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Cellulose is the most abundant component of plant cell walls and its importance is well documented. In the primary cell wall it forms part of the load bearing network that both controls and maintains cell shape, while allowing regulated cell expansion that is essential during growth. Cellulose is also the major component of secondary cell walls where it is required for mechanical strength for the plant (reviewed in Cosgrove, 2005; Taylor, 2008).

Most plant cell wall matrix polysaccharides are first synthesized within the cell in the Golgi and subsequently deposited into the wall by exocytosis (Moore and Staehelin, 1988; Reyes and Orellana, 2008). In contrast, cellulose is synthesized at the plasma membrane by a very large membrane bound complex known as the cellulose synthase complex (CSC). The complex extrudes up to 36 individual cellulose chains that are bound together to form the cellulose microfibril. It is believed that the microfibril forms a rigid structure such that the energy from cellulose chain elongation pushes the CSC through the plane of the plasma membrane (Herth, 1980). Freeze fracture studies have shown the CSC to be a 6-lobed rosette structure of 25-30 nm in diameter (Mueller and Brown, 1980; Herth, 1985), although more recent analysis suggests that it extends into the cytoplasm, reaching a maximum diameter of about 45 nm (Bowling and Brown, 2008). The large size of the CSC and the need to target it to specific sites in the plasma membrane represent a particular logistical problem for plant cells. Recent evidence from live cell imaging suggest CSC trafficking is both highly dynamic and that it does not easily fit into our understanding of the conventional secretory pathway. In this article we will focus on recent studies that has contributed to improving our understanding of the intracellular trafficking of the CSC. For other aspects of cellulose synthesis, including biochemistry, structure and microfibril orientation readers are referred to several recent reviews (Somerville, 2006; Lloyd and Chan, 2008; Taylor, 2008).

The cellulose synthase complex and its visualization in living cells.
The Arabidopsis rsw1 mutant is a temperature sensitive mutant in the CESA1 gene. When grown at the restrictive temperature, rsw1 mutants have less cellulose and the CSCs are lost from the plasma membrane (Arioli et al., 1998). Additionally, immunolabeling has shown that the rosette structures that are visualized by freeze fracture can be labeled with anti-CESA antibodies (Kimura et al., 1999). These experiments demonstrate that not only are CESA proteins essential for cellulose deposition, but they are also an integral part of the CSC. Although genetic analysis has identified several proteins required for cellulose synthesis (reviewed in Somerville, 2006, Liepman et al., in press), none of them have definitively been shown to be an essential and integral component of the CSC. Consequently, live cell imaging studies to look at CSC trafficking has necessarily focused upon labeling of CESA proteins.

Three different CESA proteins, encoded by members of the CESA gene family, are required for formation of a functional CSC (reviewed in Taylor, 2008). The CESA proteins that make up the CSC responsible for primary cell wall formation consists of CESA1, CESA3, together with some combination of CESA2, CESA5, CESA6 or CESA9 (Desprez et al., 2007; Persson et al., 2007). Cellulose biosynthesis at the secondary wall requires CESA4, CESA7 and CESA8. In the absence of any one of these three subunits, the CSC is not transported to sites of cell wall formation (Taylor et al., 1999; Taylor et al., 2000; Gardiner et al., 2003; Taylor et al., 2003). Fusions between GFP variants and the N-terminus of several of the CESA subunits, namely CESA3, CESA6 and CESA7, have been demonstrated to not interfere with the protein function and this has allowed their dynamics to be viewed in vivo (Gardiner et al., 2003; Paredez et al., 2006; Desprez et al., 2007; Wightman and Turner, 2008). While only a proportion of CESA proteins are labeled within a single CSC, this has still permitted the detection of single CSCs within the plasma membrane (Paredez et al., 2006; Desprez et al., 2007) and has also allowed detailed examination of
intracellular CSC trafficking (Fig. 1).

**CESA complexes in the Golgi**

Live imaging of YFP-CESA protein fusions during both primary wall and secondary wall cellulose synthesis are seen to clearly label internal ring-like structures that colocalize with a Golgi marker (Paredez et al., 2006; Wightman and Turner, 2008) (Fig. 1). The ring-like labeling is different to the uniform labeling observed for common Golgi markers such as mannosidase 1 (Nebenfuhr et al., 1999) and the rat sialyltransferase (Boevink et al., 1998). It appears that the ring-like labeling represent labeling of the Golgi periphery. This has been confirmed using immunogold labeling of a GFP-CESA3 fusion in which labeling was observed at the ends of medial- and trans-Golgi cisternae in addition to the cell cortex (Crowell et al., 2009). This work is also consistent with earlier freeze fractures studies. Using a suspension of *Zinnia elegans* cells undergoing treachery element differentiation, Haigler and Brown (1986) were able to directly visualize rosettes within the periphery of the trans face of the Golgi.

The rings of labeled CSCs within the Golgi are observed to include bright punctae (Fig. 1C). The concentration of CSCs that form these bright spots may represent either an event just prior to vesicle formation or an accumulation following fusion from an endocytic compartment.

**Novel CESA containing compartments.**

Haigler and Brown (1986) were able to identify CSC containing vesicles at the cell cortex at sites of secondary cell wall deposition. The similar size of these vesicles to the invaginations around the Golgi periphery suggest that these vesicles arise from the Golgi and that their position at the cell cortex suggests that they are responsible
for delivery of assembled CSCs to sites of cellulose deposition (Haigler and Brown, 1986). The presence of CSC-containing vesicles beneath sites of secondary wall deposition has additionally been inferred from indirect visualization of CSC movement during fluorescence loss in photobleaching (FLIP) (Wightman et al., 2009). In these experiments, calculated velocities of CSCs appear to be too rapid to be explained simply by movement of CSCs synthesizing cellulose at the plasma membrane. The high velocities likely represent the movement of the CSC within a cortical intracellular compartment. More direct evidence of a role for small subcellular compartments in CSC transport studies has come from live cell imaging during formation of the primary cell wall. In addition to identifying individual CSCs at the plasma membrane, Paredez et al. (2006) were also able to identify a small subcellular compartment that exhibited more erratic movement. This nature of this compartment has been described in some detail in two recent studies where they have been named either as SmaCCs (small CESA compartments, Gutierrez et al., 2009) or MASCs (microtubule-associated cellulose synthase compartment, Crowell et al., 2009).

Examination of the epidermis from rapidly growing regions of the seedling hypocotyls are characterized by a high density of CSCs at the plasma membrane consistent with a need to synthesize cellulose during cell expansion. In contrast, at the base of the hypocotyl that is not actively growing there were many fewer CSCs at the plasma membrane and most CSCs localized within the SmaCCs/MASCs (Crowell et al. 2009). Furthermore, osmotic stress and some drug treatments result in rapid loss of the CSC from the plasma membrane and accumulation within the SmaCCs/MASCs (Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). These observations have been interpreted in two different ways, either SmaCC/MASCs represent solely delivery compartments that accumulate when insertion of complexes to the plasma membrane is prevented (Gutierrez et al., 2009) or that these compartments also function as an intracellular store of internalized CESA proteins (Crowell et al., 2009).
While the localization of SmaCCs/MASCs close to the cell cortex suggests a role in CSC delivery, the fact that plasma membrane localized CESA proteins can be internalized into SmaCCs/MASCs within as little as 6 minutes following osmotic stress, suggest that SmaCCs/MASCs are also involved in removal of the complexes (Crowell et al., 2009). SmaCC/MASCs are frequently associated with microtubules and this would make the SmaCC/MASC a type of recycling compartment, possibly serving as a microtubule-associated intracellular store of complexes (Fig. 2). Live imaging indicates that each SmaCC/MASC contains one or two fully formed complexes (Gutierrez et al., 2009). This would be consistent with SmaCC/MASC being synonymous with the rosette containing transport vesicles seen by Haigler and Brown (1986).

Interestingly, SmaCC/MASC movement coincides with microtubule depolymerizing ends, achieving speeds of up to 9 um⁻¹ min⁻¹ (Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). Where several SmaCCs are seen to occur along the length of a single microtubule, the passing of a depolymerizing end results in the gathering and aggregation of the compartments and this end-tracking ability occurs regardless of whether it is the plus end or minus end that is undergoing the catastrophe (Gutierrez et al., 2009).

Crowell et al. (2009) also reported an additional CSC-containing compartment that colocalizes with a VHA-a1-based endosomal marker that marks the trans Golgi network (TGN). It has also been shown that a subpopulation of SmaCC/MASCs localize with the TGN (Gutierrez et al., 2009) and this may be the same compartment as that described by Crowell et al. (2009). The function of this compartment is unclear, but may represent complexes that are being removed from the plasma membrane to the trans-Golgi for recycling (Crowell et al., 2009).

**Delivery of CESA complexes to the plasma membrane**
In developing xylem, CSC-containing Golgi move very rapidly along thick actin cables. When lantrunculin B is added to depolymerize the actin, Golgi movement ceases. This also results in loss of the characteristic bands of fluorescently labeled cellulose synthase from beneath the sites of cellulose deposition into the secondary walls (Wightman and Turner, 2008) demonstrating that CSCs are constantly being lost from the plasma membrane and that this loss must be matched by the insertion of new complexes. A similar situation appears to exist in the primary cell wall where clearer imaging has allowed a more detailed description of this phenomenon. The depolymerization of actin results in cessation of Golgi movement (Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). Furthermore, this disruption of the actin network results in the aggregation of Golgi and much higher densities of CSCs are observed in the plasma membrane directly above the Golgi aggregates than in regions where Golgi bodies are largely absent (Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). This suggests that local delivery from the Golgi to the plasma membrane is largely unaffected but Golgi movement along actin is required for equivalent distribution of complexes all around the cell cortex.

During secondary wall synthesis in developing xylem vessels, movement of YFP-CESA7 labeled Golgi occurs unidirectionally and in an actin-dependent manner (Wightman and Turner, 2008). Concurrent imaging with a xylem-specific marker of actin reveals the Golgi to move along the same path on longitudinal thick actin cables and to undergo short pause events, often lasting only fractions of a second. The vast majority (90%) of pause events occur below sites of secondary wall deposition and appear to coincide with the Golgi delivering the CESA complex. What marks these pause sites is not yet fully understood. It is unlikely, however, that these pauses are caused merely by colliding with the membrane invaginations caused by the secondary wall thickenings since different Golgi traveling along identical paths can be seen to skip sites of wall synthesis at which other Golgi pause (Wightman and Turner, 2008). Microtubules are ideally positioned to mark these sites but their
removal does not affect the incidences of Golgi pause events. The best candidate for marking these sites are the narrow transverse actin fibres that emerge from the longitudinal cables close to the secondary walls ((Wightman and Turner, 2008). Insertions of the CSC into the plasma membrane during primary wall deposition has been seen to occur directly from the Golgi (Crowell et al., 2009). Golgi in cells of the hypocotyl epidermis paused for up to 73 seconds. These pause events coincided with the appearance of up to four CSCs at the plasma membrane (Crowell et al., 2009).

Following removal of the isoxaben treatment or osmotic shock, CSCs repopulate the plasma membrane. Insertion of CSCs into the plasma membrane was observed to occur directly from SmaCC/MASCs (Gutierrez et al., 2009). It is assumed, therefore, that the SmaCCs are also involved in CSC delivery during normal plant growth (Gutierrez et al., 2009). CSC insertion sites whether from Golgi or from SmaCC/MASCs always coincided with cortical microtubules. When microtubules were removed with depolymerizing drugs, CSC insertion was maintained at the same rate. The data suggest that microtubules are required for targeting but not insertion of the CSC.

Delivery of cellulose synthase to the plasma membrane, at a rate of 4.8 delivery events $\text{um}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, is observed to proceed in three stages: (i) Appearance of the intracellular delivery compartment. (ii) Pause of the particle, representing either the stabilized intracellular compartment or the appearance of non-active cellulose synthase. (iii) steady linear movement characteristic of the complexes making cellulose (Gutierrez et al., 2009).

Many questions remain with regard to the role of the Golgi in inserting CSCs in the plasma membrane. It is possible that the Golgi is responsible for delivery of de novo CSCs into the plasma membrane, while SmaCCs/MASCs represent an intracellular store that recycles CSCs with the plasma membrane. It could also be that SmaCC/MASCs arise directly from the Golgi and, in some cases, the gap between
SmaCC/MASCs biogenesis and insertion of the CSC into the plasma membrane is very short such that it appears as a single event (Fig. 2).

The mechanisms of CSC removal from the plasma membrane, either during primary or secondary wall synthesis, are yet to be determined, however due to the large size of the CSC, removal is likely not to involve clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Crowell et al., 2009).

The involvement of effectors of compartment-cytoskeleton association and docking factors.

Given the importance of the actin cytoskeleton to global trafficking of the cellulose synthase-containing Golgi and the involvement of the cortical microtubules in tethering the SmaCC/MASC compartment, it is likely that motor and other cytoskeletal-associated proteins will be critical for CSC functioning. The reported observations that the SmaCC/MASC compartment moves coincidently with depolymerizing microtubule ends already implicates a type of tip-tracking protein that is not restricted by microtubule polarity (Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). Movement of the Golgi via the actin network in epidermal cells or in a unidirectional fashion along the thick actin bundles in developing xylem likely involves one or more myosins (Avisar et al., 2009). One factor involved in organizing actin is encoded by the Arabidopsis FRAGILE FIBER4 (FRA4/RHD3) gene. The fra4 mutant exhibits an 18% reduction of crystalline cellulose compared to WT and defective secondary cell walls in fibers and xylem vessels (Hu et al., 2003). Elongating cells in the fra4 mutant also show abnormal actin bundling which might prevent proper delivery of CSCs for secondary wall synthesis and result in reduced cellulose crystallinity. An allele of fra4, root hair defective3, had originally been identified on the basis of its short, wavy root hair phenotype; the actin defect is also likely to be the cause of altered vesicle trafficking during tip growth (Galway et al., 1997). Another fragile fiber mutant, fra7,
encodes the PtdIns(3,5)P$_2$-specific phosphoinositide phosphatase called AtSac1 (Zhong et al., 2005). Phosphoinositides in general are known to play important roles in regulating trafficking in the plant cell and phosphinositide phosphatases help to regulate the distribution of phosphinositides to particular subcellular compartments (Thole and Nielsen, 2008). fra7, like other fra mutants, exhibits both secondary cell wall defects and defects in actin organization. In addition, AtSac1 localizes to the Golgi, making it likely that it is involved in the intracellular trafficking required during cell wall deposition.

The movement of the cell wall cargo, including the cellulose synthase complex, involves the docking and exchange of material between several compartments en-route to the plasma membrane and also during internalization of the complexes. Docking between Golgi and post-Golgi compartments needs to be tightly regulated so that the complexes are transported to a final endomembrane compartment that fuses with the plasma membrane. Several docking factors have roles that include the delivery of cell wall cargo, although their docking partners and precise roles are not well understood. Syntaxins are part of the diverse SNARE complexes that mediate docking between intracellular compartments and their target membranes (Teng et al., 2001). One of the best characterized syntaxins is Knolle, a cytokinesis-specific syntaxin that mediates vesicle fusion with the cell plate. Some of the cell plate cargo that depends on functional Knolle includes cell wall material (Lukowitz et al., 1996; Lauber et al., 1997). Mutants in another syntaxin, SYP122, exhibit alterations in cell wall FT-IR spectra suggesting it has a role in primary wall synthesis (Assaad et al., 2004). Whereas syntaxins are known to be directly involved in vesicle docking, an additional level of regulation is carried out by members of the various Rab GTPase families. Different Rab GTPases regulate trafficking between different membrane compartments (reviewed in Lycett, 2008). The RabA4b protein localizes to Golgi and another novel compartment during tip-growth in root hairs, suggesting a role in the regulated secretion of wall material to the root tip (Preuss et al., 2004).
appears likely that some Rab GTPases have specific functions during secondary cell wall deposition. RabA6a has been identified as part of a gene expression network that shows very good co-expression with the secondary cell wall CESAs. (Srinivasasainagendra et al., 2008).

Mutants in a V-ATPase isoform that is normally localized to several compartments, including the trans-Golgi, has been shown to exhibit cell expansion abnormalities that are a result of cellulose defects (Brux et al., 2008). It is proposed that this V-ATPase is involved in endomembrane organization and is part of a mechanism linking the monitoring of cell wall integrity with the process of cell wall deposition (Brux et al., 2008). Curiously, it is this same V-ATPase isoform, called VHA-a1, that is found to label the novel CESA3 endosome-type compartment described recently by Crowell et al. (2009).

**Assembly of the cellulose synthase complex.**

Little is known about how the cellulose synthase complex assembles. It is known that in plants containing a mutation in any one of the secondary cell wall CESA genes, the two remaining subunits appear not to assemble and are not trafficked to secondary cell wall deposition sites (Gardiner et al., 2003; Taylor et al., 2003). Attempts to affinity purify an intact epitope-tagged CSC led to isolation of CESA oligomers but apparently no intact complexes (Atanassov et al., 2009). These oligomers are likely to be intermediates in the assembly of the complex, but the role of other proteins and the location of CSC assembly are still unclear. Despite several groups using fusions between fluorescent proteins and different CESA subunits, no pre-Golgi compartments, including the ER, are found to be labeled (Paredez et al., 2006; DeBolt et al., 2007; DeBolt et al., 2007; Desprez et al., 2007; Wightman and Turner, 2008; Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). Furthermore, electron microscopy has revealed intact complexes within the Golgi but
no recognizable rosette-structures have been observed in the ER (Haigler and Brown, 1986). One explanation is that assembly actually takes place within the Golgi, although this would be unprecedented for membrane proteins and does not explain why a newly translated CESA monomer in the ER membrane should not fluoresce. An alternative explanation for this apparent absence is that the complexes reside in one or several compartments where fluorescence is quenched or otherwise inhibited. The inability to see labeled CESA subunits within the ER is particularly surprising in developing xylem vessels. In these cells, large numbers of CSCs localize to sites of secondary walls synthesis, prior to the vessel undergoing programmed cell death. Conservative estimates of the number of 30 nm wide complexes based on freeze-fracture and electron microscopy data suggest there are over 3000 complexes in the region of the plasma membrane beneath a complete hoop of secondary wall in the narrow protoxylem vessels of the root (Wightman et al., 2009). This number can be doubled for the much wider vessels observed in stems. Based on a xylem cell having forty hoops or spirals of secondary wall, this suggests that several hundred thousand complexes are located to the plasma membrane at any one time during cellulose deposition in a single vessel. We have recently identified a very large compartment that appears to provide storage for assembled CSCs prior to transport to the plasma membrane (R. Wightman, S.Turner unpublished data). Characterization of this compartment may provide clues as to where in the cell the different CESA subunits associate.

Concluding remarks.

Recent progress in the field of live cell imaging has allowed the identification of several novel compartments required for delivery of the CSC. In particular SmaCCs/MASCs are highly dynamic compartments that appear to play key roles both as intracellular stores of the CSC and in its delivery to the plasma membrane. It
now remains to be understood what factors control the interaction of SmaCCs/MASCs with other compartments such as the Golgi and the plasma membrane and how these interactions are regulated during normal growth.
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Figure legends:

Figure 1. Live cell imaging of the CSC. The images are taken of YFP-CESA6 fusion within the epidermis of a cotyledon petiole cell (A) and pavement cells (B). Bars = 10 µm. Examples of linear arrays, consisting of CESA6-containing complexes and cortical compartments, are shown by arrowheads. Arrows show Golgi which, in these pictures, yield a saturated signal. A close-up of Golgi taken with a lower exposure is shown in panel C where the characteristic ring-like appearance is observed (arrows). Bar = 3 µm.

Figure 2. Overview of CSC intracellular trafficking. Hexameric CSC rosettes are shown in purple that are targeted to the plasma membrane (PM) directly from the Golgi (G) or from SmaCC/MASCs (SM). Recycling occurs from the plasma membrane to SmaCC/MASCs (double arrow) and CSC insertion appears to occur only in the vicinity of microtubules (MT). There is a delay following CSC insertion in the plasma membrane and the start of microfibril (MF) biosynthesis. SmaCC/MASCs track along both ends of depolymerizing microtubules.