ABSTRACT
Atmospheric emission is a dominant source of disturbance in ground-based astronomy at millimetric wavelengths. The Antarctic plateau is recognized as an ideal site for millimetric and submillimetric observations, and the French/Italian base of Dome Concordia (Dome C) is among the best sites on Earth for these observations. In this paper, we present measurements at Dome C of the atmospheric emission in intensity and polarization at a 2-mm wavelength. This is one of the best observational frequencies for cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations when considering cosmic signal intensity, atmospheric transmission, detector sensitivity and foreground removal. Using the B-mode radiation interferometer (BRAIN)-pathfinder experiment, we have performed measurements of the atmospheric emission at 150 GHz. Careful characterization of the airmass synchronous emission has been performed, acquiring more than 380 elevation scans (i.e. ‘skydip’) during the third BRAIN-pathfinder experiment. We have also performed measurements of the atmospheric emission at Dome C of the atmospheric emission in intensity and polarization at a 2-mm wavelength. This is one of the best observational frequencies for CMB observations when considering cosmic signal intensity, atmospheric transmission, detector sensitivity and foreground removal. Using the B-mode radiation interferometer (BRAIN)-pathfinder experiment, we have performed measurements of the atmospheric emission at 150 GHz. Careful characterization of the airmass synchronous emission has been performed, acquiring more than 380 elevation scans (i.e. ‘skydip’) during the third BRAIN-pathfinder experiment.

E-mail: elia.battistelli@roma1.infn.it

© 2012 The Authors
Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society © 2012 RAS
degree of linear polarization is found to be lower than 0.1 per cent (95 per cent CL). These limits include signal-correlated instrumental spurious polarization.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The study of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) polarization and the measurement of polarized emission from interstellar dust are fast growing fields in millimetric astronomy. In particular, a curl component (B-modes) of the CMB polarization, from the predicted inflationary expansion of the Universe earlier in time, might be present. The theorized signal depends on the energy of the inflationary field, as measured by the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$. It is so low that exceptional sensitivity and control of systematic effects are necessary to attempt these types of observations.

Atmospheric emission is one of the dominant sources of disturbance for ground-based CMB experiments, and for millimetric and submillimetric astronomy in general. In addition to continuum emission at the frequencies in which we are interested, there are also the roto-vibrational emission lines of O$_2$ (at around 60 and 119 GHz) and H$_2$O (at 22 and 183 GHz). Dry and high-altitude observation sites are chosen to mitigate the problem. The French/Italian scientific base of Dome Concordia (Dome C) on the Antarctic plateau (75°06′ south, 123°24′ east, at 3233 m above sea level) is one of the best observational sites on Earth for millimetric observations. Site testing at Dome C has proven its observational quality at different wavelengths (e.g. Calisse et al. 2004; Lawrence et al. 2004; Aristidi et al. 2009; Gredel 2010; Tremblin et al. 2011), although only preliminary measurements were performed at millimetre wavelengths (Valenziano & d’alle’Oglio 1999). In terms of cosmic signal intensity, atmospheric transmission, detector sensitivity and foreground removal, 150 GHz is among the best observational frequencies for ground-based CMB experiments.

The B-mode radiation interferometer (BRAIN)-pathfinder experiment (Masi et al. 2005; Polenta et al. 2007) has undergone its third Antarctic campaign from the French/Italian scientific base of Dome C. The first two campaigns were dedicated to instrument fielding, while the 2009–2010 austral summer campaign was dedicated to continuous observations of the atmospheric emission and to site testing.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the BRAIN-pathfinder instrument, and in Section 3 we describe the observations. In Section 4, we present the data and the analysis, and in Section 5 we give the results in terms of intensity and polarization.

2 BRAIN-PATHFINDER: THE INSTRUMENT

The BRAIN-pathfinder was designed as a prototype instrument for a challenging project for a bolometric interferometer. The BRAIN collaboration has been combined with the millimetre-wave bolometric interferometer (MBI) collaboration (Tucker et al. 2008) to form the QUBIC collaboration. The BRAIN-pathfinder was devoted to site and logistics testing for the QUBIC experiment (Battistelli et al., QUBIC collaboration 2011), which we aim to install at Dome C in 2013. The BRAIN-pathfinder instrument is described in detail elsewhere (Masi et al. 2005; Polenta et al. 2007; Masi et al., in preparation). Nevertheless, here we give a brief description of the instrumental set-up for completeness.

The BRAIN-pathfinder comprises a two-channel bolometric receiver coupled to two off-axis (40- and 60-cm diameter) parabolic mirrors, which can be tilted around the optical axis. The whole instrument is mounted on an azimuth plane, making the instrument an Alt-Az double telescope. The first in its kind, our bolometric receiver is cooled by a dry-cryostat with a Sumitomo Pulse Tube cryocooler, which allows us to keep an intermediate stage at 30 K and a main plate at 3 K. Quasi-optical filters, JFET boards and shields are kept at 30 K with JFET amplifiers attached to their PCB through weak thermal connections in their fibre glass supports. Further filters, radiation-collecting horns and further shields are kept at 3 K with an $^3$He--$^4$He refrigerator, which keeps the bolometers at 310 mK during observations.

One of the two channels (channel 1) measures the anisotropy of the emission of the sky, while the second (channel 2) sees the sky through an ambient-temperature, rotating sapphire quarter-wave plate (QWP) and a steady wire grid polarizer. The presence of the rotating QWP makes this second detector inherently sensitive to linear and circular polarization. In an ideal case, the power hitting channel 2 is thus (Polenta et al. 2007)

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \left[ I_{\text{in}} + Q_{\text{in}} \frac{1 + \cos(4\omega t)}{2} + U_{\text{in}} \frac{\sin(4\omega t)}{2} + V_{\text{in}} \sin(2\omega t) \right].$$

(1)

Here, $I_{\text{in}}, Q_{\text{in}}, U_{\text{in}}$ and $V_{\text{in}}$ are the Stokes parameters of the incoming radiation and $\omega$ is the mechanical angular speed of the QWP. From equation (1), it is clear that an incoming polarized radiation is modulated by the rotating QWP at frequencies twice or four times the mechanical frequency, depending on whether the polarization is circular or linear.

We use the control and readout electronics, as well as the control software, originally developed for the Planck high-frequency instrument (Lamarre et al. 2010) and for its ground-based calibrations. Its angular resolution on the sky is 1°. A double back-to-back horn and the quasi-optical filters set the average observational frequency at 150 GHz, with a 36-GHz FWHM bandwidth. Detailed measurements of the bandpass have been performed, combining data obtained with a high-throughput Fourier transform spectrometer (Schillaci 2010) and a vector network analyser in order to characterize the transmission curve, especially on the low-frequency end of the bandpass, where the molecular oxygen-line emission becomes brighter.

3 OBSERVATIONS

Observations were taken during the 2009–2010 austral summer Antarctic campaign. In contrast to a CMB experiment, for which

---

1 http://www.concordiabase.eu/
2 http://www.shicryogenics.com/
3 http://www.chasecryogenics.com/
4 http://www.home.agilent.com/agilent/home.jspx
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one should choose an observational strategy aimed at minimizing the atmospheric effects (e.g. Castro et al. 2009; Chiang et al. 2010), we have chosen an observational strategy able to highlight the atmospheric contribution. Here, we present a full characterization of the airmass dependence of the atmospheric intensity and polarized emission, obtained by performing elevation scans (i.e. skydips) by leaving the azimuth constant and by scanning the elevation from the zenith to 35° above the horizon.

Elevation scans were performed in a so-called ‘fast scan’ mode by acquiring the sky signal while the telescope continuously samples different elevation angles. The scan speed has been chosen to be as high as possible, in order to mitigate the 1/f noise present in the data, arising both from detector instability and (mainly) from the slow variation of the atmospheric emission. At the same time, we set the scan speed to be able to acquire multiple QWP rotations in one single telescope beam. We tested different QWP and scan speeds, trading off instrumental constraints and observational needs. We set the QWP rotational frequency at 1.56 Hz (corresponding to 3.13 and 6.26 Hz, respectively, for circular and linear polarization modulation frequencies) for approximately half of the measurements, and at 2.09 Hz (corresponding to 4.17 and 8.35 Hz, respectively, for circular and linear polarization modulation frequencies) for the rest of the time. A scan speed of 1° s\(^{-1}\) thus allows at least three or six polarized modulation periods per telescope beam. With these settings, a full scan completes in less than a minute, a short enough time to mitigate slow signal variation arising from atmospheric emission.

In order to reduce the field-of-view vignetting, to overcome detector and readout non-linearity, and to optimize the detector dynamics, we have chosen not to use a warm reference load for skydip measurements. This forces us to make some assumptions if we want to carry out absolute calibration of the data (see Section 4). However, it allows us to directly analyse the polarization data relative to the intensity emission, with no need for any reference signal.

We have collected 383 skydips. About 12 per cent of the skydips were discarded because of corrupted data or because of large atmospheric fluctuations. About 76 per cent of the analysed skydips were acquired by changing the elevation from the zenith to 35° above the horizon (long skydip). The remaining 24 per cent were limited to 60° above the horizon (short skydip), in order to keep the Sun always at an angle larger than 30° from the field of view. Most of the reported results have been extracted from the analysis of the long skydips, although the short skydips have been useful for cross-checks.

### 4 DATA REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS

The skydip technique (Dicke et al. 1946) is a well-investigated method to study the atmospheric emission (e.g. Dragovan et al. 1990; Archibald et al. 2002). During a skydip, we expect the acquired signal to respond to the airmass as follows:

\[
S = \text{off} + C T_0 [1 - \exp(-x \tau_1)].
\]  

(2)

Here, \(S\) is the acquired signal in ADC units, \(\text{off}\) is an instrumental offset in ADC units, \(C\) is the calibration factor in ADC/K units, \(T_0\) (in K) is the equivalent temperature of the atmosphere, \(\tau_1\) is the sky opacity and \(x = \sec(z)\) is the airmass with the zenithal angle \(z = 90° − \text{elevation}\).

One of the features in our data is the presence in the time stream of a periodic signal at a frequency of around 1 Hz as a result of the pulses of the Pulse Tube cryocooler. In fact, the experimental effort spent on reducing the system vibration has drastically reduced but not completely eliminated the effect of the pulses on the high impedance bolometers, which are intrinsically microphonic. This does not affect the high signal-to-noise skydip measurements we are analysing in this paper; nevertheless, we have decided to filter out from our data this well-defined imprint, in order to avoid biases in the skydip fits. We have performed several tests, both in time and in Fourier space, and we finally decided to use a multiple notch filter to remove the main pulse frequency and its harmonics. Fig. 1 shows the filtered and unfiltered signals (and their Fourier transforms), in engineering units, acquired during one skydip.

While for channel 1 we have only (notch-) filtered out the pulse tube 1-Hz signal, in order to retrieve signal intensity information from channel 2 we have to account for the presence of the rotating QWP in front of it. Thus, we have applied a low-pass filter, with a cut-off frequency at 1 Hz, to remove any higher frequency signal resulting from the rotating QWP, which could modulate the linear and circular polarized signal. Dedicated bandpass filters have then been applied to retrieve polarization information (see Section 5.3 for details).

We have performed a likelihood analysis and \(\chi^2\) minimization to optimize secant-law fits on each of the acquired skydips. We have verified the linearity of the dependence of the signal as a function of the airmass. This is verified only in the case of high transparency of the atmosphere:

\[
S = P_1 + P_2 \exp(-P_{0}\tau_1) \approx P_1 + P_2 - P_2 P_{0}\tau_1 = A^1 - B^1 x.
\]  

(3)

Here, \(A^1 = P_1 + P_2\) and \(B^1 = P_2 P_{0}\) are the fitted parameters.

Comparing equations (2) and (3), we determine the sky opacity, \(\tau_1 = -B^1/(C T_0)\),

(4)

where the calibration factor \(C\) has been determined by using laboratory absolute reference loads cooled at liquid nitrogen temperature (i.e. 77 K), by careful measurements of the bolometer efficiency, as well as the daily electrical responsivity measurements performed during observations through the measurements of the detector \(I−V\) curve. The temperature \(T_0\) was determined by integrating the temperature, pressure and humidity profiles obtained by daily measurements with atmospheric radio-sound balloons flying up to an altitude of 24,000 m, collecting data with \(\Delta h \sim 10\ m\) altitude.
sampling.\textsuperscript{5} In particular, combined with continuous ground-
temperature measurements at the time of the skydip, and after inte-
gration of the atmospheric emission contribution over the altitude
for each of our scans, these data allowed us to recover $T_0$ using
radiative transfer.

Our model for atmosphere emission is made by two different
parts; in order to reconstruct the circularly polarized O\textsubscript{2} signals, we
use the model described in Spinelli et al. (2011). This model can
be used to estimate also Stokes parameters $I$, $Q$ and $U$. In order to
deal with a complete dry-air model, together with a water vapour
column, we used the \textit{am} model (Paine et al. 2011). The \textit{am} model
uses updated spectroscopic parameters and it is readily available,
very well supported and documented. We have made day-by-day
estimates of the atmospheric emission using radio sounds, properly
resampled to build \textit{am} configuration files. The resulting brightness
temperature is then averaged over the frequency bandpass of the
BRAIN-pathfinder channels, after accurate laboratory bandpass re-
construction, to obtain the power delivered to our detectors. We
find a linear scaling relation between the precipitable water vapour
(PWV), or the integrated optical depth $\tau$, and the brightness tem-
perature $T_b$ in our bandpass, that is

\begin{equation}
T_b = Q + M \text{PWV}. 
\end{equation}

Here, $M_{\text{ch1}} = (6.6 \pm 0.3)$ K mm$^{-1}$, $M_{\text{ch2}} = (5.4 \pm 0.3)$ K mm$^{-1}$
and $Q = (4.2 \pm 0.2)$ K, with PWV expressed in mm. Not sur-
prisingly, the two $M$ coefficients are different, because our band-
passes are strongly suppressed towards the 118-GHz $\text{O}_2$ line, while
their high-frequency wings pick up – with small (but not negli-
gible) and different efficiency – a residual signal from the 183-GHz
H$_2$O line. The intercept $Q$ is sensitive to the dry-air component,
mainly to $\text{O}_2$, whose tail is observed with the same efficiency by our
detectors.

In order to account for polarized emission, it is necessary to con-
sider the magnetic field direction and intensity. We have esti-
mated these for the austral summer 2009/2010, at Dome C, using
the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model. The
day-by-day variation in atmospheric conditions produces a small
uncertainty on the oxygen polarized and unpolarized brightness
temperature computed through their model (seasonal variations and
magnetic storms are definitely more relevant). In particular, in the
specific case of the BRAIN campaign, the absolute uncertainty on
$\text{O}_2$ modelled signals is of the order of a few $\mu$K and a few mK,
respectively, for the $V$ and $I$ maps. Both these uncertainties are neg-
ligible with respect to the uncertainty associated with H$_2$O emission
estimates, which is of the order of some tens of mK. This last un-
certainty is driven mainly by the day-by-day scatter of atmospheric
parameters.

We found the uncertainty derived from the fits to be negligible
with respect to the estimated uncertainty derived from the calibra-
tion procedure. This can be as high as 23 per cent and it should
be treated as a systematic error. It dominates over all other uncer-
tainties. Calibration uncertainties proportionally propagate to the
opacity determination, while we have produced Monte Carlo simu-
lations in order to estimate PWV uncertainties.

Fig. 2 shows the best-fitting curves obtained over the calibrated
skydips collected during the campaign for both channels.

5 Data and information were obtained from the IPEV/PNRA Project ‘Routine Meteorological Observation at Station Concordia’; see http://www.climantarctide.it.

\section{Results}

\subsection{Sky opacity}

The distribution of the sky opacities measured during the campaign
can be seen in the histogram in Fig. 3. The cyan (light) histogram is
derived from channel 2 measurements while the black (dark) histogram
(summed and positioned on top of the cyan histogram) is
derived from channel 1 measurements. The measurements from
both channels result in $\tau_1$ values centred around an average value
$\langle \tau_1 \rangle = 0.505$, with a median of $\tau_1^m = 0.048$ and a statistical error
on each measurement of 7 per cent. This corresponds to an average
transmission of 95 per cent. Although all the measurements have
been taken with a clear sky, we should stress that the reported
results reflect a wide variety of weather conditions and only bad
weather situations (i.e. covered sky – although this is rare at Dome
C) have been discarded from our analysis.

Fig. 4 shows the atmospheric transmission measurements over the
whole campaign. Fig. 5 shows the same measurements averaged on
Millimetric atmospheric emission at Dome C

5.2 Precipitable water vapour content

We have used the am model (Paine et al. 2011) to infer, from our sky opacity measurements, the characteristics — in terms of PWV — of the atmosphere over Dome C during our measurements. This model has been tailored and configured for Dome C conditions and it allows us to exploit balloon data taken during the campaign, in view of the interpretation of BRAIN data. We have found a scaling law between a photometric quantity (the brightness temperature) and an atmospheric parameter (PWV), thus removing possible degeneracies in our analysis.

Fig. 7 shows the histogram of the PWV content obtained from our skydips. These are obtained by fitting our calibrated skydips over a day-by-day basis. Transmission seems to be fairly constant over the campaign, with a possible general trend to decrease in the middle of the campaign, with a rise back at the end of it. The error bars in Fig. 5 reflect the variability within each day. This parameter has to be taken into account when considering measurements with thermal detectors, such as transition edge sensor (TES) bolometers (like those planned for the QUBIC experiment), and when accounting for load variation on the bolometers themselves, and TES plus superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs) working point tuning. We estimate a relative average variation, on a daily basis, of 0.9 per cent, consistent with one single tuning procedure per day needed (Battistelli et al. 2011). Peaks of a few per cent have also been observed in limited cases. This would require tuning procedures to be run more than once a day. Fig. 6 shows the transmission measured within the day. We have averaged over skydips acquired less than 2 h apart on different days. In order to reduce the scatter caused by different days of observations, we have normalized each measurement to the average daily measurements and we have multiplied by the overall average transmission. Also, in this case, the trend seems to be fairly constant, with a slight decrease in the middle of the day because of the increase in elevation of the Sun.
the simulated template, by only accounting for the brightness temperature change over the skydip, and not its offset, and by checking the zenith brightness temperature for consistency.

During the BRAIN-pathfinder 2009–2010 campaign we find an average PWV of \( (\text{PWV}) = 0.77 \text{ mm} \), with statistical errors on each measurement of \( \pm 0.06 \text{ mm} \) and calibration uncertainties of \( \pm 0.15 \text{ mm} \). We find a median of \( \text{PWV} = 0.75 \text{ mm} \), an average 25th percentile of \( \text{PWV}_{25} = 0.49 \text{ mm} \) and an average 75th percentile of \( \text{PWV}_{75} = 1.1 \text{ mm} \). This level of PWV content is in good agreement with those measured in 2003–2005 and 2005–2009 with radio-sounding measurements (Tomasi et al. 2006, 2011) and with those measured by Tremblin et al. (2011) at 1.5 THz. Nevertheless, we should stress that the main results of our measurements are obtained by directly sampling the same spectral bandwidth of scientific interest and thus they are free from model-dependent bias.

5.3 Polarization

As previously mentioned, channel 2 of the BRAIN-pathfinder measures sky emission through a rotating QWP followed by a wire-grid polarizer. During observations, we rotated the QWP at several different speeds. For instrumental, environmental and noise reasons, we set its physical rotational frequency to \( \nu_{\text{QWP}} = 1.56 \text{ Hz} \) (2.09 Hz) for most of our observations. Thus, we expect any incoming circularly polarized signal to be modulated at \( \nu_C = 2 \cdot \nu_{\text{QWP}} = 3.12 \text{ Hz} \), and any incoming linearly polarized signal at \( \nu_L = 4 \nu_{\text{QWP}} = 6.26 \text{ Hz} \) (8.35 Hz) (see equation 1). The emission of the (ambient temperature) QWP and of the polarizer have been studied using the models presented by Salatino, de Bernardis & Masi (2011). Our data are affected by an offset modulated at both \( \nu_C \) and \( \nu_L \), which we found to be consistent with the QWP emission as well as with the polarizer emission reflected back from the QWP.

We should stress that the stability of this emitted signal is critical to retrieve meaningful information from the data. One of the goals of this paper is to provide information on the polarization of the skydip signal. For the present analysis, it is critical to characterize and monitor the stability of our instrument within the average time of a skydip, because faster instability will affect the results.

We have demodulated the \( \nu_C \) and \( \nu_L \) signals using bandpass filters for each raw skydip. Thus, the extracted signals have been treated in the same way as the intensity signal in order to find a possible secant-law dependence in the polarized signal of the skydips. Once we have performed secant-law fits over the polarized skydips, we find that both circular and linear polarization of the airmass-correlated signals are consistent with zero, with upper limits such that \( S_C < 0.19 \text{ per cent} \) and \( S_L < 0.11 \text{ per cent} \) (95 per cent confidence level), respectively. These results have been confirmed by cross-correlating the measured data with the signals expected from Zeeman splitting, and they are simulated using the model developed by Spinelli et al. (2011). These limits include instrumental systematics and they place a tight limit on the QWP plus polarizer stability within the time of the acquired skydips.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we report on the detailed site testing of Dome C at 150 GHz and the first limits on the polarized emission of its atmosphere. Dome C is demonstrated to be an exceptional millimetric observational site, in terms of absolute transmission and stability, and the polarization limits are encouraging – as far as spurious polarization and intensity-polarization mixing are concerned. Our opacity measurements have been derived from a direct sampling of the frequencies of astronomical interest and thus they are free from model-dependent bias. In most observational conditions, we anticipate that the measured daily stability should enable us to have TES bolometer arrays requiring only a single tuning procedure per day. When comparing our millimetric opacities and in-bandwidth transmissions with those obtained at submillimetre wavelengths, the absolute values are one order of magnitude better in terms of transmission and stability. Nevertheless, we should keep in mind that the requirements, in terms of systematic control and stability, for a millimetric B-mode CMB experiment are such that it is necessary to characterize the atmospheric stability to a high level of precision. Our results are very encouraging. Our derived PWV value relies on a model independently developed by the BRAIN collaboration and tailored for Dome C. Our PWV values are consistent with those derived at submillimetre wavelengths (Tomasi et al. 2011). However, in our case, radiation is detected through an instrument that is similar to those that aim to detect CMB polarization, which allows direct monitoring of many systematic effects. The systematic control requirements for a B-mode CMB experiment are so stringent that no instrument, to date, has been able to meet them. The requirement for the instrumental spurious polarization induced from leakage of CMB anisotropies into B-mode polarization is that the leakage should be maintained at lower than \( 10^{-1} \) (Bock et al. 2006). This is
necessary in order to be able to reach a B-mode signal of the order of 30 nK rms (i.e. tensor-to-scalar ratio $r = 0.01$). In terms of an absolute temperature limit, our analysis does not allow us to reach this limit. However, in terms of a relative systematic limit, considering that our 0.1 per cent limit for linear polarization includes spurious polarization because of the intensity-to-polarization leakage, our instrument already satisfies this requirement.
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