Triangulating consumers’ perceptions of payment systems by using social representations theory: A multi-method approach
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ABSTRACT

Social systems play a pivotal role in shaping customers’ views, the adoption process and subsequent product diffusion for novel products. Perceptions of Austrian consumers regarding payment systems were assessed in a cross-sectional analysis applying social representations theory. Social representations help to unravel the sources of individuals’ attitudinal or perceptual similarities and differences, which often stem from inter-group differences. In short, they are useful for the investigation of ‘deeper structure’ aspects of consumer behaviour, as has been shown in previous studies. This may be seen as a further step forward for marketing research, which operates largely on social phenomena. This study addresses the shortage of non-cognitive-based research in marketing by offering a methodological approach that uses triangulation on the basis of associative answers from social groups. A four-step analytic design revealed that consumer groups transpose the abstract concept of payment systems into tangible objects and processes in a similar way; however, their social background impacted which value was attached to established as well as new means of payment. Cash is still seen as the prototypical form of payment; newer forms, such as credit cards or ATM cards, appear already in the periphery of representations, urgently needing well-concerted marketing efforts to become recognized as substitutes for cash. From a managerial view, the research employs social phenomena as a basis for segmenting natural rather than nominal groups in order to better serve consumers’ needs in an increasingly connected social reality.

INTRODUCTION

Consumers often find it difficult to position innovations into a framework that they can comprehend. Financial innovations such as new forms of payment affect consumers’ everyday experiences in an increasingly digital marketplace and thus are noteworthy to study. In Europe, electronic ways of money transfer or electronic payment systems (Abrahazевич, 2001), such as the electronic purse (card-based system) or internet banking (account-based system), have been introduced to replace cash transactions. Despite great efforts from banking institutions (Bednar et al., 1995) to readdress the meaning of money (Belk and Wallendorf, 1982), the adoption of more advanced or innovative electronic payment systems is still lagging behind banking institutions’ and merchants’ expectations.

Electronic payment systems can serve as an extreme example of how social interactions define the functionality of service. On the one side, customers have to trust electronic forms of payment and use these. On the other side, intermediaries (merchants or other banks) have to supply hardware and distribution channels to provide benefits to customers (Plouffe et al., 2001; Molesworth and Suortti, 2002; O’Loughlin and Szmigin, 2006). If one side fails to adopt the innovation, the diffusion is slowed or halted. This reliance on social interactions for new product diffusion can be seen as network externality (e.g. Timmor and Katz-Navon, 2008; Wang et al., 2008), which is defined as the fact that ‘the utility that a user derives from consumption of the good increases with the number of other agents consuming the good’. (Liebowitz and Margolis, 1994, p. 133). The benefit consumers derive from the use of a product, and the decision to adopt this product depends greatly on consumers’ social environment and on the number of other consumers using compatible items (Katz and Shapiro, 1986; Timmor and Katz-Navon, 2008).

We follow the call for new, non-cognitive-based research in marketing that has increasingly appeared. For example, emotions are considered to be as important as cognitions in explaining consumption and thus have been progressively included in consumer research (Holbrook and Hirschman, 1982; Bagozzi et al., 1999; Leone et al., 2005). Also, postmodern consumer culture theories emerged stressing symbolic meanings of products as means to construct identity and define social reality (Elliott, 1999). Consumers with a common life view form networks of societal micro groups (Featherstone, 1991; Cova, 1997; Cova and Cova, 2002). These groupings happen through shared beliefs, emotions, lifestyles and consumption practices, enabling consumers to deal with the fuzziness and heterogeneity of today’s markets and postmodern consumer cultures (Elliott, 1999).

In general, a receptivity towards sociological, anthropological and cultural perspectives in marketing (Natarajan and Bagozzi, 1999) is suggested to capture the complex reality of social exchange. As a suitable research paradigm (Elliott, 1999), the social representations theory is used in this research to triangulate consumers’ perceptions of payment systems. This helps to identify the positioning of new versus

1A payment system is defined as a ‘financial system supporting transfer of funds from suppliers (savers) to the users (borrowers), and from payers to the payees, usually through exchange of debits and credits among financial institutions’ (http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/payment-system.html#ixzz29RuOV6h).
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traditional payment forms, especially those that are influenced by network effects (e.g. Timmorn and Katz-Navon, 2008; Wang et al., 2008).

The aim of this study was to provide a methodology that is capable of capturing social exchange, and the collective construction of social reality in order to understand consumers’ perceptions of payment systems. This paper contributes to existing, mainly cognition-based literature of product innovations by studying consumers’ social representations of payment systems by means of triangulation. Although significant theoretical advancements have been made in the area of product innovation (Rogers, 1995) and, for instance, the technology acceptance model (e.g. Davis et al., 1989) has been applied to explain product adoption (e.g. Wang et al., 2008), we argue that ‘traditional’ attitudinal measurement techniques and the application of multivariate clustering procedures might be insufficient (Gatignon and Robertson, 1991). Attitudinal measurement is based on methodological individualism and on an epistemology, which functionally separates the subject who is being measured from the object of measurement (Farr, 1996). This separation poses a distinct problem for research. It implies that the group context, within which the evaluations of objects or products will take place, is left untouched, and important information is lost because of the focus on individuals. Even considering that attitudinal measurement may involve responses from a number of people, the theoretical disadvantage persists. The group level is not captured in the measurement process, but solely the individual level.

The diffusion of the electronic payment system in a European country is used as the context for this research. Within the last couple of years, the meaning of money has made a significant shift. What has always been considered a volatile concept has become even more intangible and fragmented because of further advancements in information and communication technology, e-commerce and electronic forms of payment (e.g. Furnham and Argyle, 1998; Abrahichevich, 2001; Penz et al., 2004; O’Loughlin and Szmigin, 2006).

In the next section, the conceptual background is presented. Individual and social–psychological views, that is, attitudes and social representations, are contrasted, and measurement issues of social representations are addressed. The methodology section subsequently exemplifies reasoning in the context of an empirical study, and measurement issues are brought forward.

CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

Consumers’ knowledge structures of innovations

How consumers organize their knowledge about and associations between concepts has been studied within the associative network structure model (e.g. Joiner, 1998; Lawson, 2002) or within socio-cognitive conceptualization (Rosa et al., 1999). Influences of consumers’ knowledge on their information processing (Alba and Hutchinson, 1987), and product evaluations (Blair and Innis, 1996; Cordell, 1997), have been investigated, as well as the influence on consumers’ responses to marketing activities (e.g. Roy and Cornwell, 2004; Buchanan-Oliver et al., 2010). The underlying idea is that consumers’ knowledge structures (e.g. brands, products and situations) are nodes in memory to which associations are linked (Joiner, 1998).

With respect to new product introductions, the analogical learning theory (Gregan-Paxton and John, 1997; Gregan-Paxton and Moreau, 2003) served as the theoretical basis to understand how prior knowledge influences consumers’ perceptions of really new products (Gregan-Paxton et al., 2002). Analogical learning theory posits that knowledge from one area is transferred to another on the basis of their correspondence (Gregan-Paxton and John, 1997). The authors argue that consumer reasoning about a really new product is a problem of identifying the appropriate representation of the product. In this respect, they use the term ‘good’ representation for a situation in which a framework for interpreting the new product is provided. In the financial context, for example, the concept electronic purse includes a learned component (purse for cash) that consumers are familiar with and a new one (electronic transfer of money). Offering the right framework enables consumer problem-solving and comprehension. Expertise indicates not only more knowledge but also more richly interconnected knowledge (Gregan-Paxton et al., 2002).

However, it is argued that viewing the individual as an isolated information processor represents a somewhat limited view of cognition (Forgas, 1981; Morgan and Schwalbe, 1990). More emphasis needs to be put on norms (Jansson et al., 2011) and on the social context in which such information processing takes place (Natarajan and Bagozzi, 1999). Social cognition takes into consideration that cognition is a social and not an individual activity. Knowledge arises from the interaction between information processing strategies and socio-cultural processes, and therefore, cognition cannot be properly understood without studying society and culture as the product of the cognitive efforts of individuals. Also, higher mental activities, such as language, reasoning and representations, can only be studied in their proper socio-cultural context (Forgas, 1981). Social cognition should, therefore, include more than purely cognitive phenomena in order to explain social action (e.g. values, motives; Forgas, 1981).

Social representations theory

Social representations theory, which was introduced by Moscovici (1961, 1963), builds on existing, socially shared and constructed knowledge or ‘common sense perspectives’ about familiar products that can easily be associated with new products. Consumers, who build their product evaluations on comparisons with their social group, will activate and adjust existing knowledge against new knowledge about the innovation (see also Timmorn and Katz-Navon, 2008). Exposure to media and interactions with relevant others creates consumer perceptions that can be positioned within a familiar framework (e.g. Gaskell and Smith, 1985). Especially when faced with new economic or societal developments, people wish to assess, evaluate and compare their perceptions with others. This view is also consistent with the perspective that customers receive benefits from community participation (Bhattacharya and Sankar, 2003; Bagozzi and Dholakia, 2006; Timmorn and Katz-Navon, 2008). In this context, the theory of social representations fits the idea of social exchange in marketing.
which encompasses the concept of a balance between ‘individual, dyadic, and larger social needs’ (Natarajan and Bagozzi, 1999, p. 640). Moscovici’s theory is suitable to unravel structures from consumers, which otherwise remain untouched. Within the context of the social representations theory, the focus of investigation is on the social context in which consumers learn, perceive and develop their views, rather than on individual attitudinal dimensions. Social representations theory is conceptually very rich and suitable for capturing views about products, which a group shares. Especially in view of technological innovations, such as new payment innovations, the theory of social representations is able to overcome limitations of individual-level feedback.

Social representations are created out of new knowledge and ideas, which are integrated into already existing representations by means of anchoring and objectification (Moscovici, 1984; Abric, 1996b; Roland-Lévy, 2001). Anchoring is the process by which new knowledge, ideas and so on are adopted by a social group, which are required to fit with already existing categorization schemes. If new knowledge, such as an idea, fits the existing categorization scheme, it will be integrated and henceforth update the underlying categorization scheme. Objectification refers to the process by which abstract ideas or concepts become a concrete form, either as an object (in the context of this study, the credit card is considered as a symbol of wealth) or a picture (e.g. Scrooge McDuck caressing banknotes and coins). The more linkages social groups can establish between new ideas and existing knowledge, the higher the probability of these new ideas becoming part of social representations. In this respect, social representations theory can be compared with the analogical learning theory, which showed that consumers’ understanding of really new products is facilitated by the use of analogies. ‘Analogy emphasizes key features in a new domain and, at a more abstract level, provides a structure capable of organizing the constellation of features comprising an unfamiliar domain’ (Gregan-Paxton et al., 2002, p. 545). Social representations theory extends this view by focusing on the collective elaboration of objects or products and on the outcomes of ‘talk and actions’ (Wagner et al., 1999), as related to socio-group perspectives.

Social representations consist of elements that are organized (Abric, 1996a, 1996b). Two different types of elements of social representations are distinguished: First, central elements form the nucleus of a social group’s social representations. The nucleus aims at organizing the social group’s ideas, generating meanings for the ideas, and operates as a normative constraint for the social group. The nucleus is assumed to be rather stable over time and changes less quickly than peripheral elements. Peripheral elements, on the other hand, may change because of individual reasons and thus protect the nucleus (for a discussion of change in social representations, see, for example, Abric, 1993).

Measurement issues of social representations
The social representations theory has been used in connection with a vast variety of methods, impressing with methodological variety and freedom (de Rosa, 1994; Rateau et al., 2012). The methods used range from anthropologically oriented methods, such as ethnography to descriptive investigations of group consensus (e.g. Fraser, 1994), qualitative text analysis (e.g. Roland-Lévy, 1990; Melina, 1998) to the use of surveys and experimental designs (e.g. Flick, 1996; Bauer and Gaskell, 1999; Wagner et al., 1999; Kirchler et al., 2003), the application of multivariate statistical procedures (e.g. Doise et al., 1993) or the use of the associative network (Meier and Kirchler, 1998; Penz et al., 2004). Procedures for data collection within a social representations perspective are suggested for comparing norms and values associated with a particular social object in different social or cultural groups (Stewart and Lacassagne, 2005) or to explore representatives of a certain culture and their thinking about objects, products and their associations (Penz, 2006).

Bauer and Gaskell (1999) developed different strategies to study social representations and integrated them in a framework. These strategies can be seen as a typology of possible alternative research avenues to work on social representations. The framework consists of the analysis of the content and the process of social representations. Studying images, metaphors and free associations allows the assessment of the function (anchoring, objectification) and the organization (central nucleus and periphery) of social representations for a social group.

It involves the selection of a social group for analysis. The study of social representations requires the specification of appropriate social segments, which on top of the aforementioned characteristics have a shared history or world view, or are exposed collectively to mass media. In addition to established ways of market segmentation, social milieus produce groupings that are self-referential (e.g. people who adopted IT easily call themselves the ‘Internet generation’) and share a collective memory (e.g. the knowledge about the rise of IT or memory about the times without PC’s). Thus, events in the past influence the meaning of social objects, such as money (Wagner et al., 1999). Introducing the Euro as a community currency serves as a good example for shared history. Those who have witnessed the event have formed a collective memory, which includes the former national currency as well as the new currency. Another social grouping appears in the formation of sex roles. The roles women and men display are considered to be influenced by the social context (Eagly, 1987; Meier-Pesti and Penz, 2008; Mühlbacher et al., 2009).

The framework also involves the cultivation within the social group. This strategy is highly process-oriented and includes both analysis of informal and formal communication relations between a sender and receivers. The sender’s perspective on specific social milieus may lead a plurality of representations of a single issue. For example, the information that consumer organizations provide, compared with the information that banking institutions provide to consumers, varies and leads to different social representations.

According to Kleinschmidt and Cooper (1991), innovations in the financial industry represent rather incremental changes than radical technological innovations (see also Gatignon and Robertson, 1991).
The analysis of social representations within a defined social group can combine different data sources in a multi-method analysis. According to different research aims, field observations are suggested for behavioural habits, surveys for individual cognitions or document analysis for formal communication (e.g. Doise et al., 1993; de Rosa, 1994; Rateau et al., 2012). However, this strategy is not restricted to social representations approach and referred to as triangulation (see, e.g. Jick, 1979; Scandura and Williams, 2000).

Using longitudinal data corresponds well with the dynamic nature of social representations (Moscovici, 1984). As Bauer and Gaskell (1999) pointed out, the ‘life-cycle of social representations is somewhere between the elusiveness of the flow of consciousness and the “long duree” of mentalities’ (p. 178). By means of comparative and longitudinal analysis, changes in the structure and the functions of social representations due to changes in the socio-economic or political environment can be observed.

The involved parties that cope with threats differently and their diverging coping strategies are yet another focus of analysis.

Finally, a disinterested researcher is called for. Researchers should avoid ‘social engineering’ in empirical enquiry (Bauer and Gaskell, 1999, p. 179) and resist the temptation to virtually create social realities. Figure 1 illustrates the main elements of obtaining the meaning of payment systems through the analysis of social representations.

**METHODOLOGY**

A sample of consumers was analysed in Austria, using a multi-method approach. The research strategies employed follow Bauer and Gaskell’s (1999) framework. Individual empirical steps, methodological decisions and their rationale are presented in more detail as follows.

**Instrument**

A measurement tool was developed, which is composed of two sections. The first section addressed ‘associative networks’ (de Rosa, 1995) and served as a means to identify ‘objectifications’ and ‘anchored components’ (Abric, 1993) of the payment concept. The second section of the instrument followed the idea of the ‘conceptual network method’ (Vergès, 1996, September; Vergès and Flament, 1997) and was deemed to measure similarities between economic and social components of everyday interactions, life and the payment concept (content and process of social representations).

We defined social groups for analysis by exploring similarities and differences among groups. This follows Plouffe et al. (2001), who suggested to analyse consumers’ perceptions of innovations by using multiple groups and to split the potential market into adopters and non-adopters. The sample consisted of people living in urban and in rural environments because ATM locations, banking branches and shopping outlets demonstrate lower concentration patterns in the latter. Furthermore, urban dwellers are more frequently exposed to various shopping opportunities. These segments share their group-specific common perspectives of daily life and thus dispose of a collective memory. Another characteristic for identification was sex roles, as women and men are found to differ in their attitudes towards money and their handling of money (Prince, 1993; Furnham and Argyle, 1998). Finally, differences regarding frequency of using plastic money (Jacobson, 1990; Nentwich et al., 1993) were captured by selecting consumers with varying educational backgrounds.

**Sample**

A non-probability quota sample was employed (Gilbert, 2008; Iacobucci and Churchill, 2010), which was drawn from the eastern part of Austria. Vienna and nearby counties were involved, providing for a geographical mix of rural, urban and metropolitan areas. A total of 700 questionnaires were distributed by means of a ‘questionnaire-drop-in’ technique by six interviewers, who distributed the questionnaires to potential respondents. The questionnaires were completed by respondents in the absence of the interviewer and collected after completion. Employing this sampling procedure helped to save time and costs because questionnaires were filled in autonomously and no postal mailing was necessary (Iacobucci and Churchill, 2010). Out of the 700 distributed
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The actual sample is composed of 52 per cent men and 47 per cent women, with an average age of 36 years ($SD = 14.38$). The largest bulk of respondents was in the 20–29 years age band. Almost half of the respondents were single (43%), followed by a large number of individuals who were married (40%). As indicated in the sampling frame, the sample was taken from the population in the eastern part of Austria, with 66 per cent of respondents living in cities and the rest living in rural areas. However, because of self-selection, the sample cannot be considered as representative, but indicative of social groups who share several characteristics, including social milieus or geographical space. Table 1 provides an overview of the sample characteristics.

**Analytic design**

Subsequent to data collection, a multi-method approach to data analysis was pursued (Table 2). By centring the focus of attention within each methodological procedure on slightly different aspects of the underlying social representations, the analytic design was deemed appropriate to triangulate (see, for example, Jick, 1979; Scandura and Williams, 2000) consumer perspectives on payment systems.

The first step in the analytic design (Table 2) involved free associations, which were elicited from respondents to identify their views regarding payment systems. The term ‘payment systems’ was the stimulus. The instruction read: ‘When thinking about payment systems, which ideas, pictures or thoughts, etc. are coming to your mind?’ This helped to create a list of associations. We opted for this general stimulus rather than specific forms of payment in order to retrieve as many spontaneous reactions in the form of emotions, ideas and opinions as possible and to understand if and what forms of electronic payment were included in consumers’ mindset. The rationale for this practice was the thinking that by employing the term ‘payment systems’ as a generic and traditional cue, we would manage to identify the foundations for this term. In line with suggestions from the literature, this design helped to identify the social content (Moscovici, 1984; Abric, 1996a) of means of payment, and to augment our learning about those dimensions of payment systems, which were solidly rooted in the society. The responses were aggregated, categorized and entered into correspondence analysis.

The second step concerned the analysis of the evaluative dimension of payment systems. Each association was evaluated by the respondents as positive, negative or neutral, and indices of polarity and neutrality were calculated (de Rosa, 1995; de Rosa and Kirchler, 2001). In line with suggestions from the literature, this step helped to identify the social content (Moscovici, 1984; Abric, 1996a) of means of payment, and to augment our learning about those dimensions of payment systems, which were solidly rooted in the society. The responses were aggregated, categorized and entered into correspondence analysis.
The polarity index is the relationship between the difference of positively and negatively evaluated associations, and the total number of associations. The polarity index ranges from +1 to −1, with higher values expressing a positive evaluation of the stimulus term. The neutrality index expresses the relative frequency of neutral associations. Hence, the higher it is, the more neutral the respective stimulus term is evaluated to be.

The third step was based on the same associative network data and employed positional and frequency analysis (Zipf, 1935; Baayen, 2001). An examination of which associations were listed first, second and so on was conducted. The position at which respondents chose to list their free associations helped to trace for the core and periphery of the payment concepts. Consequently, it helped to identify the configuration of social representations. Those elements that were listed first point to core elements and the others represent peripheral elements. Moscovici (1984) points out that core elements act as relatively stable social norms, and peripheral elements are more flexible and can change quickly through out-group influence. For marketers, peripheral elements lend themselves for exploitation in tactical marketing activities. For instance, messages from advertising campaigns including brand names or product attributes (e.g. new features, colours), and also messages from mass media are likely to appear in the periphery of social representations before they become part of their core.

The fourth step in our analytic design served the purpose of linking new to old concepts of payment systems by using the conceptual networks and similarity analysis (Vergès and Bastounis, 2001). The conceptual network is composed of concepts, which were selected on the basis of a literature review and reflect economic (e.g. cash, credit card, work, savings and taxes) as well as social (e.g. safety, risk, leisure and family) elements of everyday life (Vergès and Bastounis, 2001). This technique is applied to analyse the configuration of social representations, particularly linkages with new payment systems and the connecting socio-economic environment. Respondents were asked to link existing dimensions of both payment systems and social/economic concepts by drawing connecting lines. For the purposes of analysis, the number of connected pairs of words was counted and formed into graphs of similarity (Vergès, 1987, 1996; Vergès and Bastounis, 2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first step within the social representations analysis was based on respondents’ free associations regarding the stimulus payment systems (content of social representations). We opted to use the rather general stimulus term to find out if and how old and new forms of payment are related. The categorization scheme was based on a thorough review of the relevant literature and feedback from qualitative interviews with five experts in the field of payment systems in Vienna, Austria. The interviews helped us to understand the perspective of banking institutions in respect to financial innovations and to gain insights into payment forms, activities related to means of payment, aspects of the banking world, and financial advantages and disadvantages of different payment forms from a customer perspective. Interviews were content-analyzed and results used as the basis for the categorization scheme. To reduce the large set of qualitative responses, respondents’ free associations were categorized into 19 categories by three independent raters. Inter-rater reliability was satisfactory (Cohen’s κ = 0.664). An index of stereotypicity was calculated, on the basis of 1.508 associations; 388 associations (i.e. 26%) were unique, representing different consumer associations. Hence, the dataset represented highly stereotypical responses with respect to the concept of payment systems.

We measured how often the respective categories were mentioned. In order to check for statistically significant group differences, cross-tabulation and chi-square tests were conducted. The chi-square tests were not significant for each grouping (education: χ² = 63.03, df = 90, p = 0.99, residence: χ² = 15.91, df = 18, p = 0.60, gender: χ² = 11.73, df = 18, p = 0.86); however, calculated standardized residuals indicate some variation between groups. In the following, the variation between groups will be reported. With regard to education, people with a vocational middle school degree put higher emphasis on issues of safety (e.g. low risk and trust; z = 2.2, p < 0.05) compared with other educational groups. People with higher levels of school education favoured the use of terms, which we summarized as general positive expressions (e.g. comfortable and super; z = 2.0, p < 0.05).

Finally, people with university or college degrees mentioned terms, which describe characteristics of means of payment (e.g. PIN and signature; z = 2.0, p < 0.05). Individuals did not differ significantly in their associations when urban or rural residency was accounted for. Gender did not account for any differences either. Table 3 shows the descriptions and frequencies of all categories, and related chi-square statistics for all groups involved.

Applying the method of correspondence analysis to the frequency matrix, associations between response frequencies and certain response groups (education-based groups, rural and urban residence, and gender) were identified. The correspondence analysis resulted in a two-dimensional solution, which explained a total of 54.5 per cent of variance of the solution (Figure 2). The overall spatial variation (total inertia) was 0.046, indicating that the correlation between row points (respondents) and column points (categories) was fairly low. For interpretation of the results, the row and column points that contributed significantly to the two dimensions were taken into consideration (relative contributions higher than 0.1 for row points, and 0.053 for column points; Matiaske et al., 1994).

---

3A similarity index was calculated, using a co-occurrence measure. This measure was favoured against theoretically feasible alternatives such as the phi-coefficient for ordinal data or the Jaccard index (Degenne, 1985), because it was the aim to identify similarities between variables, in our case the stimulant concepts and terms.

4Starting off with 37 categories, the number of items and categories was reduced in an iterative process until satisfactory agreement could be achieved among the raters. Nineteen categories and 1.508 items were retained for subsequent analysis.
The correspondence analysis sheds some light on different facets of payment systems. The first dimension explained 33 per cent of the variance of the solution and pointed at affective involvement as well as a ‘descriptive construction of reality’, which can be seen as the material representation of the social reality. It is composed of positive categories, such as synonymous, positive expressions, freedom and also negative expressions. Respondents with vocational and high school educational backgrounds were equally aligned along dimension one, as were people from urban regions. Categories such as banking world and piece of equipment were configured along the opposite direction of the two-dimensional grid, as well as respondents from rural areas.

The second dimension explained 21.5 per cent of the variance of the solution and revealed that payment systems are related to dependence as well as independence. Configured along the negative hemisphere of the second dimension were safety/trust issues as well as the fear of loss of money. Respondents with vocational and/or middle school degrees were at the same end of the dimension. On the other hand, the categories currencies, charge/fee, characteristics and also the categories banking world and piece of equipment represented the positive spectrum of dimension 2. These categories describe different payment systems, such as the teller machine and checkbooks and point at an incremental view of payment systems. Herein, the comprehension of the complex construct payment systems is defined via smaller,
tangible units, known from day-to-day experiences. Respondents with university degrees frequently shared these perceptions of payment systems.

In all, objectification, as defined by Abric (1996a, 1996b), could be seen in synonyms such as ‘Kröten, Knete’ the German expressions for ‘Bucks’, ‘Lettuce’ (Furnham and Argyle, 1998), which meant that the abstract concept of payment systems was eventually transposed into tangible objects. Freedom, for instance, meant the ability to use the credit card at any time, any place. Anchoring, on the other side, was represented in the notion of the ‘banking world’. The concept of payment systems corresponded to real-world banking experiences, where consumers saw people involved in the banking and accounting business.

Various indices were calculated to find out how consumers evaluate payment systems. Analyses of variance were calculated, revealing that respondents agreed in their positive evaluation of the payment concept. The index of neutrality, as a control index, confirmed that (Table 4). Following the correspondence analysis methodology, the free associations from part 1 of the questionnaire (Table 3) were used for more comprehensive frequency analyses.

Table 4. Means and standard deviations for the indexes of polarity and neutrality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Index of polarity</th>
<th>Index of neutrality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(M)</td>
<td>(SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment systems</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Ranges from –1 (very negative) to +1 (very positive).

According to Abric (1993), words that were mentioned most frequently and appeared at the beginning in the association process could be considered elements of the central nucleus (Abrick, 1996b). This follows Zipf’s law of word frequency distribution (see, for example Baayen, 2001; Depken, 2008; Nitsch, 2005; Popesku \textit{et al.}, 2010; Zipf, 1935, 1949). Using information on residence, gender and education of respondents revealed only slight differences in the organization of social representations. This again confirms that natural groups on the basis of common representations rather than on the basis of socio-demographic information should be identified.

In the context of our study, the central nucleus is composed of cash, which appeared 58 times, and money (frequency of 57). These two concepts represented the stable and normative part of the social representation towards payment systems and are essential elements. Cash represents the most familiar means of payment for people. In contrast to non-cash payment systems, it was seen as being tangible; the value is visible at first glance, and national symbols are mapped on coins and banknotes. Money as the second element of the central nucleus itself is a social representation. Because people believe in the value and significance of money for society and trust in the monetary system, they have a desire for wealth and prestige (Wagner, 1989; Moscovici, 2001; Vergès and Bastounis, 2001).

We identified elements of the first periphery, which were characterized by a rank less than 3 and a frequency higher than 8. In the first periphery, traditional means of payment, for example, Euro check, credit card, ATM card, and also coins and banknotes, and the currencies Euro and Austrian Schilling were found. These elements were more concrete...
than the elements of the central nucleus and described the group’s typical payment systems. The concept of cash reappeared in the form of coins and banknotes, confirming its importance, while in addition also non-cash payment forms could be found: Euro check, credit card and ATM card. This controversy did not harm the stability of payment systems because it only occurred in the periphery. However, it showed that different groups or individuals diverged in their views of the payment concept. The same was true for the mentioned currencies. During data collection, the introduction of the Euro was discussed in the media, and opinion polls were carried out to determine whether people were holding positive or negative views about the new European currency. Not surprisingly, these elements were also represented in our dataset; both the Austrian Schilling and the Euro were perceived as valid means of payment.

Finally, the second periphery is composed of aspects such as telephone banking and the so-called electronic purse, newer forms of payment and distribution channels. Following Abric’s methodology, these elements were isolated from the central nucleus and thus did not (yet) form social representations. They are likely candidates to form part of the nucleus if their importance for the concept payment systems was strengthened.

The fourth step in our data analysis involved the application of similarity analysis in the context of a ‘conceptual network’. Frequencies of graphically connected pairs of words were counted and analysed employing a similarity analysis (Barbry et al., 1996). The aim of similarity analysis is to simplify the similarity graph by stressing only those links between variables that are the most important ones. To this end, a so-called maximum tree, which consists of the highest possible number of pairs of variables, is created. It displays the spatially strongest similarities between items and reflects the most important associations between them.

(Degenne, 1985; Flament, 1985; Clemence et al., 1993). Each of the graphs (Figures 3 and 4) represents the maximum number of connections between the items. The combination of variables can be read as (i) star-shaped structures, which attach high importance to the element in the centre. The star variable is used as a reference point for all connected variables. Another combination of variables is the (ii) triangle form, which according to Degenne (1985) and Vergès (1995) means that three connected variables share cognitive similarity.

This methodology was applied to locate the structures of social representations and the strength of the associations between and within social and economic elements. On the basis of 25 concepts, which were chosen on the basis of literature review and should reflect economic as well as social elements of everyday life (Vergès and Bastounis, 2001), their configuration in a social representations network was plotted. Figures 3 and 4 include all 25 concepts as words in circles or stars and show the maximum number of interconnections in the conceptualization of payment systems.

Splitting the dataset by sex roles accounts for socially constructed groups (Baayen, 2001; Nitsch, 2005; Depken, 2008; Popescu et al., 2010); we were able to conclude that men perceived credit cards to be wasteful and related to consumption, whereas women link credit cards to checks. Furthermore, women link cash to desires and self-worth (self-esteem), whereas men perceived cash as linked to work and control. This reflects existing sex roles in Western countries.

When contrasting the residential background (Figures 3 and 4), we were able to identify even larger differences in the social representations of payment systems. People living in an urban environment linked credit cards to debts and foreign trade. Cash was connected to consumption, safety and control, whereas check was related to credit cards. The rural population on the other hand linked the ATM card to wastefulness and cash. Both groups shared some similarity.

Note: ⋄ star (reference point for connected variables), ▲ triangle (variables are perceived as similar), ◊ element of conceptual network, connections between variables are based on similarity index, ..., 13-25% of respondents, — 26-50% of respondents, — 51-75% of respondents

Figure 3. Conceptual network representations of payment innovations—urban sample.
regarding the ATM card, the electronic purse and checks. Apart from this technical similarity, they perceived loss of control linked to the ATM and the credit cards.

With regard to the newer payment modes, telephone banking and internet banking, only mistrust was connected with them.

Although the cognitive patterns resembled the stereotypical configuration of the earlier correspondence analysis results, the interconnections and their interpretation helped to go beyond the understanding of the correspondence analysis. For instance, we could see that for the urban population, the concept of cash was closely linked to safety and control. Cash was in the centre of a ‘star’ pattern (Vergès, 1995), which implied that it was the most salient dimension. This also holds true for ATM cards, credit cards and aspects such as work and family. For each subsample, triangle-shaped configurations were identified, thus indicating variables, which were seen as highly similar (e.g. cash, safety and control in the urban sample; and cash, work and desires in the rural sample).

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

Marketing and social systems play a critical role in shaping consumers’ views about innovations, and as can be seen from the present case of new means of payment, consumer adoption rates are not always as high as marketers would hope for. An adapted framework by Bauer and Gaskell (1999) and a four-step approach were employed to obtain an empirically grounded understanding of consumers’ socially shared reasoning about new forms of payment.

The step 1, objectification and anchoring, built on categorized free associations, and correspondence analysis helped to configure response statements along two dimensions. On the one side, responses pointed at an affective involvement of consumers regarding payment innovations and a ‘descriptive construction of reality’. It was found that payment systems were related to dependence (e.g. ‘losing’ security, dependence and independence, different payment systems, daily experience). Step 2 in the analysis was concerned with the evaluation of social representations, and a generally positive view of means of payment was identified. Obviously everyone loves money and its derivatives. Step 3 involved core/periphery analysis. Positional and frequency analysis techniques were applied, and ‘means of payment’ was categorized into central and periphery positions. Core elements are normative for the group, that is, group members agree on the importance of these. Overall, the concept of means of payment is largely determined by the understanding of money and cash. To achieve recognition from customers, marketers of new payment innovations should strive to link new means of payments to cash. As the data revealed, the peripheral area demonstrates less stability. The representations of banknotes and coins may change over time, differ in terms of appearance and may also differ in terms of value. Peripheral dimensions are particularly relevant for managerial use in target marketing campaigns. They indicate ‘up and coming’ areas of application and a certain openness from users. This highlights the importance of stressing those issues that help to link new means of payment to consumers’ traditional perspectives of payments. It is, therefore, important to integrate informational cues, which augment security, usability and trustworthiness into new means of payment, which are usually associated with traditional means of payments. Natural groups, characterized by rural and urban backgrounds or sex roles, demonstrated different perspectives regarding new means of payments.
especially with respect to the configuration of underlying dimensions and components. This implies that innovation management will have to deliver group-specific marketing communication.

Step 4 pertained to the conceptual network analysis. The configuration and organization of social representations and the linkages between various pairs of concepts illustrated that although cash is seen as similar, conceptualizations of more abstract means of payment such as ATM or credit cards differ. Links between means of payment and social life highlighted some interesting differences. In the urban context, cash is closely linked to control, whereas in the rural context, it is linked to work and desires. The ‘family cluster’ also depicted some interesting differences. In the urban case, self-esteem derives from reputation and not work itself, whereas in the rural case, self-esteem derives from work. Credit cards in the urban sample are linked to loss of control and debts (which is further linked to risk and wastefulness), whereas people in the rural area see credit cards as a source of loss of control and the ATM, which is further linked to wastefulness.

Taken collectively, there is a strong argument for the use of the social representations theory, from a methodological as well as a managerial perspective. It offers useful sources of information for distinctive managerial decision making. In new product development, the results of social representations help to create an insight-stimulating body of knowledge. The feedback that is received from respondents is of help to create an insight-stimulating body of knowledge. In the context of this study, the concept of payment systems, was also studied within different social groups. The nature of the presented method lends itself nicely to further applications in strategic and operational marketing contexts. The methodology is well suited to overcome a narrow, individualistic research focus and performs well in incorporating group dimensions. To this end, the social representations theory may be very relevant for reference group/influence-group studies.

The framework by Bauer and Gaskell (1999) supported the multi-step research project. However, in view of the flexibility of the social representations theory, only some limitations of this study apply. Social representations of Austrian consumers regarding payment systems were assessed in a cross-sectional analysis. The social representations theory allows for more behavioural and process-oriented research, and future research is encouraged to embrace this view by investigating informal and formal communication among group members. In addition, a longitudinal study might reveal further phenomena, which may be particularly pertinent with highly innovative products such as new payment systems (Montoya-Weiss and Calantone, 1994).

As shown in studies on decision makers’ selection of new products and support decisions (Forlani and Walker, 2003), much could be learned from past new product introductions, and debriefing should take place to prepare decision makers for future new product introductions. Without doubt, the introduction of new payment methods is composed of a set of complex marketing decisions with uncertain outcomes, thus entailing potential pitfalls, which marketing decision makers are eager to avoid. As shown in the present study, ‘negative’ outcomes include negative emotions with regard to new technology, and rather limited connection to existing payment options and everyday experiences.

Firms (banking institutions/merchants) also need to develop customer segmentation strategies. The practice of segmentation studies primarily involves the creation of taxonomic clusters (Cestre and Darmoon, 1998; Bock and Uncles, 2002). These are mostly based on objectified criteria, such as demographic information, attitudinal profiles or consumption patterns (Wedel and Kamakura, 2000). From a managerial perspective, such segmentation exercises may fall short when it comes to new product developments, such as innovative financial goods. The rationale is that the tradition of forming consumer clusters ex post may lead to self-referential units (nominal groups). In contrast, social and natural groups (i.e. milieus) usually have a ‘common project’ or shared ideology (i.e. ‘Weltanschauung’ according to Bauer and Gaskell, 1999), a collective memory and a common history. Following natural segmentation increases the likelihood of new product adoption. Although the integration of these dimensions is quite difficult for traditional segmentation methodologies and designs, it is feasible in the social representations methodology.

To this end, this paper suggests that researchers may consider adopting social representation theory for their research projects, specifically when social and group ideologies and perspectives are examined, and future segments are to be formed rather than groupings on the basis of past product
adoption. Further research is thus encouraged, which builds on the benefits of social representations theory compared with other segmentation methods. With a view on the current interest in social media and social network tools, social representations theory also offers a plethora of benefits for research on market segmentation that is concerned with social groups, social exchange and perspectives of social constructivism.
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