıко̀v (кодגи́рıov) 886:20?
хıі́архоऽ 887:17, 1798:18
\(\chi\) длıоби́v \(\alpha \mu\) ı 1511:27
\(\chi\) д \(\lambda\) เó \(\varphi\) и \(\lambda \lambda\) ov 1509:9
\(\chi \mu \alpha \iota i ́ \varsigma ~(-i ́ \delta o \varsigma) ~ 869: 5 ~\)
\(\chi\) и́́v 887:6
\(\chi \lambda \alpha \mu\) ט́ 1 ıv 897:24, 898:17
\(\chi \lambda \alpha \mu v ́ \varsigma ~ 810: 19, ~ 898: 1 ~\)
\(\chi \lambda \alpha v i ́ \delta i o v ~ 898: 16\)
\(\chi \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ 895: 14\)
\(\chi\) дóv 896:9
\(\chi \lambda \omega\) ро́тทऽ 896:16
дови́s 879:19
\(\chi\) о̃vı \(\xi(-\kappa \circ \varsigma)\) 878:18, 879:9

ұочробо́ \(\lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho о \stackrel{909: 1}{ }\)
Хоі̃роऽ 1957:8?, cf. ũऽ хоі̃роऽ
\(\chi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ 544: 15, ~ 876: 5, ~ 877: 3\)
ходи́ 876:8, 877:14
ұо \(\alpha \iota \sigma\) ќкๆ 859:19
Хо́vסроऽ 173:14, 878:27
до́ос 879:19, 905:19
хорбаүо́я 167:25-27, 869:1, 872:6
хорои́дŋऽ 917:8
доро́s 882:13
ұо́ртоц 882:10, 882:15?
Хои̃ऽ 872:9, 879:19, 905:19
\(\chi \rho \varepsilon i ́ \alpha ~ 915: 24\) ?
хрє́os 915:10
\(\chi \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \varsigma ~ 921: 4, ~ 925: 9\)
\(\chi \rho \eta \sigma\) то́тทร 918:26, 921:6
\(\chi\) рі́бна 920:26
Х \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \tilde{~} \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ 885: 25, ~ 918: 22 ~\)
X \(\rho\) ィஎтó¢ 920:24, 924:26, 1169:9

хрıбточо́роя 919:5, 925:11
хроуіко́v 918:3, 924:4
хроvíoєıя 918:1
хро́vos 917:21, 924:1
Xрибоо́ \(\operatorname{los} 918: 16\)
\(\chi \rho v \sigma \tilde{\eta} v(\varepsilon ̌ \delta \rho \alpha v)\) 918:23?
хрибíov 920:18
хрибі̃兀ıя 918:24
хрибо́үovov 919:9
хрибоөи́кп 919:3
\(\chi \rho\) обо́кодда 918:9, 925:5, 1630:14
\(\chi\) бибоко́ \(\boldsymbol{\eta}\) 918：25，919：6
хрибода́ \(\alpha\) огоv 918：5，919：1
хриботоьо́ \(921: 8\)
\(\chi \rho v \sigma\) ó 918：15，920：14
Хрибо́எтоцоऽ 918：20
\(\chi \rho v \sigma о \tilde{v} \gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha 1\) 924：23
хида́рıо⿱ 876：3，899：8
\(\chi\) ט́лоร 553：6
रuдós 857：16，876：7，877：8，10，887：3
\(\chi\) оиоí 877：15
\(\chi\) дио́я 1565：20
\(\chi\) ф́v 878：5， 25
\(\chi\) 曰̃vоร 879：6
\(\chi\) б́раข 91：7
\(\chi\) брєлі́бколоऽ 881：17，887：1

\section*{\(\Psi\)}
\(\psi \alpha \lambda i ́ \delta o v ~ 99: 8, ~ 235: 12, ~ 237: 6 ~\)
\(\psi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ा v ~ 263: 12\)
\(\psi \alpha \lambda i \varsigma(-i \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)\) 1590：12
\(\psi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega v\) 1583：20？
\(\psi \alpha \lambda \tau\) ๆ́ \(1 \circ\) 263：16，1590：9

\(\psi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} 1583: 20\) ？
廿о́рєऽ 1583：22
廿аро̧̀ ко́ \(\pi \rho о\) о̧ 673：25， 26
廿عuסoßov́viov 1584：10

廿عvঠокаббía 1701：23－26，cf．каббí \(\alpha\)
чعvסоиа́ртиऽ 1584：16
чعиботрочף́тๆऽ 1584：21
\(\psi \varepsilon\) ঠ̃סoऽ 258：4，1584：8，1585：17

\(\psi \eta ́ v ~ 1590: 17\)
\(\psi \eta ́ \varphi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha\) 1518：26，1555：16，1556：3，1589：3
\(\psi i ́ \lambda \omega \theta \rho o v\) 86：5－7，1573：20，1587：20
\(\psi \mu\) v́ \(\theta\) ıov 265：10，1554：8，1588：12
үі́ \(1585: 12,1587: 24,1589: 5\)
భıт兀ако́ৎ 1578：15？，1580：8？，1582：11，1586：24，cf．бו兀тако́ৎ
\(\psi \chi\) ச́ऽ 1585：12，1587：24，1588：7，1589：5
廿óal 1588：3
廿о́үоৎ 1585：19
чибро́кıа 1584：17，1586：3
чи́ \(\lambda_{\text {ıоv 260 }} 265: 14,1585: 6\)
чихŋ́ 1488：12，1557：13，1588：5
\(\psi \underset{\chi}{ }\) ऽ 1488：13

\(\psi \omega \lambda \dot{\prime} 1584: 22\) ？
\(\psi \omega \mu\) íov 284：18，288：23，408：3，1541：13，1586：1
\(\psi \omega ́ \rho \alpha 1585: 9\)
\(\psi \omega \rho ı \kappa\) òv（ \(\varphi \alpha ́ \rho \mu \alpha \kappa о v) ~ 1585: 13 ~\)
\(\psi \omega \rho о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \dot{\prime} \alpha 1584: 19,1585: 10,1586: 14\)

\section*{\(\Omega\)}

ஹó 11：1？，115：26，663：4，cf．47：15，55：18
甲̣ \(\alpha\) 47：13
＠่ \(\delta \varepsilon\) тоv 53：18
\(\dot{\omega}\) ஸ́ \(\gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v\) 53：6
๓ธั̃vєऽ 53：20
ஸ̈Өŋ́бєıৎ 76：3
Ф̈кєаขо́ऽ 77：24，85：28，86：1，275：20


ض̆кцовıঠ́́ц 86:10
ӫкцоь 86:14, 667:8, cf. Ф́кíцıvоv
Ф́кібӨŋбаv 1272:3?

\(\dot{\omega} \mu \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \alpha\) 616:4



ตóv 47:15, 55:18
ต̌ \(\rho \alpha\) 622:4



๑๐єі̃а 54:3, 55:25
\(\omega\) ढ̋ \(\theta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v\) 121:15
' \(\Omega \rho 1 \gamma \varepsilon v i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha 1\) 89:6, 91:2

ஸ̣рıко́s 92:27
ஸ́робко́тоц 91:14, 299:10
ढैри\({ }^{\circ} \alpha \nu 70: 24\), cf. 53:17
ढ̋९vรॄ 53:17, cf. 70:24
Фฺрvó \(\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ 90: 10\)
ஸऽ 75:19
ஸ́б人vvá 78:15, 97:9
ตัтía 57:18, 228:17
ف̀tís 835:11, 25


追 \(\chi \rho \alpha\) 61:20
б̃хрот 59:25, 61:18
ஸ̃ \(\chi\) ооऽ 59:25, 61:18

\section*{Greek Phrases}


\(\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v\) 人v̉兀ฮ̃v 132:26
đòv oủpavòv đoṽ oủpavoṽ 2025:3, 2036:15


тò \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta} v ~ v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \cup \kappa\) кó 1607:9


\section*{Latin words}
accubitum? 272:9
Africanus 268:9
amentum 185:6?, 192:23?
ampulla 250:21, 263:10
Aprilis (menses) 261:23, 268:8
atramentum 1370:19?
axis 156:13
baculus 373:9
baptisma 1503:23
buccina 373:2, 10
buccinator 373:2
caballarius 1708:9
caeruleum 1719:14?
calidarius 1803:22
caliga 1788:7
caligarius 1788:6
Caligula 443:18
Campania 1700:9
camphora 1746:1
cancellarius 1812:12
cancelli 1812:13
canterinum (hordeum) 1580:16
carruca 1835:3
castrensianus 1816:11
castrensis 1816:13
casula 1741:23
Census 1009:2
census 1776:6
centenarium 1807:20
centuriones 806:14
Census 912:1, 1009:2
Chors 882:15
De cibis frumentariis 1362:25
Cibus? 889:1
circenses 1781:18
Circesium 1782:1
Claudia 1785:23
Claudius 1785:23
Cledonius 1788:19
Clemens 1790:8, 1794:2
creta 1847:4
culeadae? 1698:8
cultrum 1732:7?
curator 1752:10
custodia 1741:15
Dalmatia? 535:23
Decius 528:11
Domnina 544:2
Domninus 544:2
ductores? 547:4

Dumachus 543:13
dux 540:24, 541:6
edictum 114:26
emancipatus 133:1
exceptores 1129:14?
exercitus 159:14, 163:19
exilium 55:15 (preferred to exsul)
exsul 55:15, 76:8
fabrica 1471:12
februarius 1491:18
furnus 1524:1, 9
galearii 486:20, 499:9
herba rubia 621:20, 656:7, 2029:7
Hispanica 234:20?
horreum 54:3, 55:5
Hospitia 67:4
Ianuarius 110:4
Ignatius 26:26, 29:3, 30:10, 111:27
ignem 111:16
ignes 111:16
indictio 100:1, 199:10
Iorarii 1363:10
janua 555:22
Latinus 1788:18?
lectica 935:18, 946:5, 980:8
(in) lectica? 402:11
Legatum 941:7
Libellum 576:17, 937:6, 964:7
Longina 948:20
Longinus 948:4
nauta 1225:8, cf. vav́tๆs

Novatiani 1225:13
Novatus 1225:17
Marcellus 994:1
Martius 993:21?, 1621:15
Mauricia 1040:20?
Mauricius 1040:13
melissa 1070:15
mensis Maius 1074:21
mille 1068:6, 1071:9
Mysia 1037:21
patriarcha 1473:15, 1539:1
Phrygia salutaris et Pactiana 1608:14
pontifex imperator 1516:19
pontonium 1578:13
primus 993:22?, 1621:15
Proemium 866:15
quaestionarius 1815:9
repudium 1900:8
Romulus 1888:5
Rufus 1890:19
sacristarium 114:16
scala 1385:8
scamnum regni? 242:10
scrinium 1378:22
Sergius 1295:8
Sextilis 1303:29
signum 1336:1
Silentiarius 1341:23
stabulum 219:13
statio 222:15
strata 224:16
tabellarius 787:2
talare 810:17
talentum 809:6
Taurus 785:1
tetracha 832:15
tetrarcha 801:6-9
Tribuni 825:7
Tribunus 825:10
trulla 794:19
Valens 665:25
Valens Palaestinus 664:10
Valentinus 663:8
Valerianus 663:10
vela 663:13, 665:21
Veneti et Albati 382:25, 403:22, cf. Bévยrot каì Прабıvoí
victima? 352:17

Unknown Greek words
78:12
118:14
136:20
147:26
148:1
148:25, cf. 150:4
149:22, cf. 289:20
150:3
150:4
155:12
156:23, cf. 209:18
160:6, cf. 231:18
170:11

184:9, cf. 114:22
190:14, cf. 190:22,
199:2
209:18, cf. 156:23
220:25, cf. 222:9
235:3
238:6
240:18
254:16, cf. 257:2
255:4
258:14
258:27
263:17
265:26
266:19
268:16
269:16, cf. 1071:5
275:8
279:16
284:5
284:6 ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho v o ́ \gamma \lambda \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma v)\) ) cf. 298:22
285:17
289:18
289:20

349:16
350:10
351:17
369:21 ( \(\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha)\)
370:2
374:24

375:5
421:21
428:4
452:14
488:23, cf. 507:16
536:22
539:17
553:1
560:18
568:16
568:17
568:22
574:11
592:24
615:8
619:4
622:12
628:1
630:23
646:4
653:1
656:1
667:9
684:4
686:5
757:23
791:1
791:2
800:13
811:17
825:26

827:17
832:2
835:1
886:20
887:7
907:4
933:13
936:2
965:23
995:2
1007:11
1038:23
1038:24
1076:1, cf. 1082:26
1081:24
1083:8
1099:18
1106:8
1113:3-4
1208:8
1245:9
1245:13
1245:15
1245:18
1257:19
1300:16
1311:20, cf. 1385:19
1311:22
1329:20
1354:6, cf. 391:8
1365:1, cf. 1384:16

1373:5
1374:10
1374:25
1378:1
1378:24 (... кц \(\mu \lambda i ́ \alpha \gamma \tilde{\eta})\)
1384:16 cf. 1365:1
1385:19 cf. 1311:20
1387:23
1457:6 cf. 622:12
1479:25
1493:19
1495:26
1505:18
1511:19
1519:23
1523:20
1530:26
1532:6
1544:8
1546:15
1557:14
1567:16
1573:3
1576:19
1585:4
1587:5
1611:9
1621:18
1627:23
1637:16
1650:1

1698:7
1700:18
1700:20
1702:22
1704:21
1719:10
1723:5
1727:18
1735:23
1744:9
1745:16
1746:17
1761:12
1763:8
1778:23
1779:15 cf. 1782:3
1780:3
1784:28
1786:22
1786:23
1834:9
1835:19
1839:19
1892:19
1897:8
1906:7
2024:1
2025:13
2026:3
2063:22```


[^0]:    54. Duval, Lexicon Syriacum, xi-xii.
[^1]:    71. Ullmann, Wörterbuch zu den griechisch-arabischen Übersetzungen, 86-87.
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