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Abstract

Objectives: To determine the mode of action of Zoledronic acid in the inhibition of metastasis in prostate cancer and the reduction of prostate cancer bone metastases.

Methods: Benign and malignant primary prostatic epithelial cells (PEC) and the PC-3 prostate cancer cell line were studied in co-culture using human bone marrow stroma in the presence of escalating doses of EDTA, Clodronate, Pamidronate and Zoledronic acid. PEC binding and colony growth in bone marrow stroma was measured using standardised quantitative techniques. PEC cellular invasion through Matrigel and an endothelial monolayer was measured either in invasion chambers or by the measurement of endothelial monolayer permeability to fluorescent dextran. Co-culture supernatants were assayed for specific cytokine levels. Bone marrow cellular toxicity was assessed using a standard Mix assay.

Results: Treatment of PEC with up to 100 \( \mu \)M bisphosphonate did not affect their ability to bind to bone marrow endothelium or stroma. Bone marrow endothelial permeability was reduced by 100 \( \mu \)M Zoledronic acid by 3.8\% \((p = 0.03856)\). Both Pamidronate (40\% at 100 \( \mu \)M, \( p \leq 0.05 \)) and Zoledronic acid inhibited PEC invasion, with Zoledronic acid being the most potent (40\% at 10 \( \mu \)M, \( p \leq 0.05 \) rising to 91\% at 100 \( \mu \)M, \( p \leq 0.001 \)). Zoledronic acid inhibits malignant PEC proliferation in bone marrow stroma co-culture (26.5\% at 10 \( \mu \)M rising to 66.5\% at 40 \( \mu \)M). This was accompanied by changes within the cytokine milieu with a >800\% rise in TIMP-2.

Conclusion: Zoledronic acid is a potent inhibitor of PEC invasion across bone marrow endothelium and colony formation with the bone marrow stroma, affecting the MMP: TIMP-2 balance to favour MMP inhibition.
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1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (CaP) is the most common malignancy found in men. One in three of every male cancer in America is of prostate origin [1] and in the UK alone there are over 24,700 new cases each year resulting in 10,000 deaths annually (Cancer Research UK Mortality Stats July 2001). Although prostate cells circulate widely in the peripheral circulation of men with advanced disease [2] metastatic deposits are not ubiquitous. When they occur, they tend to preferentially in to the red bone marrow [3]. The resulting bone metastases are present in 84\% of men dying [4] from the...
disease and are directly responsible for considerable morbidity in the time to death [5], the median survival from presentation with bone metastases being 18 months [6].

In the red marrow the prostate epithelial cells bind rapidly to the bone marrow endothelium by an integrin mediated process [7]. Once bound, they migrate through the endothelial layer, following which, some of them go on to form metastases. The process by which this occurs is poorly understood but it is known to involve a combination of enhanced cell motility and degradation of the basement membrane by degradative enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [8].

Once through the endothelial cell layer the epithelial cells coalesce and proliferate as a metastatic colony in the marrow space, where they disturb local bone cellular function and displace the red marrow homeostasis to the extent that skeletal integrity and marrow function ultimately becomes compromised. This eventually manifests clinically as bone related morbidity, bone marrow failure and ultimately, the death of the individual.

The bisphosphonate class of drugs has been shown to be of therapeutic benefit in reducing skeletal morbidity from bone metastases in breast cancer [9] and more recently, Zoledronic acid, the most potent of this class of drugs has been proven to reduce morbidity related events in prostate cancer [5]. This has been thought to be due to reduction in the osteoclast mediated bone resorption known to be associated with this disease [10,11]. However, an increasing number of reports have suggested that the later generation bisphosphonates containing an Imidazole ring have additional anti-metastatic and anti-cancer properties. The exact contribution of bone resorption inhibition vs. anti-cancer effects in the net reduction of skeletal morbidity by late generation bisphosphonates is unknown.

In vitro studies have demonstrated that the binding of breast and prostate cancer to bone surfaces is inhibited by Zoledronic acid [12] and the same group has suggested that this drug also has an inhibitory effect on cell proliferation. In experiments using prostate cancer cell lines a diminution in cellular migration was observed when cells were cultured with Zoledronic acid [13]. Similar effects have been noted with Zoledronic acid in breast cancer and it has been suggested that this mechanism is mediated by the effects of the drug acting on the cytoskeleton through a RhoA mediated mechanism and by inhibition of specific chemokines known to be involved in the development of bone metastases (such as stromal derived growth factor (SDF-1)) [14].

In addition to the anti-metastatic effects this class of drug has been shown to have a cytotoxic effect on the cancer cells themselves. In experiments in breast cancer Zoledronic acid was shown to promote apoptosis in in vitro [15–17] and further studies have now demonstrated this effect in prostate cancer [18,19]. The underlying mechanism of this effect remains to be determined but it may arise from inhibition of the mevalonate pathway. In an in vitro study using the prostate cancer cell line PC-3 and the nitrogen containing bisphosphonate Alendronate, the pro-apoptotic effects of the drug were arrested by competitive blockade of the inhibitory process using geranylgeranol and farnesol, [20] a finding suggesting that in prostate cancer at least, the effect of the drug on the mevalonate pathway may be an important mechanism in the induction of apoptosis.

To establish the effects of nitrogen and non nitrogen containing bisphosphonates on the binding, migration and proliferation of human primary prostate epithelial cells, this study has utilised established methods for coculturing isolated human bone marrow stroma [21] and human bone marrow endothelium [7] with isolated human prostate epithelial cells from benign and malignant human prostate tissue in order to determine whether prostate cancer is affected by this class of drugs. By utilising specific cytokine assays and assays of MMPs and their inhibitors we have then gone on to study the induced changes in the cytokine milieu associated with the different types of bisphosphonate, in an effort to understand the mechanisms underpinning the observed effects in relation to binding, motility and growth in prostate cancer.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

All tissue culture plastics, cell culture inserts and Matrigel® Basement Membrane Matrix were obtained from Becton Dickinson Labware, NJ, USA. All general reagents including fibronectin were purchased from Sigma-Aldridge, Poole, UK. All tissue culture medium and horse serum (HS) was from Invitrogen, Paisley, UK with the exception of Ham’s F12 media, PAA Laboratories, Austria and EBM-2 Bullet kit from Cambrex Bio Science Ltd., Berkshire, UK. Foetal calf serum (FCS) was supplied by Labtech International Ltd., Uckfield, East Sussex, UK. Worthington trypsin and collage-nase type I was from Lorne Laboratories Ltd., Twyford, UK. ABTS buffer and tablets were from Roche Diagnostics Ltd., Sussex, UK. Erythropoietin (EPO) was a kind gift from Dr J Chang, Christie Hospital, Manchester, UK.

2.2. Antibodies

Mouse anti-human pan cytokeratin was obtained from Sigma-Aldridge, Poole, UK; rabbit anti-mouse biotinylated and HRP antibodies from DAKO Ltd., Cambridge, UK and Vectastain Elite...
ABC kit from Vector Laboratories, CA, USA. ELISA kits were supplied from R&D Systems Europe Ltd., Abingdon, UK.

2.3. Bisphosphonates
Zoledronic acid, Pamidronate and Clodronate were a kind gift from Novartis Pharma, East Hampton, NJ, USA. Concentrations of drug were prepared in accordance to manufacturers guidelines and used in a range to incorporate therapeutic use.

3. Methods

3.1. Tissue collection
Human bone marrow stroma was obtained from volunteers undergoing surgery for benign disease. Briefly, cultures were grown to confluence by seeding at \(2 \times 10^6\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) in long-term bone marrow culture medium (LTBCM) (Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium at 350 mOsm, 10% FCS, 10% HS, \(5 \times 10^{-3}\) M hydrocortisone). The cultures were grown at 33 °C in 5% CO\(_2\) in air for 4–5 weeks until haemopoietically active areas were observed [22].

Prostate tissue was obtained from men undergoing trans-urethral resection of the prostate. Informed consent was obtained and ethical committee approval granted. Individual chips were halved, half being sent for histological examination, the remainder used for tissue culture. Prostate epithelial cells were isolated using the method described by Lang et al. [21]. Briefly, prostate chips were digested in collagenase (200 U ml\(^{-1}\)) and 0.1% (w/v) trypsin to produce a single cell suspension. After a series of washes, epithelial cells were isolated from fibroblasts by a density centrifugation step.

3.2. Cell lines
The malignant prostate cell line PC-3 (bone marrow metastasis derived), [23] was cultured in HAMS-F12 media supplemented with 7% FCS and 2 mM glutamine. Cultures were grown at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) in air.

The bone marrow endothelial cell line BMEC [24] was a kind gift from Dr Gracia Almeida-Porada (University of Nevada, Reno, NV, USA) and was cultured in EBM-2 Bullet kit/15% FCS and 2 mM L-Glutamine (EGM-2). BMEC flasks were pre-coated prior to cell culture by incubation at 37 °C for 1 hour with 50 μg/ml of fibronectin in PBS. Cultures were grown at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) in air and used up to passage 20.

3.3. Mix-CFC assay in methylcellulose
Using the standard assay as described by Coutinho et al. [22] fresh human bone marrow mononuclear cells were isolated by density centrifugation and \(2 \times 10^5\) cells were added to 30% FCS, 10% 5637 bladder carcinoma cell line conditioned medium, 1% deionised BSA, 2 U/ml EPO and 1.35% methylcellulose together with varying concentrations of bisphosphonates. Assays were plated in triplicate, then incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO\(_2\) and 5% O\(_2\) for 14 days. The numbers of granulocyte/macrophage (GM-CFC) and erythroid (BFU-E) colonies in each well were counted using an inverted microscope.

3.4. Permeability assay
A variation of the assay described by Watts and Woodcock was used [25]. Briefly cell culture inserts (1 μm pore size) were coated with fibronectin (50 μg/ml) and seeded with the BMEC cell line. These were cultured until tight cell junctions were formed. Once established 1 ml EGM-2 media was added to the abluminal (well) chamber of a 24 well plate, and 0.2 ml EGM-2 together with 100 μM of bisphosphonate to the luminal (insert) chamber, each test was carried out in duplicate. Three sets of controls were used, blank containing an insert with no BMEC cell line established, negative control with only BMEC present and no test drug added and a positive control being BMEC cells incubated with 0.5% trypsin. After incubation of 1 h at 37 °C, 16 μl of fluorescent dextran (10 mg/ml, mol.wt. 40,000) was added to the luminal chamber and incubated for a further 30 minutes. The inserts were removed and scanned using a Biolite FC500 fluorescence plate reader together with a serial dilution of F-dextran to form a standard curve. Percentage permeability of each monolayer was calculated from the standard curve, normalised to the media control (0% permeability) and the trypsin control (100% permeability) and expressed as percentage endothelial monolayer permeability.

3.5. Binding assay
Using the method described by Scott et al. [7] the BMEC cell line or human bone marrow stroma were seeded into 96 well plates, tissue culture plastic (TCP) alone was used as a control. Once established, \(5 \times 10^4\) PC-3 cells/well were added in HAMS-F12: LTBCM (1:1, v:v) together with varying concentrations of bisphosphonates. Binding was allowed to take place over a 1 or 24 hr period at 37 °C after which any unbound cells were removed and the plate fixed with methanol:acetone (1:1, v:v). Plates were blocked with 20% rabbit serum then 3% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and labelled with a pan-cytokeratin antibody diluted 1:3200 (v:v) followed by an anti-mouse HRP antibody (1:400). Finally, the plates were washed with PBS, then dH\(_2\)O and once
with the ABTS buffer. ABTS (2,2′-Azino-di-[3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulfonate (6)] diammonium salt crystals) solution (substrate for horse radish peroxidase) was prepared according to manufacturers instructions, added to the plate and allowed to develop for 45 minutes. Optical density was read at 405 nm on an ELISA plate reader.

3.6. Invasion assay

Cell culture inserts (8 μm pore size) were coated with Matrigel diluted 1:25 (v:v) with DMEM and incubated for 2 hr at 37 °C. The inserts were placed in a 24 well plate containing 1 ml of DMEM/0.1% BSA with TCP, BMS or BMEC at the base. PC-3 cells (1 × 10⁵ cells in 0.5 ml of DMEM/0.1% BSA) were seeded into the top of the inserts together with varying concentrations of bisphosphonates. The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 18 hr, after which the inserts were removed, washed in PBS and the non-invading cells together with the Matrigel removed from the top of the insert by wiping with a cotton bud. The inserts were stained in 2% crystal violet/20% methanol and left to air dry. Cells on the bottom of the insert were counted according to manufacturer’s instructions. Each experiment was carried out in duplicate.

Alternatively, an endothelial barrier was formed using Matrigel as a base in the insert with a confluent layer of BMEC cells on top. For this assay inserts were fixed in methanol:acetone (1:1, v:v) for 20 min instead of fixing in crystal violet. Inserts were stained for cytokeratin by immunocytochemistry to distinguish between the numbers of epithelial cells that had invaded compared to endothelial cells.

3.7. Inhibition assay

Using the method described by Hart et al. [8] BMS was seeded and grown to confluence in 24 well plates. Primary prostatic epithelial cells were added at a concentration of 1 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹ in Keratinocyte-SFM:LTBCM (3:1, v:v). Bisphosphonates were added at differing concentrations and the co-culture left for 72 hours at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ and air before being fixed with methanol: acetone (1:1, v:v). These cultures were labelled for cytokeratin using immunohistochemistry. Briefly, cultures were incubated with mouse antihuman pan cytokeratin 1:200 for 2 hr at room temperature after blocking with 10% rabbit serum then 0.3% hydrogen peroxide. This was followed by addition of biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse 1:400 for 40 min at room temperature. A complex of avidin DH and biotinylated horseradish peroxidase H (Vectastain Elite ABC kit) was added for 15–20 min, followed by the addition of DAB substrate for 5–10 min. Resulting colonies were quantified both numerically and in terms of area by measuring with an eyepiece graticule. The experiment was carried out in duplicate and replicated 4 times using benign or malignant prostate epithelial cells.

3.8. ELISA

Supernatants from the inhibition assay cultures (method described above) were collected during their removal to allow fixation of the culture at 72 hr. This media was centrifuged to remove any cell debris, then frozen in 200 μl aliquots at −80 °C. The test supernatants were defrosted together and run on R&D Systems ELISA kits according to manufacturer’s protocols.

4. Results

4.1. Therapeutic window determination for zoledronic acid

The in vitro therapeutic window for Zoledronic acid was assessed based on the observation that in clinical studies with Zoledronic acid patients treated with the drug have a lower level of haemoglobin by comparison with controls [5]. We therefore used this clinical observation to determine the dose range for our in vitro study. The effect of Zoledronic acid, Pamidronate, Clodronate and EDTA on haemopoietic progenitors was assessed via the mixed assay described by Coutinho et al. [22]. Haemopoietic progenitors isolated from fresh human bone marrow were seeded at 2 × 10⁵ cells per well in the presence of 1.35% methycellulose, conditioned media and varying concentrations of bisphosphonate or EDTA (0–200 μM). The number of granulocyte/macrophage colonies (GM-CFC) and erythroid colonies (BFU-E) were counted 14 days post exposure to bisphosphonate.

Fig. 1a shows the total number of colonies formed in the presence of varying concentrations of EDTA, Clodronate, Pamidronate and Zoledronic acid. EDTA, Pamidronate and Clodronate had no effect on the ability of haemopoietic progenitors to generate GM-CSF of BFU-E colonies within the range (0–200 μM) tested. Zoledronic acid significantly reduced the numbers of colonies formed in the assay at concentrations above 100 μM resulting in an almost complete inhibition of colony formation. The effect of Zoledronic acid on the types of colonies formed is shown in Fig. 1b (BFU-E) and Fig. 1c (GM-CFC). Concentrations above 50 μM almost completely inhibit the formation of the erythroid BFU-E colonies with only 2.25 ± 1.5 colonies being generated in the presence of 50 μM. The effect is less marked on the formation of GM-CFC
colonies, 16.9 ± 6.6 colonies at 100 µM. At concentrations of 150 µM and above Zoledronic acid completely inhibited the formation of GM-CFC colonies.

4.2. Effect of zoledronic acid on PC-3 binding to bone marrow endothelial cells

Metastatic prostate epithelial cells after entering the blood circulation preferentially bind to the bone marrow endothelium. Therefore the effect of Zoledronic acid on the binding characteristics of prostate epithelial cells to bone marrow endothelium was determined using the method described by Scott et al. [7]. In the presence of varying concentrations of bisphosphonate or EDTA, PC-3 cells were allowed to bind to a confluent bone marrow endothelial cell monolayer for either 1 hr (Fig. 2a) or 24 hr (Fig. 2b) prior to staining and the optical density at 405 nm determined.

Fig. 2 shows that incubation with either concentrations of up to 100 µM Zoledronic acid, Pamidronate, Clodronate or EDTA for up to 24 hr had no significant (p ≥ 0.05) effect on the binding of PC-3 prostate epithelial cells to the bone marrow endothelial (BMEC) monolayer.

4.3. Effect of zoledronic acid on bone marrow endothelial monolayer permeability

For prostate epithelial cell to form colonies within the bone marrow stroma they must escape from the vasculature by invading through the bone marrow endothelium. To determine the effect that bisphosphonates may have on this endothelial layer a ‘Permeability Assay’ was used. This utilised migration of fluorescent dextran across a confluent bone marrow endothelial layer (BMEC), any alteration in the confluent endothelial layer would result in increases in the amount of F-dextran detected the other side.

The amount of F-dextran was determined from a standard curve and the data normalised to the negative media only control and the positive trypsin control. Table 1 shows the effect of 100 µM bisphosphonate or EDTA on the BMEC monolayer permeability. Only incubation with 100 µM EDTA resulted in a significant (p = 0.00667) increase in BMEC monolayer permeability (2.95%). Both Pamidronate and Clodronate had no significant effect on the permeability of the BMEC monolayer (p ≥ 0.05). Incubation with Zoledronic acid below concentrations of 100 µM had no significant effect on BMEC permeability. Incubation with 100 µM Zoledronic acid resulted in a small but significant (p = 0.03856) reduction in permeability as compared to the media only control (−3.80%).

4.4. Effect of zoledronic acid on PC-3 invasion through the bone marrow endothelium

We have previously shown that malignant prostate epithelial cells can invade through a synthetic basement membrane, Matrigel in response to stimuli from bone marrow stoma [7]. To determine the effect of Zoledronic acid and other bisphosphonates upon the ability of malignant cells to invade through a basement membrane, 1 × 10^5 PC-3 cells were loaded on Matrigel gel coated, 8 µM pore invasion chambers in the presence of varying concentrations of bisphosphonate

Table 1

Percentage permeability of bone marrow endothelial cell monolayer (BMEC) post incubation with 100 µM EDTA or bisphosphonate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Average percentage permeability</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoledronic acid</td>
<td>−3.80</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamidronate</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clodronate</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount of F-dextran crossing the bone marrow endothelial layer was determined from a standard curve prior to the data normalised to the negative media control (0%) and positive trypsin control (100%).
or EDTA and the number of invasive cells, in response to bone marrow stroma, counted and compared to the number of invasive cells in the absence of bisphosphonate (Fig. 3a). EDTA and Clodronate had no effect on the ability of PC-3 to invade through Matrigel, even at a concentration of 100 μM. Both Pamidronate and Zoledronic acid reduced the invasive ability of PC-3 cells in response to bone marrow stroma, with the greatest affect being observed with Zoledronic acid. Concentration of Pamidronate of 25 μM resulted in a significant decrease in invasion of 31% (p < 0.05) and a maximal decrease in invasion of 51% at 100 μM.

Zoledronic acid had a more potent effect on PC-3 invasion through Matrigel with an effect being observed at a concentration of 5 μM, resulting in a decrease in invasion of 30% (p = 0.016). The effect of Zoledronic acid increases with increasing concentration with a maximal effect at 100 μM in which a reduction of 71% (p = 0.003) was observed.

We increased the complexity of this in vitro model of invasion more closely to mimic the invasion process from the vasculature to the bone marrow stroma by including a bone marrow endothelium above the basement membrane. The 8 μm invasion chamber was coated with a Matrigel basement membrane, which supported a confluent layer of BMECs, primary human bone marrow stroma or tissue culture media. The inserts were seeded with PC-3 cells at 1 × 10⁵ cells per insert in the presence of varying concentrations of Zoledronic acid (Zol), Pamidronate (Pam), Clodronate (Clod) or EDTA. The number of PC-3 cells that had invaded through each layer at each concentration of drug was determined 18 h post seeding. ^p < 0.05 and ^^p < 0.005 (compared to untreated control).
PC-3 to invade through a bone marrow endothelial cell layer and a basement membrane. Unlike the previous model treatment with concentrations of Pamidronate below 40 \( \mu \text{M} \) had no effect on the invasive capability of PC-3 cells. The effect of higher concentrations of Pamidronate reduced invasion but there was only a 30% reduction in invasion at 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) by comparison with 51% in the basement membrane only model.

Treatment with Zoledronic acid resulted in a significant reduction in PC-3 invasion. Unlike the basement membrane model there was no significant reduction in invasion observed with 5 \( \mu \text{M} \) Zoledronic acid. Above this concentration Zoledronic acid had a marked effect, greater than that seen in the basement membrane model, on the invasive ability of PC-3 cells with a 40% reduction at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration \((p = 0.0136)\), increasing to 85% inhibition \((p < 0.001)\) at 40 \( \mu \text{M} \) and 91% \((p < 0.001)\) at 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Fig. 3b).

### 4.5. Effect of zoledronic acid on PC-3 colony formation in bone marrow stroma co-culture

Following invasion of the bone marrow endothelium malignant prostate epithelial cells must first bind to the bone marrow stroma and then proliferate to form a metastatic colony. The effect of varying concentrations of bisphosphonate and EDTA on the ability of PC-3 cells to bind to confluent primary human bone marrow stroma within either a 1 hr (Fig. 4a) or 24 hr (Fig. 4b) period was assessed. Bisphosphonates and EDTA do not have any effect on the ability of PC-3 cells to bind to bone marrow stroma accept at 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentrations of Zoledronic acid, which reduce the amount of binding within the first hour of incubation by 28.76% \((p = 0.006)\) (Fig. 4a). This concentration of Zoledronic acid did not however result in an overall reduction in binding to bone marrow stroma over a 24 hour period (Fig. 4b).

The effect of Zoledronic acid on the ability of prostate epithelial cells to generate colonies within a bone marrow stroma co-culture is shown in Fig. 5. We have previously shown that both non-malignant and malignant prostate epithelial cells grow preferentially within the bone marrow stroma [21]. We therefore co-cultured both non-malignant and malignant primary prostate epithelial cells with primary human bone marrow stroma in the presence of varying concentration of bisphosphonate or EDTA. Colonies were stained, counted and measured 72 hr post inoculation of the bone marrow stroma with the prostate epithelial cells. The average colony size for each concentration of bisphosphonate was then compared to prostate epithelial colony size co-cultured in the absence of bisphosphonate. Both EDTA and Clodronate did not significantly affect the non-malignant (Fig. 5a) or malignant (Fig. 5b) colony sizes at concentrations of up to 40 \( \mu \text{M} \).

Both Pamidronate and Zoledronic acid reduced the prostate epithelial colony size in co-culture, with Zoledronic acid showing the greatest effect. A significant decrease in non-malignant colony size was observed with concentration of Pamidronate \( \geq 30 \mu \text{M} \), with a 14% and 21% reduction at 30 \( \mu \text{M} \) and 40 \( \mu \text{M} \) respectively. This effect was also observed with co-cultures
containing malignant epithelial cells. The reduction in colony size was greater and occurred at lower concentrations of Zoledronic acid. Concentrations of 10 μM Zoledronic resulted in a 17% and 26.5% reduction of prostate epithelial colony size in non-malignant and malignant co-cultures respectively. The reduction in colony size increased with increasing concentrations of Zoledronic acid resulting in reductions of 51% and 66.5% at 40 μM in non-malignant and malignant co-cultures respectively. Typical colonies formed in the varying concentrations of Zoledronic acid and at 40 μM concentrations of Pamidronate, Clodronate or EDTA are shown in Fig. 5c.

4.6. Effect of zoledronic acid on the cytokine milieu in prostate epithelial/bone marrow co-cultures

We have previously shown that MMP-1 and MMP-7 are necessary for malignant prostate epithelial cells to invade through the bone marrow stroma and form colonies in bone marrow stroma co-culture. Blocking MMP activity resulted in reduction in colony size [8]. To assess the effect of Zoledronic acid had on specific MMP’s, supernatants were collected from malignant primary prostate epithelial cells co-cultured with bone marrow stroma for 72 hr in the presence of 100 μM EDTA or bisphosphonate and the concentration of MMP-1 and MMP-7 determined by ELISA. We also determined the expression of the MMP inhibitors TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 and the cytokines TGFβ, VEGF and GM-CSF (Table 2). The concentration of each enzyme and cytokine was then compared to the media only control to determine the percentage up or down regulation resulting from 100 μM drug treatment (Table 2).

Unlike EDTA all the bisphosphonates induced increased expression of MMP-1 with Pamidronate and Clodronate inducing approximately double the amount of MMP-1 (121% and 94% respectively). However, only Zoledronic acid had a marked effect on the level of MMP-7 expression, reducing levels of MMP-7 in the media by 44% in respect to the no drug controls. The levels of TIMP-1 were reduced by drug treatment as were the levels of TIMP-2 after EDTA and Pamidronate treatment. Unlike Pamidronate,
Table 2
Effect of bisphosphonate treatment on the cytokine milieu of prostate epithelial/bone marrow co-cultures

| Concentration in supernatant (ng ml⁻¹) and percentage difference to control |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| EDTA              | Zoledronic acid   | Pamidronate        | Clodronate         |
| MMP-1             | –                 | 0.316 (+63)        | 0.427 (+121)       | 0.376 (+94)       |
| MMP-7             | 1.911 (+3)        | 0.642 (–44)        | 1.082 (–6)         | 1.157 (–)         |
| TIMP-1            | 398.3 (–16)       | 346.9 (–27)        | 411 (–13.3)        | 433.6 (–8.4)      |
| TIMP-2            | 0.061 (–10.9)     | 0.534 (+871)       | 0.04 (–27)         | 0.088 (+60)       |
| TGFβ              | 720.9 (–37)       | 1319.7 (+13)       | 485 (–58)          | 434.6 (–97)       |
| VEGF              | 2.137 (–7)        | 2.07 (–17)         | 2.17 (–5)          | 2.14 (–7)         |
| GM-CSF            | 89.3 (–9.8)       | 56.07 (–43)        | 84.42 (14.8)       | 86.9 (–12.3)      |

The concentration of enzymes and cytokines in the supernatants from malignant primary prostate epithelial cells co-cultured with bone marrow stroma is shown in parentheses.

Clodronate treatment resulted in marked increase in TIMP-2 expression, 60% greater than background. Zoledronic acid induced the greatest levels of TIMP-2 expression of all treatments, with expression of 867% (0.534 ng/ml) above untreated control levels. All drug treatments reduced the levels of VEGF, GMCSF and except for Zoledronic acid TGF-β, in which an increase in expression was observed. In both VEGF and GM-CSF expression Zoledronic acid treatment resulted in the greatest reduction in expression (17% and 43% respectively).

5. Discussion

The geminal bisphosphonates are a relatively new class of drugs which have been developed as agents to decrease bone resorption in benign and malignant disease. However, more recent work has documented anti-cancer properties in the more potent of these, notably, inhibitory effects on cancer cell proliferation [26] and promotion of apoptosis [27]. This effect has been noted recently in prostate cancer cell lines in vitro. Studies have shown that various bisphosphonates, in particular Zoledronic acid, have been shown to inhibit prostate cellular growth [18,19] through mechanisms thought to be related to promotion of apoptosis via Ras prenylation [17,19].

In addition, there is evidence suggesting that there is added potential for the more potent Nitrogen containing bisphosphonates to be used as anti-metastatic agents. A report by Boissier et al. [12] has suggested that the addition of Zoledronic acid to prostate cancer cell lines in vitro results in a decrease in the capacity of these cells to bind at potential metastatic sites [12,26]. Such a property would have considerable potential as an important means of inhibiting metastatic spread. In this reported series of experiments we have used established models of metastatic binding and growth to study the behaviour of isolated human prostate cells and cell lines in human bone marrow endothelium and bone marrow stroma to determine the extent of binding, migration and growth inhibition of prostate cancer cells by bisphosphonates. This done, we have gone on to explore some of the mechanisms by which this process is inhibited.

The first step in the development of a metastatic deposit is at the point where the malignant cell binds to the endothelial surface at the metastatic site (e.g. bone marrow). Once the cell migrates through this layer it then has to bind to the stroma below (e.g. bone marrow stroma) before it can become established and grow. In this study, by contrast with other groups [12,26] we did not find an inhibition of the binding of prostate epithelial cells to the bone marrow. We believe that this is due to differences in methodology in the previous studies. In these experiments, cells were seeded and left in vitro for a 24 hr period before cell numbers were recorded. In previous work [7] we have shown that the process of cell-endothelial and cell – stromal binding is much more rapid than this, with the majority of cells being bound within 30 minutes and virtually all of them being bound within 60 minutes. The experiments described in this report are therefore much more representative of what actually happens in relation to epithelial/endothelial cell binding in vivo. With regard to the two previous studies relating to the “inhibition of binding”, we feel that the reduced cell count observed in these experiments by contrast with our report may have been a consequence of inhibition of proliferation of the bound cells by the bisphosphonate once the initial binding step had taken place on unmineralised and mineralised osteoblastic extra-cellular matrices [12] or plastic [26] rather than a specific inhibition of binding per se.

Our results did confirm that Zoledronic acid had a significant inhibitory effect on the ability of cells to
migrate. Such effects were not seen with the concentrations of Clodronate or Pamidronate used within this study. Studies by Boissier et al. have shown that Clodronate can inhibit prostate epithelial invasion but it is 50 million fold less potent than Zoledronic acid [13]. The likely cause of this is because Clodronate is not an amino bisphosphonate and as such, it has no effect as an inhibitor of the Mevalonate pathway [28]. The differential effect between Pamidronate and Zoledronic acid is likely to be a consequence of the difference in potency of the two compounds: Zoledronic acid is the most potent of the aminobisphosphonates [29].

Regarding cellular migration, one hypothesis we tested was that this was a consequence of changes in the permeability of the endothelial barrier consequent upon drug treatment. From our current work we know that prostate epithelial cells impinge on and migrate through the endothelial “tight junctions”. EDTA decreases the integrity of the endothelial layer by affecting the tight junction binding, thus increasing its permeability [30]. This is shown by the results in Table 1. The less potent drugs, Clodronate and Pamidronate, had no effect on the permeability of the barrier. This was also true of Zoledronic acid at conventional levels below 100 μM, although, when the levels of the latter were stepped up to 100 μM there was a decrease in the permeability, possibly arising as a consequence of the “tightening” of the tight cell junctions in the endothelial mono-layer. It is unlikely therefore that changes in the pattern of cell migration arise as a consequence of changes in the structural integrity of the endothelial barrier itself. However, in the co-culture invasion assays both with matrigel alone and especially with matrigel and a bone marrow endothelial layer there was a marked inhibition of cell invasion with Zoledronic acid at concentrations well below those required to “tighten” the endothelial barrier. This effect is almost certainly a consequence of changes in epithelial cell motility and/or the ability of epithelial cells to produce enzymes to degrade the basement membrane.

Specific measurements of motility were not undertaken in this series of experiments although the importance of this phenomenon in metastasis formation is well documented [21,31] and there is ample proof of principle in the scientific literature with regard to prostate and other tumours. Cell motility and migration is linked integrally to Ras and the GTP binding proteins Rho, Rac and Rab. These are important for many cellular functions including cytoskeletal assembly, intra-cellular signalling and cellular motility [32]. The nitrogen containing bisphosphonates such as Zoledronic acid inhibit the Mevalonate cellular signalling pathway [28] due to an inhibition of the enzyme pyrophosphate synthase [33,34]. This decreases the formation of the isoprenoid lipids, Farnesyl and Geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, which are required for the post-translational prenylation (transfer of fatty acid chains) of proteins including Ras, Rac, Rho and Rab. Their inhibition by a drug such as Zoledronic acid would therefore inhibit motility critical to cell migration and metastasis. In an elegant series of experiments [14] it has been shown that the invasive properties of the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 through matrigel are inhibited by Zoledronic acid at low concentrations (1 μM) and that this process is linked to inhibition of the RhoA protein. The authors in this study showed that the effect at this concentration in these cells is related specifically to inhibition of geranylgeranylation and that this results in a decrease in the translocation of RhoA from the cytoplasm to the cell membrane. This interference with the critical RhoA signalling mechanism may also be occurring in prostate cancer cells although this was not measured specifically in the experiments reported here.

Another factor observed in this study was the effect of Zoledronic acid on the levels of the degradative enzymes, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and their inhibitory controllers, Tissue Inhibitors of Matrix Metalloproteinase (TIMPs). There are currently 24 different MMPs described in the literature [35] and the rational for selecting MMPs 1 and 7 in this study was the known influence of these two forms of the enzyme on prostate cancer metastatic development [8]. Our results here showed that Zoledronic acid caused a significant reduction in MMP-7 levels and an even greater proportionate increase in the levels of its inhibitor TIMP-2. Denoyelle et al. [14] did not see an increase in MMP-2 and -9 levels at the 1 μM Zoledronic acid concentrations and on this basis argue that MMP degradation is not a factor in the inhibition of cellular migration. This is not a hypothesis which we would support. Our study shows that there was a clearly measurable change in the levels of MMP-7 and TIMP-2 and in particular, to changes in the balance of MMP and TIMP-2 levels (see below), following Zoledronic acid incubation. It is therefore likely that this measured phenomenon is one of the major reasons why the migration of prostate cancer cellular migration across the endothelial barrier is reduced. The difference between the results in the two studies might be accounted for by a number of reasons. Firstly, the cells under test are prostate rather than breast, secondly, the bisphosphonate concentrations at which MMP changes were measured are higher in our study (40 μM vs.
1 μM), but still potentially within a therapeutic range, and thirdly, there was an endothelial barrier in addition to matrigel in one arm of our invasion experiments, something which is more representative of the situation occurring in vivo.

The mechanism of MMP inhibition remains a matter of speculation although it is possible that this too is linked to the primary inhibition of the Mevalonate pathway and Ras inactivation. The inhibition of the Ras/Rho/Map Kinase pathway, linked through mevalonate pathway control, is known to inhibit MMP production in some tumour cell types [36] and inhibition of Ras in vitro will also block the production of MMPs [37]. Denoyelle et al. [14] propose that the action of Zoledronic acid is through the geranylgeranylation route and not through farnesylation. By this hypothesis they propose that the action of Zoledronic acid is not through Ras but solely through the RhoA mechanism. Whilst these observations may be true at the lower concentrations of Zoledronic acid (1 μM) used in their experiments on breast cancer cells, they may not be at the higher concentrations such as the 40 μM used in this study. We would argue that on the basis of our results there is a definite change measurable in the MMP/TIMP levels and that this may theoretically be linked directly through Ras inhibition.

The inhibitory effect on prostate cancer cellular proliferation is demonstrated clearly at concentrations which should be achievable in a therapeutic setting and in addition, the differential effect of the amino and non-amino bisphosphonates has been demonstrated once more. We also studied the effects on the haematological system. The rationale for this was the small but non-significant fall in haemoglobin levels observed when Zoledronic acid is administered to prostate cancer patients in the clinical setting [5]. We used the Mix assay [22] to look at the effect on haematological precursors and to identify a “therapeutic window”. Studies to date have differed widely in the estimation of the concentration of bisphosphonate at tissue interfaces in vivo. They range from 800 μM at the osteoclast/bone interface [38] to 1 μM in microscopic tumour nests in bone [39]. We found that Zoledronic acid was by far the most potent agent tested in relation to its effect on bipotent haematological progenitors. However, these effects only occurred at the concentrations of >50 μM. At concentrations below this there was still some effect but it was much reduced. There does therefore seem to be a therapeutic window at the 40 μM level, a concentration at which anti-proliferative and anti-migration effects were observed in relation to Zoledronic acid.

Our experiments support recently published work regarding the anti-proliferative effect of Zoledronic acid in prostate [19] and other epithelial cancers [40] and confirms its superior efficacy by comparison with other amino and non-amino bisphosphonates [18], being 3 fold more potent than Pamidronate [26]. The likely anti-proliferative mechanism is the promotion of apoptosis, as has been discussed previously [17,19]. However, the observed changes in MMP-7 and TIMP-2 seen in this study may add an extra dimension to the discussion as to why proliferation is reduced. It has been shown that activated MMP-7 is fundamental to the ability of prostate cancer cells to proliferate in bone marrow stroma in vitro and that MMP-7 is widely distributed in human prostatic bone metastases [8]. Gene expression analysis have shown that whilst the levels of MMP-7 expression does not differ between normal and metastatic prostate tissue, TIMP-2 is down regulated in metastatic disease [41]. This MMP/TIMP-2 imbalance favours expression of active MMPs leading to degradation of the extracellular matrixes and subsequent tumour invasion and metastases. Such an imbalance has been detected in hepatocellular carcinoma in which the levels of MMP-2 remain statistically similar between patients with/without metastatic disease. However, the levels of serum TIMP-2 were significantly reduced in patients with metastatic disease [42]. It is therefore possible that the increase in TIMP-2 induced by Zoledronic acid results in a change in TIMP/MMP balance, favouring a non metastatic phenotype, may have an additional contribution to the anti-proliferative effects of this drug, as well as to its anti-invasive properties.

In conclusion, our results show that although Zoledronic acid has no demonstrable effect on epithelial/endothelial binding or on the structural integrity of the endothelial barrier in terms of its general permeability, it has a significant inhibitory action on cell migration across the bone marrow endothelial barrier. This inhibition is at least partly related to changes in matrix-metalloproteinase levels and their tissue inhibitors, particularly decreases in MMP-7 and increases in TIMP-2 levels. These findings lend weight to the proposal that this compound has significant potential for use as an anti-metastatic agent. Thus, beyond its efficacy in the treatment of bone metastases, Zoledronic acid might also be effective in the prevention of bone metastases from prostate cancer, as already shown in animal models [27]. We have also confirmed the work of others that the Aminobisphosphonates have significant anti-proliferative activity against prostate cancer cell lines but additionally, they are effective against primary human prostate cancer cells in vitro in co-culture with human bone marrow.
The anti-proliferative effects of Zoledronic acid also inhibit haematological precursors but this seems to be at a drug concentration level above that which affects epithelial proliferation and migration.
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Editorial Comment
A. Heidenreich, Cologne, Germany
axel.heidenreich@uk-koeln.de

The clinical application of bisphosphonates (BP) besides a mere palliative indication for pain management [1] has regained new interest since the positive results of zoledronic acid to not only reduce the frequency of skeletal related events but also to prolong results of zoledronic acid to not only reduce the frequency of skeletal related events but also to prolong.

Montague et al. are to be congratulated to a very sophisticated in-vitro study on the potential properties of bisphosphonates (BP) to inhibit invasion and proliferation of prostate cancer cells in the bone marrow which might have some significant impact on the future strategies to manage high risk prostate cancer (PCA).

The authors identified several mechanisms shedding more light on the binding, migration and proliferation mechanisms of PCA cells to the human bone marrow stroma which, however, need some more explanation with regard to the translation of these data into daily clinical practice.

The process of bone metastases development is very complex and it is summarized very simply; the interested reader might study the more sophisticated review of Mundy [3]. Once PCA cells have detached from their intraprostatic primary and circulate in the peripheral blood, they very rapidly bind to the endothelium of the bone marrow (BM) by some osteogenic properties. Migration through the BM followed by coalescence and proliferation are some other important prerequisites for the formation of metastatic colonies. Proliferation, however, is the result of several autocrine and paracrine mechanisms triggered by the expression of several growth factors, direct stimulation of osteoclasts and osteoblasts resulting in the well known devastating skeletal morbidity of advanced PCA.

Using various in-vitro assays to examine the inhibiting properties of zoledronic acid (ZA) compared to pamidronate and clodronate with regard to inhibition of binding, penetration, invasion, and proliferation of PCA cells, Montague et al. found interesting results with potential future clinical impact.

Although none of the BP significantly inhibited binding of PC-3 cells to the BM endothelial, ZA significantly reduced the capability of PC-3 cells to invade the BM endothelial most probably due to the reduction of degradation enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) and their controlling TIMP. Similar results have already been described by others examining the effects of alendronate and ibandronate [4,5]. In addition, both, pamidronate and ZA reduced the formation of metastatic colonies with a more pronounced effect for ZA at dose levels which can be achieved under clinical conditions.

Translating these experiments into an in-vivo model could reproduce the data [6–8]. Treatment of animals with ZA and ibandronate prior to the inoculation of PCA cells into the bone marrow or the systemic circulation resulted in a significant reduction of metastatic colonies and a significant inhibition of the synthesis of MMPs, TGF-β, collagen-1 and osteoclast activity. Treatment following the inoculation of PCA cells in the BM had no preventive effect on the formation of metastases.

In addition, BP exert a synergistic effect with some cytotoxic agents such has the taxane paclitaxel. Combination of alendronate and paclitaxel resulted in a significant reduction of the number of bone metastases and visceral metastases as well as a significant prolongation of survival in animals [7,8].

In conclusion, the in-vitro data presented by Montague et al. and others in combination with the published in-vivo data of experimental treatment studies in SCID mice underline the potential properties of the new nitrogen-containing BP in the early management of high risk PCA following local therapy with curative intent. Currently, two prospective randomized clinical trials are under way to examine the preventive effects of zoledronic acid and ibandronate. The first trial supported by the EAU compares the clinical efficacy of zoledronate versus placebo in order to reduce skeletal events in high risk PCA. The second trial supported by the Association of Oncological Urology of
the German Cancer Society compares the clinical efficacy of adjuvant androgen deprivation versus AD plus oral ibandronate versus AD, oral ibandronate and systemic docetaxel to optimize recurrence-free survival in high risk patients following radical prostatectomy [1].

References