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Invasive aspergillosis occurs in a wide range of clinical scenarios, is protean in its manifestations, and is still associated with an unacceptably high mortality rate. Early diagnosis is critical to a favourable outcome, but is difficult to achieve with current methods. Deep tissue diagnostic specimens are often difficult to obtain from critically ill patients. Newer antifungal agents exhibit differential mould activity, thus increasing the importance of establishing a specific diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. For these reasons, a range of alternate diagnostic strategies have been investigated. Most investigative efforts have focused on molecular and serological diagnostic techniques. The detection of metabolites produced by Aspergillus spp and a range of aspergillus-specific antibodies represent additional, but relatively underused, diagnostic avenues. The detection of galactomannan has been incorporated into diagnostic criteria for invasive aspergillosis, reflecting an increased understanding of the performance, utility, and limitations of this technique. Measurement of (1,3)-β-D glucan in blood may be useful as a preliminary screening tool for invasive aspergillosis, despite the fact that this antigen can be detected in a number of other fungi. There have been extensive efforts directed toward the detection of Aspergillus spp DNA, but a lack of technical standardisation and relatively poor understanding of DNA release and kinetics continues to hamper the broad applicability of this technique. This review considers the application, utility, and limitations of the currently available and investigational diagnostic modalities for invasive aspergillosis.

Introduction

Aspergillus spp are ubiquitous opportunistic moulds that cause both allergic and invasive syndromes. The genus comprises approximately 180 species, of which 33 have been associated with human disease. Most infections are caused by Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus terreus, and Aspergillus niger; less commonly, Aspergillus nidulans can be implicated as the causative pathogen, especially in the setting of chronic granulomatous disease. An accurate diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis is important for clinical reasons; an earlier diagnosis is associated with improved patient survival and tests with a high negative predictive value may allow expensive and potentially toxic antifungal drugs to be withheld. New drugs—eg, voriconazole—exhibit differential mould activity; the ability to specifically exploit their anti-aspergillus properties requires a rapid and accurate laboratory diagnosis. The epidemiology of invasive aspergillosis is changing; invasive disease is increasingly observed in the non-neutropenic phase of haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and in non-classic settings such as critically ill patients in intensive care units. Aspergillus spp other than A fumigatus—some of which demonstrate inherent resistance to antifungal drugs—are increasingly recognised. An international collaborative effort recently produced standardised definitions for invasive fungal infections. Thus, a review of the diagnostic modalities and their use in establishing a diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis is timely.

Diagnostic tools

Direct techniques

The advantages of direct techniques over culture include superior sensitivity and a relatively rapid turn around time. The principal disadvantage is the inability to definitively distinguish other filamentous fungi (eg, Penicillium spp and Scedosporium spp) or implicate Aspergillus spp as the causative pathogen in circumstances in which there are atypical or non-specific morphological features. This disadvantage may compromise diagnostic accuracy and hence estimates of therapeutic efficacy if patients are recruited to clinical trials solely on the basis of hyphae that resemble Aspergillus spp. Within tissue sections, Aspergillus spp typically appear as slender septate hyphae that exhibit angular dichotomous branching (figure 1).

Figure 1: The appearance of Aspergillus spp in histological sections

(A) Gomori methanamine silver (GMS) stain of rabbit lung in experimental invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (magnification x400). (B) A similar section stained with periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) (magnification x400). (C) and (D) show acute angle dichotomous branching, which is typical of Aspergillus spp (magnification x10). The GMS sections demonstrate the prominent staining and stark appearance of hyphae. By contrast, with PAS there is preservation of background histological detail and hyphal morphology, but hyphae are less conspicuous against the background.
Wet mounts, potassium hydroxide preparations, and use of routine stains

All specimens obtained in scenarios in which fungi are possible aetiological agents should be subject to a series of routine direct procedures; these procedures may vary according to the specimen, degree of clinical urgency, and the individual laboratory. Specimens may be examined as a wet mount preparation with or without the addition of 10% potassium hydroxide, which aids in the visualisation of hyphal elements through the partial digestion and clearing of proteinaceous material while leaving the fungal cell wall intact. Subsequently, a smear is made on a slide, fixed and subjected to a variety of staining procedures. A Gram stain should be done as a matter of routine, but cytological stains (eg, Papanicolaou stain), fungal stains, and fluorescent stains may improve sensitivity.

**Fungal stains**

Fungal-specific stains should be applied in all cases in which invasive aspergillosis is considered a diagnostic possibility. Hyphal elements are stained with haematoxylin and eosin, although they may be difficult to visualise if sparse, fragmented, or present in the setting of substantial tissue necrosis. Fungal-specific stains—eg, Gomori’s methenamine silver stain (GMS) and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)—can be applied to histological sections and smears (figure 1). On occasion, GMS is referred to as Grocott’s stain or the Grocott-Gomori silver stain—Robert Grocott demonstrated that GMS, which was initially designed as a stain for glycerine and mucin, also readily stained fungal elements. PAS has the advantage of providing a counter stain that reveals the background host cellular detail, tissue architecture, and inflammatory response. By contrast, the GMS counter stain removes the fine details of background host cells and tissues, but provides a more sensitive stain for detecting small fragments of cell wall that may be otherwise obscured by surrounding tissue elements. Thus, for detection of hyphal elements, the use of the GMS stain may be more sensitive; whereas PAS provides more of the cellular detail and architecture that may be of help in establishing relations between the fungus and other elements of tissue. This may be important in defining the individual aspergillus-related syndromes that vary according to the immunological status of the host. In this regard, GMS and PAS are complementary.

**Fluorescent techniques**

Fluorescent dyes—eg, Calcofluor white, Uvifex 2B, and Blankophor—are water-soluble colourless dyes that selectively bind to beta-glycosidically linked polysaccharides within fungal cell walls. They are not specific for Aspergillus spp, but have the advantages of relatively high sensitivity, rapid turnaround time, and broad applicability. They may be applied to frozen sections, paraffin-embedded tissue, and other fresh clinical specimens—eg, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BAL) or corneal scrapings.

**Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, and in-situ hybridisation**

Immunohistochemistry (using the monoclonal antibody WF-AF-17 or EB-A138), immunofluorescence, and in-situ hybridisation have been studied as diagnostic modalities. Collectively, these techniques have the potential to provide genus and species specific data, which may be important to improve diagnostic certainty when hyphae are seen invading tissue, but cultures or other adjunctive diagnostic data are negative. The availability of these modalities in routine clinical microbiology laboratories is variable.

**Culture**

A culture yielding Aspergillus spp, in addition to enabling a diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis, may further define therapeutic options via susceptibility testing or the isolation of a species possessing inherent antifungal resistance; examples of the latter include A terreus and A nidulans, which are both resistant to amphotericin B. The main disadvantage of culture is that it is relatively slow (the process takes days), is relatively insensitive, and requires specialised expertise for species determination.

In common with other pathogenic fungi, the ability to grow at 37°C distinguishes Aspergillus spp from other non-pathogenic environmental moulds. Aspergillus spp can be recovered on most routine solid and liquid microbiological media (eg, blood agar, chocolate agar, brain heart infusion broth). A fungal-specific medium—eg, Sabouraud dextrose agar—should be included at the time of initial specimen set-up in clinical scenarios in which Aspergillus spp (or other moulds) are considered possible pathogens, because of superior yield. The addition of antibiotics—eg, chloramphenicol and gentamicin—to the medium is required for the recovery of Aspergillus spp from specimens obtained from non-sterile sites, since they prevent bacterial overgrowth. Cycloheximide, a eukaryotic protein synthesis inhibitor, is frequently added to fungal media to inhibit the overgrowth of cultures by non-pathogenic environmental moulds; however, on occasion, cycloheximide may inhibit the growth of Aspergillus spp.

The identity of a laboratory isolate can often be inferred on the basis of colonial morphology and colour. Definitive identification, however, is dependent on a detailed inspection of conidial morphology and ontogeny and requires a microscopic examination of a simple teased preparation or a slide culture (a procedure in which sporulation is induced and the relevant diagnostic features are visualised on the under-surface of a cover-slip). The appearance and diagnostic features of individual species is beyond the scope of this review and readers are referred to definitive texts, useful guides, and excellent websites.
Several additional issues pertaining to culture require emphasis. First, the growth characteristics and morphological appearances of Aspergillus spp are protein and in some circumstances quite atypical; in this regard, Aspergillus spp are great mimics and should always be included in the list of diagnostic possibilities for an unidentified mould. Second, at least on occasion, sporulation may be difficult or impossible to induce, and other modalities must be used for the purposes of identification. In this circumstance, molecular techniques are perhaps best placed to enable rapid and accurate identification.

Serological techniques

Galactomannan

Galactomannan is a heat-stable heteropolysaccharide present in the cell wall of most Aspergillus and Penicillium species. The molecule is comprised of a non-immunogenic mannan core with immunoreactive side-chains of varying lengths containing galactofuranosyl units. The composition of galactomannan varies between genera and strains, as well as the strain and conditions used for its production, extraction, and purification.

There are two commercial assays for the detection of galactomannan—the Pastorex kit (Sanofi Diagnostics Pasteur, Marnes-La-Coquette, France) and Platelia ELISA (BioRad, Marnes-La-Coquette, France). Pastorex is now rarely used, while Platelia has been available in Europe for approximately 10 years and has recently been licensed in the USA. There has been a progressive increase in the understanding of the diagnostic utility of galactomannan to a point that has enabled its incorporation into diagnostic criteria. However, galactomannan testing is not universally available to clinicians; the decision to offer galactomannan testing within a hospital microbiology laboratory depends on resources, the institutional incidence of invasive aspergillosis, and the hospital casemix.

Details surrounding the release and kinetics of circulating galactomannan remain largely undefined. The growth phase, microenvironment, host immune status, and pathology may all influence galactomannan release. An abundance of data supports the notion that galactomannan production is proportional to fungal load in tissue; furthermore, galactomannan levels appear to have prognostic significance, with high unremitting levels in the face of antifungal therapy associated with an unfavourable outcome.

Assays to detect galactomannan have mostly used serum and BAL fluid. Galactomannan can also be detected in tissue and a number of bodily fluids including CSF, peritoneal fluid, urine, and pericardial fluid, although data to support its use at these sites is relatively scant, and is likely to remain that way.

Galactomannan assays use EB-A2, a monoclonal antibody derived from rats, which is directed towards the β (1,5)-linked galactofuranoside side-chain residues of the galactomannan molecule. Four or more epitopes are required for antibody binding. Detection is achieved using a sandwich ELISA format, which is made possible by multiple immunoreactive epitopes on a single galactomannan molecule.

There are a number of important determinants of analytical sensitivity of galactomannan assays. First, the binding of EB-A2 requires four or more galactofuranoside epitopes—sensitivity may be compromised by the inability to detect secreted antigens that bear fewer residues. Second, the Platelia assay is dependent on a pretreatment step, the goal of which is to remove complexing antibody that may block EB-A2 binding. However, the acid-sensitive galactofuranoside residues may be degraded by the edetic acid used in this step. Finally, the limit of detection using the sandwich ELISA format is lower (1 ng/L) than that achievable using latex agglutination (15 ng/L). In terms of the analytical specificity, cross reactivity with other filamentous fungi, bacteria, drugs, and cotton swabs have been documented, but whether this is due to (exogenous) galactomannan or unrelated cross-reactive molecules is unclear.

There have been considerable efforts in establishing the appropriate galactomannan ELISA cut-off to maximise clinical sensitivity and specificity. The ELISA endpoint is a continuous variable and the optimal cut-off should be determined after defining the receiver–operator curve relation (ie, the relation between sensitivity and specificity). The cut-off level of 1·5 ng/L initially recommended by BioRad and used in many early studies has been progressively revised downwards; a cut-off of 0·5 ng/mL is now currently accepted by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), while a level of 0·7 ng/L is commonly used in Europe.

The clinical sensitivity of galactomannan ELISA is somewhat variable, with a range of 29–100%. There are a number of potential reasons for these disparate results. First, the performance of the assay may differ according to the host group and therefore the underlying pathological process. In studies of profoundly immunocompromised patients, sensitivity has been generally reported to be in excess of 90%, while in other settings—eg, chronic granulomatous disease and solid organ transplantation—sensitivity appears to be somewhat lower. Second, accumulating evidence suggests that concomitant antifungal therapy leads to a decrease in the sensitivity of galactomannan. Finally, inadequate sampling strategies could conceivably compromise clinical sensitivity; the optimal sampling strategy for screening has not been rigorously defined, but the twice weekly determination of antigen levels has been generally used in patients deemed to be at risk of invasive aspergillosis. By contrast, galactomannan levels should be determined immediately in a host with a constellation of clinical features indicative of invasive aspergillosis to facilitate a definitive diagnosis.
The clinical specificity of galactomannan is generally estimated to be greater than 90%. The specificity of galactomannan in neonates and children appears to be lower, which is possibly due to the ingestion of extraneous galactomannan (in food and water) and translocation across a damaged or immature gut wall. Antibiotics represent an additional source of extraneous galactomannan that may compromise clinical specificity. The in-vitro reactivity of a range of antibiotics in galactomannan assays was originally reported in 1997. More recently, positive galactomannan results in patients receiving piperacillin-tazobactam have been documented. This phenomenon has been further explored in vitro and in vivo and probably relates to the presence of galactomannan within the drug itself. This finding has forced some institutions to change their antibacterial protocols and the FDA to issue a warning.

(1,3)-β-D glucan
There has been an emergence of clinical data pertaining to the diagnostic utility of the cell wall component, (1,3)-β-D glucan, in invasive aspergillosis. Antibodies directed toward Aspergillus spp. The demonstration of specific antibody is required to establish the diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. Traditionally, antibody detection has not been considered useful for the diagnosis of acute invasive aspergillosis, following an early study that failed to document antibody formation in 15 patients with invasive aspergillosis. Subsequently, antibody has been documented in approximately one-third of patients with invasive aspergillosis.

![Figure 2: The pathways for the activation of the amoebocyte lysate by endotoxin and (1,3)-β-D glucan and the use of this pathway for the detection of (1,3)-β-D glucan](image-url)
prove to be the best non-invasive means of establishing the diagnosis of subacute invasive aspergillosis in non-neutropenic patients with invasive aspergillosis, as illustrated by a recent case report describing invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in an individual with chronic granulomatous disease.72 Furthermore, antibody detection could be useful as a means of establishing a retrospective diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in profoundly immunocompromised hosts who have undergone immunological reconstitution, although more work is required in this regard.

The detection of antibody
Many assay formats have been used to detect antibodies to Aspergillus spp, including immunodiffusion, counter immunoelectrophoresis, complement fixation, particle-haemagglutination, indirect-immunofluorescence, radio-immunoassay, and ELISA.73,74 The large number of epitopes in crude extracts may compromise specificity. The use of recombinant antigens—eg, dipeptidyl-peptidases,73 superoxide dismutase,75-78 catalase,75 metalloprotease,75 mitogillin,75 and galactomannoprotein79—may rectify this situation. One potential advantage of using assays with a single antigen is the prospect of studying protective epitopes and thereby facilitating the generation of assays that may also confer prognostic information.

Metabolites
Aspergillus spp produce a range of extracellular enzymes (eg, metalloproteases, phospholipases) as well as primary (eg, mannotol)33 and secondary metabolites (eg, gliotoxin),79 all of which at least have the potential to serve as diagnostic markers for invasive aspergillosis. The ability of Aspergillus spp to produce D-mannitol has been known for many years80 and its diagnostic potential examined in several experimental models of invasive aspergillosis.81-83 Although it is limited in terms of its broad applicability as a diagnostic tool because of the complexity of measurements, which are done by gas liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy. Recent work suggests that gliotoxin is produced by most A fumigatus strains and the possibility of using it as a diagnostic marker has been entertained.82 A comprehensive summary of the various secondary metabolites (mycotoxins) produced by Aspergillus spp can be found at http://www.aspergillus.man.ac.uk. The detection of metabolites represents an under-researched area in terms of their possible application as diagnostic modalities for invasive aspergillosis.

Nucleic acid tests
As far as the amplification of nucleic acid and diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis is concerned, PCR technology has dominated. A limited number of publications have used the isothermal technique nucleic acid sequence-based amplification.84,85 Only PCR will be discussed here. The lack of standardisation of technical issues has and continues to represent a considerable barrier for the widespread application of PCR as a diagnostic modality for invasive aspergillosis and this is the focus of the following discussion.86

Clinical specimens
Many studies have addressed the detection of nucleic acid from various fractions of blood (serum, plasma, whole blood) to establish a diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis, but PCR may also be applied to BAL specimens86,87 and tissue,88 including paraffin-embedded sections.89,90 The optimal blood fraction for the detection of aspergillus DNA remains unknown. One study, using quantitative PCR (qPCR), suggested that the yield of DNA from serum, plasma, and white cell pellet was similar,91 while another demonstrated that the (qualitative) PCR signal from whole blood was superior to plasma.92 Serum has the advantage that it enables concomitant antigen testing93 and does not require the addition of anticoagulants (eg, sodium citrate, edetic acid, or heparin) that may inhibit PCR.94

DNA extraction
There are a multitude of extraction techniques; the principal technical issues are summarised in table 1. The chosen extraction method represents a compromise between efficiency, freedom from exogenous contamination, and applicability to routine high-throughput laboratories. The fungal cell wall clearly represents the major hurdle to high-efficiency extraction of fungal DNA. DNA may be extracted using in-house methods, commercial kits (eg, Qiagen QIAmp Tissue Kit [Hilden, Germany]), and automated commercial techniques (eg, MagNA Pure LC [Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland]. Automated commercial techniques are probably required to make fungal DNA detection a viable option for routine clinical laboratories. The efficiency of extraction of fungal DNA may vary considerably between commercial kits.95 High speed cell disruption incorporating chaotropic reagents and lysing matrices provide efficient and high yields of DNA from Aspergillus spp and other filamentous fungi.96 Fungal contamination of extraction systems and reagents has been documented.96 Considerable differences in DNA extraction protocols and performance is one aspect of molecular assays that hinders the comparison of studies.

Primer target
For clinical diagnostic purposes, the detection of a broad range of fungi is important, as is the ability to ultimately identify the specific pathogen(s). The optimal approach, in this regard, involves the application of broad-ranging panfungal primers with post-amplification analysis for species determination. Panfungal primers are directed toward conserved regions, usually within multicopy genes, which flank sequences containing species specific
polymorphisms that can be exploited in post-amplification analysis.

The ribosomal DNA (rDNA) complex is the most common target. This complex contains both conserved and variable sequences and there is a large volume of data deposited in public databases for a wide range of genera and species. The recent genome sequencing of *A. fumigatus*, using strain Af293, revealed 35 repeating units; the structure of the gene complex is illustrated in figure 3. The mitochondrial genes encoding some of the tRNA genes and (apo)cytochrome b have also been used as primer targets. Mitochondrial targets can be considered “multicopy” because of a multiple number of mitochondria per cell nucleus; in Af293, there were 12 copies of the mitochondrial genome present for every copy of the nuclear genome.

### Amplification format

Nestled PCR formats have been widely used for *Aspergillus* spp in an attempt to optimise analytical sensitivity, but the requirement to open reaction tubes means that there is considerable risk of contamination and the subsequent generation of false-positive results. Real-time formats have been increasingly used and are likely to dominate in the near future.

### Post-amplification analysis

Post-amplification detection techniques provide genus or species specific data but may also increase sensitivity and specificity. Real-time detection techniques (eg, TaqMan, LightCycler, molecular beacons) are automated, rapid, and reproducible, thus facilitating comparisons between studies. Southern blotting has had a valuable role in the evolution of PCR as a diagnostic modality, but is unlikely to have any substantial future role in routine clinical assays. Single-strand conformational polymorphism, restriction fragment length polymorphism digest pattern, Line Probes, fragment size determination, and PCR-ELISA may have a limited role.

---

**Table 1: Technical variables required for a robust and reproducible PCR assay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Definitions and goals</th>
<th>Specific considerations with respect to invasive aspergillosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Sample type, volume, transport, and handling should be defined</td>
<td>Serum and white cell pellet equivalent and possibly superior to plasma as sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heparin and citrate inhibitory to PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA extraction</td>
<td>Target is of an adequate concentration and quality for amplification. PCR inhibitors and DNA nucleases removed</td>
<td>Extraction efficiency for fungal DNA is low due to the requirement to break the cell wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contamination rate 3–3.5 in one series, commercial reagents may be contaminated with fungal DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ideally negative and positive extraction controls should be used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Removal of red and white cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Red cell lysis buffer and white cell lysis buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enzymatic methods (eg, lyticase, zymolase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chemical (eg, boiling in dilute alkali)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical (eg, glass bead milling, freeze-thawing, sonication, grinding in liquid nitrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Usually achieved with lys buffer (sodium dodecyl sulphate, beta-mercaptoethanol, EDTA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>phenol-chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetic beads (eg, MagNA Pure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>silica fibres (eg, Qiagen Tissue Kit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplification controls</td>
<td>Nested PCR, real-time formats, PCR-ELISA represent the commonest formats</td>
<td>Nested formats potentially allow for optimal analytical sensitivity but are associated with contamination and are difficult to compare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Real-time formats will probably dominate in the future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative and positive controls are required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical sensitivity</td>
<td>The smallest number of target organisms reliably and reproducibly detected by the assay</td>
<td>Assessed by serial dilution of Aspergillus spp (conidia or purified DNA) using the appropriate clinical specimen as the diluent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Circulating DNA in invasive aspergillosis is typically less than 10 colony forming units per ml or less than 30 fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extraction method, primer target, and detection method all influence analytical sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical specificity</td>
<td>Does the test detect only what it purports to?</td>
<td>Specific primer and probe sequences initially identified from public databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amplicon ideally should be sequenced and a BLAST search done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cross reactivity studies with a range of fungal and bacterial pathogens as well as human DNA are required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-amplification detection probe(s) may enhance specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition controls</td>
<td>Inhibitors of DNA polymerase (eg, heparin)</td>
<td>Spiking with purified aspergillus DNA and analysing in a separate reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spiking with a plasmid construct containing different size and sequence or label to the target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amplifying a human housekeeping gene (eg, betaglobin, HLA2), which also allows some determination of specimen adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>although the relative dominance of human DNA in clinical samples may mask low levels of inhibitors which could interfere with target amplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamination control</td>
<td>Uracil-D-glycolase, appropriate number of negative controls</td>
<td>Heparin and citrate inhibitory to PCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

The mitochondrial genes encoding some of the tRNA genes and (apo)cytochrome b have also been used as primer targets. Mitochondrial targets can be considered “multicopy” because of a multiple number of mitochondria per cell nucleus; in Af293, there were 12 copies of the mitochondrial genome present for every copy of the nuclear genome.
in specific instances, such as the identification of laboratory isolates.

**Analytical sensitivity and specificity**

The analytical sensitivity of a molecular assay is usually determined by serial dilution of the infectious agent in pooled non-infectious clinical material as the diluent.\(^\text{39}\) Such a paradigm immediately presents a problem for Aspergillus spp or any other mould, since accurate and indeed meaningful dilution of hyphae is not possible. Two commonly used approaches include serial dilution of conidia or DNA (either purified genomic DNA or a plasmid construct), although neither are ideal; the former does not mimic a biologically valid scenario, since hyphae rather than conidia are the invasive form, while the latter does not control for issues in extraction efficiency. If it is intended that more than one species is detectable then DNA from those species should be included in the assessment of analytical sensitivity.\(^\text{109}\)

The analytical sensitivity of published assays varies by several orders of magnitude; however, most studies report detection limits in the order of 1–10 fg DNA; several orders of magnitude; however, most studies include in the assessment of analytical sensitivity.\(^\text{109}\)

The analytical sensitivity and specificity that are generally identified by aligning sequences with same probability match as intended target are no standard techniques or criteria (table 2). Primer targets are generally identified by aligning sequences retrieved from public databases. This practice should be viewed as a necessary but insufficient step in establishing the analytical specificity of an assay and further validation procedures are required. Ideally, relatively early in assay

![Figure 3: The structure of the ribosomal DNA complex](image)

Shaded areas denote areas of variability that are present throughout the complex that can be exploited to design assays of varying levels of specificity. IGS=intergenic spacer; ITS=internal transcribed spacer; LSU=long subunit; SSU=short subunit. Adapted from reference 98.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer target</th>
<th>Assay format</th>
<th>Intended specificity</th>
<th>BLAST search of primer and probe sequences; isolates with same probability match as intended target</th>
<th>Method by which analytical specificity determined and result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>18S rRNA</strong></td>
<td>PCR-ELISA</td>
<td>Aspergillus spp</td>
<td>Amplification of Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus terreus, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus nidulans, Aspergillus ochraceus, Aspergillus versicolor, Histoplasma capsulatum No amplification of Malassezia furfur (3 strains), Fusarium spp (3 strains), Trichosporon cutaneum (2 strains), Monor spp (3 strains), Penicillium spp (2 strains), Pseudallescheria boydii (1 strain), Paecilomyces spp (2 strains), Saccharomyces cerevisiae (2 strains)</td>
<td>Cross-reactivity studies: Bretagne et al.(^\text{111})</td>
<td>Karri et al.(^\text{21})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitochondrial DNA (nrRNA)</strong></td>
<td>Competitive PCR with PCR-ELISA</td>
<td>Aspergillus spp A fumigatus</td>
<td>Cross-reactivity studies: None, although clinical specificity assessed using 20 serum samples from healthy individuals</td>
<td>Cross-reactivity studies: None, although clinical specificity assessed using 20 serum samples from healthy individuals</td>
<td>Costa et al.(^\text{12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitochondrial DNA (nrRNA)</strong></td>
<td>LightCycler</td>
<td>A fumigatus A fumigatus</td>
<td>Cross-reactivity studies: None, although clinical specificity assessed using 20 serum samples from healthy individuals</td>
<td>Cross-reactivity studies: None, although clinical specificity assessed using 20 serum samples from healthy individuals</td>
<td>Costa et al.(^\text{12})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BLAST searches were done at [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov], using “search for short nearly exact matches”. The primer and probe sequences were searched simultaneously and were separated by a string of at least ten nucleotides to ensure only the specified sequences were matched in the search algorithm. Only matches identical to those of the intended target are displayed.

**Table 2: Selected examples of issues in establishing the analytical specificity of PCR assays to detect Aspergillus spp**
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development, the amplicon should be sequenced and a BLAST search done to verify that the intended target has been amplified.109 Subsequently, the assay should be challenged with organisms that have a high likelihood of cross-reacting with the target; in the case of *Aspergillus* spp, genera that are close phylogenetic relations—e.g., *Penicillium* spp and *Paecilomyces* spp114 are especially important to consider (figure 4). A further consideration is that sequences are being continuously deposited in public databases; a unique sequence at the time of primer design may subsequently align with a sequence from an unrelated species or genus deposited at a later date. Some have suggested that BLAST searches are done on an annual basis to ensure there is no cross reactivity with recently submitted sequence.109 A final consideration is that false-positive reactions due to carry-over contamination of amplicon from previous reactions may be prevented with the addition of uracil-D-glycolase.85

**Clinical sensitivity and specificity**

There are a number of factors that potentially have an impact upon the clinical sensitivity of PCR. The magnitude of the quantitative PCR signal falls with antifungal therapy in both experimental models and in clinical contexts—this may account for false-negative PCR results.110,111,115,116 Patients at risk for invasive aspergillosis are also often prescribed a multitude of drugs and fluids, all of which may act as non-specific inhibitors of PCR; as a result, inhibition controls are mandatory and may take the form of spiking the sample with aspergillus DNA, a plasmid construct, or amplification of a human gene such as betaglobin (table 1).

**The application of diagnostic modalities**

**Laboratory isolates**

Given the distinct differences in disease manifestations, prognosis, and antifungal susceptibility between different fungal genera and species, a rapid diagnosis will assume increasing importance. The inherent problems with identification using culture methods have been outlined. An increasing number of studies have examined the use of PCR to enable the accurate and rapid detection of laboratory isolates (table 3). The rapid identification of laboratory isolates using microarray technology with a panfungal chip is possible and no doubt the relevant studies will emerge in the near future.

**Clinical specimens**

The application of diagnostic modalities to tissue, respiratory tract secretions, and blood in the context of the pathophysiology of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is illustrated in figure 5.

**Tissue and sterile fluids**

Histological and culture techniques applied to tissue form the reference diagnostic standard for invasive...
aspergillosis have been, and continue to be, the standard tools by which tissue invasion and destruction by hyphae is documented. Within this context, the following points specifically deserve emphasis. First, difficulties in obtaining deep tissue specimens in patients who are least able to tolerate invasive procedures have been exhaustively documented and remain one of the principal factors driving the development of new diagnostic techniques. Second, the analytical sensitivity of both histology and culture is relatively low, meaning that invasive disease is well established by the time that culture and histology are positive. Third, the specificity of the reference standard for Aspergillus spp is optimised with the combination of histological and culture data and this rigorous standard has been used in some recent clinical trials. The problem, however, is that Aspergillus spp can only be recovered from tissue in the context of positive histology in 30–50% of cases. Finally, the possibility of accepting a positive PCR result in tissue as the reference standard for invasive aspergillosis deserves increasing attention. Certainly, data from experimental models suggests that validated PCR is more sensitive than culture for the detection of Aspergillus spp in tissue, especially in the setting of substantial tissue necrosis, the key in this regard is assay validation.

Non-sterile sites

In the absence of tissue specimens, samples obtained from contiguous non-sterile sites—eg, the upper and lower respiratory tract—serve as a surrogate with which to establish the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. In the case of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, viable hyphal elements or related serological or molecular markers are shed into the respiratory tract from infected parenchyma (figure 5). A body of data suggests this shedding occurs relatively late in the natural history, thus compromising attempts to establish an early diagnosis using this approach. The isolation of Aspergillus spp (or related serological, molecular, or biochemical markers) in the respiratory tract may represent one of three scenarios: (1) evidence of current disease, (2) true colonisation, or (3) a marker for the future development of invasive disease. An example of the latter is provided by a study that demonstrated that a positive PCR result from BAL at the time of bone marrow transplant conditioning was predictive of the subsequent development of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis.

There are a number of points to make about using BAL specimens to secure a diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. First, although BAL is a safe procedure, even in patients with substantial immunological impairment, it is not a trivial undertaking and requires a dedicated and competent bronchoscopist and an adequate commitment of resources. Second, the overall sensitivity (using culture and microscopy) is relatively low and generally estimated to be in the order of 50% or more, depending on the location, size, and type of pulmonary lesions, and the timing of bronchoscopy are all important determinants of the overall estimate. The impact of antifungal therapy in terms of the recovery of aspergillus and related markers in the respiratory tract remains poorly defined. Third, the specificity of the isolation of Aspergillus spp from the respiratory tract in patients with substantial immunological impairment—eg, those with allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation or neutropenia—is very high, but for other patient groups, the likelihood of underlying invasive pulmonary aspergillosis varies enormously. Fourth, qPCR may prove to be especially useful in determining the relation between the fungal burden in the respiratory tract and the probability of underlying invasive disease; however, at the current time, the benefit of PCR over conventional culture remains to be further defined. Finally, the diagnostic yield from BAL fluid is potentially optimised with the application of more than one test; a recent study demonstrated sensitivity was improved with the concomitant application of galactomannan and PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Target</th>
<th>Demonstrated specificity</th>
<th>PCR format</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus terreus, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus ustus</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Sequencing of amplicon</td>
<td>Henry et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>A fumigatus, A flavus, Aspergillus nidulans, Aspergillus versicolor</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Line probe</td>
<td>Martin et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>A fumigatus</td>
<td>Multiplex PCR</td>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
<td>Luo et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>A fumigatus</td>
<td>Nested</td>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
<td>Zhao et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>A fumigatus, A flavus, A terreus, A niger, A nidulans</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Automated fluorescent capillary electrophoresis (detection of different length of amplicon)</td>
<td>Turenne et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>A fumigatus, A flavus, A terreus, A niger</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>SSCP</td>
<td>Walsh et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures ITS1-5 BS rRNA-ITS2</td>
<td>A fumigatus, A terreus</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>PCR-ELISA</td>
<td>De Aguire et al</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSCP=single-strand conformational polymorphism
Blood sampling represents the optimal non-invasive diagnostic approach for invasive aspergillosis. Despite their propensity for vascular invasion, *Aspergillus* spp are only very infrequently isolated from blood using conventional culture techniques, hence the traditional dependence on tissue specimens to secure a definitive diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. There is an extensive body of literature examining the diagnostic utility of molecular and serological techniques in blood. Galactomannan has been incorporated into diagnostic criteria for invasive aspergillosis and the technical issues required for PCR to be applied in the same manner have been discussed. However, there remain some additional pertinent issues. First, specific sampling strategies are yet to be systematically studied—yield is almost certainly a function of the volume and frequency of sampling, as is the case with blood cultures. Second, the appropriate interpretation of a positive galactomannan or validated PCR result in a patient at risk of invasive aspergillosis, but without subsequent evidence of invasive disease, remains unclear and difficult to resolve; the most conservative interpretation in this context is that all single positive results are false-positive, but at least on occasion, such results may reflect true invasive disease that has aborted or is non-progressive. Third, a body of evidence suggests

---

**Figure 5:** Compartmental characteristics, inter-compartmental relations, and sampling strategies as they relate to the pathogenesis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. (A) Hepatosplenic aspergillosis (courtesy of Damon Eisen). (B) Cerebral abscess due to *Aspergillus fumigatus*. IA=invasive aspergillosis.
that both PCR and galactomannan may enable a specific diagnosis to be established earlier than is possible using a conventional approach. 42–44 Fourth, the combination of different diagnostic modalities—eg, concomitant measurement of galactomannan and (1,3)-β-D glucan—is a strategy that may optimise diagnostic accuracy. 45 Finally, it seems likely that both PCR and galactomannan engender important prognostic information: a falling galactomannan titre or a positive-turning-negative PCR signal in the context of antifungal therapy is usually associated with a successful outcome. However, at the current time, galactomannan and PCR have not been systematically used to guide antifungal therapy.

The incorporation of diagnostic data into management strategies

Galactomannan (and validated PCR) applied to blood can be used as screening tools to further improve the identification of patients at high risk of developing invasive aspergillosis. 42 A positive result may enable the start of early targeted antifungal chemotherapy, while expensive and potentially toxic antifungal drugs can be withheld with persistently negative results. Testing for (1,3)-β-D-glucan could be also be useful in this regard. When the assays are used in this manner, a positive result should also serve as a trigger for additional diagnostic evaluation—eg, a high-resolution computed tomography scan of the thorax—to investigate the possibility of a subclinical focus of infection. The success of galactomannan (and validated PCR) as a screening tool is largely dependent on the underlying prevalence of invasive aspergillosis, which varies according to the specific host group and institution; thus, the requirement and extent of galactomannan screening may vary accordingly.

An alternate diagnostic strategy is to reserve galactomannan and validated PCR for situations in which clinical and radiological data are suggestive of invasive aspergillosis; in this scenario, galactomannan and validated PCR applied to serum, and other tissues and fluids, may enable a definitive diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis to be secured. Although this approach does not facilitate early antifungal therapy, it may minimise the use of invasive diagnostic modalities. Furthermore, a more definitive diagnosis enables the administration of specific anti-aspergillus therapy and would be of considerable benefit for future diagnostic and therapeutic research.

Future challenges

Invasive aspergillus continues to pose many challenges. From a diagnostic point of view, improving the test accuracy remains a priority for patient care, therapeutic research, and future diagnostic research. The question, of course, is the manner in which these improvements can be achieved. The progressive refinement of existing techniques and development of new diagnostic technologies is clearly a priority. Substantial work remains in areas related to cost-effectiveness and whether patients who undergo intensive diagnostic testing have improved outcome. Just as importantly, however, is the generation of a clinical environment and culture that is amenable to high quality diagnostic research, the provision of adequate funding, multicentre participation, international collaboration, and rigorous study design.
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