**Abstract:**

Purpose: Influenza A viruses, human coronaviruses (hCoV) and human bocavirus (hBoV) are emerging respiratory viruses. This study investigated the association between influenza A viruses co-infection with hBoV and hCoV and severity and the sensitivity of a real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay for identification of 15 coronaviruses.

Methodology: 217 samples from patients aged 37.7 (SD±30.4) with seasonal influenza A viruses (SeasFluA) identified between 06/2011 - 06/2012 in NW England were tested for hCoV and hBoV using RT-PCR. Association between co-infection and disease outcome was assessed using logistic regression.

Results: A total of 12 hCoV and 17 hBoV were identified in the 217 influenza A positive samples. The hCoV RT-PCR assay was able to pick up to 2 copies/µl of human coronavirus RNA template, and did not give nonspecific amplifications. Our assay result compare equally with previously published SYBR green assay for coronaviruses. A higher proportion (61.5%; 8/13) of SeasFluA/hBoV co-infections were identified in patients that were admitted either to a general ward or the intensive care unit compared to 44.3% (66/149) of single SeasFlu A virus infections (OR: 2.5 95% CI: 0.67 - 9.34, p = 0.17). In a stratified analysis, there was a trend towards higher association between FluA, hCoV and hBoV with increasing age (especially in patients aged 24-45 years and >65 year old), but none of these were statistically significant.
## Conclusion

Our hCoV RT-PCR protocol appeared to be of adequate analytical sensitivity for diagnosis. More and larger studies are needed to confirm the role of hCoV, hBoV in causing severe disease when they co-infect with influenza A viruses.

### Author Comments:

Since the zoontic coronaviruses are more likely to cause serious disease, we think that having PCR assays that can also identify these viruses in addition to the known human coronaviruses would be more appropriate. It could be that most of the serious respiratory illness of unknown etiology are due to the zoonic coronaviruses which are not able to be identified when primers menat for human coronaviruses are used. We believe that the primers described in this manuscript will help add to the list of other primers developed by other scientists and help hospitals and scientists to test for zoonic coronaviruses in addition to the human coronaviruses.

On the other hand, the significance of coronavirus is exemplified by the emergence of acute respiratory tract infections in 2003 SARS outbreak and the recent MERS viruses in Saudi Arabia. Epidemiological studies have identified bocavirus co-infecting with other respiratory viruses. However coronaviruses are not routinely tested in respiratory samples identifying respiratory viruses owing to lack of evidence on the role of the known human coronaviruses in causing serious disease. On the other hand, the significance of human bocaviruses in causing serious disease has not been clearly elucidated, hence the importance of this study.
Pan-human coronavirus and human bocavirus SYBR Green and TaqMan PCR assays; use in studying influenza A viruses co-infection and risk of hospitalization

Edward A. Goka 1, Pamela J. Vallely 1, Kenneth J. Mutton 1,2, Paul E. Klapper 1,2

1 Institute of Inflammation and Repair, Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom.
2 Department of Clinical Virology, Central Manchester Universities NHS Trust, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9WL, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author: Edward Goka, Institute of Inflammation and Repair, Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences, 1st Floor Stopford building, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. E-mail: edward.goka@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk
Abstract

Purpose: Influenza A viruses, human coronaviruses (hCoV) and human bocavirus (hBoV) are emerging respiratory viruses. This study investigated the association between influenza A viruses co-infection with hBoV and hCoV and severity and the sensitivity of a real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay for identification of 15 coronaviruses.

Methodology: 217 samples from patients aged 37.7 (SD±30.4) with seasonal influenza A viruses (SeasFluA) identified between 06/2011 - 06/2012 in NW England were tested for hCoV and hBoV using RT-PCR. Association between co-infection and disease outcome was assessed using logistic regression.

Results: A total of 12 hCoV and 17 hBoV were identified in the 217 influenza A positive samples. The hCoV RT-PCR assay was able to pick up to 2 copies/µl of human coronavirus RNA template, and did not give nonspecific amplifications. Our assay result compare equally with previously published SYBR green assay for coronaviruses. A higher proportion (61.5%; 8/13) of SeasFluA/hBoV co-infections were identified in patients that were admitted either to a general ward or the intensive care unit compared to 44.3% (66/149) of single SeasFlu A virus infections (OR: 2.5 95% CI: 0.67 – 9.34, p = 0.17). In a stratified analysis, there was a trend towards higher association between FluA, hCoV and hBoV with increasing age (especially in patients aged 24-45 years and >65 year old), but none of these were statistically significant.

Conclusion: Our hCoV RT-PCR protocol appeared to be of adequate analytical sensitivity for diagnosis. More and larger studies are needed to confirm the role of hCoV, hBoV in causing severe disease when they co-infect with influenza A viruses.

Key words: influenza A virus, coronavirus, bocavirus, SYBR Green assay, co-infection, hospitalization
**Introduction**

Influenza A viruses are a significant cause of acute lower respiratory tract infections (ALRI), with 13.0% of all children with influenza infection developing ALRI - equivalent to 20 million (95% CI: 13–32 million) ALRI cases per year [1]; and according to these authors, in 2008, between 28,000 and 111,500 children younger than 5 years died of influenza-associated ALRI. On the other hand, the human coronavirus (hCoV) and human bocavirus (hBoV) are emerging important respiratory viruses [2;3].

Coronaviruses infect a wide variety of hosts (animals and humans); *Alphacoronaviruses* and *Betacoronaviruses* infect mammals, whereas *Gammacoronaviruses* infect birds [4]. Most of the human coronaviruses (*Alphacoronaviruses* 229E and NL63 and *Betacoronaviruses* OC43, HKU1) cause mild influenza like illnesses, however in 2003, the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus caused a very severe form of respiratory disease in humans globally [5], resulting in over 900 deaths [6]. On 21 September 2012, a novel coronavirus was identified in lower respiratory tract of an adult male Qatari national in London [7]. Three months earlier (13 June 2012), Dutch researchers identified a similar virus in a Saudi Arabian national presenting with pneumonia [8-10]. As of 20th September 2013, the novel coronavirus, now known as the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) had caused a total of 130 laboratory confirmed and 17 probable cases globally. Of the 130 confirmed cases, 58 (45.0%) died [6].

Human bocavirus, was first discovered in respiratory specimens of patients with lower respiratory disease in 2005 by Allander et al., [11] using a random polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-cloning-sequencing protocol. Reviews by Schildgen et al., [3] and Zhenqiang et al., [12] showed that, since 2005, over 40 studies conducted globally identified human bocavirus in children with acute respiratory virus infections; and that most of these prevalence studies found hBoV occurring mainly together with other viruses. The significance of human bocaviruses in causing serious clinical disease is still under debate, with some studies finding that bocavirus may cause significant ALRI [3;12], and others finding they only cause mild illness [3;13;14].

hBoV is reported to mainly occur in co-infections, and some zoonotic hCoV have been associated with sever LRTI. However these viruses are not, at present, routinely tested in clinical settings due to a lack of knowledge on the significance of these viruses in causing severe disease. Some recent studies have indicated that respiratory virus co-infections increases disease severity [15;16], however the pattern of co-infection between influenza A viruses and hCoV and hBoV and their association with severe disease has not been clearly elucidated. We designed primers and optimized a real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay for identification...
of 15 coronaviruses and used the RT-PCR targeting the NS1 region of the hBoV described by Qu et al., [17] to investigate the patterns of co-infections between influenza A virus with hCoV and hBoV and their association with severe clinical disease.

Methodology

Clinical samples and setting

We tested for hCoV and hBoV in 217 samples randomly selected from 596 influenza A viruses identified from 3,804 samples received between 24th June 2011 and 30th June 2012 at the Manchester Microbiology Partnership Laboratory (MMPL), a reference laboratory for the North West England serving a population of around 7 million people [18]. Influenza A viruses were identified using a well characterised in-house duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assays and influenza A(H1N1) subtyped using the Public Health England (PHA) (H1)v) assay [19]. The PCRs were run on the ABI7500 real-time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, United Kingdom). Samples were from patients aged between 1 and 98 years old (mean age 37.7, SD±30.4), seen as outpatients (at medical centres, clinics or hospitals), or admitted to a hospital in North West England.

Primers, templates and probes for hCoV, hBoV

To design primers for hCoV, complete genomic sequences for 15 coronavirus (Table 1), were downloaded from the GenBank (and details of their GenBank accession numbers available on request), aligned with BioEdit Sequence alignment Editor version 7.1.3.0 [20] and a consensus blasted using the BLAST program [GenBank, Bethesda MD, 20894 USA]; limiting the search to nucleotide collection (nt) database and organism Coronaviridae. One pair of primers targeting the region of the replicase open reading frame 1b (ORF1b) non-structural protein 15 (nsp15; XendoU/NendoU) uridylate specific endonuclease [21;22] was selected; forward primer: 5’-TGGGGAGTAATGAACCCGGTA-3’ and reverse primer: 5’-ACATGTAAATGAACCCCGTA-3’ (Eurofins MWG Operon, Germany); template TGGGGAGTAATGAACCCCGTAATGTCGGTGGTAATGATGCTCTGGCAACCTCCACTATCTTTACACAAAGCCGTGTTATTTAGCTCTTTTACATGT (corresponding to 95 base pairs, nt position 20062–20134, on the genome of Bovine coronavirus, accession number DQ811784.2 on GenBank website); probe 5’-FAM-TTGTGTAAAGATAGTGGAGGTT-MGB-3’ (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK).

The primer and template described by Qu et al., [17], GenBank accession no. NC_007455; forward primer 5’-TAATGACTGCAGACACGCCTAG-3’, reverse primer 5’-TGTCCCGCCAAGATACACT-3’ (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg Germany); template
TAATGACTGCAGACAACGCCTAGTGTGTTTTGTTGGAAGGAGGTGCTTAATGCACCAGGATTGG
GTGGAACCTGCAAAGTGTTATCTGTTGGGCTGGGACAG; and probe 5' FAM -
TTCCACCCAATCCTGGT - MGB - 3' (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK), were used for
identification of hBoV.

**Determination of analytical sensitivity and reproducibility of RT-PCR protocols**

To determine the analytical sensitivity, seven 1:4 serial dilutions (in duplicate) of the RNA
transcribed from the pEX-A plasmid vector (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg Germany) and
RNA extracted from the live human coronavirus (Public Health England Culture Collection,
Salisbury UK) were used in standard curve experiments. To transcribe the RNA, the pEX-A
plasmid was first linearized using Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (BamHI) restriction enzyme (New
England BioLabs, Ipswich USA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA) transcribed using the T7
polymerase enzyme using the T7 High Yield RNA synthesis Kit (New England BioLabs,
Ipswich USA). To optimize the primer concentration, duplicate preparations of coronavirus
229E and pEX-A RNA template, 20µM, 10µM, 7.50µM, and 5µM serial dilutions of forward
and reverse primer and 10µM, 6.60µM, 3.3µM, 0.37µM probe concentrations were set up in
MicroAmp Fast Reaction PCR tubes and mounted on a 48 well plate. Similarly, for analytical
sensitivity of the hBoV PCR, 1:4 serial dilutions (in duplicates) of the pEX-A plasmid (Eurofins
MWG Operon, Ebersberg Germany) were used in standard curve experiments.

**PCR for identification of coronaviruses and bocavirus in samples**

The Power SYBR Green RNA-to-CT 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems) was used in a one-step
RT-PCR for detection of coronavirus, the reaction mixture comprised: 10µl of Power SYBR
Green RT-PCR Master Mix (2X), 2.5µl forward and reverse primer (20µM), 1µl of Arrayscrip
RT Enzyme mix (125X), 2µl of RNAse free water and 3µl of RNA template in positive control
well or sample in test wells. For identification of bocavirus the Power SYBR Green PCR Master
Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used: reaction mixture 10µl of Power SYBR Green PCR Master
Mix (2X), 2.5µl forward and reverse primer (20µM), 2µl RNAse free water and 3µl of template
in positive control well or sample in test wells. RT-PCR experiments were conducted on the
StepOne and StepOne Plus Real Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems) with cycling
parameters for coronavirus of: 48°C for 30 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes; followed by 40 cycles
of denaturing at 95°C for 15 seconds and 57°C for 1 minute; and a melting curve of 95°C for 15
seconds, 57°C for 15 seconds and 95°C for 15 seconds. The bocavirus PCR used 95°C for 10
minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute and a melting curve of 95°C for
15 seconds, 60°C for 15 seconds and 95°C for 15 seconds. The number of viral copies was
calculated using the absolute quantification method published by Brankatschk et al., [23].
Confirmation of positive samples using MGB probes

Samples that were positive for coronavirus or bocavirus were confirmed with a TaqMan based RT-PCR. The probe concentrations were first optimized using duplicate preparations of 10µM, 6.6µM, 5µM, 3.3µM, 0.3 µM and 0.12 probe concentrations. Each well contained 10µl of TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master Mix (2X) (Applied Biosystems), 2.5µl of probe, 2.5µl forward and reverse primer, 2µl of RNAse free water and 3ul of RNA template. The coronavirus PCR also contained the 1µl Multiscribe Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems) and 1µl of RNase inhibitor. The cycling conditions were; for coronavirus experiments: 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 20 seconds, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 second and 57°C for 20 seconds; whereas for bocavirus: 95°C for 20 seconds, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 second and 60°C for 20 seconds.

Polyacrylamide gel (PAGE) electrophoresis

Amplicons for coronavirus and bocavirus PCR were visualized using precast 8% TBE polyacrylamide gel 1mm 15 well (Applied Biosystems) run on an XCell SureLock™ Mini-Cell Electrophoresis chamber (Invitrogen Novex Mini cell), filled with 200 ml and 600ml 1X BTE Running Buffer in upper and lower chambers respectively. Ten (10µl) of PCR product was mixed with 9µl of Deionised water and 1µl 5X DNA Loading Buffer (Bioline, London) and loaded into the wells and the positive control well loaded with HyperLadder V (Bioline). The loaded XCell SureLock™ Mini-Cell chamber was run at 200V, 18mA for 35 minutes, stained with GelRed Nucleic Acid Stain (Biotium, Hayward, UK) for 30 minutes, and visualised under UV light on an Alphaimager 2200 machine (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, California).

Statistical analysis

Two by two tables were drawn to describe the patterns of co-infection between influenza A virus, human coronavirus and human bocavirus and differences in distribution of single and dual infections by age, sex, and season. Differences were assessed using the Pearson’s chi-square ($X^2$) statistic, and for small samples, the Fisher’s exact test. Association between single and mixed virus infection and admission to a general ward (GW) or intensive care unit (ICU) were assessed using simple logistic regression models. All statistical analyses were performed with STATA software, version 11.0. (STATACorp, Texas 77845, USA).
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Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the National Health Service - Research Ethics Committee (NHS-REC), reference number 11/NW/0698), the University of Manchester.
Results

Efficiency, analytical sensitivity and reproducibility of the hCoV and hBoV PCRs

The optimum concentration for primers was 2.5µl (20µM) whereas the optimum concentration of the probe was 2.5µl (6.6µM). The optimum annealing temperature for the primers was 57°C. At this temperature, the wells that contained coronavirus 229E and RNA template; gave the lowest threshold cycle (Ct value), (range 19 - 38), highest fluorescence signal (range 0.6 - 19.2), and the PCR product melted at 76.2 °C, which is within the Tm for coronaviruses PCR products (75.0 °C and 80.0°C). Analytical sensitivity of the PCR assays was tested by seven 1:4 serial dilutions (10^3-10^0) for the hCoV and eleven serial dilution (10^6-10^0) for the hBoV. The hCoV assay was able to detect down to 2.6 copies/µl, whereas the hBoV was able to detect down to 4.9 copies/µl. Under optimum conditions, the duplicate samples gave reproducible results and the experiments were repeated 3 times giving a total number of 21 and 33 replicates. Our results compare well with previously published protocols of hCoV and hBoV. For example a SYBR Green RT-PCR assay for identification of hCoV, published in 2007, Escutenaair et al., [24] reported that their assay was able to detect down to 10 RNA copies/µl, whereas Vijgen et al., [25] pan-coronavirus assay (published in 2008) was far less sensitive with a lower detection limit of 5.0 × 10^3 RNA copies per microliter sample, Adach et al., [26] (1 and 10 genome copies). Further, hBoV assay by Neske et al., [27] was able to detect up to 3.8 × 10^8 to 3.8 × 10^3 copies/µl and Lu et al., [28] (10 copies). Our assays were therefore of good analytical sensitivity and could be used in diagnosis of hCoV. Polyacrylamide gel results indicated that the size of the PCR products obtained from the coronavirus 229E and bocavirus was similar to the coronavirus and bocavirus inserts into the pEX-A plasmid vector (a picture of the PAGE result for coronavirus is provided in Figure 2).

Respiratory virus infections in samples that were positive for influenza A viruses

Of the 217 influenza A virus samples included in this study, the majority 95.4% (207) were seasonal influenza A viruses and only 10 (4.6%) were pandemic influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 infections. This was because the pandemic virus did not circulate in high numbers during the 2011/2012 season. The World Health Organisation (WHO) had declared the end of the pandemic on 10th August 2010 [29]. Human coronaviruses were found in 12 of the 217 samples whereas human bocavirus in 17 of the 217 samples. The Ct values for hCoV positive samples ranged from 21.95 to 35.0 (mean 31.3) corresponding to 122 and 75 copies/µl respectively, whereas for hBoV it ranged from 26.95 to 36.0 with (mean of 32.75) corresponding to 123 and 92 copies/µl,
indicating low viral loads. Previous studies have also reported finding hBoV low and not high viral loads Zhenqiang et al., [12].

The same samples used for identification of hCoV and hBoV were also previously tested for other respiratory virus infections: influenza B virus (Flu B), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), rhinoviruses (RV), adenovirus (AdV), human metapneumovirus (hMPV), and human parainfluenza virus types 1 to 3 (hPIV1-3). When the results of other respiratory viruses are included, 149 (72.0%) of the 207 seasonal influenza A viruses were single infections, and more than one virus was detected in 58 (28.0%) cases. Only 1 of the 10 patients with pandemic influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus infection was also infected with human coronavirus and rhinovirus. Of the 34 co-infections between seasonal influenza A and other respiratory viruses; 19 cases were infected with hMPV, 14 with RV, 2 with Flu B, 2 with RSV, and 2 with hPIV3 virus.

**Demographic and other characteristics of single and dual infections**

The age, sex, and season distribution of patients are given in (Table 2). The majority of seasonal influenza A single infections and hCoV and hBoV co-infections were in adults >19 years old. Specifically, 104/149 (69.8%) of single seasonal influenza A virus infections were in adults >19 years compared to 8/11 (72.7%) of SeasFluA/hCoV co-infections and 9/13 (69.2%) of SeasFluA/hBoV co-infections in adults >19 years old (and these differences were not statistically significant) - Table 2. However co-infections between Flu A and other respiratory viruses were significantly higher among children <5 years old (11.2% - 14/34; p = 0.03).

Regarding seasonal distribution, most of both single and dual virus infections occurred during the winter season (with summer season having low virus activity). This is probably because we tested for hCoV and hBoV in samples that were positive for influenza A viruses and influenza viruses are known to circulate in high numbers mainly in winter seasons.

A larger percentage (61.5%; 8/13) of SeasFluA/hBoV co-infections were identified in patients that were admitted to either a general ward (GW) or the intensive care unit (ICU) compared to 44.3% (66/149) of single SeasFlu A virus infections (OR: 2.5 95% CI: 0.67 – 9.34, p = 0.17). In a stratified analysis, there was a trend towards higher association between Flu A, hCoV and hBoV with increasing age, but none of these were statistically significant (Table 2). In children ≤5 years, 50% (1/2) of SeasFluA/hCoV and 66.7% (2/3) of SeasFluA/hBoV co-infections were admitted to a GW or ICU compared to 26.5% (9/34) of single influenza A virus infections. Comparatively, among patients aged 24-45 years old, 66.7% (2/3) with SeasFluA/hCoV co-infection were admitted either to a GW/ICU compared to 56.3% (18/32) of those with single seasonal influenza A virus infections (OR: 2.20, 95% CI: 0.46 – 10.35, p = 0.32), and 100%
(3/3) of SeasFluA/hBoV co-infections were admitted to a GW/ICU. Similarly among those aged >65 years old, 75.0% (3/4) of those with SeasFluA/hBoV co-infection were admitted to GW/ICU compared to 60.0% (27/45) with single seasonal influenza A virus infections (OR: 6.0, 95% CI: 0.46 – 76.54, p = 0.17) and 100% (1/1) of SeasFluA/hCoV co-infection was admitted to a GW/ICU (Table 2).

Discussion and conclusion

The importance of developing a coronavirus RT-PCR assay that is able to identify both Alphacoronaviruses and Betacoronaviruses which attack animals and humans, and Gammacoronaviruses which attack birds, is that such assays could identify zoonotic coronaviruses associated with more severe disease in humans [2, 5; 30, 31, 32]. Therefore the clinical usability of any PCR assay for the identification of all or most coronaviruses depends on its ability to amplify a region which is common to all coronaviruses (both human and human adapted animal viruses), and its sensitivity. The primers for coronavirus we designed target the replicase ORF1b nsp15 (XendoU/NendoU) uridylate specific endonuclease gene, which is highly conserved among all coronaviruses [21; 22] and we confirmed our results with a TaqMan probe. The quality of primers depends, among others, on their ability not to give nonspecific amplifications. Our primers for identification of coronaviruses did not give nonspecific amplifications as indicated by the melting curves. In addition, the hCoV standard curve experiments indicated that the hCoV RT-PCR assay was able to pick up to 2 copies/µl of human coronavirus RNA template, our assays results compare equally with those of a previously published SYBR green assay for coronaviruses [24; 25; 26]. We are therefore confident that it could be applied for diagnosis of coronaviruses in clinical settings subject to further experiments in statistically significant number of samples.

Some studies have reported that co-infections are predominantly in younger and older patients [32-34]. In this study, single seasonal influenza A viruses were predominantly in adults >18 years old in agreement with our earlier published study [35]. Similarly a higher proportion of hCoV and hBoV co-infections were in adults 18 to 65 years old. This suggests high prevalence of hCoVs and hBoV among older patients. Comparatively the majority of other respiratory virus co-infections (RSV, RV, hMPV and hPIV1-3) were in children ≤5 years old (Table 2). Regarding seasonality of the viruses, almost all the respiratory virus single and dual infections in this study were identified in the winter season. This could be because we tested for hCoV and hBoV in samples that were positive for influenza A viruses and influenza viruses are known to circulate mainly during winter season. Our findings are in agreement with other studies [16; 36],
which also reported dual or multiple respiratory virus infections mainly occur during winter season.

This study did not find a significant association between seasonal influenza A viruses co-infection with hCoV and hBoV and severe disease. Given the high co-infection rates for bocavirus reported by other studies [3;12], probably more bocavirus infections could have been identified if all the 3,804 samples received at the MMPL between June 2011 and June 2012 were tested. A possibility of finding more coronaviruses could also not be ruled out. A review by Schildgen et al., [3] suggested that human bocavirus may be a bystander and not a real pathogen; one of the evidence to this hypothesis being frequently identified co-infecting with other respiratory viruses, and also it is mostly identified in low copy numbers. The results of this study could be because hBoV is probably really a bystander or could be because our study was not large enough to detect the association between its co-infection and severe disease. More and larger studies are needed to elucidate the significance of these viruses in causing severe disease. Further, a number of other factors; age, comorbidities, immune status, and bacterial co-infection, affect disease outcome [37-39]. However in this study we did not control for these factors as the datum did not have these variables. This may have affected our outcomes and this should be born in mind when interpreting our results.

In conclusion, our RT-PCR protocol for the identification of coronaviruses is sensitive, specific and reliable and could be used for diagnosis of coronaviruses. We did not find sufficient evidence on the role of hCoV and hBoV in causing severe disease when they co-infect with influenza A and larger studies are needed to shed more light on the significance of these viruses in causing severe disease.
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Figure 1: A section of the consensus sequence (showing the region identical in all coronaviruses, derived from consensus sequences for 15 coronaviruses) that was used to design pan-coronavirus primers. Notes: The sequence of region conserved in all coronaviruses is underlined, the positions here are in positive sense orientation, because of variations in the sizes of coronavirus genomes, in bovine coronavirus, the amplicon region lies between nucleotide 20062 and 20156.
Figure 2: Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of hCoV PCR products

Notes: PCR products from two experiments, one where each well contained 3ul of hCoV229E RNA (Exp1) and another 5ul, to increase yield (Exp2) and products from RNA produced from the pEX-A vector. HyperLadder V marks are from bottom, 25bp, 50bp, 75bp, 100bp up to 500. The marks at 50bp are due to primer dimmers which are known to form in SYBR Green PCRs.
Table 1: Coronaviruses used in the design of pan-coronavirus primers (downloaded from GeneBank on 18th December, 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coronavirus</th>
<th>Number identified and downloaded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Human coronavirus HKU1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Human coronavirus NL63</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Human coronavirus OC43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Human coronavirus 229E</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Bovine coronavirus</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Porcine haemagglutinating virus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Murine hepatitis virus</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Equine coronavirus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Rat coronavirus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Bat coronavirus</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Avian infectious bronchitis virus</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Canine coronavirus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Sambar deer coronavirus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Bat SARS coronavirus</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Duck coronavirus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>151</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Demographics and disease severity in single, dual and multiple seasonal influenza A virus infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SeasFlu A only</th>
<th>SeasFlu A + hCoV</th>
<th>SeasFlu A + hBoV</th>
<th>SeasFlu A + other RVIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years) – n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>34 (22.8)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
<td>14 (41.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-19</td>
<td>11 (7.4)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-45</td>
<td>32 (21.5)</td>
<td>3 (27.3)</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
<td>7 (20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-65</td>
<td>21 (14.1)</td>
<td>4 (36.4)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>4 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>45 (30.2)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>149 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
<td>34 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male sex</th>
<th>SeasFlu A only</th>
<th>SeasFlu A + hCoV</th>
<th>SeasFlu A + hBoV</th>
<th>SeasFlu A + other RVIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68 (49.3)</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
<td>17 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| General ward/ICU – n (%) | | | | |
| Age <5 | 9/34 (26.5) | 1/2 (50.0) | 2/3 (66.7) | 3/14 (21.43) |
| >5 ≤19 | 0/0 (0.0) | 0/0 (0.0) | 0/0 (0.0) | 0/0 (0.0) |
| >19 ≤24 | 1/6 (16.7) | 0/0 (0.0) | 0/0 (0.0) | 0/2 (0.0) |
| >24 ≤45 | 18/32 (56.3) | 2/3 (66.7) | 3/3 (100) | 4/7 (57.1) |
| >45 ≤65 | 11/21 (52.4) | 0/4 (0.0) | 0/2 (0.0) | 2/4 (50.0) |
| >65 | 27/45 (60.0) | 1/1 (100) | 3/4 (75.0) | 3/5 (60.0) |
| **Total** | 66/149 (44.3) | 4/11 (36.6) | 8/13 (61.5) | 12/34 (35.3) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OR (95 % CI; p value) †</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.60 (0.15 – 2.40; 0.47)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.67 – 9.34; 0.17)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.37 – 2.10; 0.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** * the statistic was significant at p = <0.05. † Odds ratios were adjusted for age group and sex. SeasFlu A = seasonal influenza A virus, hCoV = human coronavirus, hBoV = human bocavirus, other RVIs = co-infection between seasonal influenza A and other respiratory viruses (viruses involved; hBoV = 4, rhinovirus = 14, influenza B = 2, respiratory syncytial virus = 2, adenovirus = 4, human metapneumovirus = 19 and parainfluenza virus type 3 = 1).