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Article abstract—Objective: To characterize and quantify the patterns of temporal lobe atrophy in AD vs semantic dementia and to relate the findings to the cognitive profiles. Medial temporal lobe atrophy is well described in AD. In temporal variant frontotemporal dementia (semantic dementia), clinical studies suggest polar and inferolateral temporal atrophy with hippocampal sparing, but quantification is largely lacking. Methods: A volumetric method for quantifying multiple temporal structures was applied to 26 patients with probable AD, 18 patients with semantic dementia, and 21 matched control subjects. Results: The authors confirmed the expected bilateral hippocampal atrophy in AD relative to controls, with involvement of the amygdala bilaterally and the right parahippocampal gyrus. Contrary to expectations, patients with semantic dementia had asymmetric hippocampal atrophy, more extensive than AD on the left. As predicted, the semantic dementia group showed more severe involvement of the temporal pole bilaterally and the left amygdala, parahippocampal gyrus (including the entorhinal cortex), fusiform gyrus, and the inferior and middle temporal gyri. Performance on semantic association tasks correlated with the size of the left fusiform gyrus, whereas naming appeared to depend upon a wider left temporal network. Episodic memory measures, with the exception of recognition memory for faces, did not correlate with temporal measures. Conclusions: Hippocampal atrophy is not specific for AD but is also seen in semantic dementia. Distinguishing the patients with semantic dementia was the severe global but asymmetric (left > right) atrophy of the amygdala, temporal pole, and fusiform and inferolateral temporal gyri. These findings have implications for diagnosis and understanding of the cognitive deficits in AD and semantic dementia.
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The topic under investigation in this study is the fate of different temporal lobe structures in two diseases that present with impairment of aspects of memory: AD and semantic dementia (SD). The earliest and most prominent symptom in AD is a profound impairment in the ability to acquire and remember new information whether tested by recall or recognition.1–3 Semantic memory, the database of conceptual knowledge that gives meaning to sensory experience, is eventually affected in AD but early in the disease patients show mild and variable impairment of semantic memory. Many patients have purely episodic memory impairment for a number of years.4,5 In SD, the presenting feature is a profound breakdown in semantic memory, but in contrast to AD, episodic memory is relatively spared in SD, as judged by the patients’ preserved orientation, recall of recent autobiographical events, and recognition-based tests of anterograde memory for pictures.6–8

Visual inspection of MRI brain scans has suggested that the hippocampal complex is preserved in SD compared to AD, and this might explain the patients’ normal, or near normal, performance on episodic memory tests.7,9 The semantic deficit in SD has been thought to reflect the severe atrophy of polar and inferolateral temporal regions seen on visual inspection.7,9 Furthermore, the severity of semantic deficit has been found to correlate with the degree of inferolateral, and not with frontal, atrophy.10 The only existent quantitative study of SD involved six patients using an automated voxel-by-voxel based morphometric method, in which individual subjects’ brains are compared with a normalized template. While confirming the...
severe atrophy of the polar and inferolateral brain regions, this study suggested sparing of the hippocampus and parahippocampal structures. Atrophy of the medial temporal lobe in AD has been established using rating scales, measures of hippocampal width and volumes, and measures of entorhinal cortical volume. Serial MRI coregistration techniques suggest that the atrophy may be more widespread, involving the cortex diffusely even at an early stage of the disease. It is still unclear whether the medial–temporal atrophy measured in these studies is specific for AD or can also be a feature of other dementias, because few studies to date have compared AD to other forms of degenerative disease. One notable exception is a pair of studies that compared AD and frontotemporal dementia (FTD), first using linear measurements and subsequently volumetric techniques, and found medial temporal involvement. In both studies, however, patients with progressive aphasia and prominent temporal lobe pathology were excluded; hence, the results, although of interest, do not address the question of primary focus here.

The principal aims of the current study were to characterize and quantify the pattern of atrophy in temporal lobe subregions in patients with SD and mild to moderate stage AD and to relate these findings to the pattern of neuropsychological deficits found in SD and AD. To achieve this aim we applied a volumetric method derived from other work. We hypothesized that 1) patients with AD would show hippocampal and possibly parahippocampal gyral atrophy, and 2) the SD group would have polar and inferolateral temporal lobe atrophy, predominantly on the left, with relative sparing of the hippocampus.

Methods. Subjects. A total of 65 subjects participated: 26 with AD, 18 with SD, and 21 controls. The patients all presented to the Memory Disorders Clinic in Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, over the period 1991 to 1998 and are part of a longitudinal project of cognitive work. We hypothesized that 1) patients with AD would show hippocampal and possibly parahippocampal gyral atrophy, and 2) the SD group would have polar and inferolateral temporal lobe atrophy, predominantly on the left, with relative sparing of the hippocampus.

Table 1 Demographic data from the subject groups at the approximate time of imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Semantic dementia</th>
<th>ANOVA p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male:female ratio</td>
<td>12:9</td>
<td>17:9</td>
<td>10:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age, y</td>
<td>69.1 (7.7)</td>
<td>69.1 (7.6)</td>
<td>62.7 (7.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) duration of symptoms, y</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.8 (3.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) duration of follow-up, y</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.6 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR, mean (SD)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) years of education</td>
<td>10.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>10.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>8.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE, mean (SD)</td>
<td>29.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>22.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>21.2 (6.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Semantic dementia group younger than the other two groups on Tukey test (p < 0.05).
b AD and semantic dementia groups both worse than control group on Tukey test (p < 0.05).
The groups are well matched in terms of the functional impact of disease. The clinical diagnosis in our patients has been validated with longitudinal follow-up of at least 2.5 years including regular neuropsychological assessment and observed clinical deterioration. It should be noted that the classification of patient group was independent of the analysis described here. Although none of the patients in this study have come to postmortem yet, 65 patients in our longitudinal project have had pathological examination. Of the 30 patients who had a clinical diagnosis of AD, all had AD pathology (with or without a mild degree of concomitant vascular or Lewy body pathology). Fifteen patients had a clinical diagnosis of FTD (including five with SD), and all had non-Alzheimer pathology: six had tau-positive Pick bodies, three non-specific gliosis without Pick bodies, two corticobasal ganglionic degeneration, and four ubiquitin-positive inclusions characteristic of motor neuron disease–associated dementia. The subgroup of five patients with SD all had Pick bodies or non-specific changes.

Control subjects were all healthy volunteers obtained via the subject panel at the MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit. These subjects underwent the same extensive neuropsychological testing as patients and all fell within normal range (see table 1). Subjects with a history of alcoholism, substance abuse, major head injury, or epilepsy or other neurologic disorders were excluded.

Imaging. MRI was performed on a 1.5-T GE Signa MRI scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). In all subjects, contiguous MR images of the entire brain were obtained using a volumetric (three-dimensional), inversion recovery prepared, fast gradient echo sequence and the following settings: repetition time = 13.5 ms, echo time = 4.2 ms, inversion time = 650 ms, field of view = 22 cm, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, matrix 256 × 256, 1 excitation. The number of slices was chosen to encompass the whole brain and varied between 116 and 124 for different subjects. Total acquisition time was approximately 9 minutes. Straight coronal images perpendicular to the horizontal plane were obtained. After inspection, the acquired three-dimensional image data set occasionally needed resectioning in order to provide a consistent orientation relative to the brain anatomy across different subjects. This was due to patient movement between the pilot and three-dimensional acquisitions. When needed, these small angular rotations were performed by the Analyze software package (Biomedical Imaging Resource, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN). This was necessary for 11 MRI data sets (six AD, four control, one SD).

MRI volumetric measurements. Volumetric analysis was made by a single observer (C.J.G.) who was blind to the subject’s details at time of volumetric assessment. The segmentation and data collection took approximately 4 hours per patient. The regions of interest were manually traced on 1.5-mm contiguous coronal slices using Analyze on a Sun Sparcstation 20 (Sun Microsystems, San Francisco, CA). Tracing proceeded from anterior to posterior for all of the temporal subvolumes measured; namely, the anterior temporal pole, hippocampus, amygdala, parahippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, and inferomedial and superior temporal gyri (figure 1). The intracranial cross-sectional area (in mm²) was measured at the slice showing the anterior commissure to correct for head size. In addition, a cross-sectional measure of brain atrophy was measured at the level of the splenium on the slice posterior to the hippocampi (figure 2). All anatomic landmarks were defined with reference to hippocampal and brain atlases. The definitions of the temporal subvolumes and their assumed anatomic correlates were as follows.

Figure 1. Illustration of the volumetric measures and corresponding anatomy. Amg = amygdala, Hi = hippocampus, S = subiculum, PHG = parahippocampal gyrus, FuG = fusiform gyrus, ITG = inferior temporal gyrus, MTG = middle temporal gyrus, STG = superior temporal gyrus, TTG = transverse temporal gyrus. (Adapted from reference 24.)

Figure 2. Cross-sectional area of the brain at the level of the splenium.
**Temporal pole**—The anterior temporal structures (white and gray matter) were manually traced on all slices where distinguishable until the slice prior to closure of the lateral fissure. This encompassed predominantly temporal pole (Brodmann area [BA] 38) but may include small sections of entorhinal cortex, fusiform, inferior, and middle temporal gyri, and superior temporal gyri (BA 28, 36, 20, 21, and 22).

**Amygdala**—This structure was defined and segmented according an established technique. The anterior end of the amygdala was taken as the level of closure of the lateral sulcus to form the entorhinal sulcus. A small section of entorhinal cortex over the medial border was included in the measurement (although entorhinal cortex inferior to the tentorial indentation was excluded).

**Hippocampus**—The hippocampus (including subiculum) was defined and segmented according to the technique above but with a few changes. The posterior extent of measurement was the slice on which the sylvian aqueduct (cerebral aqueduct) was visible and the fornix was not included in the posterior slices unless it was embedded in the hippocampal structure. The inferior border was the white matter of the parahippocampal gyrus. This measure is thought to include hippocampal fields CA1 to CA4, dentate gyrus, and subiculum.

The nonhippocampal medial and lateral temporal lobe structures were segmented using a “wheel and spoke” method (see figure 1). The reference point for the segmentation was the most lateral point of the temporal horn on each slice. This technique was applied to all slices starting with the first slice on which the temporal horn of the lateral ventricle was visible, and ending at the same end slice for hippocampal measurements.

**Parahippocampal gyrus**—The anatomic correlates of this measure are thought to include most of the entorhinal cortex (BA 28) and some of the perirhinal cortex in the wall of the collateral sulcus (BA 35).

**Fusiform gyrus**—The neuroanatomic correlates of this measure were thought to be BA 36 and a small part of BA 35.

**Inferior and middle temporal gyri**—Owing to variability across subjects these areas were taken together. The medial border was the line from the reference point to the inferior temporal sulcus and the superolateral border was the inferomedial border of the superior temporal gyrus measure. The assumed anatomic correlates for this measure were BA 20 and 21.

**Superior temporal gyrus**—The anatomic correlates of this measure are thought to be the superior temporal gyrus and transverse temporal gyrus, BA 22 with some 41 and 42.

The inferred anatomic correlates of our measures are illustrated in figure 1. The validation of this method was assessed with intrarater reliability measures by repeated measurements on five blinded patient scans. The coefficient of reliability, a measure of the observed disagreement/chance expected disagreement, was 0.99 for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>ANOVA p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Adult Reading Test predicted IQ</td>
<td>114.6 (11.2)</td>
<td>107.6 (11.5)</td>
<td>85.4 (9.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodic memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rey recall (36*)</td>
<td>19.6 (5.2)</td>
<td>3.9 (4.6)</td>
<td>12.6 (9.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical memory (23*)</td>
<td>10.2 (4.7)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.7)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate recall</td>
<td>8.0 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed recall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition memory test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word short version (25*)</td>
<td>24.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>16.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>20.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faces short version (25*)</td>
<td>24.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>20.2 (3.1)</td>
<td>19.8 (3.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category fluency</td>
<td>47.5 (18.7)</td>
<td>32.5 (12.2)</td>
<td>15.9 (13.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naming (64*)</td>
<td>62.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>57.7 (7.1)</td>
<td>29.1 (23.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyramids and palm trees semantic association test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word version (52*)</td>
<td>51.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>49.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>39.4 (8.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture version (52*)</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>49.5 (2.7)</td>
<td>40.1 (9.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (±SD).

* Maximum scores are in parenthesis.
† Logical memory (immediate and delayed story recall from the Wechsler Memory Scale–Revised). 29

a Ad group worse than other two groups on Tukey test (p < 0.05).
b Semantic dementia (SD) group worse than other two groups on Tukey test (p < 0.05).
c AD and SD groups both worse than control group on Tukey test (p < 0.05).
d SD group worse than AD group on Tukey test (p < 0.05).
NT = not tested.
Table 3 Results of volumetric analysis of each brain region by group (volumes normalized and expressed as mm³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Controls (C)</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Post hoc analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intra cranial area</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial area</td>
<td>12699</td>
<td>9876–15023</td>
<td>12590</td>
<td>10860–15076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area measure of general</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>57.6–70.8</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>44.3–67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brain atrophy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal pole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>57.6–155.7</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>58.8–125.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>68.3–147.2</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>44.9–129.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>12.3–20.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>7.7–16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.1–20.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>9.2–16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>22.6–34.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>16.3–31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>20.6–35.8</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>12.5–33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>23.3–41.5</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>18.9–39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>22.5–38.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>10.9–36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>25.8–48.9</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>19.8–42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>20.0–45.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>13.2–36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior and middle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temporal gyrus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>80.8–159.0</td>
<td>104.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>83.8–153.5</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>53.1–156.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>59.1–132.9</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>74.4–119.5</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>40.7–124.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Main effect p < 0.0005.

ANOVA and Tukey post hoc comparisons. The effects of age on the volumetric results were not significant when analyzed using linear regression; therefore, unadjusted means were compared. The post hoc significance tests were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction such that the significant value for post hoc tests in table 2 was <0.001 and for the post hoc tests shown in the online version of this article (www.neurology.org) was <0.0009.

**Results.** Neuropsychological measures. The results, shown in table 2, confirm the differing cognitive profiles between groups. There was a significant difference in National Adult Reading Test scores with the SD group scoring below the control and AD groups, which reflects the surface dyslexia typically observed in SD. As predicted, the AD group had significantly lower scores than the other two groups on most tests of episodic memory including Recognition Memory for words and recall of the Rey figure, as well the copy of the Rey figure, a

anterior temporal pole, 0.85 for the amygdala, 0.95 for the hippocampus, 0.91 for the parahippocampal gyrus, 0.99 for the fusiform gyrus, 0.96 for the inferior and middle temporal gyri, and 0.96 for the superior temporal gyri. The coefficient of variation of these measures was under 6% in all cases except for the amygdala, which was 9% (for supplementary data, please access www.neurology.org and access the title link for this article). The online version of this article, at www.neurology.org, also includes scan–rescan validation, where five control subjects were scanned twice within a few weeks; the rater was blind to name and date of scan. The volumetric data were normalized using the cross-sectional area measure as (volume/intracranial area) × 100. Volume loss was defined as percent difference from the control mean.

**Statistical analysis.** The data were analyzed using SPSS 10.0 for Windows (Chicago, IL). Demographic and neuropsychological variables were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc Tukey HSD tests when appropriate. The mean scores on the rating scales and the volumetric analysis were also assessed using ANOVA and Tukey post hoc comparisons. The effects of age on the volumetric results were not significant when analyzed using linear regression; therefore, unadjusted means were compared. The post hoc significance tests were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction such that the significant value for post hoc tests in table 2 was <0.001 and for the post hoc tests shown in the online version of this article (www.neurology.org) was <0.0009.
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visuospatial task. The patients with SD were impaired on the episodic tasks compared to controls but not as severely impaired as the AD group, except on recognition memory for faces and logical memory (immediate and delayed recall), which was equivalent in AD and SD. By contrast, on tests of semantic memory, although the mean scores of the AD group were a little lower than the controls, their performance was within normal limits on all of these assessments except for category fluency. The SD group, on the other hand, showed the expected profound deficits on both verbal and visually based semantic tasks (category fluency, semantic battery naming, and the Pyramids and Palm trees test of associative semantic knowledge for pictures and words). Performance on visuoperceptual and visuospatial tasks, as tested by the Visual Object and Space Perception Battery, was unimpaired in all groups.

**Volumetric results.** The mean corrected volumes of the various regions together with the percentage loss compared to the control mean are shown in table 3. In figure 3 the differences between the AD and SD groups are highlighted. A series of ANOVA revealed highly significant main group effects for the temporal pole, amygdala, hippocampus, parahippocampus, fusiform gyrus, and inferior and middle temporal gyri, all bilaterally ($p < 0.005$), and both superior temporal gyri ($p < 0.05$). Post hoc analyses confirmed that relative to controls, the AD group had significant atrophy of the amygdala and hippocampus bilaterally, and right sided atrophy of the parahippocampal gyrus. By contrast, the SD group showed significant atrophy, relative to controls, for all regions except the right hippocampus and the superior temporal gyri. Comparison of the two patient groups showed greater atrophy in SD than AD of the temporal pole bilaterally and, on the left, the amygdala, hippocampus, and the parahippocampal, fusiform, and inferior and middle temporal gyri. The distribution of the temporal pole and hippocampal volumes for each subject are shown in figure 4, A and B: the AD and SD groups can be distinguished on the basis of the temporal pole volumes, but there was considerable overlap in hippocampal volumes among the three groups. We confirmed this impression by performing a discriminant analysis to compare the ability of the temporal pole and hippocampal volumes to discriminate between groups. Comparing between groups, the temporal pole volumes were able to correctly classify 91% of the AD and SD groups, 92% of the SD and control groups, and could not distinguish between the AD and control groups. The left hippocampal volume correctly distinguished 59% of the AD and SD groups, 85% of the SD and control groups, and 75% of AD and control groups. It is notable that in the AD group an equivalent proportion of cases showed left and right hippocampal atrophy, but for SD a far greater proportion have small left-sided hippocampal volumes.

**Results by severity.** To examine the effects of the stage of disease, as measured by severity, the AD and SD groups were divided into mild and moderate subsets on the basis of a cut-off Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of 22. We predicted early involvement of the temporal pole in SD, with preservation of medial temporal structures until later in the disease. Likewise, one might expect the milder AD subgroup to have atrophy restricted to the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus, with spread to other temporal areas only in the moderate group. The comparisons of the mild and moderate AD and SD groups with the control group are shown in the online version of this article (www.neurology.org). In keeping with our prediction, even patients in the mild SD subgroup were found to have bilateral temporal polar and amygdalar atrophy bilaterally, plus left-sided atrophy of the hippocampus, parahippocampal, fusiform, and inferior and middle temporal gyri. In the moderate SD group, the atrophy also involved the right parahippocampal, fusiform, and inferior and middle temporal gyri. It is notable that the right hippocampal volume in both the early and late stage SD groups was no different from controls, although there was a trend in the later group ($p = 0.006$). In the mild AD subgroup, no region differed from the control group, although there was a trend in the left amygdala ($p = 0.003$). In the more demented AD group atrophy of the left amygdala and right parahippocampus reached significance although there was a trend for both hippocampi ($p < 0.005$).

**Correlation with neuropsychology.** The relationship of the temporal subregions to neuropsychological measures was analyzed using partial correlations adjusting for general brain atrophy. We explored the relationship between performance on semantic tasks and target brain

---

**Figure 3. Profile of mean temporal volume in patients with semantic dementia (SD) as a percent of mean AD temporal volume.** TP = temporal pole, AM = amygdala, HIP = hippocampus, PHG = parahippocampal gyrus, FUS = fusiform gyrus, INF/MID = inferior and middle temporal gyri, SUP = superior temporal gyrus. L = dark gray, R = light gray.
regions in a combined group of AD and SD patients with the assumption that the neural basis of semantic memory impairment is equivalent in the two clinicopathologic syndromes, both of which affect the temporal neocortex. Because the cause of the episodic memory impairment is likely to be multifactorial, the AD and SD groups were analyzed separately. After correction for multiple comparisons, the volume of the left fusiform correlated with all the semantic measures \( (r = 0.57 \text{ to } 0.67, p < 0.0005) \); additionally, the left temporal pole and inferior and middle temporal gyri correlate with the naming tests (semantic naming and category fluency) \( (r = 0.57 \text{ to } 0.63, p < 0.0005) \). The correlation matrix is shown in the online version of this article (www.neurology.org). There was no significant relationship between medial temporal lobe structures and semantic memory tasks. Surprisingly, there was very little correlation between episodic recall tasks and any anatomic region. The only significant correlation, after Bonferroni correction, was between the size of the right parahippocampal gyrus and the recognition memory test for faces in the AD group \( (r = 0.69, p < 0.0005) \). The correlations are shown in the online version of this article (www.neurology.org). Potential reasons for this result are debated in the discussion.

**Discussion.** Our study provides systematic assessment and quantification of the pattern of temporal lobe atrophy on MRI in SD and compares SD with AD. Contrary to prior reports based upon clinical assessment of MRI scans, we have demonstrated significant hippocampal atrophy in SD, which differed from that seen in AD in one respect: whereas in AD both hippocampi were involved symmetrically, in SD there was an asymmetric pattern. In addition, the patients with SD showed more extensive involvement of the temporal pole bilaterally as well as the left amygdala and parahippocampal, fusiform, and inferior and middle temporal gyri than did patients with AD. The SD group was distinguishable from the AD group by the gross atrophy of the polar and left inferolateral temporal areas.

Our study confirms the well-established finding of significant hippocampal atrophy in AD compared to controls and highlights the lack of clear differentiation between age-matched controls and patients with relatively early stage disease: the mean percentage of tissue loss was 16 to 19% with considerable overlap between controls and patients.

The most surprising finding of the study was the demonstration that the SD group had hippocampal atrophy. The mean hippocampal size was actually smaller on the left in the SD group than in the patients with AD. Hippocampal atrophy, therefore, is not disease or syndrome specific. Although the hippocampus has not previously been measured volumetrically in SD, a recent study of six cases using a voxel-based morphometric method suggested sparing of this structure in the context of global neocortical atrophy. The failure to identify hippocampal abnormality using the morphometric technique possibly reflects the limited resolution of the voxel-by-voxel method for small complex structures such as the hippocampus as the technique relies on the automated comparison of individual subjects with a normalized template derived from the amalgamation of a number of normal control MRI.

As mentioned previously, there is accumulating evidence that the entorhinal cortex, located in the parahippocampal gyrus, is affected in early AD.
Our volumetric method confirmed AD parahippocampal atrophy, which was equivalent in degree (13 to 19%) to the loss of hippocampal volume. The parahippocampal gyrus was even more severely affected in the SD than the AD group, and this reached significance for the left side (mean volume loss left 32%, right 23%). In a prior volumetric study of patients with FTD, which excluded those with SD, less severe atrophy of the hippocampus was found compared to their AD group, but equally severe entorhinal cortical atrophy (27 to 28% loss) with no laterality effects was present.19

The question of which neuroanatomic areas are affected early in the disease process in both AD and SD is of considerable interest. In this cross-sectional study we attempted to address this question by dividing the patients into subgroups based on MMSE score. On the basis of current neuropathologic evidence32,33 we predict that the entorhinal cortex (included in the parahippocampal measure) would show the earliest changes in AD with later involvement of the hippocampal complex proper. Quantitative neuropathologic data in SD are not available on which to base predictions but the neuropsychological data7-9 would predict anterolateral cortical atrophy with hippocampal sparing in the earliest stages. Surprisingly, in the mild AD group the first temporal area differing from the control group was the left amygdala. In the SD group, by contrast, the earliest changes appear to involve the temporal poles and amygdalae bilaterally together with the left parahippocampal, fusiform, and inferolateral gyri. Progression from mild to severe was characterized by spread of atrophy to the right parahippocampal gyrus and inferolateral cortices. These differing early profiles suggest that distinct neuronal networks are affected in the two diseases.

The remaining temporal lobe structures have been assessed very rarely in AD and not at all in SD. Our hypothesis that the polar, fusiform, and inferolateral temporal regions would be significantly more atrophied in the SD group, compared to AD, was upheld. Using similar volumetric measures, a 20% reduction in the fusiform gyrus in patients with AD has been demonstrated, which was significantly greater than in their controls, but this possibly reflects the more advanced state of their cases (mean MMSE 18.3).13

The findings in our AD group are compatible with the known distribution of neuropathologic changes in AD. The earliest site of neurofibrillary tangle and plaque pathology is thought to be the transentorhinal region with subsequent spread to the hippocampal complex before involvement of the lateral temporo-parietal multimodal association cortex.32,33 Most of our patients were at the stage of showing clear-cut amnesia plus other definite, but often mild, cognitive deficits, such as impaired category fluency or copy of the Rey figure; this pattern suggests that the bulk of pathology was probably confined to the medial temporal lobe. The issue of whether entorhinal cortical involvement is detectable on MRI before hippocampal atrophy, or vice versa, in AD cannot be answered by this current study.

In SD, much less is known about the spread of pathologic change. The fact that the left temporal pole, amygdala, and fusiform gyrus showed the greatest degree of atrophy clearly implies that these structures bear the brunt of the pathology, which is in keeping with postmortem reports.5,21 A recent meta-analysis identified 13 cases meeting clinical criteria for SD who had postmortem brain examinations.21 All cases had non-Alzheimer pathology: some had classic Pick's disease with intraneuronal argyrophilic tau-positive inclusions (Pick bodies), whereas others had identical changes without specific inclusions. Recently, three cases of SD have been reported with ubiquitin-positive tau-negative inclusion bodies.34 Most pathological studies agree that the focus of pathology includes the anterior temporal regions, temporal pole and amygdala, and inferomedial temporal cortices with relative sparing of the superior temporal gyrus.5,21 There is, however, variability in the involvement of the hippocampus, with some reports of severe involvement34,35 and others of relative sparing.36

Turning to the cognitive implications of our findings in SD, the two main issues are the critical locus for the genesis of semantic memory breakdown and possible explanations for the sparing of recent episodic memory. Many anecdotal reports suggest that patients with SD are well orientated with good recall of recent personal events, which has now been confirmed empirically.7,8 Patients with SD show a reversal of the usual temporal gradient seen in amnesia and in AD, with normal or near normal recent autobiographical memory relative to the more distant past.7,8 Performance on standard tests of anterograde episodic memory depends critically upon the type of material, mode of assessment, and stage of disease. Verbal recall is uniformly poor, reflecting the SD patients' anomaia. Visually based memory is typically much better and performance on recognition based tests may be normal.7,9

The finding of a virtually equivalent degree of hippocampal atrophy in AD and SD raises the question of why patients with even very early AD are so amnesic. We can only speculate that the differing pathology with differing distribution may be of relevance. The bilateral nature of the pathologic process in AD, which first deafferentates the hippocampus by destroying the entorhinal input zone and then spreads into the hippocampus complex proper, may be the critical factor. An additional factor in AD is the early involvement of the basal forebrain cholinergic system (BFCS), particularly the nucleus basalis.37 It may well be that this pathology contributes significantly to the profound episodic memory deficit in AD. The more asymmetric nature of the pathology in SD and its presumed spread from the polar regions posteri-
orly, plus the sparing of the BFCS, may be key factors that allow the system to compensate in SD. It is interesting in this regard that the recognition memory for pictures and faces (described above) is heavily dependent upon perceptual inputs that are likely to enter the hippocampal complex in the caudal portion and are biased to the right. The right-sided medial temporal structures were more spared in our SD group particularly in the early stages. An alternative explanation is that other cortical areas, important for episodic memory function, are differentially affected in AD and SD. The current study did not measure brain regions outside the temporal lobe and cannot therefore adjudicate between various possibilities. Functional imaging studies of memory in normal subjects have highlighted the role of the frontal lobes in memory encoding and retrieval. It is possible that differential frontal involvement could account for the differences in memory function between the two groups. One might expect, however, that the SD group would have more severe frontal involvement based on the pathologic and behavioral features of the disease, although pathologic involvement of the frontal cortex is increasingly recognized in AD. Other cortical areas that may be differentially affected by AD and SD pathology are the posterior cingulate and retrosplenial cortex. Resting metabolic FDG-PET studies of patients in the earliest stages of AD suggest that a common early feature is posterior cingulate hypometabolism. It is of interest in this context that we found very little correlation between performance on the episodic memory tasks and the medial temporal lobe structures, suggesting that other factors play an important part in genesis of the episodic memory deficits in AD. The only significant association was between recognition memory for faces and the degree of atrophy of the right parahippocampal gyrus, in keeping with the proposed role of nonhippocampal structures in recognition-based memory.

Another factor to consider is that equivalent degrees of atrophy of the hippocampus in different diseases does not imply that there is loss of the same cell population in both disorders. Indeed, pathological differences may underlie the different patterns of atrophy in the mild and severe groups in this study. In AD, there are high densities of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles with neuronal loss in the transentorhinal and entorhinal cortex, CA1, and all fields of the hippocampus, with increasing severity. In FTD, intraneuronal inclusions are typically present in the granular neurons of the dentate gyrus, or within the pyramidal cell areas of CA1 and the subiculum, where there is neuronal loss.

Can we draw any conclusions regarding the locus of the semantic deficit in SD? Functional imaging studies of normal participants, using semantic activation paradigms, have implicated a widely distributed network centering on the fusiform gyrus, inferolateral temporal lobe, occipitotemporal junction, and angular gyrus, plus extensive frontal activation, all mainly in the left hemisphere. In our study, analysis of the relationship between performance on semantic tasks and temporal lobe structures suggested that semantic memory, as tested by both verbal and visual semantic association tasks, appears to depend critically upon the left fusiform gyrus. When a naming component was included in the task (picture naming, category fluency), the left temporal pole and inferolateral temporal regions were also significantly correlated, suggesting that naming involves a more extensive left-sided network. Recently, interest has also focused on a possible role for the perirhinal cortex in semantic memory. Unfortunately, the site of the perirhinal cortex remains somewhat controversial in man, but is thought to occupy the banks of the collateral sulcus, lateral to the entorhinal cortex (see figure 1), and to extend rostrally on the medial aspect of the temporal pole. Although this region was severely atrophied in the SD group, there was no correlation between the semantic tasks and the parahippocampal gyrus.
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