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Abstract

Future transportation systems for aircraft, vehicles or marine vessels are all candidates for redesigned propulsion systems as a result of the more-electric revolution. Accurately emulating these new propulsion system designs to assess the dynamic engine-generator-electrical system interactions will be an invaluable design tool. A HIL mechanical emulation system is presented which utilises a novel compensator design to extend the emulation capability of a physical hardware system without the need for any hardware changes. A 30 kW test system is used to emulate variable inertia mechanical systems and drivetrain models to show the capability of a single test system to be used to emulate a wide range of systems. The compensator is not restricted to transport applications and will also improve the emulation of smartgrid sources and advanced renewable sources.

1 Introduction

The more-electric-aircraft (MEA) concept, or hybrid systems for vehicles or marine vessels, offer the opportunity to redesign the propulsion system to achieve significant environmental and platform-level benefits. For a MEA a single electric power off-take is necessary from the engine for non-propulsive power which enables a bleedless engine design [1] and also removes the need for a mechanical off-take shaft. Hybrid systems use a mix of electric propulsion and a smaller internal combustion engine. The development time and cost for a new engine design is massive, and given the potential for engine-generator-electrical system interactions due to their tightly integrated design, the overall system performance must be carefully evaluated throughout the design process.

Hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) techniques are widely used in power electronics and power system to replicate the behaviour of diverse systems [2, 3, 4]. In the case of mechanical power source emulation, the complex prime mover system can be accurately emulated by a HIL emulation system to allow the coupled power hardware, for example an electrical generator to be tested repeatedly, safely, and economically under a variety of realistic conditions. Modern HIL emulation systems consist of a commercial electrical drive system which acts as the emulator, and the emulated mechanical system is simulated on a real-time-platform (RTP) which sets the operating condition of the drive.

HIL prime mover emulation using an electrical drive is very common in transportation [5] and renewable applications [6]. In [5], a PI speed controller was designed to allow the shaft dynamics of a twin-shaft gas engine to be reproduced with a synchronous motor. [6] proposed a novel control structure for a wind turbine simulator in which two closed-loop controllers, a speed and torque control, are applied to the inverter-controlled induction motor. Since the electrical drive system has faster dynamics than the conventional mechanical system at the same power rating, the dynamic emulation of a high inertia mechanical power source using the electrical drives is relatively straightforward. However, when the inertia of the emulated application is smaller than the machine drive system, the emulation performance is limited. [7] presented a model-based control method for emulating an aeroderivative twin-shaft engine which has a 10 times smaller inertia in comparison with the machine of the same power rating. Some emulation limitations are evident in [7] resulting from accuracy and stability issues.

Mechanical drivetrains are widely used in hybrid vehicles to transmit power between the source and the electrical generator. Recently, most of the literature [8, 9] has been focused on the emulation of wind turbine drivetrains. [8] presents the use of a PWM DC-DC converter in the torque control of a DC motor where a hysteresis current control structure was implemented. The study in [8] shows some interesting emulation results of the harmonic torque, which is due to the gradient and tower shadow effects, through the measured armature current. However, the emulator is torque-controlled, which normally has a wider bandwidth in comparison to a speed loop control. In addition, the emulation accuracy on the torque oscillations is not given and only the speed variations due to the torque harmonics are presented with no comparison to the reference. In [9], a PI controller is designed using the frequency response method to replicate the drivetrain resonant modes of a wind turbine. However, due to the narrow bandwidth of the PI controller, the reproduction of the emulated resonant frequencies is not achieved.
This paper demonstrates a new compensator to cancel the natural dynamics of the electrical drive, which can allow the HIL emulation of high power and high speed mechanical power source systems by effectively extending the system emulation bandwidth without any change to the actual hardware. The emulation performance of a simple variable inertia system and a simplified mechanical drivetrain are evaluated, as these systems represent the fundamental behaviour of future propulsion systems which are anticipated to pose a challenge to existing emulation facilities.

2 Experimental Test Facility

Fig. 1 shows the emulation hardware [4], which consists of a dSPACE real-time platform (RTP) containing the mechanical system model, and a 115kW commercial vector controlled induction machine (IM) drive. The mechanical system model in the RTP receives the measured electrical generator torque, \( T_{gen} \), on the common shaft, and external references as inputs. The RTP then outputs the speed reference from the mechanical system model to the speed-controlled electrical drive system.

![Figure 1. Block diagram of the emulation test facility.](image)

A 30kW, 15,000rpm switched reluctance starter generator (SRSG) is connected to the emulator drive system. The generator is loaded using active load systems and resistive load banks which are connected to the generator through a reconfigurable DC network.

3 Proposed Emulation Compensator

Fig. 2 shows the control structure of the emulation drive system, where \( G_s \) is the speed controller of the drive system, \( G_p \) represents the rotor dynamics of the test rig, \( K_f \) is the drive torque constant, \( \lambda \) is a field weakening scaling and \( G_{comp} \) is the compensator for cancelling the mechanical characteristics of the electrical drive system. Transfer function parameters are listed in the Appendix.

![Figure 2. Block diagram of the compensator implementation.](image)

In order to derive a better feedforward compensator without the requirement of accurate knowledge of the system parameters, an experimental system identification approach is applied to the system step response of the test rig [11]. The system identified transfer function in the continuous domain (identified from a 500rpm speed step test from 1000rpm to 1500rpm) is:

\[
G_{ty} = \frac{16s + 1569}{s^2 + 17s + 1569} \tag{1}
\]

The model order in (1) corresponds to that of the analytical system structure, (2) which is derived from Fig 2, and gives an excellent model fit performance in both the time and frequency domain, up to a bandwidth of approximate 100rad/s [11]. Extensive testing [11] has demonstrated that the effect of the electrical power load on the test rig dynamics is minor and so can be neglected over the frequency range offered by the compensator. The system dynamics have a noticeable difference at operating speeds above the machine base speed, due to the field weakening effect, which necessitates the use of the \( \lambda \) field weakening scaling.

\[
G_{ty} = \frac{(K_{r,s} + K_f)K_f\lambda}{Js^2 + Bs + (K_{r,s} + K_f)K_f\lambda} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\lambda = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \omega_r \leq \omega_{base}
\]

\[
\lambda = \frac{\omega_{base}}{\omega_r} \quad \text{for} \quad \omega_r > \omega_{base} \tag{3}
\]

The effective torque constant \( \lambda K_f \) is unchanged below base speed, \( \omega_{bas} \), and decreases inversely with the machine speed, \( \omega_r \), above base speed, as shown in (3). The base speed of the emulator drive system is 9000rpm [11].
The effect of field weakening can also be incorporated into the identified transfer function (1) using $\lambda$ to take the full operating range into consideration. This transfer function can then be inverted to obtain the corresponding compensator model, (4).

$$G_{\text{comp}} = \begin{cases} \frac{0.99s^2 + s}{0.01s^2 + 16s + 1569}, & \omega \leq 9000\text{rpm} \\ \frac{0.99s^2 + s}{0.01s^2 + (16s + 1569)}, & \omega > 9000\text{rpm} \end{cases}$$

(4)

4 Experimental Results

In this section, the experimental emulation results of the different inertia systems and the mechanical drivetrain resonant modes are presented. The uncompensated and compensated responses are compared to demonstrate the performance of the new emulation method.

4.1 Emulation of a linear system with different inertias

Normally, provided that the torque rating of the electrical machine is sufficient, the HIL emulation of the mechanical prime mover based on the machine drive should present no problem when the motor inertia is smaller than that of the emulated power source. However, if the prime mover has a high power density and so a much smaller inertia constant, then the electrical drive system emulation performance may be unsatisfactory. In this study, a heuristic investigation on the emulation performance of gas engines of different inertias is performed through the emulation of a generic mechanical equation of motion, (5).

$$G_{\text{sys}} = \frac{1}{J_{\text{sys}}s + B_{\text{sys}}}$$

(5)

This generic linear system model is implemented in the simulation environment (RTP) shown in Fig. 2. The emulation capability of (5) with different inertias is examined for steady state and transient conditions. The speed controller $G_s$ has been designed to give a closed-loop bandwidth of 60rad/s for the motor nominal parameters listed in the Appendix and is unchanged throughout the inertia testing. Two linear systems both with inertias less than the emulator system actual inertia of 0.116kgm² have been tested with and without the compensator in place. The emulated inertias are 0.0187kgm² (low $J$ case) and 0.011kgm² (minimum $J$ case). The emulation system speed was set to 11140rpm and the emulation performance was tested by applying a 15kW step up and step down in load power.

Fig. 3(a) and (b) shows the emulation performance for the low inertia case (around 6 times smaller than the actual inertia of the emulation system) when the compensator is active and Fig. 3(c) and (d) shows the corresponding emulation performance for the same condition without the compensator. Without the compensator, a poor speed tracking performance is obtained where a large speed variation is observed in both power step up and step down scenarios. When the actual emulation system inertia is larger than the inertia of the system being emulated, then the emulated dynamics are faster than the drive system and the motor torque-producing requirement may increase. For the low inertia test, the bandwidth of the model speed dynamics is around the resonant peak of the uncompensated drive system. Due to the high gain at the resonant frequency and the high torque requirement, the motor speed controller may saturate, which contributes to the oscillations in both measured speed and torque signals in Fig. 3(c) and (d). When the compensator is enabled, the resonant peak is eliminated and the emulation bandwidth of the test system is extended, which enables excellent dynamic speed tracking. Fig. 3(a) and (b).

![Figure 3. Emulation performance for the small inertia system (0.0187kgm²)](image)

Fig. 4(a) shows the compensator performance for a 0.011kgm² inertia value, which is smaller than that in Fig. 3. In this case, a small speed oscillation is visible, which results from the compensator’s output exceeding the drive’s slew rate (393.68rad/s²) as shown in Fig. 4(b). When the emulated inertia is smaller than 0.011kgm², the amplitude of the observed oscillation is larger, which indicates that 0.011kgm² is the minimum inertia that can be emulated with the system.
uncompensated drive system. Therefore, the compensator is in the actual emulation system) with and without the inertia of the uncompensated drive system. Therefore, the compensator is not effective for improving the emulation performance, as the uncompensated system is already capable of emulating the high inertia dynamics on its own.

4.2 Emulation of an aero mechanical drivetrain system

A mechanical drivetrain is very common in many transportation applications where it is not practical to mount the electrical generator or driven load directly on the prime mover. Fig. 6 shows a simplified generic electro-mechanical system with a non-embedded generator, which can be represented by a reduced order, two-inertia mechanical drivetrain model. This model can be tuned for different natural resonant frequencies, and it is these natural resonant frequencies, which, if excited, may lead to fatigue in mechanical components and instability in the electrical network [12]. Therefore the capability of a HIL emulation system to reproduce the relevant resonant modes in the drivetrain enables the future investigation of electromechanical interactions between the prime mover and generator.

A simple aero mechanical drivetrain model can be obtained by combining the lumped inertias of auxiliary loads and the torsional stiffness of all driveshfts, leaving a two inertia system, which is dominated by the inertias of the engine spool and the aero generator and the stiffness of a common driveshft [12]. The engine inertia, \( J_E \), in the drivetrain model is 1.87kgm\(^2\). The generator inertia, \( J_G \), driveshft stiffness, \( K \), and the viscous damping, \( D \), are varied to give the emulated resonant frequency based on (6). In practice, the mechanical drivetrain will have multiple resonant modes, which could be emulated by a more detailed mechanical model. For illustrative purposes, this paper considers a single tunable mode model, shown in Fig. 7, which can be determined from Fig. 6.

\[
f_s = \sqrt{\frac{K}{J_E} + \frac{1}{J_G}}
\]  

Figure 7. Mechanical drivetrain emulation model.

The results for the drivetrain emulation are presented in Figs. 8 to 11. Two different resonant modes (12Hz and 18Hz), which are within the drivetrain resonant frequency range identified in a similar hardware test system [12], are emulated with and without the compensator enabled. The speed controller in the emulation drive system again has a 60rad/s bandwidth. In order to excite the drivetrain resonances, an external power step 15kW is applied when the machine is running at the steady-state speed of 9000rpm.

Figs. 8 and 9 show the compensator performance for the emulation of a low frequency resonant mode (12Hz). In this case study, the generator inertia \( J_G \) is 0.05kgm\(^2\), the driveshft damping \( D \) is 0.2Nmsrad\(^1\) and the shaft stiffness \( K \) is set to 263Nmrad. Fig. 8(a) shows the measured speed transient during the power step up in comparison with the speed reference from the drivetrain model and Fig. 8(b) is the
corresponding measured torque transient. In Fig. 8(a), it is quite difficult to differentiate the real motor speed due to a wide range of low frequency noise. However, a periodic variation at the resonant frequency can be easily identified in the torque transient in Fig. 8(b).

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the emulation compensator, a frequency analysis using fast Fourier transform (FFT) is performed on both the speed and torque transients. Fig. 8(c) compares the measured motor speed transient and the model reference output in the frequency domain for the 0.7s time period after the electrical load step is applied. In Fig. 8(c), a very strong resonant frequency at 12Hz is clear in the speed reference, which suggests a correct torsional speed oscillation was triggered by the power step. Such a speed variation is attenuated very quickly by the system damping, as shown in Fig. 8(a). In Fig. 8(c), the 12Hz resonant mode is also present in the measured motor speed FFT. However, there is a second peak at 17Hz, which is not present in the reference speed. This frequency is believed to belong to the low frequency noise.

Fig. 9 allows the torque transient response of the compensated and uncompensated 12Hz resonant mode model to be compared. The 0.7s time duration is chosen to be the same as that in the speed FFT analysis for a fair comparison. When the compensator is employed, a frequency at 12Hz is identified, which is the excited drivetrain resonance, however, when the compensator is disabled, the emulator is unable to follow the 12Hz resonance which is as expected, since the uncompensated system is tuned for around 10Hz. Based on these results the HIL emulation with compensator is able to introduce the correct frequency of resonance exhibited by a high order system such as a mechanical drivetrain, but with some variation in magnitude. Since the resonance magnitude depends on damping, it is hard to obtain accurately.

Figure 9. FFT of 12Hz resonance torque transients for the 0.7s time window after the electrical power step.

Figs. 10 and 11 show the compensator performance for the emulation of a high frequency resonance (18Hz) when excited by a 15kW load step. In this case, the driveshaft stiffness $K$ is increased to 634Nmrad in order to get the emulated resonance. All spectrum analysis is performed over the same 0.7s time window as the low frequency resonance test. As in Fig. 8(a), the measured speed is corrupted by a variety of low frequency noise in Fig. 10(a), so the 18Hz frequency in the reference signal is not identifiable. The FFT analysis of the speed transients in Fig. 10(c) shows two main peaks at 6Hz and 18Hz respectively. The peak at 18Hz is the emulated mode, which is triggered by the load step. This frequency has a peak of 18rpm that is lower than the reference of 26rpm in Fig. 10(c), which is as expected, since the resonant mode is beyond the 15.9Hz cut-off frequency of the compensator. The other frequency at 6Hz is attributed to the slew rate limit effect where the compensator output exceeds the drive’s inherent slew rate. The torque FFT analysis in Fig. 11 for the compensated system also gives peaks at 6Hz and 18Hz which correspond to the peaks in the speed FFT. The identified resonances in the spectrum analysis can also be observed in the torque transient, Fig. 10(b).
The effectiveness of the proposed compensator design has been demonstrated through the experimental emulation of different inertia systems with 0.011kgm² (approx. 1/10 the test system) being identified as the minimum inertia which can be emulated correctly. Drivetrain resonant modes up to the bandwidth limit of the compensator (15.9Hz) have also been correctly emulated. The emulation results show very good correspondence between the model output and the measured drive speed, which confirm that the compensator can extend the emulation capability of the uncompensated system. Limits of the emulation method have been identified, which are related to both the bandwidth of emulated dynamics and the electrical drive inherent slew rate limit. The slew rate limit could be increased by using a converter with a higher current rating, up to the thermal and saturation limit of the induction motor, but could increase the system cost. Increasing the machine rating could increase both torque and inertia, giving at most marginal gains on slew rate and hence compensator performance.
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**Appendix**

Table I: Nominal parameters of the emulation facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test rig inertia (±10%), J</td>
<td>0.116Kgm^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test rig damping ratio, B</td>
<td>0.0018Nms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine torque constant, KT</td>
<td>0.85Nm/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed controller proportional gain, KP</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed controller integral gain, Ki</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current controller proportional gain, KP</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current controller integral gain, Ki</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>